

---

Subject: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.  
Posted by [BillV2320](#) on Sat, 15 Sep 2012 19:44:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original better.

maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.

yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty 'real' feel of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.

i kind of see that roland ø. moore sold his premise clothed in BSG because it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an established name on it already, given the current climate of recycling going on in hollywood. also he had a big basic framework already in place. all he did was totally erase Larsaon's details and put his own in there.

I would have like to seen moore's opus without it being piggybacked on BSG backstory and character names.

it kind of turned BSG from a pleasant memory that was really only cared about by its devotees and rebranded, hijacked actually, into a situation in it will be the reimagined show that in the future will automatically be called 'battlestar galactica' and the original will be little more than a footnote held up to even more ridicule than it had gotten before the new series even came out.

```
      _
     /_ \
    /_  /
   /_  /
  /_  / '7,
(( ' Fuck / ')
 \  You'  /
```

CRIPES A'MIGHTY 3RD!

SHORTBUS O'REETEE STICKLER.

BEWARE OF OL' JEEZL PETE.

2012: YEAR OF THE ROBBY.

---

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.  
Posted by [YourName](#) on Sat, 15 Sep 2012 22:32:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <507-5054DA94-150@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net>, [BillV2320@webtv.net](mailto:BillV2320@webtv.net) (The Void Era Man) wrote:

- >
- > In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its
- > offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original
- > better.
- > maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi
- > of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.
- > yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty 'real' feel
- > of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not
- > just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.
- > i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG
- > because ...

The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron Moore's idea.

It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but originally the new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original Glen Larson series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who unfortunately had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other things. He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the CGI models created that Ron Moore than later took over.

I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake / reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots in studio management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his own, likely hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis & Butthead twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't really want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on his resume so he could then do his own show.

- > it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an
- > established name on it already.

The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original Battlestar Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the name? Anyone with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch something called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original show called Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a restaurant to order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup, but are stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\

It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for their very different show. People who didn't like the original Battlestar Galactica \*SHOULD\* be much more likely to give a new show a chance if it had no obvious link to the original ... unfortunately the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking in any "common" sense and are stupid enough to watch any old crap simply because it's the latest new toy on the block or it's "what we do every Friday night", regardless of it's name or what it's about. :-(

---

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [tenworld](#) on Tue, 18 Sep 2012 00:19:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Saturday, September 15, 2012 12:50:04 PM UTC-7, The Void Era Man wrote:

really hard to think of you as anything but a troll with that signature

---

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [BillV2320](#) on Tue, 25 Sep 2012 08:59:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ten@world.std.com (tenworld)

> really hard to think of you as anything but a troll with that signature

lol.

oops.

most of my posting is on flame war abuse heavy discussion groups, so i actually forget the sig is there.

when it comes to BSG and things scifi, my posts are NEVER trolling.

---

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [RT](#) on Wed, 03 Oct 2012 14:53:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The Void Era Man wrote:

>

> In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its

> offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original

> better.

> maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi

> of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.

> yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty 'real' feel

> of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not

> just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.

---

- > i kind of see that roland d. moore sold his premise clothed in BSG
- > because it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an
- > established name on it already, given the current climate of recycling
- > going on in hollywood. also he had a big basic framework already in
- > place. all he did was totally erase Larsaon's details and put his own in
- > there.
- > I would have like to seen moore's opus without it being piggybacked on
- > BSG backstory and character names.

It would hardly be a re-imagining then.

- > it kind of turned BSG from a pleasant memory that was really only cared
- > about by its devotees and rebranded, hijacked actually, into a situation
- > in it will be the reimagined show that in the future will automatically
- > be called 'battlestar galactica' and the original will be little more
- > than a footnote held up to even more ridicule than it had gotten before
- > the new series even came out.
- >

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [RT](#) on Wed, 03 Oct 2012 15:11:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Your Name wrote:

- >
- > In article <507-5054DA94-150@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net>,
- > BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:
- >>
- >> In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its
- >> offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original
- >> better.
- >> maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi
- >> of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.
- >> yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty 'real' feel
- >> of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not
- >> just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.
- >> i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG
- >> because ...
- >
- > The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron Moore's idea.
- >
- > It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but originally the
- > new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original Glen Larson
- > series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who unfortunately
- > had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other things.
- > He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the CGI models
- > created that Ron Moore than later took over.

