
Subject: Re: Just got done watching original BSG on DVD.

Posted by [RT](#) on Wed, 03 Oct 2012 15:11:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Your Name wrote:

>

> In article <507-5054DA94-150@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net>,

> BillV2320@webtv.net (The Void Era Man) wrote:

>>

>> In hindsight with the new series someway behind us and all of its

>> offshoot options truncated, i think i can say i like the Original

>> better.

>> maybe it has nostalgia because i was only 14 when it debuted and scifi

>> of that magnitude was rare in theater OR TV in those days.

>> yeah, it had some corny stories and it didnt have the gritty 'real' feel

>> of the new, but i like it because it was more escapist fantasy and not

>> just a displaced post apocalypse set in space.

>> i kind of see that roland moore sold his premise clothed in BSG

>> because ...

>

> The revival of Battlestar Galactica wasn't even remotely Ron Moore's idea.

>

> It was started long before he was recruited to the job, but originally the

> new series was going to be a proper sequel to the original Glen Larson

> series, and at one stage it was helmed by Bryan Singer who unfortunately

> had to pull out due to commitments with the X-men movie and other things.

> He did much of the ground work, including getting many of the CGI models

> created that Ron Moore than later took over.

>

> I'm not even sure it was actually Ron Moore's idea to do a remake /

> reimagining, or whether that was (partly) forced by the idiots in studio

See

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#Attempted_revivals

and

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reima gining](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battlestar_Galactica#2003_reimagining)

> management. It wouldn't surprise me if he wanted to make his own, likely

> hopeless, show and just like his mentors (Star Trek's Beavis & Butthead

> twins: Berman and Braga) got pushed into doing something he didn't really

> want to do OR if he agreed to do it simply to get a big name on his resume

> so he could then do his own show.

>

>> it was much more likely to be picked up as a series if it had an

>> established name on it already.

- >
- > The problem is that that makes no sense at all. If the original Battlestar
- > Galactica was supposedly so bad, why would they reuse the name? Anyone
- > with a micro-milligram of common sense isn't going to watch something
- > called "Battlestar Galactica" if they didn't like the original show called
- > Battlestar Galactica ... that would be like going into a restaurant to
- > order spinach soup when you know you don't like spinach soup, but are
- > stupidly hoping / expecting it's not actually spinach soup. :-\
- >
- > It would make FAR more sense to use a totally new name for their very

Why would it make sense?

- > different show. People who didn't like the original Battlestar Galactica
- > *SHOULD* be much more likely to give a new show a chance if it had no
- > obvious link to the original ... unfortunately the vast majority of the

It would be a totally different show then. What would be the point?

- > human race are apparently lacking in any "common" sense and are stupid
 - > enough to watch any old crap simply because it's the latest new toy on the
 - > block or it's "what we do every Friday night", regardless of it's name or
 - > what it's about. :-(
-