

---

Subject: Re: copying superkit?

Posted by [Anonymous](#) on Mon, 18 Nov 2019 11:04:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Originally posted by: Alien Tech

Yes you could copy the original.. Each disk was done by hand because after duplication I found out that the sync lengths were not as the originals and did not boot because it thought it was a copy. So spent the next 2 days and nights fixing this problem by hand. Should have duplicated the disks in house but to make it more reliable we used a professional duplicator. I can tell you that after the 100th disk and not sleeping almost 2 days.. I wanted to say I did but didnt if you know what I mean but thought I was supposed to make some money off it.. But his check bounced so no updates and released V3 to PD which was basically just a souped up file copier..

To copy it, you have to make sure the sync length is as per original.. There is no other protection..

On Monday, August 21, 2000 at 12:30:00 PM UTC+5:30, mother...@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <39A09E8E.E99B7783@vrX.net>,  
> Beyonder <beyonder@vrX.net> wrote:  
>> I still have my original superkit 1541 disk (label now getting very  
>> worn), and would like to make a real copy (no parameters).  
>> I have all the KJ stuff, maverick, and a drive with ramboard,  
>> also the parallel cables, for 21 second backup, burstnibbler 1.8 (dont  
>> have 1.9) and all that stuff. Also have an MSD SD-2 drive.  
>> Is there one of these that will do the trick nice and easy?  
>> I tried burstnibbler 1.8 with no luck, same with 21 second backup v4.1  
>> I don't remember this stuff any more but would like to make a copy  
>> before my original dies.  
>> B.  
>>  
> SuperKit, from Prism Software should copy itself with no problems.  
> I have copied mine using the Superkit nibbler. A copy of Superkit  
> cannot be copied however.  
> I have lost the docs to this program, so I cannot check this - but  
> the disk may have been keyed so that only a certain number of copies  
> can be made from the original. This sort of scheme did exist then.  
> As I remember, when Superkit was released there where lots of  
> problems with the software working on certain 1541 versions. If the  
> drive speed or alignment was off just a little, the software would  
> cause strange problems too.  
>  
>  
> Sent via Deja.com <http://www.deja.com/>  
> Before you buy.

---

Subject: Re: copying superkit?

Posted by [Anonymous](#) on Mon, 18 Nov 2019 11:11:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Originally posted by: Alien Tech

Someone was just telling me about Ben Fuller and I don't know him. I did V1 and V2 and refused to give them V3 since they did not pay the royalties promised.. So I think they released V2 with some of the stuff already done like the dual disk file copier etc.. I had faster stuff done and when their check bounced just put it out as shareware and made like \$90 on it from the \$3 from 30 people.. So instead of finishing up V3 I did wrap speed for cinemaware and then Vmax's last update for ATG. As was the case everywhere, I stopped giving them updates when their checks started bouncing.. Which meant immediately.. So they were left using the copies they already had.

I would have liked to know if Ben ever got any money.. I talked with quite a few people at prism who tried to get me to give them updates. All were let's sell a few copies and we will pay you but not even a little money for all the previously sold copies and almost sent in the updates but waited 2 days just in case and got the \$100 bank charges for bounced checks... After that it was just pay fully and they stopped calling.

On Monday, August 21, 2000 at 12:30:00 PM UTC+5:30, David Evans wrote:

> In article <8nrrne\$V99\$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, <mothertrucker@my-deja.com> wrote:

>> I have lost the docs to this program, so I cannot check this - but

>> the disk may have been keyed so that only a certain number of copies

>> can be made from the original. This sort of scheme did exist then.

>

> It might also just notice that it's copying itself and FUBAR the copy in

> some way or set a flag. Pretty simply to do.

>

>> As I remember, when Superkit was released there were lots of

>> problems with the software working on certain 1541 versions.

>

> True. The second version was much better than the first in this regard, I've

> been told. I have the second version. I think that the second one introduced

> the 128 boot sector and the ability to load specific utilities using

> LOAD ":\*4",8,1.

>

> SuperKit/1541 was great software, though: I used it for years. Too bad

> Ben Fuller's SuperKit/Amiga wasn't anywhere near as good. The MFM editor was

> kind of cool and the cycling colours with the sample of Trans-X's "Living on

> Video" at the start was a good gimmick but the actual tools were a little

> disappointing. Project D wasn't much better IIRC.

>  
>  
> --  
> David Evans (NeXTMail/MIME OK) dfevans@bbcr.uwaterloo.ca  
> PhD Student, Computer/Synth Junkie http://bbcr.uwaterloo.ca/~dfevans/  
> University of Waterloo "Default is the value selected by the composer  
> Ontario, Canada overridden by your command." - Roland TR-707 Manual

---