- >
- > I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake /
- > reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots in studio

See

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#Attempted\\_revivals](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals)

and

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#2003\\_reimagining](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining)

- > management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his own, likely
- > hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis & Butthead
- > twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't really
- > want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on his resume
- > so he could then do his own show.

>

- >> it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an
- >> established name on it already.

>

- > The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original Battlestar
- > Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the name? Anyone
- > with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch something
- > called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original show called
- > Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a restaurant to
- > order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup, but are
- > stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\

>

- > It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for their very

Why would it make sense?

- > different show. People who didn't like the original Battlestar Galactica
- > \*SHOULD\* be much more likely to give a new show a chance if it had no
- > obvious link to the original ... unfortunately the vast majority of the

It would be a totally different show then. What would be the point?

- > human race are apparently lacking in any "common" sense and are stupid
- > enough to watch any old crap simply because it's the latest new toy on the
- > block or it's "what we do every Friday night", regardless of it's name or
- > what it's about. :-(

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.  
Posted by [YourName](#) on Wed, 03 Oct 2012 20:22:57 GMT

In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Your Name wrote:

>>

>> In article <507-5054DA94-150@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net>,

>> BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:

>>>

>>> In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its

>>> offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original

>>> better.

>>> maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi

>>> of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.

>>> yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty 'real' feel

>>> of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not

>>> just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.

>>> i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG

>>> because ...

>>

>> The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron Moore's idea.

>>

>> It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but originally the

>> new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original Glen Larson

>> series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who unfortunately

>> had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other things.

>> He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the CGI models

>> created that Ron Moore than later took over.

>>

>> I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake /

>> reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots in studio

>

> See

>

> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#Attempted\\_revivals](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals)

>

> and

>

> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#2003\\_reimagining](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining)

>

>> management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his own, likely

>> hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis & Butthead

>> twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't really

>> want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on his resume

>> so he could then do his own show.

>>

>>> it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an

>>> established name on it already.

>>  
>> The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original Battlestar  
>> Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the name? Anyone  
>> with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch something  
>> called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original show called  
>> Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a restaurant to  
>> order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup, but are  
>> stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\  
>>  
>> It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for their very  
>  
> Why would it make sense?

If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to explain it  
.... as I said, the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking  
in any "common" sense.

---

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.  
Posted by [BillV2320](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2012 17:16:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

YourName@YourISP.com (Your Name)  
> as I said, the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking in  
any "common" sense.

so what else is new?  
in the overwhelming majority they are also herd-lemming couch potatoes  
who want to be told what to think and do.  
it is the true rare individual who is the iconoclast who makes his own  
path.

---

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.  
Posted by [RT](#) on Sun, 14 Oct 2012 17:20:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Your Name wrote:  
>  
> In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>  
> wrote:  
>  
>> Your Name wrote:  
>>>  
>>> In article <507-5054DA94-150@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net>,  
>>> [BillV2320@webtv.net](#) (The Void Era Man) wrote:  
>>>>

>>>> In hindsight with the new series some way behind us and all of its  
>>>> offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original  
>>>> better.  
>>>> maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi  
>>>> of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.  
>>>> yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty 'real' feel  
>>>> of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not  
>>>> just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.  
>>>> i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG  
>>>> because ...  
>>>  
>>> The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron Moore's idea.  
>>>  
>>> It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but originally the  
>>> new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original Glen Larson  
>>> series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who unfortunately  
>>> had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other things.  
>>> He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the CGI models  
>>> created that Ron Moore than later took over.  
>>>  
>>> I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake /  
>>> reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots in studio  
>>  
>> See  
>>  
>> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#Attempted\\_revivals](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals)  
>>  
>> and  
>>  
>> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#2003\\_reimagining](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining)  
>>  
>>> management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his own, likely  
>>> hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis & Butthead  
>>> twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't really  
>>> want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on his resume  
>>> so he could then do his own show.  
>>>  
>>>> it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an  
>>>> established name on it already.  
>>>  
>>> The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original Battlestar  
>>> Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the name? Anyone  
>>> with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch something  
>>> called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original show called  
>>> Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a restaurant to  
>>> order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup, but are  
>>> stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\  
>>>



>>> It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for their very  
>>  
>> Why would it make sense?  
>  
> If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to explain it  
> ... as I said, the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking  
> in any "common" sense.

