
Subject: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [cb](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 09:26:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just leave this here anyway:

<http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>

// Christian

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [philo\[1\]\[2\]\[3\]\[4\]](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 10:43:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/11/2012 03:26 AM, Christian Brunschen wrote:

> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
> leave this here anyway:
>
> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>
>
> // Christian
>

+1

--
<https://www.createspace.com/3707686>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dario Niedermann](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 12:32:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Christian Brunschen <cb@mer.df.lth.se> wrote:

> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
> leave this here anyway:

Too cool for this planet. Literally.

Not sure about the "Google" backronym (meh...) but everything else is fantastic!

--

> head -n1 /etc/*-{version,release} && uname -mprs
Slackware 12.1.0
Linux 2.6.24.5-smp i686 AMD Turion(tm) 64 Mobile Technology MK-36

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 12:47:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/11/2012 5:43 AM, philo wrote:
> On 12/11/2012 03:26 AM, Christian Brunschen wrote:
>> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
>> leave this here anyway:
>>
>> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>
>>
>> // Christian
>>
>
>
>
> +1
>

LOL!

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 14:55:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-11, Dario Niedermann <dnied@tiscali.it> wrote:
> Christian Brunschen <cb@mer.df.lth.se> wrote:
>
>> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
>> leave this here anyway:
>
> Too cool for this planet. Literally.
>
> Not sure about the "Google" backronym (meh...) but everything else is
> fantastic!

>

Fantastic

--

maus

.

.

....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [philo\[1\]\[2\]\[3\]\[4\]](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 15:21:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/11/2012 06:47 AM, Peter Flass wrote:

> On 12/11/2012 5:43 AM, philo wrote:

>> On 12/11/2012 03:26 AM, Christian Brunschen wrote:

>>> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just

>>> leave this here anyway:

>>>

>>> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>

>>>

>>> // Christian

>>>

>>

>>

>>

>> +1

>>

>

> LOL!

>

>

>

I re-posted the link on Craig's List...computers and one of the youngsters there thought that was really the way things were back then. I mentioned that in 1965 a Google search consisted of a trip to the library!

--

<https://www.createspace.com/3707686>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Anonymous](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 17:14:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Originally posted by: lbmekon

On Tue, 11 Dec 2012 09:26:26 +0000 (UTC), cb@mer.df.lth.se (Christian Brunschen) wrote:

>
> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
> leave this here anyway:
>
> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>
>
> // Christian

Aaahhh, reminds me of when AJS - as the motorbike company - was my memory aid for punching.

At one company we had a regular job of feeding a trolley full of trays of cards from hospitals. They were all coded on hand punches - and we made many corrections on hand punches.

Carl Goldsworthy

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 17:54:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> On 12/11/2012 06:47 AM, Peter Flass wrote:
>> On 12/11/2012 5:43 AM, philo wrote:
>>> On 12/11/2012 03:26 AM, Christian Brunschen wrote:
>>>> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
>>>> leave this here anyway:
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>
>>>> =20
>>>> // Christian
>>>> =20
>>> =20
>>> =20
>>> =20
>>> =20
>>> +1
>>> =20

>> =20
>> LOL!
>> =20
>> =20
>> =20
>
>
>
> I re-posted the link on Craig's List...computers and one of the youngster=
s=20
> there thought that was really the way things were back then.
> I mentioned that in 1965 a Google search consisted of a trip to the libra=
ry!
>
I forget how I worded it, but once I wrote about books and libraries as if=
=20
they were webpages and the web. "They used to have this web called=20
Libraries and webpages called Books", or something like that. Actually I=
=20
don't think it was that long ago, and I was pointing out that there is=20
still a world beyond the internet.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [philo\[1\]\[2\]\[3\]\[4\]](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 18:01:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/11/2012 11:54 AM, Michael Black wrote:
> On Tue, 11 Dec 2012, philo wrote:
>
>> On 12/11/2012 06:47 AM, Peter Flass wrote:
>>> On 12/11/2012 5:43 AM, philo wrote:
>>>> On 12/11/2012 03:26 AM, Christian Brunschen wrote:
>>>> > Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
>>>> > leave this here anyway:
>>>> >
>>>> > <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>
>>>> >
>>>> > // Christian
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> +1
>>>>
>>>>

>>> LOL!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> I re-posted the link on Craig's List...computers and one of the
>> youngsters there thought that was really the way things were back then.
>> I mentioned that in 1965 a Google search consisted of a trip to the
>> library!
>>
> I forget how I worded it, but once I wrote about books and libraries as
> if they were webpages and the web. "They used to have this web called
> Libraries and webpages called Books", or something like that. Actually
> I don't think it was that long ago, and I was pointing out that there is
> still a world beyond the internet.
>
> Michael

Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I believe

--

<https://www.createspace.com/3707686>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 18:42:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/11/2012 1:01 PM, philo wrote:
> On 12/11/2012 11:54 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>> On Tue, 11 Dec 2012, philo wrote:
>>
>>> On 12/11/2012 06:47 AM, Peter Flass wrote:
>>>> On 12/11/2012 5:43 AM, philo wrote:
>>>> > On 12/11/2012 03:26 AM, Christian Brunschen wrote:
>>>> >> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
>>>> >> leave this here anyway:
>>>> >>
>>>> >> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> // Christian
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> >

>>>> >
>>>> > +1
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>> LOL!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I re-posted the link on Craig's List...computers and one of the
>>> youngsters there thought that was really the way things were back then.
>>> I mentioned that in 1965 a Google search consisted of a trip to the
>>> library!
>>>
>> I forget how I worded it, but once I wrote about books and libraries as
>> if they were webpages and the web. "They used to have this web called
>> Libraries and webpages called Books", or something like that. Actually
>> I don't think it was that long ago, and I was pointing out that there is
>> still a world beyond the internet.
>>
>> Michael
>
>
>
> Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I
> believe
>

Probably better for it. I, like probably many others here, got sold a set of encyclopedias when my wife and I were newlyweds. The saleslady had us seeing visions of happy children clustered around the encyclopedia doing their homework. After that we naturally bought the update volume every year, waiting for the kids to come along and get old enough to actually use the thing, as it got farther and farther out of date. I think we finally trashed it about 20 years ago - just got tired of lugging it when we moved and short on shelf space.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [philo\[1\]\[2\]\[3\]\[4\]](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 18:45:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/11/2012 12:42 PM, Peter Flass wrote:

<snip>

>>
>>
>> Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I
>> believe
>>
>
> Probably better for it. I, like probably many others here, got sold a
> set of encyclopedias when my wife and I were newlyweds. The saleslady
> had us seeing visions of happy children clustered around the
> encyclopedia doing their homework. After that we naturally bought the
> update volume every year, waiting for the kids to come along and get old
> enough to actually use the thing, as it got farther and farther out of
> date. I think we finally trashed it about 20 years ago - just got tired
> of lugging it when we moved and short on shelf space.
>

Yes...the day an encyclopedia is printed it's already up to 18 months
out of date... however my sister and I were very glad my parents bought
them when we were teenagers, they got a lot of use.

When my daughter was a pre-teen my mother bought her a set too.
She used them, but when she was doing a paper for school used mostly
other sources. When she grew up and moved out she left them behind...
and the truth is I still use them from time to time.

--

<https://www.createspace.com/3707686>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Joe Pfeiffer](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 19:17:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cb@mer.df.lth.se (Christian Brunschen) writes:

> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
> leave this here anyway:
>
> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>
>
> // Christian

This is beautiful.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 20:12:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 11, 1:42 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>> Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I
>> believe
>
> Probably better for it. I, like probably many others here, got sold a
> set of encyclopedias when my wife and I were newlyweds. The saleslady
> had us seeing visions of happy children clustered around the
> encyclopedia doing their homework. After that we naturally bought the
> update volume every year, waiting for the kids to come along and get old
> enough to actually use the thing, as it got farther and farther out of
> date. I think we finally trashed it about 20 years ago - just got tired
> of lugging it when we moved and short on shelf space.

Those old encyclopedias were very helpful for kids doing homework and research.

Schools tended to discourage their use because they would rather have students get their information from actual specific books, rather than a generalized version in the encyclopedia.

As an aside, there are still kids sitting at a table in the public library next to a pile of books, writing down notes by hand in a spiral bound notebook.

There is, of course, a ton of information available on-line. But the troubling thing is that there's far, far more information that is not on-line, especially going back some years before it was common to record entire books and newspapers on-line.

Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done much anymore. But there's a great deal of knowledge available only through that medium.

One scary thing about on-line sources is that it may be updated at any time. that may be good in correcting real errors, but bad in changing history.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ben Pfaff](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 20:37:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

- > Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done
- > much anymore. But there's a great deal of knowledge available only
- > through that medium.

When I was a kid, my mom would drag me off to large research libraries where she would work on her genealogy. While she did that, I would often scan through reels of old newspapers on microfilm, skipping everything but the comics.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 21:04:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 11 Dec 2012, philo wrote:

- > On 12/11/2012 12:42 PM, Peter Flass wrote:
- >
- >
- > <snip>
- >
- >
- >>>
- >>>
- >>> Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I
- >>> believe
- >>>
- >>
- >> Probably better for it. I, like probably many others here, got sold a
- >> set of encyclopedias when my wife and I were newlyweds. The saleslady
- >> had us seeing visions of happy children clustered around the
- >> encyclopedia doing their homework. After that we naturally bought the
- >> update volume every year, waiting for the kids to come along and get old
- >> enough to actually use the thing, as it got farther and farther out of
- >> date. I think we finally trashed it about 20 years ago - just got tired
- >> of lugging it when we moved and short on shelf space.
- >>
- >
- >
- >
- > Yes...the day an encyclopedia is printed it's already up to 18 months out of
- > date... however my sister and I were very glad my parents bought them when we

> were teenagers, they got a lot of use.
>
> When my daughter was a pre-teen my mother bought her a set too.
> She used them, but when she was doing a paper for school used mostly other
> sources. When she grew up and moved out she left them behind...
> and the truth is I still use them from time to time.
>
All I got was the neighbor's encyclopedias, if I was lucky.

I had to go to the library and hope the needed volume was in the set(s) that were available to take home. Or live with whatever articles I could find in The National Geographic, a complete set going back to the forties at least still being in the house.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 21:16:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212111252110.27506@darkstar.example.org>, on 12/11/2012
at 12:54 PM, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> said:

> "They used to have this web called Libraries

That's a funny way to spell gopher.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 21:35:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <ka7sde\$aa8\$1@dont-email.me>,
=?ISO-8859-1?Q?philo=A0?= <philo@priv cy.not> wrote:

> Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I believe

Yes, Britannica stopped publishing hardcopy in 2010, I think.

--

May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2012 21:50:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote in message
news:305c44d6-6539-4a99-8945-f64f20ae93de@w3g2000yqj.googlegroups.com...

> On Dec 11, 1:42 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>>> Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I

>>> believe

>>

>> Probably better for it. I, like probably many others here, got sold a
>> set of encyclopedias when my wife and I were newlyweds. The saleslady
>> had us seeing visions of happy children clustered around the
>> encyclopedia doing their homework. After that we naturally bought the
>> update volume every year, waiting for the kids to come along and get old
>> enough to actually use the thing, as it got farther and farther out of
>> date. I think we finally trashed it about 20 years ago - just got tired
>> of lugging it when we moved and short on shelf space.

>

> Those old encyclopedias were very helpful for kids doing homework and
> research.

>

> Schools tended to discourage their use because they would rather have
> students get their information from actual specific books, rather than
> a generalized version in the encyclopedia.

>

> As an aside, there are still kids sitting at a table in the public
> library next to a pile of books, writing down notes by hand in a
> spiral bound notebook.

>

>

> There is, of course, a ton of information available on-line. But the
> troubling thing is that there's far, far more information that is not
> on-line, especially going back some years before it was common to
> record entire books and newspapers on-line.

>

> Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done
> much anymore.

Still quite a bit with genealogy.

> But there's a great deal of knowledge available only through that medium.

Dunno, even the old newspapers are now being OCR here.

I found that when doing some genealogy myself and discovered that my grandfather had ended up in court when he refused to pay a bill at a restaurant in the 30s and got into a punchup over that.

Found my mother's post school education results in the 30s that way too.

> One scary thing about on-line sources is that it may be updated at any
> time. that may be good in correcting real errors, but bad in changing
> history.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 00:00:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> wrote

>> Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I
>> believe

> Yes, Brittanica stopped publishing hardcopy in 2010, I think.

But it was never the only publisher of encyclopedia.

I don't believe that they have all stopped producing hard copy.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 00:40:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/11/2012 3:12 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 11, 1:42 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>

>>> Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I
>>> believe

>>

>> Probably better for it. I, like probably many others here, got sold a
>> set of encyclopedias when my wife and I were newlyweds. The saleslady
>> had us seeing visions of happy children clustered around the
>> encyclopedia doing their homework. After that we naturally bought the

>> update volume every year, waiting for the kids to come along and get old
>> enough to actually use the thing, as it got farther and farther out of
>> date. I think we finally trashed it about 20 years ago - just got tired
>> of lugging it when we moved and short on shelf space.

>
> Those old encyclopedias were very helpful for kids doing homework and
> research.

>
> Schools tended to discourage their use because they would rather have
> students get their information from actual specific books, rather than
> a generalized version in the encyclopedia.

>
> As an aside, there are still kids sitting at a table in the public
> library next to a pile of books, writing down notes by hand in a
> spiral bound notebook.

>
>
> There is, of course, a ton of information available on-line. But the
> troubling thing is that there's far, far more information that is not
> on-line, especially going back some years before it was common to
> record entire books and newspapers on-line.

>
> Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done
> much anymore. But there's a great deal of knowledge available only
> through that medium.

Some of the film is being scanned and put online. For example the US censuses are now available in image form from various sources. Unfortunately, I see Google has stopped scanning old newspapers from microfilm. What archive stuff is available is very helpful, I wish they'd continue.

I do agree that the web has a very short memory. Lots of stuff from the 70s and 80s and earlier just doesn't exist.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 00:41:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/11/2012 3:37 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

>
>> Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done
>> much anymore. But there's a great deal of knowledge available only

>> through that medium.

>

> When I was a kid, my mom would drag me off to large research
> libraries where she would work on her genealogy. While she did
> that, I would often scan through reels of old newspapers on
> microfilm, skipping everything but the comics.

>

I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 01:26:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 11, 3:37 pm, Ben Pfaff <b...@cs.stanford.edu> wrote:

> When I was a kid, my mom would drag me off to large research
> libraries where she would work on her genealogy. While she did
> that, I would often scan through reels of old newspapers on
> microfilm, skipping everything but the comics.

When we were young, we visited a major library for the first time on our own. We discovered the microfilm section and asked to see the newspaper of the day of our birth*. The librarian was very nice and showed us how to use the readers. We were fascinated by it. Much of the news was beyond us--we didn't know that much history--but looking at the ads and photographs was interesting. Also, it seemed that in earlier years the newspaper was more lurid in crime reporting.

*Actually, the newspaper of the day after our birth would've been better, so we could've seen what actually happened on our birthday. The newspaper published on our birthday had the news of the day before.

Returning to computers, a nearby college library has microfilm of old Datamation and other business technology magazines of the 1950s and 1960s. Neat stuff. I think IBM's announcement of the first disk drive merited only a small mention--who knew what a groundbreaking thing it was.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 01:31:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 11, 4:04 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

- > I had to go to the library and hope the needed volume was in the set(s)
- > that were available to take home. Or live with whatever articles I could
- > find in The National Geographic, a complete set going back to the forties
- > at least still being in the house.

Our libraries never loaned out encyclopedias--there were for in-library use only. But they had copy machines--amazingly for the same price as today.

The Natl Geo was made into a CD-ROM set with indexing. (I picked a set up at a yard sale. The pages are in a low-res jpg.) It includes the ads, which are often more interesting than the articles. They did a couple of good pieces on the telephone system. I think in the 1970s or 1980s they covered the computer; I don't know if anything appeared in the 1950s. I think IBM did advertise its 701 in it.

In Driver's Ed we had to write a report, and I had a Natl Geo with a feature article on the Interstate System. I used that as the basis of my report.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 01:38:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 11, 7:40 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

- > Some of the film is being scanned and put online. For example the US
- > censuses are now available in image form from various sources.

What is fascinating is that old census are searchable, based on handwritten (cursive) entries. They just put out 1940 data. On one of the commercial genology websites I found entries for my family instantly. (My library offers free access.) There is a ton of other stuff too, liked scanned old phone books.

- > Unfortunately, I see Google has stopped scanning old newspapers from
- > microfilm. What archive stuff is available is very helpful, I wish
- > they'd continue.

There's a company called Proquest that has scanned and indexed the entire New York Times, including ads. Many libraries offer it. Also, the NYT website itself allows searching the entire history, and

certain date ranges, such as 100 years ago, may be called up free. Articles from other date ranges have a fee; but free to subscribers.

I don't know if other newspapers are available. One downside about my town's paper is that there is no index, one has to know the date and serially search through the microfilm reel of the associated date range. Sometimes minor news articles took a day or two to appear.

One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read exactly as they do now, only the names are different.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 01:45:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 11, 7:41 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the
> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.

Absolutely.

The advertising is very informative in its own right. For one, we get an idea of prices of specific goods and services in a particular time. Two, we see what was 'hot' in a time span. Three, we get a feel for the details of various goods and services--details we might not realize for today. For instance, way back a new house came with nothing--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and not cheap to install.

Then we can read about things that have relevance today. For instance, today we may be arguing whether to tear down some major building---in the past we'll find an article arguing whether or not to build it in the first place. (Sometimes the underlying issues remain the same!)

In the want ads, we'll see that jobs were separated for men and women (in some locales also separated by white and colored). We'll see jobs that no longer exist.

In the business pages, we'll see an emphasis on "nuts and bolts" smokestack industries, including literally companies that makes nuts and bolts. We'll see ads by such companies, with the plant in the background proudly spewing lots of smoke from its stacks--a sign back then of prosperity.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Joe Pfeiffer](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 02:53:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anybody else remember the "Young People's Science Encyclopedia"? My parents got that for me, and I spent hours and hours reading it.

The single article I remember best was the one on Newton's Second Law, which suggested standing on a wagon and throwing bricks as an experiment to demonstrate it. I wound up learning more about static friction and absorbing recoil than I did about the Second Law as a result....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 03:53:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 11 Dec 2012, Peter Flass wrote:

> On 12/11/2012 3:37 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:

>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

>>

>>> Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done
>>> much anymore. But there's a great deal of knowledge available only
>>> through that medium.

>>

>> When I was a kid, my mom would drag me off to large research
>> libraries where she would work on her genealogy. While she did
>> that, I would often scan through reels of old newspapers on
>> microfilm, skipping everything but the comics.

>>

>

> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the advertising,
> that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.

