Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD. Posted by YourName on Thu, 01 Nov 2012 05:10:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <5091E9B7.BD1C035@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:

```
> Your Name wrote:
>> In article <507AF460.89488CDD@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>
>>> Your Name wrote:
>>>>
>>>> In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT
<traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>
>>> > Your Name wrote:
>>>> > BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:
>>>> > In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its
>>>> > offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original
>>>> > > better.
>>>> > > maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted
and scifi
>>>> > of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.
>>> > > yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty
>> 'real' feel
>>>> >> of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist
fantasy and not
>>>> > > just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.
>>>> > > i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG
>>>> > > because ...
>>>> >>
>>> > The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron
>> Moore's idea.
>>>> >>
>>>> > It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but
>> originally the
>>>> >> new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original
Glen Larson
>>> > series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who
unfortunately
>>> > had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other
>> things.
>>> > He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the
CGI models
>>> > created that Ron Moore than later took over.
>>>> >>
>>>> > I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake /
>>> > reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots
in studio
```

>>>> >

```
>>>> > See
>>>> >
>>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_ revivals
>>>> and
>>>> >
>>> > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reima gining
>>>> >
>>>> > management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his
own, likely
>>>> > hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis &
Butthead
>>>> > twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't
>> really
>>>> > want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on
>> his resume
>>>> >> so he could then do his own show.
>>>> > > it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an
>>>> >> established name on it already.
>>>> >>
>>>> > The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original
>> Battlestar
>>> > Salactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the
name? Anyone
>>> > with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch
something
>>> > called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original
>> show called
>>>> > Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a
restaurant to
>>> > order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup,
but are
>>> > stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\
>>>> >>
>>>> > It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for
their very
>>>> >
>>> > Why would it make sense?
>>>> If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to explain it
>>> ... as I said, the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking
>>>> in any "common" sense.
>>>
>>> This means you can't explain it.
>>> The story line is that Galactica is supposed to be the last
battlestar, What
```

>>> else would you call the show????

>>

- >> I've already explained it, and those too dumb to understand it will never
- >> understand it.

>

> No, you haven't, because otherwise you aren't following the storyline.

>

- > You want a last battlestar named, oh, Battlestar Fred? Or something about
- > battlestars and no attack on the colonies???

There really are some complete and utter dumbasses on the Internet. The sticker "remove brain before use" should REALLY be taken off modems. :-\