This means you can't explain it.

The story line is that Galactica is supposed to be the last battlestar. What else would you call the show????

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.  
Posted by [YourName](#) on Sun, 14 Oct 2012 19:50:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <507AF460.89488CDD@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Your Name wrote:

>>  
>> In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>  
>> wrote:

>>  
>>> Your Name wrote:

>>>>  
>>>> In article <507-5054DA94-150@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net>,  
>>>> BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:

>>>> >  
>>>> > In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its  
>>>> > offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original  
>>>> > better.  
>>>> > maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi  
>>>> > of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.  
>>>> > yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty  
>>>> 'real' feel  
>>>> > of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not  
>>>> > just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.  
>>>> > i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG  
>>>> > because ...

>>>>  
>>>> The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron  
Moore's idea.

>>>>  
>>>> It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but  
originally the

>>>> new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original Glen Larson series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who unfortunately had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other things.

>>>> He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the CGI models created that Ron Moore than later took over.

>>>> I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake / reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots in studio

>>> See

>>> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#Attempted\\_revivals](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals)

>>> and

>>> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#2003\\_reimagining](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining)

>>>> management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his own, likely hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis & Butthead twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't really want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on his resume

>>>> so he could then do his own show.

>>>> > it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an established name on it already.

>>>> The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original Battlestar Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the name? Anyone with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch something called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original show called Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a restaurant to order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup, but are stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\

>>>> It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for their very

>>> Why would it make sense?

>> If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to explain it

>> ... as I said, the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking in any "common" sense.

>

> This means you can't explain it.

>  
> The story line is that Galactica is supposed to be the last battlestar. What  
> else would you call the show????

I've already explained it, and those too dumb to understand it will never understand it.

---

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.  
Posted by [jack](#) on Tue, 16 Oct 2012 20:06:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On only a vaguely-related point: in the new movie "Argo," set in 1979, when the movie's "producers" are walking through a Hollywood back lot we get a quick shot of some guys dressing up as Cylons. Nice nod of the head there.

---

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.  
Posted by [RT](#) on Thu, 01 Nov 2012 03:17:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Your Name wrote:

> In article <507AF460.89488CDD@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>

>> Your Name wrote:

>>>

>>> In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>

>>>> Your Name wrote:

>>>> > BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:

>>>> > >

>>>> > > In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its

>>>> > > offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original

>>>> > > better.

>>>> > > maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi

>>>> > > of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.

>>>> > > yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty

> 'real' feel

>>>> > > of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not

>>>> > > just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.

>>>> > > i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG

>>>> > > because ...

>>>> >

>>>> > The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron

> Moore's idea.

>>>> >

>>>> > It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but

> originally the

>>>> > new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original Glen Larson

>>>> > series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who unfortunately  
>>>> > had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other  
> things.  
>>>> > He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the CGI models  
>>>> > created that Ron Moore than later took over.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake /  
>>>> > reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots in studio  
>>>>  
>>>> See  
>>>>  
>>>> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#Attempted\\_revivals](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals)  
>>>>  
>>>> and  
>>>>  
>>>> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#2003\\_reima](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining) gining  
>>>>  
>>>> > management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his own, likely  
>>>> > hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis & Butthead  
>>>> > twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't  
> really  
>>>> > want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on  
> his resume  
>>>> > so he could then do his own show.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > > it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an  
>>>> > > established name on it already.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original  
> Battlestar  
>>>> > Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the name? Anyone  
>>>> > with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch something  
>>>> > called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original  
> show called  
>>>> > Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a restaurant to  
>>>> > order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup, but are  
>>>> > stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\  
>>>> >  
>>>> > It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for their very  
>>>>  
>>>> Why would it make sense?  
>>>  
>>> If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to explain it  
>>> ... as I said,the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking  
>>> in any "common" sense.  
>>  
>> This means you can't explain it.  
>>

>> The story line is that Galactica is supposed to be the last battlestar. What  
>> else would you call the show????  
>  
> I've already explained it, and those too dumb to understand it will never  
> understand it.

No, you haven't, because otherwise you aren't following the storyline.