>

Someone told me a few years ago that she doesn't follow links from a page (I don't know if that means at all or just sometimes because she gets distracted. I said "yes, it's like reading a dictionary", where you are looking for something but on the way get distracted by words you see, which then can also lead you to other words, and then you've forgotten the word you were originally looking up.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 04:01:56 GMT

On Tue, 11 Dec 2012, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> The Natl Geo was made into a CD-ROM set with indexing. (I picked a
> set up at a yard sale. The pages are in a low-res jpg.) It includes
> the ads, which are often more interesting than the articles. They did
> a couple of good pieces on the telephone system. I think in the 1970s
> or 1980s they covered the computer; I don't know if anything appeared
> in the 1950s. I think IBM did advertise its 701 in it.

>
The ads are interesting because they often were related to the idea of travel in early days (Zenith Transoceanic portable radios, cruise ships, promotion of vacation spots). But I think they also came and went. When I was a kid they were segregated and in small quantities, I thought they either disappeared for a while later, or were very few, then in more recent times they've been more obvious and intrusive.

Up till a certain point, the magazine had no cover photo, and then for a bit longer, it was just a small one. I'm not sure when it went to the full color cover, maybe the start of the fifties, though the 1959 one I have handy is still a small photo. But until they went to a full cover photo, the cover was the table of contents. I thought that made a better model for a webpage than the graphic intensive pages we got (as I've mentioned, and someone else mentioned just a couple of weeks ago here, a webpage isn't a magazine trying to win the attention of the passerby at a newsstand). Too often, a webpage had a fixed format, still does, and instead of headlines, you get broad categories ('news', 'contact us', whatever) that could be done away with by having headlines right on the front page.

I keep missing the National Geographic set, they issue a new one, the price is high, then it drops, but soon after it's sold out. I am tempted since then I could cut back the collection.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 04:06:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 11 Dec 2012, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> In <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212111252110.27506@darkstar.example.org>, on
> 12/11/2012
> at 12:54 PM, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> said:

>
>> "They used to have this web called Libraries

>
> That's a funny way to spell gopher.

>
But not enough people now know about gopher. The same people who know about gopher know about libraries, while who knows about the younger generation.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 04:21:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 11 Dec 2012, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 11, 7:40 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> Some of the film is being scanned and put online. For example the US
>> censuses are now available in image form from various sources.

>
> What is fascinating is that old census are searchable, based on
> handwritten (cursive) entries. They just put out 1940 data. On one
> of the commercial genology websites I found entries for my family
> instantly. (My library offers free access.) There is a ton of other
> stuff too, liked scanned old phone books.

>
How do they make it searchable? The US Patent Office has patents online, but until a certain point in the seventies, they are scans so there is much less one can search for. That reflects reality, after a certain point they were filed in electronic form so the work is done and no extra effort needed.

What I'm surprised about is how much stuff there is about my great, great, great grandfather, and the first generation after that. That reflects the amount of "real" content there was before the internet. But I can't tell, from what's there, how important he and the first generation is. He wrote some books, so that may be the big factor. I was looking in one book about native american speeches, and there's one from an Okanagan about getting a wolf pelt of 'silver". And by then I'd caught on, the quote is from my great, great, great grandfather's book about the pacific northwest 200 years ago.

>> Unfortunately, I see Google has stopped scanning old newspapers from
>> microfilm. What archive stuff is available is very helpful, I wish

>> they'd continue.

>

> There's a company called Proquest that has scanned and indexed the
> entire New York Times, including ads. Many libraries offer it. Also,
> the NYT website itself allows searching the entire history, and
> certain date ranges, such as 100 years ago, may be called up free.
> Articles from other date ranges have a fee; but free to subscribers.

>

In the old days, "University Microfilm" or something like that would
microfilm magazines and I suppose newspapers, and sell the contents. I
don't know what deal they had with the magazines and newspapers. I
thought that's what was found at libraries.

Then they, or some other company, started in providing digital versions of
magazines, which were as costly if not more so. That National Geographic
complete scan is only around 60.00, that Rolling Stone complete scan I
bought a few years ago was a hundred initially (but by the time I bought
it some months later, it was 20.00, as a clearance item at the bookstore),
and while I'm sure that reflects partially an expectation of more copies
sold, I suspect it's also that techniques of scanning have improved, to
make it less expensive. So those scans fifteen or 20 years ago ended up
being expensive because they were done early. Or maybe not. The idea is
great, but if I have to pay hundreds of dollars to get a complete run of
an amateur radio magazine, it's not that tempting.

> I don't know if other newspapers are available. One downside about my
> town's paper is that there is no index, one has to know the date and
> serially search through the microfilm reel of the associated date
> range. Sometimes minor news articles took a day or two to appear.

>

With google's scans, I'm pretty sure the indexing isn't perfect. I know I
appeared in the local paper that is scanned at google, yet I can't find
it. Sounds a bit like the google usenet search.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 04:30:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 11 Dec 2012, Joe Pfeiffer wrote:

> Anybody else remember the "Young People's Science Encyclopedia"? My
> parents got that for me, and I spent hours and hours reading it.

>

No, but we did have an Audubon Encyclopedia. Useful if you needed
something related to nature, useless for everything else.

- > The single article I remember best was the one on Newton's Second Law,
- > which suggested standing on a wagon and throwing bricks as an experiment
- > to demonstrate it. I wound up learning more about static friction and
- > absorbing recoil than I did about the Second Law as a result....

>
Somehow the World Book Encyclopedia sticks in my mind. Not only did they have those sections with the clear plastic overlays, but they had things like brief instructions on making a thermocouple? to make electricity from heat. There was enough detail to make one, though it was more about showing off the concept than powering anything.

That may reflect the fact that the Encyclopedia Britannica wasn't allowed to go home, while the World Book was. I don't remember bringing any home just to read, but I do remember spending time just looking through the volumes at random.

I dragged home a set in the mid-nineties from a used book sale, having to arrange to come back later for them so I could get a shopping cart to bring them home. They included some of the annual updates. And then for some reason, I let someone talk me out of them, she wanted them for her daughter. In retrospect I don't know why I did it, she asked like I had no use for them, yet I made the effort to bring them home in the first place (and spent 20.00 on them). And not that many years later, when she moved, she tossed them. Logistics aside are the only reason I didn't drag home another set when I had the chance. I like the independence of having that sort of thing around, which is why I do have various single-volume reference books.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 04:32:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 11, 11:03 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

- > The ads are interesting because they often were related to the idea of
- > travel in early days (Zenith Transoceanic portable radios, cruise ships,
- > promotion of vacation spots). But I think they also came and went. When
- > I was a kid they were segregated and in small quantities, I thought they
- > either disappeared for a while later, or were very few, then in more
- > recent times they've been more obvious and intrusive.

In the classifieds, there were little ads and photos from military schools which appeared every month for years. I kind of wondered about them, though it didn't seem very attractive. (FWIW, I did know

some people who went to a military school's summer camp and they enjoyed it immensely. Their school was used in a movie.)

Certain fullpage ads appeared only from time to time, such as Pullman, Greyhound, a cemetery memorial maker. But other ads appeared every month, such as a nice ad from the Bell System on an inside cover. During the war years they asked people not to use their phone. After the war they touted their new technologies.

I think someone from Bell's family was on the Geographic board of directors. I believe the Bell ads ceased before Divestiture.

> I keep missing the National Geographic set, they issue a new one, the
> price is high, then it drops, but soon after it's sold out. I am tempted
> since then I could cut back the collection.

I hope they've improved the resolution and functionality over the set I purchased. Had I purchased it full price at retail I would've been very disappointed.

For whatever reason, I find myself looking at the pictures and the ads, but rarely reading the text of articles.

I think back in the 1950s they had to hand paint the Kodachrome images--they could not directly reproduce them from the slide. Later on the photos had a much more natural look to them.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 05:21:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 11 Dec 2012, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 11, 11:03 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:
>
>> The ads are interesting because they often were related to the idea of
>> travel in early days (Zenith Transoceanic portable radios, cruise ships,
>> promotion of vacation spots). But I think they also came and went. When
>> I was a kid they were segregated and in small quantities, I thought they
>> either disappeared for a while later, or were very few, then in more
>> recent times they've been more obvious and intrusive.
>
> In the classifieds, there were little ads and photos from military

> schools which appeared every month for years. I kind of wondered
> about them, though it didn't seem very attractive. (FWIW, I did know
> some people who went to a military school's summer camp and they
> enjoyed it immensely. Their school was used in a movie.)

>
Yes, there was the period of the classified ads, which were more like
small display ads. Those military schools somehow seemed interesting at
the time, but that was the time of GI Joe and playing with war toys. For
that matter, when we were in Europe in 1965, I remember a lot of military
all over the place. So the military schools seemed somehow appealing, in
though likely because it was detached from actual war or even the
discipline that the places probably invoked on the students.

> Certain fullpage ads appeared only from time to time, such as Pullman,
> Greyhound, a cemetery memorial maker. But other ads appeared every
> month, such as a nice ad from the Bell System on an inside cover.
> During the war years they asked people not to use their phone. After
> the war they touted their new technologies.

>
Don't forget the Coca Cola ads on the back cover, I suspect they were
memorable because they varied, rather than just putting the same ad back
there month after month.

> I think someone from Bell's family was on the Geographic board of
> directors. I believe the Bell ads ceased before Divestiture.

>
Yes, a couple of generations of Bell family were involved, I think to this
day.

>
>
>
>
>> I keep missing the National Geographic set, they issue a new one, the
>> price is high, then it drops, but soon after it's sold out. I am tempted
>> since then I could cut back the collection.

>
> I hope they've improved the resolution and functionality over the set
> I purchased. Had I purchased it full price at retail I would've been
> very disappointed.

>
I find it hard to believe they'd go back and rescan the older issues, but
who knows. If the pictures aren't good resolution, that's hardly in favor
of scrapping the paper magazines.

> For whatever reason, I find myself looking at the pictures and the
> ads, but rarely reading the text of articles.

>

There hasn't been a month since I was born that the magazine hasn't arrived each month. And once I could read, most of the time I'd look at the photos, and just read the captions. You could get a lot from the captions. I'm sure initially after I could read I didn't have the patience for anything but the captions, but I kept up the habit, I'm not sure I missed that much. Even today, most articles I don't read, but I will check the photos, read the captions.

That's another thing in the internet age, I've seen people post endless photos that don't convey much, while being picky about photos and adding good captions make the photos more than just the photos. The photos often don't say much by themselves.

> I think back in the 1950s they had to hand paint the Kodachrome
> images--they could not directly reproduce them from the slide. Later
> on the photos had a much more natural look to them.
>

I think it was earlier. I'm not sure when they went to full color photography.

There have been some books about the magazine, I have one somewhere around, that likely tells when they went fully color. The hardcover index (they'd issue them every so often to keep up with the magazine) I think had some introductory material that might say when the change happened, perhaps those are included in the electronic version?

I seem to recall it wasn't just lack of color film, but maybe that black and white didn't need as much fussing as color originally did, and if someone was off in darkest Africa or Antarctica for the summer, they couldn't get the color film developed fast enough?

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 05:26:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> wrote
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote
>> Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote

>>> Some of the film is being scanned and put online. For example the
>>> US censuses are now available in image form from various sources.

>> What is fascinating is that old census are searchable, based on

>> handwritten (cursive) entries. They just put out 1940 data. On
>> one of the commercial genology websites I found entries for my
>> family instantly. (My library offers free access.) There is a ton of
>> other stuff too, liked scanned old phone books.

> How do they make it searchable?

By OCRing it. That's what happens with old newspapers too.

> The US Patent Office has patents online, but
> until a certain point in the seventies, they are
> scans so there is much less one can search for.

The old newspapers are scans too, and are searchable anyway.

> That reflects reality, after a certain point they were filed in
> electronic form so the work is done and no extra effort needed.

It isn't that hard to OCR it accurately enough to be useful.

> What I'm surprised about is how much stuff there is about my great, great,
> great grandfather, and the first generation after that. That reflects the
> amount of "real" content there was before the internet. But I can't tell,
> from what's there, how important he and the first generation is. He wrote
> some books, so that may be the big factor. I was looking in one book
> about native american speeches, and there's one from an Okanagan about
> getting a wolf pelt of 'silver". And by then I'd caught on, the quote is
> from my great, great, great grandfather's book about the pacific northwest
> 200 years ago.

>>> Unfortunately, I see Google has stopped scanning old newspapers from
>>> microfilm. What archive stuff is available is very helpful, I wish
>>> they'd continue.

>> There's a company called Proquest that has scanned and indexed the
>> entire New York Times, including ads. Many libraries offer it. Also,
>> the NYT website itself allows searching the entire history, and
>> certain date ranges, such as 100 years ago, may be called up free.
>> Articles from other date ranges have a fee; but free to subscribers.

> In the old days, "University Microfilm" or something like that would
> microfilm magazines and I suppose newspapers, and sell the contents.
> I don't know what deal they had with the magazines and newspapers.
> I thought that's what was found at libraries.

> Then they, or some other company, started in providing digital versions of
> magazines, which were as costly if not more so. That National Geographic
> complete scan is only around 60.00, that Rolling Stone complete scan I

> bought a few years ago was a hundred initially (but by the time I bought
> it some months later, it was 20.00, as a clearance item at the bookstore),
> and while I'm sure that reflects partially an expectation of more copies
> sold, I suspect it's also that techniques of scanning have improved, to
> make it less expensive. So those scans fifteen or 20 years ago ended up
> being expensive because they were done early. Or maybe not. The idea is
> great, but if I have to pay hundreds of dollars to get a complete run of
> an amateur radio magazine, it's not that tempting.

>> I don't know if other newspapers are available. One downside about my
>> town's paper is that there is no index, one has to know the date and
>> serially search through the microfilm reel of the associated date
>> range. Sometimes minor news articles took a day or two to appear.

> With google's scans, I'm pretty sure the indexing isn't perfect. I know I
> appeared in the local paper that is scanned at google, yet I can't find
> it. Sounds a bit like the google usenet search.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Mike Spencer](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 08:21:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

> One scary thing about on-line sources is that it may be updated at any
> time. that may be good in correcting real errors, but bad in changing
> history.

My 1910 Brittanica (with the two-volume update for after WW I in 192x)
is a badly battered & tattered and there are no articles on border
gateway protocol or neural nets or Justin Bieber. But it's hours and
hours of fascinating reading. Even the lists of authors of the heavier
articles are an intriguing read.

Sic transit gloria mundi.

--

Mike Spencer Nova Scotia, Canada

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 09:55:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-12, Mike Spencer <mds@bogus.nodomain.nowhere> wrote:
>

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:
>
>> One scary thing about on-line sources is that it may be updated at any
>> time. that may be good in correcting real errors, but bad in changing
>> history.
>
> My 1910 Britannica (with the two-volume update for after WW I in 192x)
> is a badly battered & tattered and there are no articles on border
> gateway protocol or neural nets or Justin Bieber. But it's hours and
> hours of fascinating reading. Even the lists of authors of the heavier
> articles are an intriguing read.
>
> Sic transit gloria mundi.
>

First such book, rather than the ones about general knowledge, I saw was Pears Cyclopedia (spelling, afaik), from before the Great War. I was fascinated to see the maps, and comparing them to what was then current. That one (Pears) was a sorta general-education effort by a company whose main effort was making soap, "Coal Tar Soap" (Wonder if they still call it that?).. Small volume, very well written, good attitude.

--
maus
.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 09:55:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-12, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote:
> On Dec 11, 11:03 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:
>
>> The ads are interesting because they often were related to the idea of
>> travel in early days (Zenith Transoceanic portable radios, cruise ships,
>> promotion of vacation spots). But I think they also came and went. When
>> I was a kid they were segregated and in small quantities, I thought they
>> either disappeared for a while later, or were very few, then in more
>> recent times they've been more obvious and intrusive.
>
> In the classifieds, there were little ads and photos from military
> schools which appeared every month for years. I kind of wondered
> about them, though it didn't seem very attractive. (FWIW, I did know

- > some people who went to a military school's summer camp and they
- > enjoyed it immensely. Their school was used in a movie.)
- >
- > Certain fullpage ads appeared only from time to time, such as Pullman,
- > Greyhound, a cemetery memorial maker. But other ads appeared every
- > month, such as a nice ad from the Bell System on an inside cover.
- > During the war years they asked people not to use their phone. After
- > the war they touted their new technologies.
- >
- > I think someone from Bell's family was on the Geographic board of
- > directors. I believe the Bell ads ceased before Divestiture.
- >

Grosvenor?(sp).... There was a lot of hassle for years between the really commercial magazines and NG, which was sort of a family club. My mother, who had worked in the US, greatly admired the US work attitude , used bring home secondhand NG whenever she visited Dublin.

Gro* were inlaws of Bells, or summat like that. The Duke of Westminster's family name, AFAIK, is like that, one of the wealthiest people in the UK.

- >
- >
- >
- >
- >> I keep missing the National Geographic set, they issue a new one, the
- >> price is high, then it drops, but soon after it's sold out. I am tempted
- >> since then I could cut back the collection.
- >
- > I hope they've improved the resolution and functionality over the set
- > I purchased. Had I purchased it full price at retail I would've been
- > very disappointed.
- >
- > For whatever reason, I find myself looking at the pictures and the
- > ads, but rarely reading the text of articles.
- >
- > I think back in the 1950s they had to hand paint the Kodachrome
- > images--they could not directly reproduce them from the slide. Later
- > on the photos had a much more natural look to them.

--
maus
.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Nick Spalding](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 11:07:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

greymausg@mail.com wrote, in <slrnkcg13j.20u.greymausg@gmaus.org>
on 12 Dec 2012 09:55:34 GMT:

> On 2012-12-12, Mike Spencer <mds@bogus.nodomain.nowhere> wrote:
>>
>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:
>>
>>> One scary thing about on-line sources is that it may be updated at any
>>> time. that may be good in correcting real errors, but bad in changing
>>> history.
>>
>> My 1910 Brittanica (with the two-volume update for after WW I in 192x)
>> is a badly battered & tattered and there are no articles on border
>> gateway protocol or neural nets or Justin Bieber. But it's hours and
>> hours of fascinating reading. Even the lists of authors of the heavier
>> articles are an intriguing read.
>>
>> Sic transit gloria mundi.
>>
>
> First such book, rather than the ones about general knowledge, I saw was
> Pears Cyclopedia (spelling, afaik), from before the Great War. I was
> fascinated to see the maps, and comparing them to what was then current.
> That one (Pears) was a sorta general-education effort by a company whose
> main effort was making soap, "Coal Tar Soap" (Wonder if they still call it
> that?).. Small volume, very well written, good attitude.

Different maker, it was Wright's Coal Tar Soap. Now made in Turkey and
called Wright's Traditional Soap.
<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coal_Tar_Soap>

Pears is the transparent one. Still made, slightly differently.
<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pears_soap>

--
Nick Spalding

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 12:38:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/11/2012 8:26 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>
> Returning to computers, a nearby college library has microfilm of old

- > Datamation and other business technology magazines of the 1950s and
- > 1960s. Neat stuff. I think IBM's announcement of the first disk
- > drive merited only a small mention--who knew what a groundbreaking
- > thing it was.
- >

Good to know, because (AFAIK) Datamation is not yet online and it noted a lot of events that are now historic(al). Google has some Computerworlds, but if they're not doing more scanning someone else will have to pick up the slack.

