

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [YourName](#) on Sun, 14 Oct 2012 19:50:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <507AF460.89488CDD@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Your Name wrote:

>>

>> In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>

>> wrote:

>>

>>> Your Name wrote:

>>>>

>>>> In article <507-5054DA94-150@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net>,

>>>> BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:

>>>> >

>>>> > In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its

>>>> > offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original

>>>> > better.

>>>> > maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi

>>>> > of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.

>>>> > yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty

'real' feel

>>>> > of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not

>>>> > just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.

>>>> > i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG

>>>> > because ...

>>>>

>>>> The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron Moore's idea.

>>>>

>>>> It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but originally the

>>>> new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original Glen Larson

>>>> series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who unfortunately

>>>> had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other things.

>>>> He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the CGI models

>>>> created that Ron Moore than later took over.

>>>>

>>>> I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake /

>>>> reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots in studio

>>>

>>> See

>>>

>>> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#Attempted\\_revivals](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals)

>>>

>>> and  
>>>  
>>> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#2003\\_reimagining](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining)  
>>>  
>>>> management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his own, likely  
>>>> hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis & Butthead  
>>>> twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't  
really  
>>>> want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on  
his resume  
>>>> so he could then do his own show.  
>>>>  
>>>> > it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an  
>>>> > established name on it already.  
>>>>  
>>>> The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original  
Battlestar  
>>>> Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the name? Anyone  
>>>> with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch something  
>>>> called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original  
show called  
>>>> Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a restaurant to  
>>>> order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup, but are  
>>>> stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\  
>>>>  
>>>> It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for their very  
>>>  
>>> Why would it make sense?  
>>  
>> If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to explain it  
>> ... as I said, the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking  
>> in any "common" sense.  
>  
> This means you can't explain it.  
>  
> The story line is that Galactica is supposed to be the last battlestar. What  
> else would you call the show????

I've already explained it, and those too dumb to understand it will never understand it.

---