You want a last battlestar named, oh, Battlestar Fred? Or something about  
battlestars and no attack on the colonies???

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.  
Posted by [YourName](#) on Thu, 01 Nov 2012 05:10:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <5091E9B7.BD1C035@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Your Name wrote:  
>> In article <507AF460.89488CDD@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>  
>>> Your Name wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>> In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT  
<traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>  
>>>> > Your Name wrote:  
>>>> > > BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:  
>>>> > >  
>>>> > > > In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its  
>>>> > > > offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original  
>>>> > > > better.  
>>>> > > > maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted  
and scifi  
>>>> > > > of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.  
>>>> > > > yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty  
>> 'real' feel  
>>>> > > > of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist  
fantasy and not  
>>>> > > > just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.  
>>>> > > > i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG  
>>>> > > > because ...  
>>>> > >  
>>>> > > The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron  
>> Moore's idea.  
>>>> > >  
>>>> > > It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but  
>> originally the  
>>>> > > new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original  
Glen Larson

>>>> > > series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who unfortunately  
>>>> > > had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other  
>> things.  
>>>> > > He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the  
CGI models  
>>>> > > created that Ron Moore than later took over.  
>>>> > >  
>>>> > > I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake /  
>>>> > > reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots  
in studio  
>>>> >  
>>>> > See  
>>>> >  
>>>> > [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#Attempted\\_revivals](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals)  
>>>> >  
>>>> > and  
>>>> >  
>>>> > [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#2003\\_reimagining](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining)  
>>>> >  
>>>> > > management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his  
own, likely  
>>>> > > hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis &  
Butthead  
>>>> > > twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't  
>> really  
>>>> > > want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on  
>> his resume  
>>>> > > so he could then do his own show.  
>>>> > >  
>>>> > > > it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an  
>>>> > > > established name on it already.  
>>>> > >  
>>>> > > The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original  
>> Battlestar  
>>>> > > Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the  
name? Anyone  
>>>> > > with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch  
something  
>>>> > > called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original  
>> show called  
>>>> > > Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a  
restaurant to  
>>>> > > order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup,  
but are  
>>>> > > stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\  
>>>> > >  
>>>> > > It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for

their very

>>>> >

>>>> > Why would it make sense?

>>>>

>>>> If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to explain it

>>>> ... as I said, the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking

>>>> in any "common" sense.

>>>

>>> This means you can't explain it.

>>>

>>> The story line is that Galactica is supposed to be the last battlestar. What

>>> else would you call the show????

>>

>> I've already explained it, and those too dumb to understand it will never

>> understand it.

>

> No, you haven't, because otherwise you aren't following the storyline.

>

> You want a last battlestar named, oh, Battlestar Fred? Or something about

> battlestars and no attack on the colonies???

There really are some complete and utter dumbasses on the Internet. The sticker "remove brain before use" should REALLY be taken off modems. :-\

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [RT](#) on Tue, 20 Nov 2012 00:25:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Your Name wrote:

>

> In article <5091E9B7.BD1C035@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>> Your Name wrote:

>>> In article <507AF460.89488CDD@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>

>>>> Your Name wrote:

>>>> >

>>>> > In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT

> <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>

>>>> > > Your Name wrote:

>>>> > > > BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:

>>>> > > >

>>>> > > > In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its

>>>> > > > offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original

>>>> > > > better.

>>>> > > > maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted

> and scifi

>>>> >>>> of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.  
>>>> >>>> yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty  
>>> 'real' feel  
>>>> >>>> of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist  
> fantasy and not  
>>>> >>>> just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.  
>>>> >>>> i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG  
>>>> >>>> because ...  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >>> The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron  
>>> Moore's idea.  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >>> It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but  
>>> originally the  
>>>> >>> new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original  
> Glen Larson  
>>>> >>> series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who  
> unfortunately  
>>>> >>> had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other  
>>> things.  
>>>> >>> He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the  
> CGI models  
>>>> >>> created that Ron Moore than later took over.  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >>> I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake /  
>>>> >>> reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots  
> in studio  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> See  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#Attempted\\_revivals](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals)  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> and  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#2003\\_reimagining](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining)  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >>> management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his  
> own, likely  
>>>> >>> hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis &  
> Butthead  
>>>> >>> twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't  
>>> really  
>>>> >>> want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on  
>>> his resume  
>>>> >>> so he could then do his own show.  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >>>> it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an  
>>>> >>>> established name on it already.



