Subject: split group to 800/ST groups?
Posted by warren on Sun, 03 Nov 1985 04:32:57 GMT

Article-I.D.: pluto.170
Posted: Sat Nov 2 23:32:57 1985
Date-Received: Tue, 5-Nov-85 08:06:31 EST
Organization: Industrial Automation Systems Inc., New York, N.Y.
Lines: 4

I own an Atari 800. I don't own an ST. I see one message in dozens in
this group which I want to read. Why don't we have net.micro.ST
and net.micro.atari800? (or anything else that says it, owners of 400s,
600s and 1200s shouldn't feel excluded.)

Subject: Re: split group to 800/ST groups?
Posted by warren on Tue, 05 Nov 1985 08:44:12 GMT

Article-I.D.: pluto.173
Posted: Tue Nov 5 03:44:12 1985
Date-Received: Thu, 7-Nov-85 05:36:34 EST
References:
Organization: Industrial Automation Systems Inc., New York, N.Y.
Lines: 12

In article , gordon@cae780.UUCP (Brian Gordon) writes:
[color=blue]> The 800 (et al) are as dead as lots of other machines with loyal followings,[/color]
[color=blue]> and the need for a newsgroup for new technical data, rumors, O.S.
arguments,[/color]
[color=blue]> etc. is just not there.[/color]

Well there was just today a posting from someone who requested data on the
600. I'm not loyal to the 800 at all. I gave it to my mother because
she wanted to learn something about computers. (Flames about parent-abuse
to /dev/null, please. It was her idea.)

Anyway if this group only had ST postings, it should be renamed to
reflect this.

Subject: Re: split group to 800/ST groups?
Posted by Denber.WBST[1] on Tue, 05 Nov 1985 15:32:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Article-I.D.: Xerox.851105-073333-1334
Posted: Tue Nov 5 10:32:00 1985
Date-Received: Thu, 7-Nov-85 05:33:09 EST
Sender: daemon@ucbvax.BERKELEY.EDU
Organization: The ARPA Internet
Lines: 21

 "the need for a newsgroup for new technical data, rumors, O.S. arguments,
etc. is just not there."

I have to disagree with *that*. It was on this newsgroup that I found
out about the $4.95 1200XL keyboard from Radio Shack for my Atari 400
and the pinouts to hook the thing up (thanks, by the way to the person
who provided that - I tried to reply to you personally, but our
brain-damaged mailer refuses to parse addresses > 65 chars.).

Now I'm looking for information on how to upgrade my memory to 48K.
I've heard it involves some 64K chips and cutting a few traces, but I
haven't been able to get any details (I also browsed around inthe
archives).

Also, does anyone have an Indus drive they'd like to part with? The
lowest price (new) I've found recently is $200, from a mail-order place
in New York.

   - Michel

Subject: Re: split group to 800/ST groups?
Posted by Anonymous on Tue, 05 Nov 1985 16:10:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

[b]Originally posted by:[/b] [email=oyster@uwmacc.UUCP (Vicious Oyster)]oyster[/email]

Article-I.D.: uwmacc.1649
Posted: Tue Nov 5 11:10:58 1985
Date-Received: Fri, 8-Nov-85 05:46:21 EST
References:

Reply-To: oyster@uwmacc.UUCP (Vicious Oyster)
Organization: UWisconsin-Madison Academic Comp Center
Lines: 30
Keywords: 8-bits

In article gordon@cae780.UUCP (Brian Gordon) writes:
[color=blue]> [/color]
[color=blue]> As much as I agree with the problem, I have to disagree with the suggestion.[/color]
[color=blue]> The 800 (et al) are as dead as lots of other machines with loyal followings,[/color]
[color=blue]> and the need for a newsgroup for new technical data, rumors, O.S.
arguments,[/color]
[color=blue]> etc. is just not there.[/color]
[color=blue]> [/color]
[color=blue]> Maybe we can all afford to upgrade some day ...[/color]

   As much as I agree with the disagreement with the suggestion, I have to
disagree with the opinion expressed by Mr. Gordon. While I don't believe
there is a need for a new newsgroup, writing off the machines upon which the
Amiga (yes, Amiga) is based due to some sort of computer snobbery is
ridiculous. Those "dead" machines not only exist in the homes and offices
of literally tens of thousands of people, but continue to have new software
produced for them. Add to that the fact that the recent dumping of 'em on
the market at extremely low prices makes for a lot of people with a need
for *old* technical data, and those "dead" machines rise miraculously from
the grave (not unlike a grade B horror flick :-).
   On the other hand, if you think gigabytes of Amiga vs ST flamage is a
necessary thing, perhaps a separate ST newsgroup *would* be a good idea.
We'll call it "/dev/null".