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 12:42:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

- >
- > I don't know if other newspapers are available. One downside about my
- > town's paper is that there is no index, one has to know the date and
- > serially search through the microfilm reel of the associated date
- > range. Sometimes minor news articles took a day or two to appear.

All to Time is online back to volume 1 issue 1 in 1923, also free to subscribers.

My one complaint about the pay sites is that they charge fairly hefty fees (IMHO) for even small articles. \$5.00 for a two-paragraph newspaper article seems a little excessive.

- >
- > One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read
- > exactly as they do now, only the names are different.
- >

Sad but true.

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 12:45:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/11/2012 8:45 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

- > For instance, way back a new house came with
- > _nothing_ --things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs
- > required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
- > not cheap to install.

Especially after you fall off the roof and have all those medical bills.

....

- >
- > In the want ads, we'll see that jobs were separated for men and women

For some reason I was looking at want ads the other day and flashed on this. It wasn't all that long ago.

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 12:56:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/12/2012 12:21 AM, Michael Black wrote:

- > There hasn't been a month since I was born that the magazine hasn't
- > arrived each month. And once I could read, most of the time I'd look at
- > the photos, and just read the captions.

Especially the exotic native women in traditional dress?

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Joe Makowiec](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 13:14:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 11 Dec 2012 in alt.folklore.computers, wrote:

- > I think someone from Bell's family was on the Geographic board of
- > directors. I believe the Bell ads ceased before Divestiture.

Gilbert Hovey Grosvenor, the first fulltime editor of NatGeo magazine, was married to Elsie May Bell, the daughter of Alexander Graham Bell and Mabel Gardiner Hubbard:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gilbert_Hovey_Grosvenor

He also served as president of the society 1920-1954.

--

Joe Makowiec

<http://makowiec.org/>

Email: <http://makowiec.org/contact/?Joe>

Usenet Improvement Project: <http://twovoyagers.com/improve-usenet.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 14:20:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, Peter Flass wrote:

> On 12/12/2012 12:21 AM, Michael Black wrote:

>

>> There hasn't been a month since I was born that the magazine hasn't

>> arrived each month. And once I could read, mosto of the time I'd look at

>> the photos, and just read the captions.

>

> Especially the exotic native women in traditional dress?

>

>

That's tapered off. I recall noticing early on, but it wasn't interesting. And then when I was of age, I guess I was used to it, so there wasn't a great appeal.

I don't remember seeing much of it in recent years, though they've shown things like a nude beach in Russia, and a Japanese public bath, though nothing is revealed.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 14:22:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, Joe Makowiec wrote:

> On 11 Dec 2012 in alt.folklore.computers, wrote:
>
>> I think someone from Bell's family was on the Geographic board of
>> directors. I believe the Bell ads ceased before Divestiture.
>
> Gilbert Hovey Grosvenor, the first fulltime editor of NatGeo magazine,
> was married to Elsie May Bell, the daughter of Alexander Graham Bell and
> Mabel Gardiner Hubbard:
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gilbert_Hovey_Grosvenor
>
> He also served as president of the society 1920-1954.
>
I wasn't sure of the connection. I do remember later, a Melville Bell
Grosvenor, and couldn't remember how he related to Bell.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 14:29:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <ka9tf3\$8cf\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/12/2012
at 07:38 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

> Good to know, because (AFAIK) Datamation is not yet online and it
> noted a lot of events that are now historic(al).

ITYM hysterical; they ran a lot of humor[1] in between the more
serious articles. If you have a copy of "Faith, Hope and Parity" then
you know whereof I speak.

[1] One of their humorous articles is to blame for the word
"nybble".

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 14:33:13 GMT

In <ka9tsb\$ag5\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/12/2012
at 07:45 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

> On 12/11/2012 8:45 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>> For instance, way back a new house came with
>> _nothing_--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs
>> required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
>> not cheap to install.

> Especially after you fall off the roof and have all those medical
> bills.

Besides, in the US there is no more analog TV broadcasting, and the
digital receivers are more finicky, so if you don't have cable you
still may need an outdoor antenna.

--
Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 14:35:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mike Spencer wrote:

>
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:
>
>> One scary thing about on-line sources is that it may be updated at any
>> time. that may be good in correcting real errors, but bad in changing
>> history.

>
> My 1910 Brittanica (with the two-volume update for after WW I in 192x)
> is a badly battered & tattered and there are no articles on border
> gateway protocol or neural nets or Justin Bieber. But it's hours and
> hours of fascinating reading. Even the lists of authors of the heavier
> articles are an intriguing read.

>
> Sic transit gloria mundi.

>
when the Southboro library was throwing out all those books, it included

update volumes. I took one from 1939 and another of 1941 or 42. Then I compared what was written about Hitler. That was an eye-opener.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahtiv](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 14:35:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 11, 7:41 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the
>> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.

>

> Absolutely.

>

> The advertising is very informative in its own right. For one, we get
> an idea of prices of specific goods and services in a particular
> time. Two, we see what was 'hot' in a time span. Three, we get a
> feel for the details of various goods and services--details we might
> not realize for today. For instance, way back a new house came with
> nothing--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs
> required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
> not cheap to install.

>

> Then we can read about things that have relevance today. For
> instance, today we may be arguing whether to tear down some major
> building--in the past we'll find an article arguing whether or not to
> build it in the first place. (Sometimes the underlying issues remain
> the same!)

>

> In the want ads, we'll see that jobs were separated for men and women
> (in some locales also separated by white and colored). We'll see jobs
> that no longer exist.

>

> In the business pages, we'll see an emphasis on "nuts and bolts"
> smokestack industries, including literally companies that makes nuts
> and bolts. We'll see ads by such companies, with the plant in the
> background proudly spewing lots of smoke from its stacks--a sign back
> then of prosperity.

>

Barbara Tuchman wrote about history; her stuff was very, very good because she looked at inventory and grocery lists as part of her research. She also went to the areas and walked. This was important when she wrote about WWI; the generals were far away from the fighting

and couldn't know what was going on until hours later when a courier arrived with a piece of paper.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 15:16:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, jmfbahciv wrote:

>> In the business pages, we'll see an emphasis on "nuts and bolts"
>> smokestack industries, including literally companies that makes nuts
>> and bolts. We'll see ads by such companies, with the plant in the
>> background proudly spewing lots of smoke from its stacks--a sign back
>> then of prosperity.

>>

>

> Barbara Tuchman wrote about history; her stuff was very, very good
> because she looked at inventory and grocery lists as part of her
> research. She also wnet to the areas and walked. This was important
> when she wrote about WWI; the gerenals were far away from the fighting
> and couldn't know what was going on until hours later when a courier
> arrived with a piece of paper.

>

That's what a lot of intelligence gathering is about, collecting mundane and readily available information, and then putting it together with other bits to see patterns. They may later need to send spies in to get specific information, but it's the routine information that points them there.

Even employment ads may reveal things, why is that company suddenly in need of XXX skill?

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 15:21:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, jmfbahciv wrote:

> Mike Spencer wrote:

>>

>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

>>
>>> One scary thing about on-line sources is that it may be updated at any
>>> time. that may be good in correcting real errors, but bad in changing
>>> history.

>>
>> My 1910 Brittanica (with the two-volume update for after WW I in 192x)
>> is a badly battered & tattered and there are no articles on border
>> gateway protocol or neural nets or Justin Bieber. But it's hours and
>> hours of fascinating reading. Even the lists of authors of the heavier
>> articles are an intriguing read.

>>
>> Sic transit gloria mundi.

>>
> when the Southboro library was throwing out all those books, it included
> update volumes. I took one from 1939 and another of 1941 or 42. Then
> I compared what was written about Hitler. That was an eye-opener.

>
I keep the September 1939 issue of National Geographic handy. (The book
sales here no longer want the magazine, or any magazines, but it's so
popular and people felt some obligation to keep it, that for a long time
it was a readily available magazine from the past.) Everything is normal
(and they say ont he cover the photos are "natural color") no expectation
of something about to happen, you can take the Cunard line to Europe.

And then it all changed.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Stan Barr](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 16:20:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 11 Dec 2012 19:41:51 -0500, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/11/2012 3:37 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:

>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

>>

>>> Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done
>>> much anymore. But there's a great deal of knowledge available only
>>> through that medium.

>>

>> When I was a kid, my mom would drag me off to large research
>> libraries where she would work on her genealogy. While she did
>> that, I would often scan through reels of old newspapers on
>> microfilm, skipping everything but the comics.

>>

>

> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the

> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.
>

Old adverts _are_ fascinating...I love browsing old radio books and magazines.

There's a whole industry of buying up old books cutting them up and selling the adverts and illustrations. You see a lot on eBay.

--

Cheers,
Stan Barr plan.b .at. dsl .dot. pipex .dot. com

The future was never like this!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 17:21:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

> On 12/11/2012 3:37 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:
>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:
>>
>>> Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done
>>> much anymore. But there's a great deal of knowledge available only
>>> through that medium.
>>
>> When I was a kid, my mom would drag me off to large research
>> libraries where she would work on her genealogy. While she did
>> that, I would often scan through reels of old newspapers on
>> microfilm, skipping everything but the comics.
>>
>
> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the
> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.

That's one problem with the online archives of newspapers etc. They archive the stories, not the advertisements. You can often learn a lot from ads.

-- Patrick

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 17:33:59 GMT

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

> On 12/11/2012 3:12 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>> On Dec 11, 1:42 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I
>>>> believe
>>>
>>> Probably better for it. I, like probably many others here, got sold a
>>> set of encyclopedias when my wife and I were newlyweds. The saleslady
>>> had us seeing visions of happy children clustered around the
>>> encyclopedia doing their homework. After that we naturally bought the
>>> update volume every year, waiting for the kids to come along and get old
>>> enough to actually use the thing, as it got farther and farther out of
>>> date. I think we finally trashed it about 20 years ago - just got tired
>>> of lugging it when we moved and short on shelf space.
>>
>> Those old encyclopedias were very helpful for kids doing homework and
>> research.
>>
>> Schools tended to discourage their use because they would rather have
>> students get their information from actual specific books, rather than
>> a generalized version in the encyclopedia.
>>
>> As an aside, there are still kids sitting at a table in the public
>> library next to a pile of books, writing down notes by hand in a
>> spiral bound notebook.
>>
>>
>> There is, of course, a ton of information available on-line. But the
>> troubling thing is that there's far, far more information that is not
>> on-line, especially going back some years before it was common to
>> record entire books and newspapers on-line.
>>
>> Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done
>> much anymore. But there's a great deal of knowledge available only
>> through that medium.
>
> Some of the film is being scanned and put online. For example the US
> censuses are now available in image form from various
> sources. Unfortunately, I see Google has stopped scanning old
> newspapers from microfilm. What archive stuff is available is very
> helpful, I wish they'd continue.

Trouble is, scanning the microfilm does tend to piss off the company that did the more difficult work of photographing the original documents and expected to be able to sell their microfilm for the next 50 years.

They have copyright law on their side.

-- Patrick

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:01:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ka9ug6\$dom\$1@dont-email.me...
> On 12/12/2012 12:21 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>
>> There hasn't been a month since I was born that the magazine hasn't
>> arrived each month. And once I could read, most of the time I'd look at
>> the photos, and just read the captions.
>
> Especially the exotic native women in traditional dress?
>

.... or traditional undress??? ;-)

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:04:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 12:21 am, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:
>> I hope they've improved the resolution and functionality over the set
>> I purchased. Had I purchased it full price at retail I would've been
>> very disappointed.
>
> I find it hard to believe they'd go back and rescan the older issues, but
> who knows. If the pictures aren't good resolution, that's hardly in favor
> of scrapping the paper magazines.

They probably scanned them at a higher resolution (not hard to do),
but for whatever reason made their CD-ROM copy in a lower resolution.
Hopefully they'll do better in a future release.

I was gonna speculate that they did low-res to preserve the value of
the hard copy, but there are so many old N/G's out there that they
have very little value. My library got rid of its own collection for

25c/issue, then free. They also had bound volumes, but these did not contain any ads.

>> I think back in the 1950s they had to hand paint the Kodachrome
>> images--they could not directly reproduce them from the slide. Later
>> on the photos had a much more natural look to them.

>
> I think it was earlier. I'm not sure when they went to full color
> photography.

The WW II issues were mostly b&w. I think they had some color pictures using various methods going way back. A 1954 issue is mostly color, but not all.

> I seem to recall it wasn't just lack of color film, but maybe that black
> and white didn't need as much fussing as color originally did, and if
> someone was off in darkest Africa or Antarctica for the summer, they
> couldn't get the color film developed fast enough?

Incidentally, in a 1954 issue I happen to have handy, there is a spread on Africa, and both Ektachromes and Kodachromes were used.

B&W was much easier to handle and process than color, and much cheaper, too. Kodachrome originally was extremely slow, ASA 10, and lens were slower, too. So, its usage was limited to still subjects in bright light unless cumbersome flash units were used. Ektachrome was apparently faster (and easier to process), per wikip.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:09:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 7:42 am, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> All to _Time_ is online back to volume 1 issue 1 in 1923, also free to
> subscribers.

Originally back issues were free. However, they only had the reformatted text of an article, not associated photos, and no ads. It would be neat to see the magazine as it was originally published.

> My one complaint about the pay sites is that they charge fairly hefty
> fees (IMHO) for even small articles. \$5.00 for a two-paragraph

> newspaper article seems a little excessive.

One problem with the above is that an index will show a reference, and the article turns out to be merely a few unimportant sentences--for which you just wasted \$5. For instance, an article on IBM might be "IBM signed a lease for 25,000 square feet of office space in the Jones Bldg in Mt. Kisco, NY".

(However, as mentioned, the announcement of the disk drive appeared as one or two sentences in the NYT.)

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:19:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 12, 12:21 am, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:
>>> I hope they've improved the resolution and functionality over the set
>>> I purchased. Had I purchased it full price at retail I would've been
>>> very disappointed.
>>
>> I find it hard to believe they'd go back and rescan the older issues, but
>> who knows. If the pictures aren't good resolution, that's hardly in favor
>> of scrapping the paper magazines.
>
> They probably scanned them at a higher resolution (not hard to do),
> but for whatever reason made their CD-ROM copy in a lower resolution.
> Hopefully they'll do better in a future release.
>
That's true, especially if they began with actual CDs.

The sets now are DVD. The Rolling Stone set I bought forty years of it, is on 3 or 4 DVDs. If they started with CDs, they'd either have a really large number of them, or cut back on the resolution.

>
>
>>> I think back in the 1950s they had to hand paint the Kodachrome
>>> images--they could not directly reproduce them from the slide. Later
>>> on the photos had a much more natural look to them.
>>
>> I think it was earlier. I'm not sure when they went to full color
>> photography.
>
> The WW II issues were mostly b&w. I think they had some color

> pictures using various methods going way back. A 1954 issue is mostly
> color, but not all.

>

>

The September 1939 issue has mostly black and white, but there are some color pictures, I'm not sure if they are still colorizing them by hand.

Some look "real", though not the colors we saw later, others look like they may have been colorized, but that's a judgement of mine, rather than any real recognition.

>> I seem to recall it wasn't just lack of color film, but maybe that black
>> and white didn't need as much fussing as color originally did, and if
>> someone was off in darkest Africa or Antarctica for the summer, they
>> couldn't get the color film developed fast enough?

>

> Incidentally, in a 1954 issue I happen to have handy, there is a
> spread on Africa, and both Ektachromes and Kodachromes were used.

>

> B&W was much easier to handle and process than color, and much
> cheaper, too. Kodachrome originally was extremely slow, ASA 10, and
> lens were slower, too. So, its usage was limited to still subjects in
> bright light unless cumbersome flash units were used. Ektachrome was
> apparently faster (and easier to process), per wikip.

>

There's probably a book out about the photography of the magazine. Not "famous photographs" but the equipment and film used over the ages.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:22:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 7:45 am, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>> In the want ads, we'll see that jobs were separated for men and women
>
> For some reason I was looking at want ads the other day and flashed on
> this. It wasn't all that long ago.

My local paper did this years ago. It also had a section "MEN & WOMEN" meaning the employer didn't care. But not many jobs were listed under that.

Programming jobs were listed under Men, though I think even in the 1960s some companies would have considered a woman for such jobs, especially if she had a math degree. In the later 1960s it was hard

to find programmers, so companies had to be more open-minded. (Not sure about the 1950s, many larger or upscale companies were very rigid back then, especially for professional positions*). Women were part of programming right from the start. Indeed, it was thought women would make better programmers because they could focus on the minute detail required in machine language or crude assembly language work.

*For higher-end professional positions, while the company was interviewing the man, an executive's wife would take out the candidate's wife to lunch and check her out. Even in the 1960s companies expected an employee's wife to fit their expectations. A TV show spoofed that, "Occasional Wife". I'm not sure a mere staff programmer would be subjected to this treatment, but a manager of programming would be. Back then companies expected employees' wives to support and also pressure their husbands to work hard to get bonuses and promotions.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:26:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 7:56 am, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>> There hasn't been a month since I was born that the magazine hasn't
>> arrived each month. And once I could read, most of the time I'd look at
>> the photos, and just read the captions.
>
> Especially the exotic native women in traditional dress?

Back then parents would freak out if their son had or even looked at a Playboy. But they didn't seem to mind the Geographic.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:30:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me...

> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>
>> I don't know if other newspapers are available. One downside about my
>> town's paper is that there is no index, one has to know the date and
>> serially search through the microfilm reel of the associated date
>> range. Sometimes minor news articles took a day or two to appear.
>

- > All to Time is online back to volume 1 issue 1 in 1923, also free to
- > subscribers.
- >
- > My one complaint about the pay sites is that they charge fairly hefty fees
- > (IMHO) for even small articles. \$5.00 for a two-paragraph newspaper
- > article seems a little excessive.
- >

The New Yorker magazine has *all* its issues online back to 1920's, free to use for *subscribers*.

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:31:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 9:35 am, jmfbaahciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:

- > Barbara Tuchman wrote about history; her stuff was very, very good
- > because she looked at inventory and grocery lists as part of her
- > research. She also went to the areas and walked. This was important
- > when she wrote about WWI; the generals were far away from the fighting
- > and couldn't know what was going on until hours later when a courier
- > arrived with a piece of paper.

It was crude, but in WW II, they did have radios and telephones on the battlefield. An article in the RCA history describes developing and building such sets for vehicles, including the merits of AM vs FM (FM won).

They also used punched card machines in trucks as mobile record keeping units, though these were more in the rear lines.

In addition to EAM equipment, IBM built some advanced relay calculators for ballistic tables, the ASCC for Harvard and the Navy; as well as some weapons (rifles, I think.)

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:33:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Shmuel (Seymour J.)Metz" <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote in

message news:50c895a9\$49\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net...

> In <ka9tsb\$ag5\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/12/2012

> at 07:45 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

>

>> On 12/11/2012 8:45 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>> For instance, way back a new house came with

>>> _nothing_--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs

>>> required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and

>>> not cheap to install.