>>>> > > >  
>>>> > > > The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original  
>>> Battlestar  
>>>> > > > Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the  
> name? Anyone  
>>>> > > > with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch  
> something  
>>>> > > > called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original  
>>> show called  
>>>> > > > Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a  
> restaurant to  
>>>> > > > order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup,  
> but are  
>>>> > > > stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\  
>>>> > > >  
>>>> > > > It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for  
> their very  
>>>> > >  
>>>> > > Why would it make sense?  
>>>> >  
>>>> > If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to explain it  
>>>> > ... as I said, the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking  
>>>> > in any "common" sense.  
>>>>  
>>>> This means you can't explain it.  
>>>>  
>>>> The story line is that Galactica is supposed to be the last  
> battlestar. What  
>>>> else would you call the show????  
>>>  
>>> I've already explained it, and those too dumb to understand it will never  
>>> understand it.  
>>  
>> No, you haven't, because otherwise you aren't following the storyline.  
>>  
>> You want a last battlestar named, oh, Battlestar Fred? Or something about  
>> battlestars and no attack on the colonies???  
>  
> There really are some complete and utter dumbasses on the Internet. The  
> sticker "remove brain before use" should REALLY be taken off modems. :-\  
>>>>

I would to see your synopsis of a Battlestar Galactica show that didn't include the Battlestar Galactica...

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.  
Posted by [YourName](#) on Tue, 20 Nov 2012 05:39:31 GMT

In article <50AACDDE.C2F80ABD@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Your Name wrote:

>>

>> In article <5091E9B7.BD1C035@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>> Your Name wrote:

>>>> In article <507AF460.89488CDD@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>

>>>> > Your Name wrote:

>>>> > >

>>>> > > In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT >> <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>

>>>> > > > Your Name wrote:

>>>> > > > > BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:

>>>> > > > >

>>>> > > > > In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its

>>>> > > > > offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original

>>>> > > > > better.

>>>> > > > > maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted >> and scifi

>>>> > > > > of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.

>>>> > > > > yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty

>>>> 'real' feel

>>>> > > > > of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist >> fantasy and not

>>>> > > > > just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.

>>>> > > > > i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG

>>>> > > > > because ...

>>>> > > > >

>>>> > > > > The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron >>>> Moore's idea.

>>>> > > > >

>>>> > > > > It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but >>>> originally the

>>>> > > > > new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original >> Glen Larson

>>>> > > > > series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who >> unfortunately

>>>> > > > > had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other

>>>> things.

>>>> >>>> He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the  
>> CGI models  
>>>> >>>> created that Ron Moore than later took over.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a  
remake /  
>>>> >>>> reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots  
>> in studio  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> See  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>  
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\_Galactica#Attempted\_revivals  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> and  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\_Galactica#2003\_reimagining  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his  
>> own, likely  
>>>> >>>> hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis &  
>> Butthead  
>>>> >>>> twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something  
he didn't  
>>>> really  
>>>> >>>> want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on  
>>>> his resume  
>>>> >>>> so he could then do his own show.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> > it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if  
it had an  
>>>> >>>> > established name on it already.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original  
>>>> Battlestar  
>>>> >>>> Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the  
>> name? Anyone  
>>>> >>>> with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch  
>> something  
>>>> >>>> called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original  
>>>> show called  
>>>> >>>> Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a  
>> restaurant to  
>>>> >>>> order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup,  
>> but are  
>>>> >>>> stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach  
soup. :-\  
>>>> >>>>>

>>>> >>>> It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for  
>> their very  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> Why would it make sense?  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to  
explain it  
>>>> >>>> ... as I said,the vast majority of the human race are  
apparently lacking  
>>>> >>>> in any "common" sense.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> This means you can't explain it.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> The story line is that Galactica is supposed to be the last  
>> battlestar. What  
>>>> >>>> else would you call the show????  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> I've already explained it, and those too dumb to understand it  
will never  
>>>> >>>> understand it.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> No, you haven't, because otherwise you aren't following the storyline.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> You want a last battlestar named, oh, Battlestar Fred? Or something about  
>>>> >>>> battlestars and no attack on the colonies???  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> There really are some complete and utter dumbasses on the Internet. The  
>>>> >>>> sticker "remove brain before use" should REALLY be taken off modems. :-\  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> I would to see your synopsis of a Battlestar Galactica show that didn't  
>>>> >>>> include the Battlestar Galactica...

