
Subject: Wisconsin gateway gripes
Posted by [Anonymous](#) on Mon, 09 Feb 1987 14:36:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Originally posted by: FISHER%rpicicge@adm.UUCP

Article-I.D.: brl-adm.4331
Posted: Mon Feb 9 09:36:18 1987
Date-Received: Tue, 10-Feb-87 04:44:08 EST
Sender: news@brl-adm.ARPA
Lines: 21

In light of the present transitory (I hope) difficulties with the Wisconsin gateway between BITNET and ARPANET, it seems appropriate to ask:

Are we demanding a little too much from the gateway?

I don't know the terms and conditions under which the WISCVM gate operates, but I assume the improperly coerced "From:" address problem was not done in malice. At any rate, the gate is there and works, and generally works well. Can we help it work better?

How many Info-CPM members are on the BITNET side of Wisconsin? Just guessing, I would say I get 50 or so Info-CPM items per week. ...that times however many of us there are over here I am sure represents a lot of traffic through the gate.

Should we set up a single BITNET host as the redistribution point for all Info-CPM traffic to BITNET-land? As maintainer of my host and its mail services I can offer RPICICGE for that function. The redistribution functions already exist; all that is needed is the mailing list....

J.S.Fisher Bitnet: FISHER@RPICICGE