>

>> Especially after you fall off the roof and have all those medical

>> bills.

>

> Besides, in the US there is no more analog TV broadcasting,

Same with Australia.

> and the digital receivers are more finicky,

Nope, much less, actually.

> so if you don't have cable you still may need an outdoor antenna.

Nope, most who needed an outdoor antenna with analog

don't now with digital.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:35:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 10:16 am, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

> That's what a lot of intelligence gathering is about, collecting mundane

> and readily available information, and then putting it together with other

> bits to see patterns. They may later need to send spies in to get

> specific information, but it's the routine information that points them

> there.

> Even employment ads may reveal things, why is that company suddenly in

> need of XXX skill?

During WW II, soldiers and defense plant workers were constantly reminded to say NOTHING about what they did for the above reason. For instance, a reference for making or shipping 'winter coats' could indicate an offensive in a cold region.

Warner Bros/Looney Toons produced a humorous cartoon series, "Pvt Snafu", as a morale booster, safe, and security reminder. I recommend

it. The dialogue, some of it in a cute rhyme, was written by Dr. Seuss.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:49:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 10:21 am, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

> I keep the September 1939 issue of National Geographic handy. (The book
> sales here no longer want the magazine, or any magazines, but it's so
> popular and people felt some obligation to keep it, that for a long time
> it was a readily available magazine from the past.) Everything is normal
> (and they say ont he cover the photos are "natural color") no expectation
> of something about to happen, you can take the Cunard line to Europe.
> And then it all changed.

The N/G wasn't a news magazine and had relatively little focus on current events or politics. Their articles likely had a long lead time.

In 1939 tensions were very high the world over and people knew war could spread at any time. Parts of the world were already at war, such as Japan in China, and the Germans on the move in Eastern Europe.

What surprised me was how high world tensions were way back in 1910, well before WW I broke out. Lots of articles on grievances by some countries, and other countries and diplomats trying to keep the peace.

For instance, a hundred years ago today (12/12/1912) there was a front page article on peace talks in London on Greek fighting. Also, the French were concerned that an attack on Servia by Austria was immient. There was a big article on Britain's desire for three more battleships (dreadnoughts) and its defense issues.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:55:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 11:20 am, Stan Barr <pla...@dsl.pipex.com> wrote:

> There's a whole industry of buying up old books cutting them up and
> selling the adverts and illustrations. You see a lot on eBay.

A while back I picked up a bunch of old IBM ads on e-bay. Neat stuff. My favorite is a photo of various punch cards on a street, "IBM leadership in action...Parade with a purpose--Today, an almost endless parade of IBM punched cards serves business, industry, and government in widely varied roles--as vital aids in routine record keeping, as checks and money orders, airline tickets, utility bills, insurance premium notices, and many, many other kinds of accounting documents. . . . IBM's on-the-job experience and continued progress in advanced equipment designs are helping American industry work better and faster--at less cost."

In earlier ads IBM proudly displayed its NRA Blue Eagle "We do our Part".

I also picked up an old book published by IBM of Thomas J. Watson Sr's speeches. Most were empty platitudes. There's a more recent book of essays from a series of university talks by his son, that has some good ideas in it.

The ads I got were modestly priced. Today's prices seem higher.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:57:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mike Spencer <mds@bogus.nodomain.nowhere> writes:

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:
>
>> One scary thing about on-line sources is that it may be updated at any
>> time. that may be good in correcting real errors, but bad in changing
>> history.
>
> My 1910 Brittanica (with the two-volume update for after WW I in 192x)
> is a badly battered & tattered and there are no articles on border
> gateway protocol or neural nets or Justin Bieber. But it's hours and
> hours of fascinating reading. Even the lists of authors of the heavier
> articles are an intriguing read.
>
> Sic transit gloria mundi.

The Brittanica was great in those days, with some of the top experts in their fields writing the articles. In later years, especially post 1960s, the article authors were more junior faculty. Not necessarily bad or wrong, but often not as well-written.

-- Patrick

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 18:58:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 12:33 pm, Patrick Scheible <k...@zipcon.net> wrote:

- > Trouble is, scanning the microfilm does tend to piss off the company
- > that did the more difficult work of photographing the original documents
- > and expected to be able to sell their microfilm for the next 50 years.
- > They have copyright law on their side.

Presumably, the scanners did get copyright permission from whoever owns it, be it the microfilm company or the original publication.

The NYT display says, "Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission."

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:06:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 1:19 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

- > The September 1939 issue has mostly black and white, but there are some
- > color pictures, I'm not sure if they are still colorizing them by hand.
- > Some look "real", though not the colors we saw later, others look like
- > they may have been colorized, but that's a judgement of mine, rather than
- > any real recognition.

My reference toward coloring by hand had to do with printing, not the original photograph. That is, in the 1950s, they had a real color photograph, be it Ektachrome, Kodachrome, or some other process. But apparently printing back then could not easily reproduce from a color slide (I don't know why), and to print it they had to hand color a b&w image.

I think in the 1960s they were able to reproduce directly from the slide.

Somewhere in the late 1970s they came up with a way to inexpensively print from color slides. (I think it was laser scanning). Mass magazines and newspapers began to print many pictures in color (also known as "fun, food, fall, fires). Hobby magazines (eg Railpace) could print pictures in color.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:14:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 1:57 pm, Patrick Scheible <k...@zipcon.net> wrote:
> The Britannica was great in those days, with some of the top experts in
> their fields writing the articles. In later years, especially post
> 1960s, the article authors were more junior faculty. Not necessarily
> bad or wrong, but often not as well-written.

As it happens, the NYT of 100 years ago (12/12/1912) had a full page
ad for the Britannica, 11th edition. It was printed on 90% rag India
paper imported from England, strong and opaque.

"The Greatest Book in the World and the Most Successful---Good for a
Lifetime, and Equal to a Library of 500 Selected Books"

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:21:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-12, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com < Hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com > wrote:
> On Dec 12, 7:56 am, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>> There hasn't been a month since I was born that the magazine hasn't
>>> arrived each month. And once I could read, most of the time I'd look at
>>> the photos, and just read the captions.
>>
>> Especially the exotic native women in traditional dress?
>
> Back then parents would freak out if their son had or even looked at a
> Playboy. But they didn't seem to mind the Geographic.

Geographic photos were of all age groups. More preparation for _real_
life.

--
maus
.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:21:40 GMT

On 2012-12-12, jmfbaahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>> On Dec 11, 7:41 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>>

>>> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the

>>> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.

>>

>> Absolutely.

>>

>> The advertising is very informative in its own right. For one, we get

>> an idea of prices of specific goods and services in a particular

>> time. Two, we see what was 'hot' in a time span. Three, we get a

>> feel for the details of various goods and services--details we might

>> not realize for today. For instance, way back a new house came with

>> _nothing_--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs

>> required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and

>> not cheap to install.

>>

>> Then we can read about things that have relevance today. For

>> instance, today we may be arguing whether to tear down some major

>> building---in the past we'll find an article arguing whether or not to

>> build it in the first place. (Sometimes the underlying issues remain

>> the same!)

>>

>> In the want ads, we'll see that jobs were separated for men and women

>> (in some locales also separated by white and colored). We'll see jobs

>> that no longer exist.

>>

>> In the business pages, we'll see an emphasis on "nuts and bolts"

>> smokestack industries, including literally companies that makes nuts

>> and bolts. We'll see ads by such companies, with the plant in the

>> background proudly spewing lots of smoke from its stacks--a sign back

>> then of prosperity.

>>

>

> Barbara Tuchman wrote about history; her stuff was very, very good

> because she looked at inventory and grocery lists as part of her

> research. She also went to the areas and walked. This was important

> when she wrote about WWI; the generals were far away from the fighting

> and couldn't know what was going on until hours later when a courier

> arrived with a piece of paper.

>

It was planned that way so plans would not be changed by misleading news in the early stages of an operation.

(An example was when Von Kluck's sweep around Paris was reduced in force

by troops being diverted to resist the Russians invasion of east Prussia, by the time they arrived there, the invasion had been stopped, but the loss to the Western thrust led to the setback on the Marne)

(I was reading recently that that Russian invasion in 1914 was aimed at moving the Eastern German frontier back to the Oder-Niesse, as now, but as the Russian armies then were generalised, and largely officered, by ethnic Germans, there would have been no ethnic cleansing as was in 1944-45)(Forget the source)

Yes, Tuchman is a good history writer.

--
maus

.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:25:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, Charles Richmond wrote:

> "Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me...
>> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>
>>> I don't know if other newspapers are available. One downside about my
>>> town's paper is that there is no index, one has to know the date and
>>> serially search through the microfilm reel of the associated date
>>> range. Sometimes minor news articles took a day or two to appear.
>>
>> All to Time is online back to volume 1 issue 1 in 1923, also free to
>> subscribers.
>>
>> My one complaint about the pay sites is that they charge fairly hefty fees
>> (IMHO) for even small articles. \$5.00 for a two-paragraph newspaper
>> article seems a little excessive.
>>
>
> The New Yorker magazine has **all** its issues online back to 1920's, free to
> use for **subscribers**.
>
I think The Atlantic does the same. I know I registered at some point.

On one hand, it means you have to subscribe, on the other if you already subscribe you get access for free.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:30:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012 10:31:49 -0800 (PST)
hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 12, 9:35 am, jmfbaiciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> Barbara Tuchman wrote about history; her stuff was very, very good
>> because she looked at inventory and grocery lists as part of her
>> research. She also went to the areas and walked. This was important
>> when she wrote about WWI; the generals were far away from the fighting
>> and couldn't know what was going on until hours later when a courier
>> arrived with a piece of paper.
>
> It was crude, but in WW II, they did have radios and telephones on the
> battlefield. An article in the RCA history describes developing and
> building such sets for vehicles, including the merits of AM vs FM (FM
> won).

My father was a paratrooper in the Royal Corps of Signals during WWII, he was dropped behind enemy lines carrying radio equipment more than once. I could be misremembering here but I'm pretty sure I heard him describe it as "Jumping out of an aeroplane with 90lbs of radio gear on my back" or words to that effect. He also told me that "fit to drop" was the highest standard of fitness in the Signals Corps, which given the other description is not too surprising - I wouldn't care to shoulder a 90lb backpack never mind jump out of an aeroplane wearing one.

--
Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:32:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 12, 9:35 am, jmfbahciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> Barbara Tuchman wrote about history; her stuff was very, very good
>> because she looked at inventory and grocery lists as part of her
>> research. She also went to the areas and walked. This was important
>> when she wrote about WWI; the generals were far away from the fighting
>> and couldn't know what was going on until hours later when a courier
>> arrived with a piece of paper.
>
> It was crude, but in WW II, they did have radios and telephones on the
> battlefield. An article in the RCA history describes developing and
> building such sets for vehicles, including the merits of AM vs FM (FM
> won).

>
WWU, which is what Barbara Tuchman is known for writing about, had only rudimentary radio. If nothing else, a "good" radio was so bulky that I think many receivers were reduced to "crystal radios", and everyone still thought the higher frequencies were useless, so they were all jammed into a small space and required really long antennas. Transmitters were probably mostly still spark gaps, which were really inefficient, and put out a broad signal. I suspect in many cases, radio in WWI was one way, likely from the back to the front. I think they were still working out how to use radio in war (just like the airplane).

On the other hand, WWI caused Howard Armstrong to create the superheterodyne receiver, which is the foundation of so much that came later.

In WWII, radio had a quarter century to develop and not only was there a more concrete definition of the role radio could play in war, but the technology had improved. Other than transistors, there wasn't a lot of difference between radio use in WWII and radio use in the Viet Nam era. Well, probably radar improved, but that's only because WWII caused radio to be improved, and maybe some of the work wasn't finished by the end of that war.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:39:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <1bfw3cxusn.fsf@snowball.wb.pfeifferfamily.net>, pfeiffer@cs.nmsu.edu (Joe Pfeiffer) writes:

> cb@mer.df.lth.se (Christian Brunschen) writes:
>

>> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
>> leave this here anyway:
>>
>> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>
>>
>> // Christian
>
> This is beautiful.

What is it supposed to do? On my machine it just puts up a title page and hangs. Does it not like Seamonkey, perhaps?

--
/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)
\/ I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.
X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.
/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [John Levine](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:43:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> ITYM hysterical; they ran a lot of humor[1] in between the more
> serious articles. If you have a copy of "Faith, Hope and Parity" then
> you know whereof I speak.

My copy just arrived today, plenty of excellent reading.

Used copies are susprisingly cheap from the usual sources.

I'm still looking for a copy of "The Lost Code", by Dan McCracken, a spoof of Sullivan's Lost Chord that starts "Seated one day at the console ..."

--
Regards,
John Levine, johnl@iecc.com, Primary Perpetrator of "The Internet for Dummies",
Please consider the environment before reading this e-mail. <http://jl.ly>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:44:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212112256190.28860@darkstar.example.org>, et472@ncf.ca (Michael Black) writes:

- > (as I've mentioned, and someone else mentioned just a couple of weeks
- > ago here, a webpage isn't a magazine trying to win the attention of
- > the passerby at a newsstand).

A lot of web page designers (many of whom are thinly disguised marketroids) would disagree with you.

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Mike Spencer](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:47:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

- > On Dec 12, 1:57=A0pm, Patrick Scheible <k...@zipcon.net> wrote:
- >
- >> The Britannica was great in those days, with some of the top experts in
- >> their fields writing the articles. In later years, especially post
- >> 1960s, the article authors were more junior faculty. Not necessarily
- >> bad or wrong, but often not as well-written.
- >
- > As it happens, the NYT of 100 years ago (12/12/1912) had a full page
- > ad for the Britannica, 11th edition. It was printed on 90% rag India
- > paper imported from England, strong and opaque.
- >
- > "The Greatest Book in the World and the Most Successful---Good for a
- > Lifetime, and Equal to a Library of 500 Selected Books"

The women's dorm at Dalhousie University has a formal parlor decorated in what I take to be high Edwardian -- heavy, elegant furniture, German hand-wrought fireplace furniture, marble busts. And there is a set of Britannica, same edition as mine. Only this is the version that a wealthy patron would give to her alma mater: leather bound, printed on Bible paper, shelved flat in a rosewood cabinet, each volume on its own shelf. Due to the thin paper, volumes are half or less in thickness compared to the cloth & board-bound version.

--

Mike Spencer

Nova Scotia, Canada

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:48:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <slrnkcgkju.20u.greymausg@gmaus.org>, greymausg@mail.com (greymausg) writes:

> On 2012-12-12, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote:
>
>> I think someone from Bell's family was on the Geographic board of
>> directors. I believe the Bell ads ceased before Divestiture.
>
> Grosvenor?(sp).... There was a lot of hassle for years between the
> really commercial magazines and NG, which was sort of a family club.
> My mother, who had worked in the US, greatly admired the US work
> attitude, used bring home secondhand NG whenever she visited Dublin.
>
> Gro* were inlaws of Bells, or summat like that. The Duke of
> Westminster's family name, AFAIK, is like that, one of the
> wealthiest people in the UK.

I seem to recall seeing a hyphenated melding of the two, i.e.
Bell-Grosvenor.

--
/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)
\/ I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.
X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.
/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:54:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com (Peter Flass) writes:

> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>
>> One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read
>> exactly as they do now, only the names are different.
>
> Sad but true.

But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.
Or was that a "new error"?

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 20:11:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 7:42 am, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>> One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read

>> exactly as they do now, only the names are different.

> Sad but true.

One hundred years ago today (12/12/1912) the NYT had an article on the fights over trade unionsim, very similar to the issues in Michigan yesterday.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 20:32:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <50c894db\$48\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>, spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid (Seymour J.) writes:

> In <ka9tf3\$8cf\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/12/2012

> at 07:38 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

>

>> Good to know, because (AFAIK) Datamation is not yet online and it

>> noted a lot of events that are now historic(al).

>

> ITYM hysterical; they ran a lot of humor[1] in between the more

> serious articles. If you have a copy of "Faith, Hope and Parity" then

> you know whereof I speak.

>

> [1] One of their humorous articles is to blame for the word

> "nybble".

Somewhere I have photocopies of a few of their better articles.

One, titled "The End of OS" describes how OS/360 grew to take over the entire machine, saturating it with its own internal functions. Another article, titled "Big System Games", is a

spooof of the book "Games People Play". Plus there's a collection of nursery rhymes, updated for the computer age - for instance:

Hey diddle diddler,
The cat and the bit-fiddler,
The cow jumped to a routine.
The little DO looped to see such sport
While the disk ran around in the scene.

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)
\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.
X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.
/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 20:35:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, Charlie Gibbs wrote:

> In article <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212112256190.28860@darkstar.example.org>,
> et472@ncf.ca (Michael Black) writes:
>
>> (as I've mentioned, and someone else mentioned just a couple of weeks
>> ago here, a webpage isn't a magazine trying to win the attention of
>> the passerby at a newsstand).
>
> A lot of web page designers (many of whom are thinly disguised
> marketroids) would disagree with you.
>
I know that. If they realized it, they wouldn't have all those webpages with glossy photos on the first page to lure people in.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Jorgen Grahn](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 20:46:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2012-12-11, Peter Flass wrote:

> On 12/11/2012 1:01 PM, philo wrote:

....

>> Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I
>> believe

>
> Probably better for it. I, like probably many others here, got sold a
> set of encyclopedias when my wife and I were newlyweds. The saleslady
> had us seeing visions of happy children clustered around the
> encyclopedia doing their homework.

That's how it was when I grew up. Although I read it for fun, not for homework. All kinds of knowledge, mixed together by collation -- wonderful! Art, technology, politics, natural sciences ...

That was an excellent investment, I think. I'm working class, and didn't have much exposure to any of that elsewhere.

/Jorgen

--
// Jorgen Grahn <grahn@snipabacken.se>
O o . . .

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 21:00:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/12/2012 12:21 PM, Patrick Scheible wrote:
> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
>
>> On 12/11/2012 3:37 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:
>>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:
>>>>
>>>> Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done
>>>> much anymore. But there's a great deal of knowledge available only
>>>> through that medium.
>>>
>>> When I was a kid, my mom would drag me off to large research
>>> libraries where she would work on her genealogy. While she did
>>> that, I would often scan through reels of old newspapers on
>>> microfilm, skipping everything but the comics.
>>>
>>
>> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the
>> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.
>
> That's one problem with the online archives of newspapers etc. They
> archive the stories, not the advertisements. You can often learn a lot
> from ads.
>

Google's _Computerworld_ archives include everything. They have it all indexed, about as well as they index anything, including the ads, and give you the image of the page.

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ben Pfaff](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 21:06:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Stan Barr <plan.b@dsl.pipex.com> writes:

> On Tue, 11 Dec 2012 19:41:51 -0500, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On 12/11/2012 3:37 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:
>>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:
>>>>
>>>> Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done
>>>> much anymore. But there's a great deal of knowledge available only
>>>> through that medium.
>>>
>>> When I was a kid, my mom would drag me off to large research
>>> libraries where she would work on her genealogy. While she did
>>> that, I would often scan through reels of old newspapers on
>>> microfilm, skipping everything but the comics.
>>
>> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the
>> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.
>
> Old adverts _are_ fascinating...I love browsing old radio books and
> magazines.