Oh, yippee! More proof of my last statement about dumbasses. :-\

The entire point was that Ron Moore's show shouldn't have been called  
"Battlestar Galactica" because it was almost nothing like the original ...  
that means it was a new show, and therefore should have had a new name. Of  
course, such common sense goes right over the top of the heads of the  
dumbasses, so you won't understand it either.

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [RT](#) on Fri, 30 Nov 2012 14:59:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Your Name wrote:

>

> In article <50AACDDE.C2F80ABD@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>

> wrote:  
>  
>> Your Name wrote:  
>>>  
>>> In article <5091E9B7.BD1C035@hotmail.com>, RT  
> <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> Your Name wrote:  
>>>> > In article <507AF460.89488CDD@hotmail.com>, RT  
> <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>  
>>>> > > Your Name wrote:  
>>>> > > >  
>>>> > > > In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT  
>>> <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>  
>>>> > > > > Your Name wrote:  
>>>> > > > > > BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:  
>>>> > > > > >  
>>>> > > > > > In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and  
> > all of its  
>>>> > > > > > offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the  
> > Original  
>>>> > > > > > > better.  
>>>> > > > > > > maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted  
>>> and scifi  
>>>> > > > > > > of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.  
>>>> > > > > > > yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty  
>>>> > 'real' feel  
>>>> > > > > > > of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist  
>>> fantasy and not  
>>>> > > > > > > just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.  
>>>> > > > > > > i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed  
> > in BSG  
>>>> > > > > > > because ...  
>>>> > > > > >  
>>>> > > > > > > The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron  
>>>> > Moore's idea.  
>>>> > > > > >  
>>>> > > > > > > It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but  
>>>> > originally the  
>>>> > > > > > > new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original  
>>> Glen Larson  
>>>> > > > > > > series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who  
>>> unfortunately  
>>>> > > > > > > had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie  
> > and other  
>>>> > things.  
>>>> > > > > > > He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the  
>>> CGI models

>>>> > > > > created that Ron Moore than later took over.  
>>>> > > > >  
>>>> > > > > I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a  
> remake /  
>>>> > > > > reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots  
>>> in studio  
>>>> > > > >  
>>>> > > > > See  
>>>> > > > >  
>>>> > > > >  
> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#Attempted\\_revivals](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals)  
>>>> > > > >  
>>>> > > > > and  
>>>> > > > >  
>>>> > > > > [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#2003\\_reimagining](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining)  
>>>> > > > >  
>>>> > > > > management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his  
>>> own, likely  
>>>> > > > > hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis &  
>>> Butthead  
>>>> > > > > twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something  
> he didn't  
>>>> > really  
>>>> > > > > want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on  
>>>> > his resume  
>>>> > > > > so he could then do his own show.  
>>>> > > > >  
>>>> > > > > > it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if  
> it had an  
>>>> > > > > > established name on it already.  
>>>> > > > >  
>>>> > > > > > The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original  
>>>> > Battlestar  
>>>> > > > > Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the  
>>> name? Anyone  
>>>> > > > > > with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch  
>>> something  
>>>> > > > > > called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original  
>>>> > show called  
>>>> > > > > > Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a  
>>> restaurant to  
>>>> > > > > > order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup,  
>>> but are  
>>>> > > > > > stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach  
> soup. :-\  
>>>> > > > >  
>>>> > > > > > It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for  
>>> their very