 - Joel Plutchak
   {allegra,ihnp4,seismo}!uwvax!uwmacc!oyster

Can you say "opinion"? I *knew* you could!

P.S. Have any of you necrophiliac 8-bit Atari users seen the latest Lucasfilms
software (Eidolon?) yet? Is it worth owning?

Subject: Re: split group to 800/ST groups?
Posted by freak on Wed, 06 Nov 1985 14:12:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Article-I.D.: ihlpa.861
Posted: Wed Nov 6 09:12:53 1985
Date-Received: Thu, 7-Nov-85 06:06:29 EST

References:
Organization: AT&T Bell Laboratories
Lines: 34

[color=blue]> In article warren@pluto.UUCP (Warren Burstein) writes:[/color]
[color=teal]>> I own an Atari 800. I don't own an ST. I see one message in dozens in[/color]
[color=teal]>> this group which I want to read. Why don't we have net.micro.ST[/color]
[color=teal]>> and net.micro.atari800? (or anything else that says it, owners of 400s,[/color]
[color=teal]>> 600s and 1200s shouldn't feel excluded.)[/color]
[color=blue]> [/color]
[color=blue]> As much as I agree with the problem, I have to disagree with the suggestion.[/color]
[color=blue]> The 800 (et al) are as dead as lots of other machines with loyal followings,[/color]
[color=blue]> and the need for a newsgroup for new technical data, rumors, O.S.
arguments,[/color]
[color=blue]> etc. is just not there.[/color]
[color=blue]> [/color]
[color=blue]> Maybe we can all afford to upgrade some day ...[/color]

 I agree with the original posting. I too have an Atari computer,
but have no intention of buying the new ST machine. And I strongly
disagree with the last statement. The ST machines are NOT repeat NOT
an upgrade from the 400/800/1200XL/600XL/800XL line of computers. They
are not compatable, and there for are not an upgrade. When we upgrade
the computers here, we don`t have to throw out all of the software that
we have. In the worst case, it must be recompiled. That is an upgrade.
If I "upgraded" to the ST line, I would have to throw out over 300 disks
of software. There is no way that I am going to do that to support Jack
Traimel and his personal dreams of glory.

 Sorry about the flame, but I couldn't help myself.

From inside the Tesseract of
 Clancy Malloy
 (ihnp4!ihlpa!freak)

| Everyone looked up and realized that we were only tenants of this world; |
| We have been given a new lease, and a warning, from the landlord. |

Subject: Re: split group to 800/ST groups?
Posted by bobh on Wed, 06 Nov 1985 20:42:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Article-I.D.: pedsgd.349
Posted: Wed Nov 6 15:42:20 1985
Date-Received: Thu, 7-Nov-85 06:27:21 EST
References:
Organization: Perkin-Elmer DSG, Tinton Falls, NJ
Lines: 23

In article warren@pluto.UUCP (Warren Burstein) writes:
[color=blue]> I own an Atari 800. I don't own an ST. I see one message in dozens in[/color]
[color=blue]> this group which I want to read. Why don't we have net.micro.ST[/color]
[color=blue]> and net.micro.atari800? (or anything else that says it, owners of 400s,[/color]
[color=blue]> 600s and 1200s shouldn't feel excluded.)[/color]

I currently own an 800XL I bought last year for cheap as a game/teaching
software machine for myself and my family. I currently WANT to own
an ST, because I feel it will be a viable machine for the future. Yes,
the 8-bit series seems to be getting phased out for the ST (and TT?),
but I STILL WANT to see articles on both; the 8-bit for now, the
ST for the future. The level of traffic in this group is not that
huge; is it really that burdensome for people only interested in one
or the other machine to skip past (to them) irrelevant articles?