Someday in the far future, archaeologists will learn about our time by studying archived spam.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 21:07:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/12/2012 12:04 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> B&W was much easier to handle and process than color, and much
> cheaper, too. Kodachrome originally was extremely slow, ASA 10, and
> lens were slower, too.

True on the film speed, but I think not so much on the lenses. I had a 35mm Voigtlander Prominent with an 50mm f1.5 lens, that would have dated from around 1952. 30 years later, maximum apertures had not changed much, a typical 55mm normal lens (for a 35mm camera) had a maximum opening of f1.4 to f2.8 (2.8 is 2 stops slower than 1.4).

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 21:07:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> writes:

- > In WWII, radio had a quarter century to develop and not only was there
- > a more concrete definition of the role radio could play in war, but
- > the technology had improved. Other than transistors, there wasn't a
- > lot of difference between radio use in WWII and radio use in the Viet
- > Nam era.
- > Well, probably radar improved, but that's only because WWII caused
- > radio to be improved, and maybe some of the work wasn't finished by
- > the end of that war.

Technology and War

<http://www.amazon.com/Technology-and-War-ebook/dp/B003LL3LFQ/>

pg180/loc2811-13

every Panzer should come equipped with two-way radio. Their armored divisions thus acquired very great tactical and operational flexibility such as has rarely been equaled before or since. This flexibility, possibly even more than the tanks themselves, constituted the true core of the new style in warfare.

.... snip ...

pg190/loc2968-71:

The Germans, followed by others, also pioneered in using direct radio communications between aircraft and land units down to division level, a vital step if effective air-ground cooperation was to be achieved. As in the case of armor, there is a tendency in the literature to overlook the role played by communications in favor of more spectacular characteristics of performance. However, communications did as much as any other factor to explain the changes that took place in the conduct of air warfare from the First World War to the Second.

.... snip ...

pg228/loc3599-3602

If the British constructed the first operational air defense radar system, it was the Germans who led in the field of electronic navigational aids for bombing. If the Allies built the most powerful internal combustion aircraft engines, the Germans pioneered the revolutionary jet and rocket engines. If the Allies' lead in electronics tended to widen during the last years of the war, it was the Germans who consistently came up with the most powerful tanks as well as the best artillery and machine guns.

.... snip ...

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [cb](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 21:12:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <708.764T1090T6994687@kltpzyxm.invalid>, Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:
> In article <1bfw3cxusn.fsf@snowball.wb.pfeifferfamily.net>, > pfeiffer@cs.nmsu.edu (Joe Pfeiffer) writes:
>
>> cb@mer.df.lth.se (Christian Brunschen) writes:
>>
>>> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just >>> leave this here anyway:
>>>
>>> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>
>>>
>>> // Christian
>>
>> This is beautiful.
>
> What is it supposed to do? On my machine it just puts up a title > page and hangs. Does it not like Seamonkey, perhaps?

It offers a 'Google search' experience as it might have been in the 1960s: punching a card with your query, then a tape drive simulation (watching it seek and read along the tape), and eventually printing the results on a simulated printer with a few simple buttons for direct interaction with the result.

I've tried it in Chrome and Safari on Mac OS X, both work well; I think it uses heavy Javascript and modern-ish HTML5 features.

// Christian

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 21:16:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/12/2012 12:33 PM, Rod Speed wrote:

>
>
> "Shmuel (Seymour J.)Metz" <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote
>> In <ka9tsb\$ag5\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/12/2012
>> at 07:45 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:
>>
>>> On 12/11/2012 8:45 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>> For instance, way back a new house came with
>>>> _nothing_ --things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs
>>>> required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
>>>> not cheap to install.
>>
>>> Especially after you fall off the roof and have all those medical
>>> bills.
>>
>> Besides, in the US there is no more analog TV broadcasting,
>
> Same with Australia.
>
>> and the digital receivers are more finicky,
>
> Nope, much less, actually.

IIRC, we've had this discussion before, and Australia has a different digital broadcast technology than the US, one that is perhaps more tolerant of degraded signals.

The trouble with (US) digital is that it does not degrade gracefully, there is a very thin line between good reception and no reception at all.

>
>> so if you don't have cable you still may need an outdoor antenna.
>
> Nope, most who needed an outdoor antenna with analog
> don't now with digital.

That is not true in the US, unless you're meaning that the people who formerly had analog antennas now have gone to satellite or cable.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [scott](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 21:18:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> writes:
> In article <1bfw3cxusn.fsf@snowball.wb.pfeifferfamily.net>,
> pfeiffer@cs.nmsu.edu (Joe Pfeiffer) writes:
>
>> cb@mer.df.lth.se (Christian Brunschen) writes:
>>
>>> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
>>> leave this here anyway:
>>>
>>> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>
>>>
>>> // Christian
>>
>> This is beautiful.
>
> What is it supposed to do? On my machine it just puts up a title
> page and hangs. Does it not like Seamonkey, perhaps?

Rather clever interactive animation. One punches ones query on a 80-column card; it gets fed to a system/360 and you see the greenbar being produced with the query output (after an animation of a tape search).

scott

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 21:25:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <ka7uf0\$pcn\$1@dont-email.me>,
Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/11/2012 1:01 PM, philo wrote:
>> On 12/11/2012 11:54 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>>> On Tue, 11 Dec 2012, philo wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 12/11/2012 06:47 AM, Peter Flass wrote:
>>>> > On 12/11/2012 5:43 AM, philo wrote:
>>>> >> On 12/11/2012 03:26 AM, Christian Brunschen wrote:
>>>> >>> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just
>>>> >>> leave this here anyway:
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>

>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> // Christian
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> +1
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> > LOL!
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I re-posted the link on Craig's List...computers and one of the
>>>> youngsters there thought that was really the way things were back then.
>>>> I mentioned that in 1965 a Google search consisted of a trip to the
>>>> library!
>>>>
>>> I forget how I worded it, but once I wrote about books and libraries as
>>> if they were webpages and the web. "They used to have this web called
>>> Libraries and webpages called Books", or something like that. Actually
>>> I don't think it was that long ago, and I was pointing out that there is
>>> still a world beyond the internet.
>>>
>>> Michael
>>
>>
>>
>> Yes. however "hard-copy" encyclopedias are now a thing of the past I
>> believe
>>
>
> Probably better for it. I, like probably many others here, got sold a
> set of encyclopedias when my wife and I were newlyweds. The saleslady
> had us seeing visions of happy children clustered around the
> encyclopedia doing their homework. After that we naturally bought the
> update volume every year, waiting for the kids to come along and get old
> enough to actually use the thing, as it got farther and farther out of
> date. I think we finally trashed it about 20 years ago - just got tired
> of lugging it when we moved and short on shelf space.

Did you get the encyclopedia "free" with an obligation to buy the updates? "You'll want the updates to keep it up to date." That scam was big when I was in college. Right up there with the "Music Man". I remember the "Music Man" themed Micky Ds commercial and "They are

paying to tell people their a scam!?", thought Walter Swiftly.

--

This space unintentionally left blank.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 21:46:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote
> Rod Speed wrote
>> Shmuel (Seymour J.)Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote
>>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote
>>>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote

>>>> > For instance, way back a new house came with
>>>> > _nothing_ --things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs
>>>> > required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
>>>> > not cheap to install.

>>>> Especially after you fall off the roof and have all those medical
>>>> bills.

>>> Besides, in the US there is no more analog TV broadcasting,

>> Same with Australia.

>>> and the digital receivers are more finicky,

>> Nope, much less, actually.

> IIRC, we've had this discussion before,

Yes.

> and Australia has a different digital broadcast technology than the US,

Not in any way that affect that antenna question it doesn't.

> one that is perhaps more tolerant of degraded signals.

Fraid not.

> The trouble with (US) digital is that it does not degrade gracefully,
> there is a very thin line between good reception and no reception at all.

That last is wrong too. You always get a more gradual

degradation than that with pixilation being seen before it drops out entirely. And that's true of any digital system.

The real reason for that myth in the US is that while both analog and digital were both being transmitted at once, obviously at different frequencys, the US mostly did have the digital channels at much lower power than they would be once the analog transmissions had been turned off.

>>> so if you don't have cable you still may need an outdoor antenna.

>> Nope, most who needed an outdoor antenna with analog don't now with
>> digital.

> That is not true in the US,

Bullshit.

> unless you're meaning that the people who formerly had analog antennas now
> have gone to satellite or cable.

Nope, I mean they can use an internal antenna instead of an external antenna on the roof.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 22:35:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article
<00f9d227-bf41-46b4-b86b-26a95e6c96f1@a15g2000vbf.googlegroups.com>,
hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>

>>> exactly as they do now, only the names are different.

>

>> Sad but true.

>

> One hundred years ago today (12/12/1912) the NYT had an article on the
> fights over trade unionsim, very similar to the issues in Michigan
> yesterday.

The same problems of bureaucracy as today show up in Gilbert & Sullivan. Same old same old, probably since before the pharaohs.

--

This space unintentionally left blank.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2012 22:55:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-12, Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:
> In article <ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com
> (Peter Flass) writes:
>
>> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>
>>> One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read
>>> exactly as they do now, only the names are different.
>>
>> Sad but true.
>
> But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.
> Or was that a "new error"?
>

Well, consider how centuries were spent in the disputes between the German Holy Roman Emperors and the Italians.

--
maus
.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 00:02:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/12/2012 1:26 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
> On Dec 12, 7:56 am, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>> There hasn't been a month since I was born that the magazine hasn't
>>> arrived each month. And once I could read, mosto of the time I'd look at
>>> the photos, and just read the captions.
>>
>> Especially the exotic native women in traditional dress?
>
> Back then parents would freak out if their son had or even looked at a
> Playboy. But they didn't seem to mind the Geographic.

>

Back then we didn't have Playboy.

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 00:03:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

> On 12/12/2012 12:21 PM, Patrick Scheible wrote:

>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>

>>> On 12/11/2012 3:37 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:

>>>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

>>>>

>>>> > Going through reels of microfilm is a pain and I don't think it's done

>>>> > much anymore. But there's a great deal of knowledge available only

>>>> > through that medium.

>>>>

>>>> When I was a kid, my mom would drag me off to large research

>>>> libraries where she would work on her genealogy. While she did

>>>> that, I would often scan through reels of old newspapers on

>>>> microfilm, skipping everything but the comics.

>>>>

>>>

>>> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the

>>> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.

>>

>> That's one problem with the online archives of newspapers etc. They

>> archive the stories, not the advertisements. You can often learn a lot

>> from ads.

>>

>

> Google's [_Computerworld_](#) archives include everything. They have it

> all indexed, about as well as they index anything, including the ads,

> and give you the image of the page.

Yes, there are some that do that. The New York Times does, too. But they are the exception.

-- Patrick

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 00:24:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

> On Dec 12, 12:33 pm, Patrick Scheible <k...@zipcon.net> wrote:
>
>> Trouble is, scanning the microfilm does tend to piss off the company
>> that did the more difficult work of photographing the original documents
>> and expected to be able to sell their microfilm for the next 50 years.
>> They have copyright law on their side.
>
> Presumably, the scanners did get copyright permission from whoever
> owns it, be it the microfilm company or the original publication.
>
> The NYT display says, "Reproduced with permission of the copyright
> owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission."

Google Books took the attitude that it would be cool to have this information online and they would scan it from whatever source -- print or microfilm, copyright expired or not -- and scan it and let the copyright holders sue if they didn't like it. Note that if a microfilming company microfilmed an out-of-copyright work, they get a new copyright dating from when they did the microfilming. Later Google negotiated rights with some publishers but not others.

-- Patrick

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 01:12:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 4:07 pm, Dave Garland <dave.garl...@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>> B&W was much easier to handle and process than color, and much
>> cheaper, too. Kodachrome originally was extremely slow, ASA 10, and
>> lens were slower, too.
>
> True on the film speed, but I think not so much on the lenses. I had
> a 35mm Voigtlander Prominent with an 50mm f1.5 lens, that would have
> dated from around 1952.

I think that would've been very fast for 1952. My impression was that the fastest 35mm lens back then were about f/2.8 .

But even a fast lens, like f/1.4, had the disadvantage of having

shallow depth of field.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 01:17:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 4:07 pm, Anne & Lynn Wheeler <l...@garlic.com> wrote:

- > If the British constructed the first operational air defense radar
- > system, it was the Germans who led in the field of electronic
- > navigational aids for bombing. If the Allies built the most powerful
- > internal combustion aircraft engines, the Germans pioneered the
- > revolutionary jet and rocket engines. If the Allies' lead in electronics
- > tended to widen during the last years of the war, it was the Germans who
- > consistently came up with the most powerful tanks as well as the best
- > artillery and machine guns.

To disgress a bit, some sources said generally speaking the Germans had higher quality equipment, but the Allies prevailed due to a much higher volume of equipment--enabled by American manufacturing and shipping. (Ref "Sly & Able a Political Biography of James Byrnes).

Wasn't the Norden bombsight was electro-mechanical--essentially an analog computer?

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 01:22:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 4:12 pm, c...@mer.df.lth.se (Christian Brunschen) wrote:

- > It offers a 'Google search' experience as it might have been in the 1960s:
- > punching a card with your query, then a tape drive simulation (watching it
- > seek and read along the tape), and eventually printing the results on a
- > simulated printer with a few simple buttons for direct interation with the
- > result.

I think in the 1960s pioneering on-line systems had a response time of roughly 1-2 minutes, not seconds. This took into account slower comm lines, slower CPU, slower disk, and contention from other users.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 01:57:52 GMT

On 12/12/2012 7:12 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 12, 4:07 pm, Dave Garland <dave.garl...@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>

>>> B&W was much easier to handle and process than color, and much
>>> cheaper, too. Kodachrome originally was extremely slow, ASA 10, and
>>> lens were slower, too.

>>

>> True on the film speed, but I think not so much on the lenses. I had
>> a 35mm Voigtlander Prominent with an 50mm f1.5 lens, that would have
>> dated from around 1952.

>

> I think that would've been very fast for 1952. My impression was that
> the fastest 35mm lens back then were about f/2.8 .

>

> But even a fast lens, like f/1.4, had the disadvantage of having
> shallow depth of field.

>

That's true, although a professional photographer might actually desire that shallow depth of field. You don't always want the background sharp and obtrusive, and there wasn't any Photoshop in those days. If you're focused on infinity, everything not too close to the camera is in focus.

Not sure, but I suspect a publication might have preferred color negative film. I think the original Kodacolor was ASA 25 (introduced 1942). Outdoors with good sunlight, that's not bad. On a sunny day exposure time at f16 is about the ASA speed (i.e. 1/25). Open the lens 2 stops to f8 and increase the shutter speed 2 stops to 1/100. You can even handhold a moderate telephoto if you brace yourself well.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 02:27:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 8:22 pm, hanco...@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> I think in the 1960s pioneering on-line systems had a response time of
> roughly 1-2 minutes, not seconds.

Heck, that can't be right. SABRE was described to have a 3 second response time.

But somewhere I recall reading a description of early on-line systems, and certain systems could be slower, though 1-2 minutes is wrong.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 02:38:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 12, 8:57 pm, Dave Garland <dave.garl...@wizinfo.com> wrote:

> That's true, although a professional photographer might actually
> desire that shallow depth of field. You don't always want the
> background sharp and obtrusive, and there wasn't any Photoshop in
> those days. If you're focused on infinity, everything not too close
> to the camera is in focus.

With f/1.4, you had to be careful that everything you wanted in the picture was in the same plane and of course your focusing was very precise. If you were taking a picture of some people, they all had to be in the same line.

While not a problem today, they say in the 1950s lens weren't as good and recommended against using the minimum or maximum aperture for that reason.

IMHO, f/1.4 is to be used in rare situations.

> Not sure, but I suspect a publication might have preferred color
> negative film.

Very little was done with color back then so I'm not sure. But the N/G appeared to use only slide films.

Slide films were known to be superior in sharpness and color than negative films. They were also cheaper since the printing step was eliminated.

I used to take all slides, but around the 1990s they came out with excellent high speed color print films that were superior to slide films. The Ektachrome 800/1600 was lousy, while Kodacolor 1000 was good. Also, print quality from Kodak developing improved and cost dropped. I switched to print film at that time.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Bernd Felsche](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 05:05:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:
> (Joe Pfeiffer) writes:

>> cb@mer.df.lth.se (Christian Brunschen) writes:

>>> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just

>>> leave this here anyway:

>>>

>>> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>

>>>

>>> // Christian

>>

>> This is beautiful.

> What is it supposed to do? On my machine it just puts up a title

> page and hangs. Does it not like Seamonkey, perhaps?

Try "links".

--

/\" Bernd Felsche - Somewhere in Western Australia

\ / ASCII ribbon campaign | For every complex problem there is an

X against HTML mail | answer that is clear, simple, and wrong.

/\" and postings | --HL Mencken

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 05:22:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article

<e6ad2000-b10d-4044-8552-593354534e65@c28g2000vby.googlegroups.com>,

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com (hancock4) writes:

>

>>> B&W was much easier to handle and process than color, and much

>>> and lens were slower, too.

>>

>> a 35mm Voigtlander Prominent with an 50mm f1.5 lens, that would have

>> dated from around 1952.

>

> I think that would've been very fast for 1952. My impression was that

> the fastest 35mm lens back then were about f/2.8 .

>

> But even a fast lens, like f/1.4, had the disadvantage of having

> shallow depth of field.

Perhaps, but with my f/1.4 lens I did some good indoor available-light photography.

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Bob Martin](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 07:30:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

in 583817 20121212 110739 Nick Spalding <spalding@iol.ie> wrote:

> Different maker, it was Wright's Coal Tar Soap. Now made in Turkey and

> called Wright's Traditional Soap.

> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coal_Tar_Soap>

Really? That's sad.

When I worked on the South Bank in the sixties I used to walk past the factory gates.

Wonderful smell! I still use nothing but Wright's.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 08:38:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message
news:619.764T150T7143391@kltpzyxm.invalid...

> In article <ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com

> (Peter Flass) writes:

>

>> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>

>>> One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read

>>> exactly as they do now, only the names are different.

>>

>> Sad but true.

>

> But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.

> Or was that a "new error"?

>

"We won't get fooled again... Here comes the new boss; same as the old boss."

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 08:38:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message
news:619.764T150T7143391@kltpzyxm.invalid...
> In article <ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com
> (Peter Flass) writes:
>
>> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>
>>> One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read
>>> exactly as they do now, only the names are different.
>>
>> Sad but true.
>
> But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.
> Or was that a "new error"?
>

"We won't get fooled again... Here comes the new boss; same as the old boss."

