
Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [RT](#) on Sun, 14 Oct 2012 17:20:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Your Name wrote:

>
> In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com>
> wrote:

>
>> Your Name wrote:

>>>
>>> In article <507-5054DA94-150@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net>,
>>> BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:

>>>>
>>>> In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its
>>>> offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original
>>>> better.
>>>> maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi
>>>> of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.
>>>> yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty 'real' feel
>>>> of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not
>>>> just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.
>>>> i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG
>>>> because ...

>>>
>>> The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron Moore's idea.
>>>

>>> It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but originally the
>>> new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original Glen Larson
>>> series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who unfortunately
>>> had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other things.
>>> He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the CGI models
>>> created that Ron Moore than later took over.

>>>
>>> I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake /
>>> reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots in studio

>>
>> See

>>
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals

>>
>> and

>>
>> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reima gining](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining)

>>
>>> management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his own, likely
>>> hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis & Butthead
>>> twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't really

>>> want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on his resume
>>> so he could then do his own show.
>>>
>>>> it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an
>>>> established name on it already.
>>>
>>> The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original Battlestar
>>> Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the name? Anyone
>>> with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch something
>>> called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original show called
>>> Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a restaurant to
>>> order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup, but are
>>> stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\
>>>
>>> It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for their very
>>
>> Why would it make sense?
>
> If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to explain it
> ... as I said, the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking
> in any "common" sense.

This means you can't explain it.

The story line is that Galactica is supposed to be the last battlestar. What else would you call the show????
