Subject: Re: A Modest Proposal

Posted by yosh on Mon, 03 Jun 2013 03:19:53 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Thu, 13-Dec-84 11:41:07 EST

Article-I.D.: hou2e.383

Posted: Thu Dec 13 11:41:07 1984

Date-Received: Fri, 14-Dec-84 06:09:59 EST

References:,

Organization: AT&T Bell Labs, Holmdel NJ

Lines: 28

Xref: watmath net.movies:5205 net.movies.sw:510 net.sf-lovers:5376

- > From: schu@drutx.UUCP (SchulteSM)
- > Subject: Re: A Modest Proposal
- >
- >
- > q
- >
- >
- > W > .
- > dfslkfl;
- > >
- > lkfdg
- > ;lfdkg;lka;dlgk
- _
- >
- >
- > ZZ
- > agkfjlkadfgkj

>

>

Yes, I agree. This is definately a proposal worth considering.

Dave Bloom Holmdel, NJ View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Message-ID:
Date: Mon, 17-Dec-84 17:23:59 EST
Article-I.D.: klipper.393
Posted: Mon Dec 17 17:23:59 1984
Date-Received: Wed, 19-Dec-84 02:19:30 EST
References:
Reply-To: biep@klipper.UUCP (PUT YOUR NAME HERE)
Organization: VU Informatica, Amsterdam
Lines: 45
Xref: watmath net.movies:5275 net.movies.sw:511 net.sf-lovers:5405
Summary:
In article yosh@hou2e.UUCP (M.CHING) writes:
>> From: schu@drutx.UUCP (SchulteSM)
>> Subject: Re: A Modest Proposal
>>
>>
>>
>> q
>>
>> W
>> .
>> dfslkfl;
>>
>>
>> lkfdq
>> ;lfdkg;lka;dlgk
>>
>>
>>
>> ZZ
>> agkfilkadfgki
>>
>>
> Yes, I agree. This is definately a proposal worth considering.
>
>
     Dave Bloom
>
     Holmdel, NJ
>
No, no, no!!!
I know, it sounds nice, but believe me, it just doesn't work.
```

Someone over here brought this up on our local network, and we've been discussing it over and again, and indeed, it sounds appealing, but when you work out the details you'll find lots of inconsistencies and problems. Don't try to reinvent the wheel, there are already enough other proposals on this net which ask for our attention.

--

Biep.

{seismo|decvax|philabs}!mcvax!vu44!botter!klipper!biep

I utterly disagree with everything you are saying, but I am prepared to fight to the death for your right to say it.

--Voltaire

Subject: Re: A Modest Proposal

Posted by egs on Mon, 03 Jun 2013 03:19:53 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Tue, 18-Dec-84 19:28:29 EST

Article-I.D.: epsilon.24

Posted: Tue Dec 18 19:28:29 1984

Date-Received: Wed, 19-Dec-84 03:04:49 EST

References:

Organization: BELLCORE, Murray Hill, NJ

Lines: 42

Xref: watmath net.movies:5281 net.movies.sw:512 net.sf-lovers:5409

In article biep writes:

>>> From: schu@drutx.UUCP (SchulteSM)

>>> Subject: Re: A Modest Proposal

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> q

>>>

>>>

>>> W

>>> .

>>> dfslkfl;

>>>

>>>

>>> lkfdg

>>> ;lfdkg;lka;dlgk