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Stan Barr](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 10:30:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012 17:12:45 -0800 (PST), hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com
< Hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com > wrote:
> On Dec 12, 4:07 pm, Dave Garland <dave.garl...@wizinfo.com> wrote:
>
>>> B&W was much easier to handle and process than color, and much
>>> cheaper, too. Kodachrome originally was extremely slow, ASA 10, and
>>> lens were slower, too.
>>
>> True on the film speed, but I think not so much on the lenses. I had
>> a 35mm Voigtlander Prominent with an 50mm f1.5 lens, that would have
>> dated from around 1952.

>
> I think that would've been very fast for 1952. My impression was that
> the fastest 35mm lens back then were about f/2.8 .

Leica, Ziess and TTH had f2 or better lenses early '30s onward.
My Reid - 1956 - has an f2 2-inch(!) TTH. (ex-RAF)

>
> But even a fast lens, like f/1.4, had the disadvantage of having
> shallow depth of field.

I've always considered that an advantage - separating the subject from
the background...

--
Cheers,
Stan Barr plan.b .at. dsl .dot. pipex .dot. com

The future was never like this!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Stan Barr](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 10:30:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012 15:16:42 -0600, Dave Garland
<dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>
> IIRC, we've had this discussion before, and Australia has a different
> digital broadcast technology than the US, one that is perhaps more
> tolerant of degraded signals.
>
> The trouble with (US) digital is that it does not degrade gracefully,
> there is a very thin line between good reception and no reception at all.

The UK system goes "blocky" and jerky with a poor signal and
eventually freezes if the signal gets really bad. Uhf here so an
external beam antenna is mandatory unless you're really close to the
transmitter, Basically only one transmitter per region so no rotator
needed. Everyone is switching to FreeSat anyway...

--
Cheers,
Stan Barr plan.b .at. dsl .dot. pipex .dot. com

The future was never like this!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Stan Barr](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 10:30:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012 14:32:51 -0500, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> wrote:

>
> In WWII, radio had a quarter century to develop and not only was there a=20
> more concrete definition of the role radio could play in war, but the=20
> technology had improved. Other than transistors, there wasn't a lot of=20
> difference between radio use in WWII and radio use in the Viet Nam era.
> Well, probably radar improved, but that's only because WWII caused radio=20
> to be improved, and maybe some of the work wasn't finished by the end of=20
> that war.

Quite. I learned Morse code and Army radio procedures (and a whole new vocabulary of swear words) from a gentleman in the Royal Signals who claimed to have walked from Italy to the Rhine with a radio on his back :-)

--
Cheers,
Stan Barr plan.b .at. dsl .dot. pipex .dot. com

The future was never like this!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 12:36:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/12/2012 8:22 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 12, 4:12 pm, c...@mer.df.lth.se (Christian Brunschen) wrote:
>
>> It offers a 'Google search' experience as it might have been in the 1960s:
>> punching a card with your query, then a tape drive simulation (watching it
>> seek and read along the tape), and eventually printing the results on a
>> simulated printer with a few simple buttons for direct interaction with the
>> result.
>
> I think in the 1960s pioneering on-line systems had a response time of
> roughly 1-2 minutes, not seconds. This took into account slower comm
> lines, slower CPU, slower disk, and contention from other users.
>

Are we talking free-text search? STAIRS was quite fast for most operations, certainly much faster than minutes, even adding in the terminal/line delays. Some operations, such as SELECT had to read a record for each document in the database and could be quite lengthy.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 12:38:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/12/2012 8:57 PM, Dave Garland wrote:
> On 12/12/2012 7:12 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>> On Dec 12, 4:07 pm, Dave Garland <dave.garl...@wizinfo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> B&W was much easier to handle and process than color, and much
>>>> cheaper, too. Kodachrome originally was extremely slow, ASA 10, and
>>>> lens were slower, too.
>>>
>>> True on the film speed, but I think not so much on the lenses. I had
>>> a 35mm Voigtlander Prominent with an 50mm f1.5 lens, that would have
>>> dated from around 1952.
>>
>> I think that would've been very fast for 1952. My impression was that
>> the fastest 35mm lens back then were about f/2.8 .
>>
>> But even a fast lens, like f/1.4, had the disadvantage of having
>> shallow depth of field.
>>
>
> That's true, although a professional photographer might actually desire
> that shallow depth of field. You don't always want the background sharp
> and obtrusive, and there wasn't any Photoshop in those days. If you're
> focused on infinity, everything not too close to the camera is in focus.

That's one problem with my current digital camera. My previous one had a lot of settings that mimicked an SLR, but my current one doesn't. Of course having the knowledge and time to use the settings properly was another problem.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Morten Reistad](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 12:40:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <kaarmr\$cur\$1@dont-email.me>,

Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

> On 12/12/2012 12:04 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>

>> B&W was much easier to handle and process than color, and much
>> cheaper, too. Kodachrome originally was extremely slow, ASA 10, and
>> lens were slower, too.

>

> True on the film speed, but I think not so much on the lenses. I had
> a 35mm Voigtlander Prominent with an 50mm f1.5 lens, that would have
> dated from around 1952. 30 years later, maximum apertures had not
> changed much, a typical 55mm normal lens (for a 35mm camera) had a
> maximum opening of f1.4 to f2.8 (2.8 is 2 stops slower than 1.4).

These lenses were expensive, though. Even as late as ca 1970 an
f 1.2 50mm lens could easily cost more than the camera itself.

New lens technologies made these prices come down during the
1970s. We also saw f 1.2 and thereabouts wide angles and short
tele lenses, something that was astronomically expensive pre 1970.

I still have my 28mm f1.2 purchased in New York in 1979, at half
the price it cost locally; but still a small fortune.

I can also confirm the slowness of the Kodachrome films. 25 ASA
was more or less established when I started photographing in ca 1975.
Ektachromes were 100 and 200 ASA. B&W was 400, or even 800 with
some pushing. Or we could use 40 asa extremely fine-grained B&W
film.

There was also colour films from agfa, ilford and fuji that filled
the 100-asa slots, but they were initially inferior to the Kodak
ones, especially in the colour reponses.

-- mrr

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 12:42:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/13/2012 3:38 AM, Charles Richmond wrote:

> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltptyxm.invalid> wrote in message

> news:619.764T150T7143391@kltptyxm.invalid...

>> In article <ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com

>> (Peter Flass) writes:

>>

>>> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>>

>>>> One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read
>>>> exactly as they do now, only the names are different.
>>>
>>> Sad but true.
>>
>> But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.
>> Or was that a "new error"?
>>
>
> "We won't get fooled again... Here comes the new boss; same as the old
> boss."
>

I'm finally old enough to have figured it out. People are people -
Communism, Capitalism, Democracy, Dictatorship, Anarchy - it's all the
same in the end. The outward appearances differ, but the result is that
the in-group is in the driver's seat and the rest of us are sat on.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 13:06:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 07:42:15 -0500
Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/13/2012 3:38 AM, Charles Richmond wrote:
>> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:619.764T150T7143391@kltpzyxm.invalid...
>>> In article <[ka9tn1\\$9of\\$1@dont-email.me](mailto:ka9tn1$9of$1@dont-email.me)>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com
>>> (Peter Flass) writes:
>>>
>>>> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>> > One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read
>>>> > exactly as they do now, only the names are different.
>>>>
>>>> Sad but true.
>>>
>>> But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.
>>> Or was that a "new error"?
>>>
>>
>> "We won't get fooled again... Here comes the new boss; same as the old
>> boss."

>>

>

- > I'm finally old enough to have figured it out. People are people -
- > Communism, Capitalism, Democracy, Dictatorship, Anarchy - it's all the
- > same in the end. The outward appearances differ, but the result is that
- > the in-group is in the driver's seat and the rest of us are sat on.

There are real differences, they're just not covered by those labels. The real differences have to do with how heavily we're sat on, whether there is a real chance of becoming one of the sitters, how easily the sitters can be dislodged and whether this results in the ex-sitter being sat upon or executed.

I like to live in an environment where the sitting pressure is low, there is a good chance of becoming a sitter, and the sitters can be dislodged easily to become sittees. I'd hate to live in an environment where the sitting pressure is very high, there is no chance of becoming a sitter without being born as one and the only way to dislodge a sitter is to kill them at great personal effort and risk.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) Metz](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 14:04:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <1402.764T2486T7525787@kltpzyxm.invalid>, on 12/12/2012
at 12:32 PM, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> said:

- > One, titled "The End of OS" describes how OS/360 grew to take over
- > the entire machine, saturating it with its own internal functions.

Ironic, since by today's standard OS/360 and the other operating systems of its era were quite small. There are kernels larger than all of OS/360, including compilers and utilities.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 14:14:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <proto-79DBCD.17352712122012@news.panix.com>, on 12/12/2012
at 05:35 PM, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> said:

> The same problems of bureaucracy as today show up in Gilbert &
> Sullivan. Same old same old, probably since before the pharaohs.

Each generation believes that it invented sex. When I was younger I
was shocked to discover that scam artists were recycling old scams[1],
that I assumed everybody knew about, yet people were still falling for
them.

[1] E.g., bank examiner, pigeon drop, Spanish prisoner.

--
Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 14:23:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <kaas0g\$f7i\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/12/2012
at 09:12 PM, cb@mer.df.lth.se (Christian Brunschen) said:

> It offers a 'Google search' experience as it might have been in
> the 1960s: punching a card with your query, then a tape drive
> simulation

We had disk drives in the 1960's[1]; for that matter, we had inquiry
stations. Surely a more plausible simulation would have presented
images of a Teletype® or Selectric® typewriter and drums or disk
drives.

[1] Even in the 1950's.

--
Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the

right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 14:31:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

greymaus wrote:

> On 2012-12-12, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>> On Dec 11, 7:41 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the
>>>> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.

>>>

>>> Absolutely.

>>>

>>> The advertising is very informative in its own right. For one, we get
>>> an idea of prices of specific goods and services in a particular
>>> time. Two, we see what was 'hot' in a time span. Three, we get a
>>> feel for the details of various goods and services--details we might
>>> not realize for today. For instance, way back a new house came with
>>> _nothing_--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs
>>> required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
>>> not cheap to install.

>>>

>>> Then we can read about things that have relevance today. For
>>> instance, today we may be arguing whether to tear down some major
>>> building---in the past we'll find an article arguing whether or not to
>>> build it in the first place. (Sometimes the underlying issues remain
>>> the same!)

>>>

>>> In the want ads, we'll see that jobs were separated for men and women
>>> (in some locales also separated by white and colored). We'll see jobs
>>> that no longer exist.

>>>

>>> In the business pages, we'll see an emphasis on "nuts and bolts"
>>> smokestack industries, including literally companies that makes nuts
>>> and bolts. We'll see ads by such companies, with the plant in the
>>> background proudly spewing lots of smoke from its stacks--a sign back
>>> then of prosperity.

>>>

>>

>> Barbara Tuchman wrote about history; her stuff was very, very good
>> because she looked at inventory and grocery lists as part of her
>> research. She also wnet to the areas and walked. This was important

>> when she wrote about WWI; the generals were far away from the fighting
>> and couldn't know what was going on until hours later when a courier
>> arrived with a piece of paper.
>>
>
> It was planned that way so plans would not be changed by misleading news
> in the early stages of an operation.

And the commanders didn't have to see the mess and have high class living conditions.

<snip>
/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 14:31:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> In <ka9tsb\$ag5\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/12/2012
> at 07:45 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:
>
>> On 12/11/2012 8:45 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>> For instance, way back a new house came with
>>> _nothing_--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs
>>> required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
>>> not cheap to install.
>
>> Especially after you fall off the roof and have all those medical
>> bills.
>
> Besides, in the US there is no more analog TV broadcasting, and the
> digital receivers are more finicky, so if you don't have cable you
> still may need an outdoor antenna.
>
There are a few stations which broadcast analog. There are two here.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [kenney](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 15:11:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article
<947033ab-0088-40d0-9047-d4d1c24d3df6@m13g2000vbd.googlegroups.com>,

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com () wrote:

- > Wasn't the Norden bombsight was electro-mechanical--essentially an
- > analog computer?

Yes along with just about all the other fire control systems in use. Mind you the Norden was grossly hyped it was not even the best American bombsight. The main fire control system on a BB had something like fifty inputs and produced a separate solution for each individual barrel. An exception was the gyro sights for light AA which used angular rate to correct a sight for offset using a single gyro.

Ken Young

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 15:14:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <50c9e2c5\$56\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

- > In <proto-79DBCD.17352712122012@news.panix.com>, on 12/12/2012
- > at 05:35 PM, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> said:
- >
- >> The same problems of bureaucracy as today show up in Gilbert &
- >> Sullivan. Same old same old, probably since before the pharaohs.
- >
- > Each generation believes that it invented sex. When I was younger I
- > was shocked to discover that scam artists were recycling old scams[1],
- > that I assumed everybody knew about, yet people were still falling for
- > them.
- >
- > [1] E.g., bank examiner, pigeon drop, Spanish prisoner.

Oh, yes. I frequently break people up with jokes that others categorize as very old jokes.

And remember "Never bet the rent money on a horse race, especially if you know the race is fixed."

--

This space unintentionally left blank.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 15:17:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <50c9e064\$55\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

> In <1402.764T2486T7525787@kltpzyxm.invalid>, on 12/12/2012
> at 12:32 PM, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> said:
>
>> One, titled "The End of OS" describes how OS/360 grew to take over
>> the entire machine, saturating it with its own internal functions.
>
> Ironic, since by today's standard OS/360 and the other operating
> systems of its era were quite small. There are kernels larger than all
> of OS/360, including compilers and utilities.

Size of machines, it's hard to realize the processing power and storage of machines in say the 1980s were. My laptop probably has more storage and a faster CPU than the monsters of that period.

--

This space unintentionally left blank.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 15:30:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/13/2012 10:17 AM, Walter Bushell wrote:

> In article <50c9e064\$55\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,
> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>> In <1402.764T2486T7525787@kltpzyxm.invalid>, on 12/12/2012
>> at 12:32 PM, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> said:
>>
>>> One, titled "The End of OS" describes how OS/360 grew to take over
>>> the entire machine, saturating it with its own internal functions.
>>
>> Ironic, since by today's standard OS/360 and the other operating
>> systems of its era were quite small. There are kernels larger than all
>> of OS/360, including compilers and utilities.
>
> Size of machines, it's hard to realize the processing power and
> storage of machines in say the 1980s were. My laptop probably has more
> storage and a faster CPU than the monsters of that period.
>

My dishwasher probably has more storage and a faster CPU than the monsters of that period

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 17:13:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
news:PM0004D0BCAA7F60E5@ac8385b6.ipt.aol.com...

> greymaus wrote:

>> On 2012-12-12, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

>>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>>> On Dec 11, 7:41 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>> > I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the

>>>> > advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.

>>>>

>>>> Absolutely.

>>>>

>>>> The advertising is very informative in its own right. For one, we get

>>>> an idea of prices of specific goods and services in a particular

>>>> time. Two, we see what was 'hot' in a time span. Three, we get a

>>>> feel for the details of various goods and services--details we might

>>>> not realize for today. For instance, way back a new house came with

>>>> nothing--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs

>>>> required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and

>>>> not cheap to install.

>>>>

>>>> Then we can read about things that have relevance today. For

>>>> instance, today we may be arguing whether to tear down some major

>>>> building--in the past we'll find an article arguing whether or not to

>>>> build it in the first place. (Sometimes the underlying issues remain

>>>> the same!)

>>>>

>>>> In the want ads, we'll see that jobs were separated for men and women

>>>> (in some locales also separated by white and colored). We'll see jobs

>>>> that no longer exist.

>>>>

>>>> In the business pages, we'll see an emphasis on "nuts and bolts"

>>>> smokestack industries, including literally companies that makes nuts

>>>> and bolts. We'll see ads by such companies, with the plant in the

>>>> background proudly spewing lots of smoke from its stacks--a sign back

>>>> then of prosperity.

>>>>

>>>

>>> Barbara Tuchman wrote about history; her stuff was very, very good
>>> because she looked at inventory and grocery lists as part of her
>>> research. She also went to the areas and walked. This was important
>>> when she wrote about WWI; the generals were far away from the fighting
>>> and couldn't know what was going on until hours later when a courier
>>> arrived with a piece of paper.

>>>

>>

>> It was planned that way so plans would not be changed by misleading news
>> in the early stages of an operation.

>

> And the commanders didn't have to see the mess and have high class
> living conditions.

Plenty of the commanders like Rommel weren't that stupid.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 17:16:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012, Peter Flass wrote:

> On 12/13/2012 10:17 AM, Walter Bushell wrote:

>> In article <50c9e064\$55\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,
>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid>
>> wrote:

>>>

>>> In <1402.764T2486T7525787@kltpzyxm.invalid>, on 12/12/2012
>>> at 12:32 PM, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> said:

>>>>

>>>> One, titled "The End of OS" describes how OS/360 grew to take over
>>>> the entire machine, saturating it with its own internal functions.

>>>>

>>>> Ironic, since by today's standard OS/360 and the other operating
>>>> systems of its era were quite small. There are kernels larger than all
>>>> of OS/360, including compilers and utilities.

>>>>

>>>> Size of machines, it's hard to realize the processing power and
>>>> storage of machines in say the 1980s were. My laptop probably has more
>>>> storage and a faster CPU than the monsters of that period.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> My dishwasher probably has more storage and a faster CPU than the monsters of
>>>> that period

>>>>

Toasters even have computers in them. Nothing significant, and likely dwarfed by all but the first mainframe or so, nobody would have thought back then that one could "waste" a computer in something as trivial as a toaster.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 17:19:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

> On Dec 12, 10:16 am, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:
>
>> That's what a lot of intelligence gathering is about, collecting mundane
>> and readily available information, and then putting it together with other
>> bits to see patterns. They may later need to send spies in to get
>> specific information, but it's the routine information that points them
>> there.
>> Even employment ads may reveal things, why is that company suddenly in
>> need of XXX skill?
>
> During WW II, soldiers and defense plant workers were constantly
> reminded to say NOTHING about what they did for the above reason. For
> instance, a reference for making or shipping 'winter coats' could
> indicate an offensive in a cold region.
>
> Warner Bros/Looney Toons produced a humorous cartoon series, "Pvt
> Snafu", as a morale booster, safe, and security reminder. I recommend
> it. The dialogue, some of it in a cute rhyme, was written by Dr.
> Seuss.

Looks like a bunch of them are on Youtube. Thanks for the recommendation.

-- Patrick

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 17:38:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:kaci1u\$u5j\$3@dont-email.me...
> On 12/13/2012 3:38 AM, Charles Richmond wrote:

>> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:619.764T150T7143391@kltpzyxm.invalid...
>>> In article <ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com
>>> (Peter Flass) writes:
>>>
>>>> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>> > One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read
>>>> > exactly as they do now, only the names are different.
>>>>
>>>> Sad but true.
>>>
>>> But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.
>>> Or was that a "new error"?
>>>
>>
>> "We won't get fooled again... Here comes the new boss; same as the old
>> boss."

> I'm finally old enough to have figured it out.

Nope, just had a massive brain fart in your senility.

> People are people - Communism, Capitalism, Democracy, Dictatorship,
> Anarchy - it's all the same in the end.

Like hell it is when you can give the bums the bums rush
if they fuck up badly enough in a decent democracy.

