
Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [RT](#) on Fri, 30 Nov 2012 14:59:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Your Name wrote:

>
> In article <50AACDDE.C2F80ABD@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Your Name wrote:
>>>
>>> In article <5091E9B7.BD1C035@hotmail.com>, RT
> <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Your Name wrote:
>>>> > In article <507AF460.89488CDD@hotmail.com>, RT
> <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>
>>>> > > Your Name wrote:
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > > In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT
>>> <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>
>>>> > > > > Your Name wrote:
>>>> > > > > BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:
>>>> > > > > >
>>>> > > > > > In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and
> > all of its
>>>> > > > > > offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the
> > Original
>>>> > > > > > better.
>>>> > > > > > maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted
>>> and scifi
>>>> > > > > > of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.
>>>> > > > > > yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty
>>>> > 'real' feel
>>>> > > > > > of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist
>>> fantasy and not
>>>> > > > > > just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.
>>>> > > > > > i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed
> > in BSG
>>>> > > > > > because ...
>>>> > > > >
>>>> > > > > The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron
>>>> > Moore's idea.
>>>> > > > >
>>>> > > > > It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but
>>>> > originally the
>>>> > > > > new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original
>>> Glen Larson

>>>> > > > > series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who
 >>> unfortunately
 >>>> > > > > had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie
 > and other
 >>>> > things.
 >>>> > > > > He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the
 >>> CGI models
 >>>> > > > > created that Ron Moore than later took over.
 >>>> > > > >
 >>>> > > > > I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a
 > remake /
 >>>> > > > > reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots
 >>> in studio
 >>>> > > > >
 >>>> > > > > See
 >>>> > > > >
 >>>> > > > >
 > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals
 >>>> > > > >
 >>>> > > > > and
 >>>> > > > >
 >>>> > > > > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining
 >>>> > > > >
 >>>> > > > > management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his
 >>> own, likely
 >>>> > > > > hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis &
 >>> Butthead
 >>>> > > > > twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something
 > he didn't
 >>>> > really
 >>>> > > > > want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on
 >>>> > his resume
 >>>> > > > > so he could then do his own show.
 >>>> > > > >
 >>>> > > > > > it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if
 > it had an
 >>>> > > > > > established name on it already.
 >>>> > > > >
 >>>> > > > > The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original
 >>>> > Battlestar
 >>>> > > > > Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the
 >>> name? Anyone
 >>>> > > > > with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch
 >>> something
 >>>> > > > > called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original
 >>>> > show called
 >>>> > > > > Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a
 >>> restaurant to

>>>> > > > > order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup,
>>> but are
>>>> > > > > stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach
> soup. :-\

>>>> > > > >
>>>> > > > > It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for
>>> their very
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > > Why would it make sense?
>>>> > >
>>>> > > If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to
> explain it
>>>> > > ... as I said, the vast majority of the human race are
> apparently lacking
>>>> > > in any "common" sense.
>>>> > >
>>>> > > This means you can't explain it.
>>>> > >
>>>> > > The story line is that Galactica is supposed to be the last
>>> battlestar. What
>>>> > > else would you call the show????
>>>> >
>>>> > I've already explained it, and those too dumb to understand it
> will never
>>>> > understand it.
>>>>
>>>> No, you haven't, because otherwise you aren't following the storyline.
>>>>
>>>> You want a last battlestar named, oh, Battlestar Fred? Or something about
>>>> battlestars and no attack on the colonies???

>>>
>>> There really are some complete and utter dumbasses on the Internet. The
>>> sticker "remove brain before use" should REALLY be taken off modems. :-\

>>
>> I would to see your synopsis of a Battlestar Galactica show that didn't
>> include the Battlestar Galactica...

>
> Oh, yippee! More proof of my last statement about dumbasses. :-\

>
> The entire point was that Ron Moore's show shouldn't have been called
> "Battlestar Galactica" because it was almost nothing like the original ...
> that means it was a new show, and therefore should have had a new name. Of
> course, such common sense goes right over the top of the heads of the
> dumbasses, so you won't understand it either.

Nothing like the original.... Let's see, 12 colonies, cylons, battlestars,
genocide, survivors fleeing, same characters like Adama, Starbuck, Baltar,
etc...

Yup, nothing like the first one...

Still waiting for your synopsis of a Battlestar Galactica show that didn't include the Battlestar Galactica...