```
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> ZZ
>>> agkfilkadfgki
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Yes, I agree. This is definately a proposal worth considering.
>>
>
> No. no. no!!!
```

- > I know, it sounds nice, but believe me, it just doesn't work.
- > Someone over here brought this up on our local network, and we've
- > been discussing it over and again, and indeed, it sounds appealing,
- > but when you work out the details you'll find lots of inconsistencies
- > and problems. Don't try to reinvent the wheel, there are already
- > enough other proposals on this net which ask for our attention.

Well, we've actually done it here, and it works out just fine. Of course, we had to make certain minor changes like "DfXlkfl;:" instead of "dfslkfl;" as was indicated in the original article. You'll note that otherwise a contradiction arises when "ZZ" is implemented.

Ed Sheppard Bell Communications Research

Subject: Re: A Modest Proposal

Posted by geoffs on Mon, 03 Jun 2013 03:19:53 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 21-Dec-84 10:46:18 EST

Article-I.D.: brl-tgr.6719

Posted: Fri Dec 21 10:46:18 1984

Date-Received: Sun, 23-Dec-84 00:17:50 EST

References:

Reply-To: geoffs@brl-tgr.ARPA (Geoffrey Sauerborn (TANK))

Organization: Ballistic Research Lab

Lines: 53

Keywords: dfslkfl; -- (AND NOT! -> "DfXlkfl;:" !!!!)

Xref: watmath net.movies:5319 net.movies.sw:513 net.sf-lovers:5427 net.flame:7348

Summary:

```
In article you write:
> In article biep writes:
>>>> From: schu@drutx.UUCP (SchulteSM)
>>> Subject: Re: A Modest Proposal
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>> q
>>>>
>>>>
>>> W
>>>> .
>>>> dfslkfl;
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> lkfdg
>>>> ;lfdkg;lka;dlgk
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> ZZ
>>>> agkfilkadfgki
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Yes, I agree. This is definately a proposal worth considering.
>>>
>>
>> No, no, no!!!
>> I know, it sounds nice, but believe me, it just doesn't work.
>> Someone over here brought this up on our local network, and we've
>> been discussing it over and again, and indeed, it sounds appealing,
>> but when you work out the details you'll find lots of inconsistencies
>> and problems. Don't try to reinvent the wheel, there are already
>> enough other proposals on this net which ask for our attention.
>>
> Well, we've actually done it here, and it works out just fine. Of course,
> we had to make certain minor changes like "DfXlkfl;:" instead of
> "dfslkfl;" as was indicated in the original article. You'll note that
> otherwise a contradiction arises when "ZZ" is implemented.
>
      Ed Sheppard
      Bell Communications Research
```

Alright! I'm sick of this! Why is it that every time someone puts a good piece into public domain, somebody has to good and change the source! The next thing to happen it some BO-ZO will try to use

this changed version without taking the time to read the documentation - and naturally the FOOL starts flaming to the original poster!!!!

Geoff Sauerborn

Subject: Re: A Modest Proposal

Posted by Iffast on Mon, 03 Jun 2013 03:19:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 28-Dec-84 13:22:21 EST Article-I.D.: watrose.7179 Posted: Fri Dec 28 13:22:21 1984 Date-Received: Sat, 29-Dec-84 02:43:07 EST References: Organization: U of Waterloo, Ontario Lines: 55 > In article you write: >> In article biep writes: >>>> From: schu@drutx.UUCP (SchulteSM) >>>> Subject: Re: A Modest Proposal >>>> > >>>> > >>>> > >>>> q >>>> > >>>> > >>>> W >>>> . >>>> dfslkfl; >>>> > >>>> > >>>> | lkfdg >>>> ;lfdkg;lka;dlgk >>>> > >>>> > >>>> > >>>> ZZ

>>>> agkfilkadfgkj

>>>> > >>>> >