That's what happened when the voters decided that
that fool Hoover had fucked up badly enough, they
gave him the bums rush, right out the door.

That doesn't happen with dictatorship.

> The outward appearances differ, but the result is that the in-group is in
> the driver's seat and the rest of us are sat on.

The difference is that it's a lot easier to give the driver the bums
rush if he's fucked up badly enough in a decent democracy.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 17:41:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Stan Barr <plan.b@dsl.pipex.com> wrote
> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote

- >> IIRC, we've had this discussion before, and Australia has
- >> a different digital broadcast technology than the US,
- >> one that is perhaps more tolerant of degraded signals.

- >> The trouble with (US) digital is that it does not degrade gracefully,
- >> there is a very thin line between good reception and no reception at all.

- > The UK system goes "blocky" and jerky with a poor signal
- > and eventually freezes if the signal gets really bad.

ALL digital TV does. The perfect or nothing is a pig ignorant myth.

- > Uhf here so an external beam antenna is mandatory
- > unless you're really close to the transmitter,

More bullshit, ours is UHF too.

- > Basically only one transmitter per region

That's just plain wrong with Britain.

- > so no rotator needed. Everyone is switching to FreeSat anyway...

Another lie.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 17:42:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 13, 7:38 am, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

- >> That's true, although a professional photographer might actually desire
- >> that shallow depth of field. You don't always want the background sharp
- >> and obtrusive, and there wasn't any Photoshop in those days. If you're
- >> focused on infinity, everything not too close to the camera is in focus..
- >
- > That's one problem with my current digital camera. My previous one had
- > a lot of settings that mimicked an SLR, but my current one doesn't. Of
- > course having the knowledge and time to use the settings properly was
- > another problem.

Converting from film to digital photography was tricky. Certain techniques remained the same, some changed a lot, some changed a little.

My digital SLR can be used in "match needle mode" and manual focus

like my old film SLR, but it's more cumbersome since it's designed for automated usage. My old camera had a split screen viewfinder that made focusing easy; the new camera is tough to focus manually. My old camera was easy to "match the needle" for exposure, including intentional over or under by a 1/2 stop or full stop, while the meter display on my new camera is compressed. But as it turns out, for most pictures the auto focus and semi-auto exposure (I set the shutter speed) works out.

With the digital camera, I usually don't have to worry about light white balance as it handles it automatically and does well in most cases. With print film, they usually corrected the green tint from fluorescent lighting, and sometimes fixed the orange from tungsten lighting. With slide film there was no forgiving. The challenge with slide film with existing indoor lighting was that filters were needed but there wasn't much light to begin with, and the filter would chew up a stop or two (eg the 80A or FLD).

The digital camera seems to have less exposure latitude--scenes with high contrast do not come very well (eg part of a scene in sunshine, part in shadow).

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 17:51:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 13, 9:04 am, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz
<spamt...@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

> Ironic, since by today's standard OS/360 and the other operating
> systems of its era were quite small. There are kernels larger than all
> of OS/360, including compilers and utilities.

The 2009 "Principles of Operation" for Z/OS has 1,344 pages. The 1973 S/370 version had 314 pages. The 1966 S/360 version had 168 pages.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 17:56:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 13, 12:16 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

> Toasters even have computers in them. Nothing significant, and likely
> dwarfed by all but the first mainframe or so, nobody would have thought
> back then that one could "waste" a computer in something as trivial as a

> toaster.

What does a computer do in a toaster?

I thought the 'logic' of a toaster was reasonably simple--a sliding thermostat based on user selection combined with existing heat. That is, the toaster knows to work longer for its first piece of bread than later pieces since it's already warmed up.

Side note: For some reason, IBM designed some stand alone floppy drives (Displaywriter?) that looked like toasters. I think it was intentional to be artsy.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Morten Reistad](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:04:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <kacr4\$tct\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
> On 12/13/2012 10:17 AM, Walter Bushell wrote:
>> In article <50c9e064\$55\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>, >> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> >> wrote:
>>
>>> In <1402.764T2486T7525787@kltpzyxm.invalid>, on 12/12/2012 >>> at 12:32 PM, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> said:
>>>
>>>> One, titled "The End of OS" describes how OS/360 grew to take over >>>> the entire machine, saturating it with its own internal functions.
>>>
>>> Ironic, since by today's standard OS/360 and the other operating >>> systems of its era were quite small. There are kernels larger than all >>> of OS/360, including compilers and utilities.
>>
>> Size of machines, it's hard to realize the processing power and >> storage of machines in say the 1980s were. My laptop probably has more >> storage and a faster CPU than the monsters of that period.
>>
>
> My dishwasher probably has more storage and a faster CPU than the > monsters of that period

The monsters were the Cray line of computers. And they were a bit faster than what you are implying.

The Cray-1 was produced from 1976 till 1982, 80 Mhz, vector processor. About equal to a Pentium-II with lots of cache memory in scalar performance, and

another order of magnitude faster on vector code. Or about equal to the modern extreme low-power ARM processors widely used in iPhones etc.

There were the XMP, Cray 2 and Cray YMP during the 1980s. The YMP arrived in 1988, and ran at 333 megaflops per processor. There were 2,4,6 or 8 of these. This should imply a vector performance about equal to half of what a modern intel core for single processor performance, and about an order of magnitude less for scalar code.

My 4xi5, pretty top of the line, laptop should just about run at parity with a Cray Y-MP with 8 processors (1988), and an iPhone5 should just about match a Cray X-MP (1976) running vectorisable code.

-- mrr

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:08:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 13, 7:40 am, Morten Reistad <fi...@last.name> wrote:

>
> These lenses were expensive, though. Even as late as ca 1970 an
> f 1.2 50mm lens could easily cost more than the camera itself.

Getting that extra speed was very costly. f/1.4 normal lens were relatively common in the 1970s, but to get to f/1.2 cost a lot of money as you said. Budget minded users could get an f/1.8 or f/2 normal lens. But one advantage of a fast lens was that the viewfinder was brighter.

> I can also confirm the slowness of the Kodachrome films. 25 ASA
> was more or less established when I started photographing in ca 1975.
> Ektachromes were 100 and 200 ASA. B&W was 400, or even 800 with
> some pushing. Or we could use 40 asa extremely fine-grained B&W
> film.

ASA 25 is a full stop better than ASA 10 or 12, and at that low speed it makes a difference. IIRC, in 1975 Kodachrome was available as 25 or 64, and Ektachrome was available as 64 and "high speed" of 160 (with push processing to 320). There was also a tungsten version of Ektachrome.

For b&w, there was a "Panatomic" at ASA 32 (special processing recommended to maximize sharpness), Plus at ASA 125 (which didn't seem to get much use), and the very popular Trix-X as ASA 400. There was

also a very high speed coarse 'recording film' in black & white.

- > There was also colour films from agfa, ilford and fuji that filled
- > the 100-asa slots, but they were initially inferior to the Kodak
- > ones, especially in the colour reponses.

For print films, Kodacolor was 80, Vericolor (professional) was 100.

For years, Kodak films and processing set the standard for best quality. My Kodak processing pictures remain sharp, but some of Agfa ones have faded away. However, some time later Fuji came out with some good film and took a lot of business away from Kodak.

I always felt Kodak treated its customers very well, with excellent support, so I always stuck with them.

As an aside, the former Fair Lawn NJ lab facility site is being redeveloped.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Morten Reistad](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:23:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <ffce397c-990a-4771-a460-f6529f3add05@n5g2000vbk.googlegroups.com>, <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote:

>>

- >
- >> I can also confirm the slowness of the Kodachrome films. 25 ASA
- >> was more or less established when I started photographing in ca 1975.
- >> Ektachromes were 100 and 200 ASA. B&W was 400, or even 800 with
- >> some pushing. Or we could use 40 asa extremely fine-grained B&W
- >> film.

- >
- > ASA 25 is a full stop better than ASA 10 or 12, and at that low speed
- > it makes a difference. IIRC, in 1975 Kodachrome was available as 25
- > or 64, and Ektachrome was availble as 64 and "high speed" of 160 (with
- > push processing to 320). There was also a tungsten version of
- > Ektachrome.

Thanks for filling in the detail.

- > For b&w, there was a "Panatomic" at ASA 32 (special processing
- > recommended to maximize sharpness), Plus at ASA 125 (which didn't seem
- > to get much use), and the very popular Trix-X as ASA 400. There was

> also a very high speed coarse 'recording film' in black & white.

The Tri-X could be pushed to 800 and beyond, but it got a bit grainy doing so.

I still have the process sheets for all of these processes (Panatomic, Plus-x and tri-x) ; but I dumped the rest of the chemicals when I moved last.

>> There was also colour films from agfa, ilford and fuji that filled
>> the 100-asa slots, but they were initially inferior to the Kodak
>> ones, especially in the colour reponses.

>
> For print films, Kodacolor was 80, Vericolor (professional) was 100.

>
> For years, Kodak films and processing set the standard for best
> quality. My Kodak processing pictures remain sharp, but some of Agfa
> ones have faded away. However, some time later Fuji came out with
> some good film and took a lot of business away from Kodak.

Fuji had to make a few tries before they made a film that could match Kodak, but when they got there they delivered the goods consistently.

> I always felt Kodak treated its customers very well, with excellent
> support, so I always stuck with them.

>
> As an aside, the former Fair Lawn NJ lab facility site is being
> redeveloped.

It is a shame to see such an excellent company go under like this, but that's life. I hope a "new and smaller" Kodak can be put together from the ch11 proceedings.

-- mrr

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:56:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 13, 12:16 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

>
>> Toasters even have computers in them. Nothing significant, and likely
>> dwarfed by all but the first mainframe or so, nobody would have thought
>> back then that one could "waste" a computer in something as trivial as a
>> toaster.

>
> What does a computer do in a toaster?

>
I don't know, but it adds a level of complication, since traditionally a toaster was mechanical and you could fashion replacement pieces if needed. I suspect this was a custom IC for the controller, and thus kills the toaster if it goes bad.

> I thought the 'logic' of a toaster was reasonably simple--a sliding
> thermostat based on user selection combined with existing heat. That
> is, the toaster knows to work longer for its first piece of bread than
> later pieces since it's already warmed up.

>
They have buttons now to select specialty things like bagel toasting, and I don't know what. The IC took those button controls, and then issued something to a triac or something that controlled the heat element. As I said, it wasn't very smart, but they clearly saw value in having it rather than a presumably larger set of discrete components. It's a tradeoff, it probably costs a bit more, but if it replaces more parts, then you save on parts and assembly cost.

> Side note: For some reason, IBM designed some stand alone floppy
> drives (Displaywriter?) that looked like toasters. I think it was
> intentional to be artsy.
>

I was just looking at an issue of Electronics Illustrated from 1971. They had a "news" page, and one time was about how one company has figured out how to use audio cassettes to store programs. I think it was a recognizable name, but can't remember already. And then the brief piece says "this probably makes way for home computers".

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 19:29:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-13, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> wrote:
> In article <50c9e2c5\$56\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,
> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>> In <proto-79DBCD.17352712122012@news.panix.com>, on 12/12/2012
>> at 05:35 PM, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> said:
>>
>>> The same problems of bureaucracy as today show up in Gilbert &

>>> Sullivan. Same old same old, probably since before the pharaohs.
>>
>> Each generation believes that it invented sex. When I was younger I
>> was shocked to discover that scam artists were recycling old scams[1],
>> that I assumed everybody knew about, yet people were still falling for
>> them.
>>
>> [1] E.g., bank examiner, pigeon drop, Spanish prisoner.
>
> Oh, yes. I frequently break people up with jokes that others
> categorize as very old jokes.
>
> And remember "Never bet the rent money on a horse race, especially if
> you know the race is fixed."
>

For most purposes, horse races are fixed. say 10 horses in race, couple
can win, most others _may_ just ruin the good odds they are building
up by getting a place (2nd, 3rd).

--
maus
.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 19:33:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote
> Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote

>> Toasters even have computers in them. Nothing significant,
>> and likely dwarfed by all but the first mainframe or so,
>> nobody would have thought back then that one could
>> "waste" a computer in something as trivial as a toaster.

> What does a computer do in a toaster?

Decide how long to heat the toast for to get a consistent
result with varying types of bread, varying age of the bread,
how to deal with frozen bread, asymmetric stuff like crumpets,
how to allow the user to have a look at the result and tell the
toaster to give it some more time, etc etc etc.

- > I thought the 'logic' of a toaster was reasonably simple--a sliding
- > thermostat based on user selection combined with existing heat.

Fraid it's a tad more complicated than that in practice.

- > That is, the toaster knows to work longer for its first piece
- > of bread than later pieces since it's already warmed up.

Ditto.

Turns out you have a lot more control over the process with a computer than with a very simple thermostat.

- > Side note: For some reason, IBM designed some stand
- > alone floppy drives (Displaywriter?) that looked like
- > toasters. I think it was intentional to be artsy.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 19:54:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 13, 1:56 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

- > I don't know, but it adds a level of complication, since traditionally a
- > toaster was mechanical and you could fashion replacement pieces if needed..

For a very long time toasters have been cheap enough so that if it broke you just bought a new one. The labor cost and inconvenience to fix it would usually exceed replacement cost.

- >> I thought the 'logic' of a toaster was reasonably simple--a sliding
- >> thermostat based on user selection combined with existing heat. That
- >> is, the toaster knows to work longer for its first piece of bread than
- >> later pieces since it's already warmed up.

- > They have buttons now to select specialty things like bagel toasting, and
- > I don't know what. The IC took those button controls, and then issued
- > something to a triac or something that controlled the heat element. As I
- > said, it wasn't very smart, but they clearly saw value in having it rather
- > than a presumably larger set of discrete components. It's a tradeoff, it
- > probably costs a bit more, but if it replaces more parts, then you save on
- > parts and assembly cost.

Does the heat element now have different intensities? Or are those additional button controls basically window dressing?

I discovered my washing machine controls were mostly window dressing; that is, different wash options were basically all the same thing except for timing.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 20:26:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> On Dec 13, 1:56=A0pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

>
>
>

>> I don't know, but it adds a level of complication, since traditionally a
>> toaster was mechanical and you could fashion replacement pieces if neede=
d.

>

> For a very long time toasters have been cheap enough so that if it
> broke you just bought a new one. The labor cost and inconvenience to
> fix it would usually exceed replacement cost.

>

The reason I saw the microcontroller was because this toaster had failed,=
=20

and I wanted to fix it. If I recall, it was something simple like=20
sparking contacts making contacts tarnished. It was already more=20
complicated than I expected, I thought when you pressed down on the
lever, it was held down mechanically, but instead, it makes=20
contact, which in turn activates an electromagnet. With the contacts
tarnished, the electromagnet wasn't kicking in to hold the lever down.

I got it going again, but in the process the plastic on the level was=20
broken (I had to remove it to get the insides out of the case) and before=
=20

I had a chance to fix that, there was a new toaster, nice and cheap.

Wait, there were two cheap toasters, the current one is much fancier. And=
=20

it's failed. I suspect again something simple. I don't think there's=20
likely to be anything different inside, just a fancier case and brand=20
name.

>>>> I thought the 'logic' of a toaster was reasonably simple--a sliding
>>> thermostat based on user selection combined with existing heat. =A0That
>>> is, the toaster knows to work longer for its first piece of bread than
>>> later pieces since it's already warmed up.

>
>
>> They have buttons now to select specialty things like bagel toasting, and
d
>> I don't know what. The IC took those button controls, and then issued
>> something to a triac or something that controlled the heat element. As
s I
>> said, it wasn't very smart, but they clearly saw value in having it rather
er
>> than a presumably larger set of discrete components. It's a tradeoff,=
it
>> probably costs a bit more, but if it replaces more parts, then you save on
n
>> parts and assembly cost.

>
> Does the heat element now have different intensities? Or are those
> additional button controls basically window dressing?
>
There used to be a control to vary the toasting level, the knobs are just a
=20
preset method of doing that.

If I recall, there was a triac controlling the heating element, so I'd
guess that the microcomputer is controlling the heat element by
controlling how much of the AC cycle is on and off (just like in a light
dimmer, except those don't need a computer, just a potentiometer and
capacitor, to control when in the AC cycle the triac turns off).

> I discovered my washing machine controls were mostly window dressing;
> that is, different wash options were basically all the same thing
> except for timing.

>
Except timing is important. The alternative would be a bunch of buttons
so you could program every element separately, and I suspect most people
don't want that.

I worry about the washing machine here. It's getting old, and I wonder
how many times the control can be turned. I figure one day it will break
from wear, and there goes the washing machine, surely no replacement parts
for it.

I had a good food processor and the on/off switch eventually broke. Not
only could I not get a replacement part, but the switch mounts in a square
hole that nothing really matches, and it has an odd set of contacts to
bring in the startup capacitor and then take that out of the circuit. So

=20

it sits in a box, the motor too good to toss out, yet unable to be used=20
for the sake of a switch that can't really cost more than a few dollars.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 20:28:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 11:54:11 -0800 (PST)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

- > I discovered my washing machine controls were mostly window dressing;
- > that is, different wash options were basically all the same thing
- > except for timing.

Now that's surprising, they should also vary by water temperature, spin speed and optional phases. Modern washing machine controllers also tend to do things like weighing the load by measuring the response to impulsive movement and controlling vibration by fine control of motor drive current and timing.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 20:39:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote

- > Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote

- >> I don't know, but it adds a level of complication, since traditionally a
- >> toaster was mechanical and you could fashion replacement pieces if
- >> needed.

- > For a very long time toasters have been cheap enough
- > so that if it broke you just bought a new one.

Yes.

> The labor cost

There is no labor cost if you fix it yourself and the older mechanical toasters are easy enough for anyone to fix themselves.

> and inconvenience to fix it would
> usually exceed replacement cost.

The effort may well not be worth it.

>>> I thought the 'logic' of a toaster was reasonably simple--a sliding
>>> thermostat based on user selection combined with existing heat.
>>> That is, the toaster knows to work longer for its first piece of bread
>>> than later pieces since it's already warmed up.

>> They have buttons now to select specialty things like bagel toasting, and
>> I don't know what. The IC took those button controls, and then issued
>> something to a triac or something that controlled the heat element. As I
>> said, it wasn't very smart, but they clearly saw value in having it
>> rather
>> than a presumably larger set of discrete components. It's a tradeoff, it
>> probably costs a bit more, but if it replaces more parts, then you save on
>> parts and assembly cost.

> Does the heat element now have different intensities?

Yes, particularly when you toast asymmetric stuff like crumpets
and when they toast other stuff like frozen bread too.

> Or are those additional button controls basically window dressing?

Nope, they do useful stuff if you do more than just very basic toasting.

> I discovered my washing machine controls were mostly window dressing; that
> is, different wash options were basically all the same thing except for
> timing.

