Subject: Return of the Jedi Posted by marno on Mon, 03 Jun 2013 03:19:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID: Date: Thu, 28-Feb-85 14:10:22 EST Article-I.D.: ihuxm.1255 Posted: Thu Feb 28 14:10:22 1985 Date-Received: Fri, 1-Mar-85 20:33:57 EST Distribution: net Organization: AT&T Bell Laboratories Lines: 4

My godson ran across an early ad in a cereal box for Return of the Jedi, only it read "Revenge of the Jedi". I can understand why they changed this title. Jedi's were not supposed to be aggressors, right?

Subject: Re: Return of the Jedi Posted by DBrown[1] on Mon, 03 Jun 2013 03:19:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID: Date: Fri, 1-Mar-85 12:21:46 EST Article-I.D.: watarts.8289 Posted: Fri Mar 1 12:21:46 1985 Date-Received: Sat, 2-Mar-85 02:47:40 EST References: Distribution: net Organization: U of Waterloo, Ontario Lines: 20

>

- > My godson ran across an early ad in a cereal box for Return of the Jedi,
- > only it read "Revenge of the Jedi". I can understand why they changed
- > this title. Jedi's were not supposed to be aggressors, right?

Actually, I thought it was because Lucas got some flack from the Bank-rollers and distributers. Hey, if you can't say toilet on T.V., you can't have Luke being revengful, now can you? Especially against his Dad; could you imagine the chaos this would cause in the great All-American suburban family(not to mention the Outdoorsy, ski year round, lumberjack hockey player families up here, eh?)? Why kids would beat their Dad's to death with their plastic light-sabers!!!!!

Sincerly yours,

DAVE BROWN

**************Ewok's make good appetizers for: (insert monster)********

Subject: Re: Return of the Jedi Posted by fish on Mon, 03 Jun 2013 03:19:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID: Date: Fri, 1-Mar-85 15:02:46 EST Article-I.D.: ihlpg.216 Posted: Fri Mar 1 15:02:46 1985 Date-Received: Sat, 2-Mar-85 04:46:16 EST References: Distribution: net Organization: AT&T Bell Laboratories Lines: 17

>

- > My godson ran across an early ad in a cereal box for Return of the Jedi,
- > only it read "Revenge of the Jedi". I can understand why they changed
- > this title. Jedi's were not supposed to be aggressors, right?

*** AC T YOUR AGE ***

"Revenge of the Jedi" was what the film was supposed to have been titled early on. The name was changed before the film's release because Lucasfilm and Fox had decided that Jedis were not vengeful. Apparently, the cereal box was printed well in advance of the film, as part of the Star Wars Ultramegahype that was the only bad thing the saga had going for it.

/__

Bob Fishell ihnp4!ihlpg!fish Message-ID: Date: Sat, 2-Mar-85 10:46:02 EST Article-I.D.: ahutb.508 Posted: Sat Mar 2 10:46:02 1985 Date-Received: Sun, 3-Mar-85 07:29:22 EST References: , Organization: AT&T Information Systems Labs, Holmdel NJ Lines: 16

REFERENCES:,

>"Revenge of the Jedi" was what the film was supposed to have >been titled early on. The name was changed before the >film's release because Lucasfilm and Fox had decided that >Jedis were not vengeful.

And while it was call "Revenge" ST-II was to be titled "The Vengence of Khan." Lucas, fresh from the success of the Paramount film "Raiders of the Lost Ark" whispered in Paramount's ear that the names "Revenge of the Jedi" and "Vengence of Khan" might clash. The Star Trek people gave in, changing "Vengence" to "Wrath" and then Lucas didn't use his title anyway.

Mark Leeper ...ihnp4!ahutb!leeper

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from Megalextoria