>>>> > > > >  
>>>> > > > > Why would it make sense?  
>>>> > > >  
>>>> > > > If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to  
> explain it  
>>>> > > > ... as I said, the vast majority of the human race are  
> apparently lacking  
>>>> > > > in any "common" sense.  
>>>> > >  
>>>> > > This means you can't explain it.  
>>>> > >  
>>>> > > The story line is that Galactica is supposed to be the last  
>>> battlestar. What  
>>>> > > else would you call the show????  
>>>> >  
>>>> > I've already explained it, and those too dumb to understand it  
> will never  
>>>> > understand it.  
>>>>  
>>>> No, you haven't, because otherwise you aren't following the storyline.  
>>>>  
>>>> You want a last battlestar named, oh, Battlestar Fred? Or something about  
>>>> battlestars and no attack on the colonies???  
>>>  
>>> There really are some complete and utter dumbasses on the Internet. The  
>>> sticker "remove brain before use" should REALLY be taken off modems. :-\  
>>  
>> I would to see your synopsis of a Battlestar Galactica show that didn't  
>> include the Battlestar Galactica...  
>  
> Oh, yippee! More proof of my last statement about dumbasses. :-\  
>  
> The entire point was that Ron Moore's show shouldn't have been called  
> "Battlestar Galactica" because it was almost nothing like the original ...  
> that means it was a new show, and therefore should have had a new name. Of  
> course, such common sense goes right over the top of the heads of the  
> dumbasses, so you won't understand it either.

Nothing like the original.... Let's see, 12 colonies, cylons, battlestars,  
genocide, survivors fleeing, same characters like Adama, Starbuck, Baltar,  
etc...

Yup, nothing like the first one...

Still waiting for your synopsis of a Battlestar Galactica show that didn't  
include the Battlestar Galactica...

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [YourName](#) on Fri, 30 Nov 2012 20:30:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <50B8C9BA.E822FAE8@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:

- > Nothing like the original.... Let's see, 12 colonies, cylons, battlestars,
- > genocide, survivors fleeing, same characters like Adama, Starbuck, Baltar,
- > etc...
- >
- > Yup, nothing like the first one...
- >
- > Still waiting for your synopsis of a Battlestar Galactica show that didn't
- > include the Battlestar Galactica...

Goody, another brainless moronic idiot who can't read to ignore. :-\

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [RT](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 20:52:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Your Name wrote:

- >
- > In article <50B8C9BA.E822FAE8@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>
- > wrote:
- >> Nothing like the original.... Let's see, 12 colonies, cylons, battlestars,
- >> genocide, survivors fleeing, same characters like Adama, Starbuck, Baltar,
- >> etc...
- >>
- >> Yup, nothing like the first one...
- >>
- >> Still waiting for your synopsis of a Battlestar Galactica show that didn't
- >> include the Battlestar Galactica...
- >
- > Goody, another brainless moronic idiot who can't read to ignore. :-\

Goody, another brainless moronic idiot who can't back themselves up.

Still waiting to see your synopsis of a Battlestar Galactica show that didn't include the Battlestar Galactica...

The entire point was that Ron Moore's show shouldn't have been called "Battlestar Galactica" because it was almost nothing like the original ...

which is a blatant lie. Please explain how it wasn't like the old one even though it had elements like 12 colonies, cylons, battlestars, genocide, survivors fleeing, same characters like Adama, Starbuck, Baltar,



etc...

---

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [Wiseguy](#) on Wed, 02 Jan 2013 17:25:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote in  
news:50D4CC25.4524AC4A@hotmail.com:

> Your Name wrote:

>>

>> In article <50B8C9BA.E822FAE8@hotmail.com>, RT

>> <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>> Nothing like the original.... Let's see, 12 colonies, cylons,

>>> battlestars, genocide, survivors fleeing, same characters like

>>> Adama, Starbuck, Baltar, etc...

>>>

>>> Yup, nothing like the first one...

>>>

>>> Still waiting for your synopsis of a Battlestar Galactica show that

>>> didn't include the Battlestar Galactica...

>>

>> Goody, another brainless moronic idiot who can't read to ignore. :-\

>

> Goody, another brainless moronic idiot who can't back themselves up.

>

> Still waiting to see your synopsis of a Battlestar Galactica show that

> didn't include the Battlestar Galactica...

>

> The entire point was that Ron Moore's show shouldn't have been called

> "Battlestar Galactica" because it was almost nothing like the original

> ...

>

> which is a blatant lie. Please explain how it wasn't like the old one

> even though it had elements like 12 colonies, cylons, battlestars,

> genocide, survivors fleeing, same characters like Adama, Starbuck,

> Baltar, etc...

We on the Star Trek-TOS newsgroup already know that "Your Name" is an idiot who thinks his opinion is the only one and everyone who disagrees with said opinion is "brainless." He should be ignored.

---