	Bob Halloran
	Sr MTS, Perkin-Elmer DSG
==
===
UUCP: {decvax, ucbvax, most Action Central}!vax135\
       {topaz, pesnta, princeton}!petsd!pedsgd!bobh
USPS: 106 Apple St M/S 305, Tinton Falls NJ 07724 DDD: (201) 758-7000
Disclaimer: I doubt that my employer wants anything to do with my opinions.
Quote: "When the going gets weird, the weird turn pro..." -- Duke, 'Doonesbury'

Subject: Re: split group to 800/ST groups?
Posted by jeepcj2a on Thu, 07 Nov 1985 15:17:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Article-I.D.: vax1.1254
Posted: Thu Nov 7 10:17:08 1985
Date-Received: Sun, 10-Nov-85 07:08:49 EST
References:
Organization: John Fluke Mfg. Co., Inc., Everett, WA
Lines: 6

[color=blue]> I own an Atari 800. I don't own an ST. I see one message in dozens in[/color]

[color=blue]> this group which I want to read. Why don't we have net.micro.ST[/color]
[color=blue]> and net.micro.atari800? (or anything else that says it, owners of 400s,[/color]
[color=blue]> 600s and 1200s shouldn't feel excluded.)[/color]

As an 800 owner/user I also vote to split micro.atari

Subject: Re: split group to 800/ST groups?
Posted by Anonymous on Thu, 07 Nov 1985 23:26:14 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

[b]Originally posted by:[/b] [email=jh@ATHENA.MIT.EDU]jh[/email]

Article-I.D.: MIT-ARTE.8511072326.AA01914
Posted: Thu Nov 7 18:26:14 1985
Date-Received: Sat, 9-Nov-85 06:26:45 EST
Sender: daemon@ucbvax.BERKELEY.EDU
Organization: The ARPA Internet
Lines: 10

Because of many reasons, including money and J. Tramiel, I will not
buy an st. I don't want to see st messages. Splitting the group would
hurt no one, as those (like Bob Halloran) who want to see both sets of
messages can get on both lists, while those of us who see 10 messages
a day on a computer they'll never buy won't be bothered anymore.
Seriously, folks, fully half my mail is about a machine I'll never
get. Splitting will have the advantage of getting us a moderator
again.

--jh--

Subject: Re: split group to 800/ST groups?
Posted by Anonymous on Mon, 11 Nov 1985 16:21:24 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

[b]Originally posted by:[/b] [email=cel@CIT-HEX.ARPA]cel[/email]

Article-I.D.: CITHex.851111082123.011
Posted: Mon Nov 11 11:21:24 1985
Date-Received: Tue, 12-Nov-85 04:35:09 EST

Sender: daemon@ucbvax.BERKELEY.EDU
Organization: The ARPA Internet
Lines: 11

I'm an 800 owner, but am considering getting an ST sometime in
the future. I DO want to hear about both, and would do this by
getting both 800 and ST distributions if there is a split. Since
a split does let everyone get just the stuff they're interested in,
the only real argument against it is whether it's harder to maintain
two separate (smaller) groups. Can we find two moderators when we
can't seem to find one?

   --Chuck Lane
   cel@cit-hex.arpa

Subject: Re: split group to 800/ST groups?
Posted by Anonymous on Thu, 14 Nov 1985 19:03:18 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

[b]Originally posted by:[/b] [email=dlyall@watrose.UUCP (dlyall)]dlyall[/email]

Article-I.D.: watrose.7674
Posted: Thu Nov 14 14:03:18 1985
Date-Received: Fri, 15-Nov-85 04:11:17 EST
References:
Organization: U of Waterloo, Ontario
Lines: 5

It would be a good idea to split the newgroup into to because some
of us are using 300 baud (I know it is a sin). It get very bothersome
reading things that we really dont need.

Split the group! : i

Subject: Re: split group to 800/ST groups?
Posted by jons on Fri, 22 Nov 1985 22:50:10 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Here is another 300 bauder (heaven forbid!) I would like to see the group
split as well.

Can Gene Spafford help us -- Oh Mighty One of USENET?

Aloha,
Jonathan Spangler
{ihnp4,dual,vortex}!islenet!jons

--
Jonathan Spangler
{ihnp4,vortex,dual}!islenet!jons