

---

Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [YourName](#) on Wed, 03 Oct 2012 20:22:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <506C55B2.565E634A@hotmail.com>, RT <traRvEskyMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Your Name wrote:

>>

>> In article <507-5054DA94-150@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net>,

>> BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:

>>>

>>> In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its

>>> offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original

>>> better.

>>> maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi

>>> of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.

>>> yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty 'real' feel

>>> of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not

>>> just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.

>>> i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG

>>> because ...

>>

>> The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron Moore's idea.

>>

>> It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but originally the

>> new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original Glen Larson

>> series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who unfortunately

>> had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other things.

>> He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the CGI models

>> created that Ron Moore than later took over.

>>

>> I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake /

>> reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots in studio

>

> See

>

> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#Attempted\\_revivals](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals)

>

> and

>

> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar\\_Galactica#2003\\_reimagining](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining)

>

>> management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his own, likely

>> hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis & Butthead

>> twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't really

>> want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on his resume

>> so he could then do his own show.

>>  
>>> it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an  
>>> established name on it already.  
>>  
>> The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original Battlestar  
>> Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the name? Anyone  
>> with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch something  
>> called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original show called  
>> Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a restaurant to  
>> order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup, but are  
>> stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\  
>>  
>> It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for their very  
>  
> Why would it make sense?

If you don't know, then there's zero point even attempting to explain it  
.... as I said, the vast majority of the human race are apparently lacking  
in any "common" sense.

---