```
>>>> Yes, I agree. This is definately a proposal worth considering.
>>>>
>>>
>>> No, no, no!!!
>>> I know, it sounds nice, but believe me, it just doesn't work.
>>> Someone over here brought this up on our local network, and we've
>>> been discussing it over and again, and indeed, it sounds appealing.
>>> but when you work out the details you'll find lots of inconsistencies
>>> and problems. Don't try to reinvent the wheel, there are already
>>> enough other proposals on this net which ask for our attention.
>>>
>> Well, we've actually done it here, and it works out just fine. Of course,
>> we had to make certain minor changes like "DfXlkfl;:" instead of
>> "dfslkfl;" as was indicated in the original article. You'll note that
>> otherwise a contradiction arises when "ZZ" is implemented.
>>
       Ed Sheppard
>>
       Bell Communications Research
>>
>
>
   Alright! I'm sick of this! Why is it that every time someone
> puts a good piece into public domain, somebody has to good and change
> the source! The next thing to happen it some BO-ZO will try to use
> this changed version without taking the time to read the documentation -
> and naturally the FOOL starts flaming to the original poster!!!!
>
>
                            Geoff Sauerborn
>
```

This really belongs in net.sources. Larry Fast

Subject: Re: A Modest Proposal

Posted by biep on Mon, 03 Jun 2013 03:19:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Wed, 2-Jan-85 15:57:13 EST

Article-I.D.: klipper.401

Posted: Wed Jan 2 15:57:13 1985

Date-Received: Thu, 3-Jan-85 14:42:44 EST

References:

Organization: VU Informatica, Amsterdam

Lines: 80

Page 7 of 9 ---- Generated from Megalextoria

```
In article,
geoffs@brl-tgr.ARPA (Geoffrey Sauerborn (TANK) ) writes:
> In article you write:
>> In article biep writes:
>>>> From: schu@drutx.UUCP (SchulteSM)
>>>> Subject: Re: A Modest Proposal
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>>>
>>>> q
>>>> >
>>>>>
>>>> W
>>>> .
>>>> dfslkfl;
>>>> >
>>>>>
>>>> | lkfdq
>>>> ;lfdkg;lka;dlgk
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> ZZ
>>>> > agkfilkadfgki
>>>>>
>>>> >
>>>> Yes, I agree. This is definately a proposal worth considering.
>>>>
>>>
>>> No. no. no!!!
>>> I know, it sounds nice, but believe me, it just doesn't work.
>>> Someone over here brought this up on our local network, and we've
>>> been discussing it over and again, and indeed, it sounds appealing,
>>> but when you work out the details you'll find lots of inconsistencies
>>> and problems. Don't try to reinvent the wheel, there are already
>>> enough other proposals on this net which ask for our attention.
>>>
>> Well, we've actually done it here, and it works out just fine. Of course,
>> we had to make certain minor changes like "DfXlkfl;:" instead of
>> "dfslkfl;" as was indicated in the original article. You'll note that
>> otherwise a contradiction arises when "ZZ" is implemented.
>>
       Ed Sheppard
>>
       Bell Communications Research
>>
>
> Alright! I'm sick of this! Why is it that every time someone
```

- > puts a good piece into public domain, somebody has to good and change
- > the source! The next thing to happen it some BO-ZO will try to use
- > this changed version without taking the time to read the documentation -
- > and naturally the FOOL starts flaming to the original poster!!!!

> >

>

Geoff Sauerborn

You all don't seem to get the point. Indeed, Ed, it is possible to adapt the thing to your local network, when all machines are compatible, none is running notesfiles, all are little-endian, and at least some of them are not feeding news to decvax, mcvax or purdue (and perhaps others, I've not yet got time to find out), and some other little things. *But not all sites of USENET do!!!* And what is happening then is that everybody starts making his local patches, and we end up even worse than we started. The whole mistake is due to the starting "q", which supposes a local "edsgr w534cb67835", since otherwise indeed "ZZ" goes wrong in boundary cases.

I must say I do not yet completely understand Ed's patch, it occurs to me that this only works because of some local changes to the rot13 algorithm. That can hardly be called "portable", can it? Geoff, I think your argument goes wrong at the word "good". I would say: quit the idea, the gains for sf-movie-lovers do not outweigh the burden for the rest of us.

--

Biep. {seismo|decvax|philabs}!mcvax!vu44!botter!klipper!biep

I utterly disagree with everything you are saying, but I am prepared to fight to the death for your right to say it.

--Voltaire