That's not window dressing, the timing differences are important.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [D.J.](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 21:02:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 09:11:35 -0600, kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk wrote:

> In article
> <947033ab-0088-40d0-9047-d4d1c24d3df6@m13g2000vbd.googlegroups.com>,
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com () wrote:

>
>> Wasn't the Norden bombsight was electro-mechanical--essentially an
>> analog computer?
>
> Yes along with just about all the other fire control systems in use.
> Mind you the Norden was grossly hyped it was not even the best American
> bombsight. The main fire control system on a BB had something like fifty
> inputs and produced a separate solution for each individual barrel. An
> exception was the gyro sights for light AA which used angular rate to
> correct a sight for offset using a single gyro.
>
> Ken Young

The Norden was proofed in the then clear dry air of the US Southwest.
It didn't work as well in the damp, cloudy, foggy European weather.

..
JimP.

--
Brushing aside the thorns so I can see the stars.
<http://www.linuxgazette.net/> Linux Gazette
<http://www.drivein-jim.net/> Drive-In movie theaters
<http://story.drivein-jim.net/> A story Feb, 2011

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [D.J.](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 21:05:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 09:14:29 -0500, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz
<spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:
> In <proto-79DBCD.17352712122012@news.panix.com>, on 12/12/2012
> at 05:35 PM, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> said:
>
>> The same problems of bureaucracy as today show up in Gilbert &
>> Sullivan. Same old same old, probably since before the pharaohs.
>
> Each generation believes that it invented sex. When I was younger I
> was shocked to discover that scam artists were recycling old scams[1],
> that I assumed everybody knew about, yet people were still falling for
> them.
>
> [1] E.g., bank examiner, pigeon drop, Spanish prisoner.

i was shocked, about 5 years ago, to learn the Spanish prisoner
routine is over 400 years old, and that the Nigerian 914 scams are
based on it.

..
JimP.

--

Brushing aside the thorns so I can see the stars.
<http://www.linuxgazette.net/> Linux Gazette
<http://www.drivein-jim.net/> Drive-In movie theaters
<http://story.drivein-jim.net/> A story Feb, 2011

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 21:07:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 13/12/2012 17:16, Michael Black wrote:
{snip}

> Toasters even have computers in them. Nothing significant, and likely
> dwarfed by all but the first mainframe or so, nobody would have thought
> back then that one could "waste" a computer in something as trivial as a
> toaster.
>
> Michael
>
Toaster - they would probably assume that someone had found a use for
the computer's waste heat.

Andrew Swallow

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 21:25:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 15:05:27 -0600
JimP. <pongbill127@cableone.net> wrote:

> i was shocked, about 5 years ago, to learn the Spanish prisoner
> routine is over 400 years old, and that the Nigerian 914 scams are
> based on it.

I'm a little surprised to hear that it isn't *much* older than that.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 21:38:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012, JimP. wrote:

> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 09:14:29 -0500, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz
> <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:
>> In <proto-79DBCD.17352712122012@news.panix.com>, on 12/12/2012
>> at 05:35 PM, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> said:
>>
>>> The same problems of bureaucracy as today show up in Gilbert &
>>> Sullivan. Same old same old, probably since before the pharaohs.
>>
>> Each generation believes that it invented sex. When I was younger I
>> was shocked to discover that scam artists were recycling old scams[1],
>> that I assumed everybody knew about, yet people were still falling for
>> them.
>>
>> [1] E.g., bank examiner, pigeon drop, Spanish prisoner.
>
> i was shocked, about 5 years ago, to learn the Spanish prisoner
> routine is over 400 years old, and that the Nigerian 914 scams are
> based on it.

I think I gathered from the name that it was old. Maybe I just assumed it was connected with the Crusades or something.

There's a movie of the same name that is quite good, from the mid-nineties I think. It twists and turns and I didn't see where it was going until it was over.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 22:10:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 13, 3:28 pm, Ahem A Rivet's Shot <ste...@eircom.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 11:54:11 -0800 (PST)
>
> hanco...@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>> I discovered my washing machine controls were mostly window dressing;
>> that is, different wash options were basically all the same thing
>> except for timing.
>
> Now that's surprising, they should also vary by water temperature,

- > spin speed and optional phases. Modern washing machine controllers also
- > tend to do things like weighing the load by measuring the response to
- > impulsive movement and controlling vibration by fine control of motor drive
- > current and timing.

There are separate knobs for the water temperature and water level.

But the main control is a rotating knob with different options on it. I think the only difference is a lighter cycle for delicates and a built-in pause for permanent press (which has no effect on the clothes). All the other differences were for time of wash cycle, eg a "QUICK WASH" setting was 6 minutes as compared to a regular wash setting of 15 minutes. In other words, I could just set the regular wash at 6 minutes to get a "quick wash".

Anyway, the main control knob looks fancier than it really is.

As an aside, back in college I had to do a business paper on the Maytag Company. Back then they were very conservative--had very little debt--and built high quality washers. According to our classes, they were not run optimally since they depended too much on equity financing instead of a balance between equity and debt. Anyway, our family had Maytags and they worked very well. The company was since bought out, and I don't know if the high quality is still the same.

I use a Whirlpool now, and I think in years back they had some association with RCA.

Speaking of washers and returning to computers, there was a core manufacturing device that had wringers on it like an old fashioned washing machine. This helped align the components.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 22:13:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/13/2012 11:56 AM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

- > Side note: For some reason, IBM designed some stand alone floppy
- > drives (Displaywriter?) that looked like toasters. I think it was
- > intentional to be artsy.

The Displaywriter did have stand alone floppy drives. I guess they did look sort of like a big toaster set on end (<http://www.obsoletecomputermuseum.org/displayw/>) except for the latches. Well, you have to package them somehow, there wasn't any

room in the system box, especially for a pair of 8" drives.

>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 23:27:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote

>> Side note: For some reason, IBM designed some stand alone floppy drives
>> (Displaywriter?) that looked like toasters. I think it was intentional
>> to be artsy.

> The Displaywriter did have stand alone floppy drives. I guess they did
> look sort of like a big toaster set on end

Only in the sense that its got two slots.

> (<http://www.obsoletecomputermuseum.org/displayw/>) except for the
> latches. Well, you have to package them somehow, there wasn't any room in
> the system box, especially for a pair of 8" drives.

But it was more common to do them horizontally like with the DEC RX01.
<http://www.chdickman.com/rx02/>

Admittedly those don't look anything like a toaster.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 00:18:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
news:PM0004D0BC9230294A@ac8385b6.ipt.aol.com...

> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>> In <ka9tsb\$ag5\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/12/2012

>> at 07:45 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

>>

>>> On 12/11/2012 8:45 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>>> For instance, way back a new house came with

>>>> _nothing_ --things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs

>>>> required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and

>>>> not cheap to install.

>>

>>> Especially after you fall off the roof and have all those medical

>>> bills.

>>

>> Besides, in the US there is no more analog TV broadcasting, and the
>> digital receivers are more finicky, so if you don't have cable you
>> still may need an outdoor antenna.

>>

> There are a few stations which broadcast analog. There are two here.
>

BAH, I thought by law that analog TV signals were *no* longer allowed for broadcast. Are the analog stations you receive... coming from Canada???

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 00:22:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Michael Black" <et472@ncf.ca> wrote in message
news:alpine.LNX.2.02.1212121424460.30997@darkstar.example.org...

> On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, Charles Richmond wrote:

>>

>> [snip...] [snip...]

>> [snip...]

>> The New Yorker magazine has *all* its issues online back to 1920's, free
>> to use for *subscribers*.

>>

> I think The Atlantic does the same. I know I registered at some point.

>

> On one hand, it means you have to subscribe, on the other if you already
> subscribe you get access for free.

>

Allowing subscribers-only access to a magazine's online content... is a left-handed way of getting more people to subscribe to the print magazine.

There is an article in the New Yorker... that was an essay re-printed in an English literature anthology back in the 70's. In the anthology, the piece was called "Why I Hate Women" and it was by James Thurber. I searched online for it... but it appears I can only get it via the New Yorker site.

Subscriptions are a little too pricey for me.

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 00:31:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Michael Black" <et472@ncf.ca> wrote in message
news:alpine.LNX.2.02.1212121426120.30997@darkstar.example.org...
On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> WWU, which is what Barbara Tuchman is known for writing about, and only
> rudimentary radio. If nothing else, a "good" radio was so bulky that I
> think many receivers were reduced to "crystal radios", and everyone still
> thought the higher frequencies were useless, so they were all jammed into
> a small space and required really long antennas. Transmitters were
> probably mostly still spark gaps, which were really inefficient, and put
> out a broad signal. I suspect in many cases, radio in WWI was one way,
> likely from the back to the front. I think they were still working out how
> to use radio in war (just like the airplane).
>
> On the other hand, WWI caused Howard Armstrong to create the
> superheterodyne receiver, which is the foundation of so much that came
> later.
>

Yes, Edwin Howard Armstrong was a radio *genius*!!!

> In WWII, radio had a quarter century to develop and not only was there a
> more concrete definition of the role radio could play in war, but the
> technology had improved. Other than transistors, there wasn't a lot of
> difference between radio use in WWII and radio use in the Viet Nam era.
> Well, probably radar improved, but that's only because WWII caused radio
> to be improved, and maybe some of the work wasn't finished by the end of
> that war.

In WWII, frequency hopping radio had been invented. It was invented by the
very beautiful actress Hedy Lamarr, along with composer George Antheil.
BAH, Hedy Lamarr was *no* dummy... However she was perceived, her
intelligence is *not* in doubt. (Not by me, anyway.)

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Uncle Steve](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 00:32:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, Dec 13, 2012 at 03:26:44PM -0500, Michael Black wrote:

> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>

>> On Dec 13, 1:56

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 00:34:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:kaci1u\$u5j\$3@dont-email.me...

> On 12/13/2012 3:38 AM, Charles Richmond wrote:

>> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message

>> news:619.764T150T7143391@kltpzyxm.invalid...

>>> In article <ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com

>>> (Peter Flass) writes:

>>>

>>>> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>>>

>>>> > One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read

>>>> > exactly as they do now, only the names are different.

>>>>

>>>> Sad but true.

>>>

>>> But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.

>>> Or was that a "new error"?

>>>

>>

>> "We won't get fooled again... Here comes the new boss; same as the old
>> boss."

>>

>

> I'm finally old enough to have figured it out. People are people -

> Communism, Capitalism, Democracy, Dictatorship, Anarchy - it's all the

> same in the end. The outward appearances differ, but the result is that

> the in-group is in the driver's seat and the rest of us are sat on.

>

Sat on and spat on. The Golden Rule: "He who has the gold, makes the
rules."

I think this has a lot to do with our genetic make-up... we still are
driven by our "reptile brains", a primitive part of the brain that causes us
to act instinctively.

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 00:42:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in message
news:20121213130645.7563022ef8a8225ddeb1592@eircom.net...

>
> [snip...] [snip...]
> [snip...]
>
> I like to live in an environment where the sitting pressure is low,
> there is a good chance of becoming a sitter, and the sitters can be
> dislodged easily to become sittees. I'd hate to live in an environment
> where the sitting pressure is very high, there is no chance of becoming a
> sitter without being born as one and the only way to dislodge a sitter is
> to kill them at great personal effort and risk.
>

You are "mighty right" Steve! Look at those unfortunate people in North Korea. North Korea is *w-a-a-a-y-y* beyond simply repressive. When a person is considered to "revolt" against the government... the *whole* family goes to a prison camp for *three* generations. The people interned in these camps are worked unmercifully and fed *very* little. A school "teacher" may *kill* a child if the child hoards two or three kernels of corn. Public executions are held there of anyone *not* following the draconian rules.

If all this seems unbelievable to you (it does *sound* unbelievable), check it out...

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 00:43:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> wrote in message
news:kads65\$bv3\$1@dont-email.me...

> "Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:kaci1u\$u5j\$3@dont-email.me...
>> On 12/13/2012 3:38 AM, Charles Richmond wrote:
>>> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message
>>> news:619.764T150T7143391@kltpzyxm.invalid...
>>>> In article <ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com
>>>> (Peter Flass) writes:

>>>>
>>>> > On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>> >
>>>> >> One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read
>>>> >> exactly as they do now, only the names are different.
>>>> >
>>>> > Sad but true.
>>>>
>>>> But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.
>>>> Or was that a "new error"?
>>>>
>>>
>>> "We won't get fooled again... Here comes the new boss; same as the old
>>> boss."
>>>
>>
>> I'm finally old enough to have figured it out. People are people -
>> Communism, Capitalism, Democracy, Dictatorship, Anarchy - it's all the
>> same in the end. The outward appearances differ, but the result is that
>> the in-group is in the driver's seat and the rest of us are sat on.
>>
>
> Sat on and spat on. The Golden Rule: "He who has the gold, makes the
> rules."

Hasn't worked like that in the great democracys for a hell of a long time now.

> I think this has a lot to do with our genetic make-up... we still are
> driven by our "reptile brains", a primitive part of the brain that causes
> us to act instinctively.

Even sillier.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 00:48:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Michael Black" <et472@ncf.ca> wrote in message
news:alpine.LNX.2.02.1212131516060.1149@darkstar.example.org...

>
> [snip...] [snip...]
> [snip...]
>

> I had a good food processor and the on/off switch eventually broke. Not
> only could I not get a replacement part, but the switch mounts in a square
> hole that nothing really matches, and it has an odd set of contacts to

> bring in the startup capacitor and then take that out of the circuit. So
> it sits in a box, the motor too good to toss out, yet unable to be used
> for the sake of a switch that can't really cost more than a few dollars.

Mr. Michael Black, you are revolting against "the way of the world".
As the Japanese say: "The nail that sticks out... gets hammered down."

Here are the rules:

- 1) If it does *not* work, it's *broken*.
- 2) Broken things can *not* be fixed.
- 3) If it can be fixed, hit it with a 25 pound sledge hammer. Now see rule two.

;-)

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 01:14:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/13/2012 5:10 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>
> As an aside, back in college I had to do a business paper on the
> Maytag Company. Back then they were very conservative--had very
> little debt--and built high quality washers. According to our
> classes, they were not run optimally since they depended too much on
> equity financing instead of a balance between equity and debt.
> Anyway, our family had Maytags and they worked very well. The company
> was since bought out, and I don't know if the high quality is still
> the same.

No. I bought a Maytag for the reputation and found out it's the same
junk everyone is selling.

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 01:17:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/13/2012 7:22 PM, Charles Richmond wrote:
> "Michael Black" <et472@ncf.ca> wrote in message
> news:alpine.LNX.2.02.1212121424460.30997@darkstar.example.org...
>> On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, Charles Richmond wrote:
>>>
>>> [snip...] [snip...] [snip...]
>>> The New Yorker magazine has *all* its issues online back to 1920's,
>>> free to use for *subscribers*.
>>>
>> I think The Atlantic does the same. I know I registered at some point.
>>
>> On one hand, it means you have to subscribe, on the other if you
>> already subscribe you get access for free.
>>
>
> Allowing subscribers-only access to a magazine's online content... is a
> left-handed way of getting more people to subscribe to the print magazine.
>
> There is an article in the New Yorker... that was an essay re-printed in
> an English literature anthology back in the 70's. In the anthology, the
> piece was called "Why I Hate Women" and it was by James Thurber. I
> searched online for it... but it appears I can only get it via the New
> Yorker site. Subscriptions are a little too pricey for me.
>

I believe some magazines also have online-only subscriptions for a lower price.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 01:50:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 13, 8:17 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> I believe some magazines also have online-only subscriptions for a lower
> price.

Several of my hobbyist publications have gone that route. It's a big saving. I myself like the hard copy because I can read it anywhere

anytime. If I spill soda on it or leave it on the subway it's not the end of the world.

Newsweek magazine will discontinue its hard copy very shortly.

Regular newspapers keep cutting back.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 03:32:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012, Charles Richmond wrote:

> "jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:PM0004D0BC9230294A@ac8385b6.ipt.aol.com...
>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
>>> In <ka9tsb\$ag5\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/12/2012
>>> at 07:45 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:
>>>
>>>> On 12/11/2012 8:45 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>> > For instance, way back a new house came with
>>>> > _nothing_--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs
>>>> > required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
>>>> > not cheap to install.
>>>>
>>>> Especially after you fall off the roof and have all those medical
>>>> bills.
>>>>
>>> Besides, in the US there is no more analog TV broadcasting, and the
>>> digital receivers are more finicky, so if you don't have cable you
>>> still may need an outdoor antenna.
>>>
>> There are a few stations which broadcast analog. There are two here.
>>
>
> BAH, I thought by law that analog TV signals were *no* longer allowed for
> broadcast. Are the analog stations you receive... coming from Canada???
>
I think both the US and Canada allow for repeater stations or maybe it was lower power stations to remain analog. I can't remember if there's a time limit on that or not.

On the other hand, the CBC here got an extension on some of its transmitters in lesser markets, so they didn't have to change over at the end of August 2011. And then got permission to shut down at least some of those transmitters, citing the high cost of changing them to digital versus the number of viewers that relied on each of those transmitters.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 03:38:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012, Charles Richmond wrote:

>> On the other hand, WWI caused Howard Armstrong to create the
>> superheterodyne receiver, which is the foundation of so much that came
>> later.

>>

>

> Yes, Edwin Howard Armstrong was a radio *genius*!!!

>

Yes. He invents/figures out regeneration, it's the 100th anniversary this year, which not only put s a leap forward for receivers (when tubes were barely taking over from "crystal radios", but which also allows for using those tubes to generate a signal. "Simple" in retrospect, but not before. Then the superheterodyne receiver about 1917, few receivers didn't use that until very recent years when digital has changed things (and even then often does require heterodyning the signal down to a lower frequency), though it took its time taking off since so many tubes were needed. Then superregeneration in 1922, an extension of regeneration, it was helpful for a certain time to provide rreceivers at higher frequencies, the limitations not an issue to begin with but the simplicity helping to move up in frequency. Then wideband FM as used for broadcasting, including the concept of a limiter to get rid of noise.

It really is hard to believe that one person came up with all of those. Edison had multiple successes, but he mostly refined the work of others.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 03:42:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012, Uncle Steve wrote:

>> I had a good food processor and the on/off switch eventually broke. Not
>> only could I not get a replacement part, but the switch mounts in a square
>> hole that nothing really matches, and it has an odd set of contacts to

>> bring in the startup capacitor and then take that out of the circuit. So
>> it sits in a box, the motor too good to toss out, yet unable to be used
>> for the sake of a switch that can't really cost more than a few dollars.

>

> I hate to bring up the obvious, but what you probably need is some
> epoxy glue, a bit of random plastic, and a dremel. With that you
> should be able to modify the casing to accept a replacement switch of
> the appropriate power rating. Otherwise, mount switch in small
> project box and bolt it to the side of the FP. YMMV.

>

Yes, it wasn't the mounting that was the issue. It was the switch. I was even thinking of putting in two switches, a momentary one to start it and then another one to keep it on. But I set it aside, and then someone gave me a new food processor (so much lighter than the first one) so it still sits there, not needed because of the replacement, but still the motor too good to toss.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 03:51:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 13, 8:17 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>> I believe some magazines also have online-only subscriptions for a lower
>> price.

>

> Several of my hobbyist publications have gone that route. It's a big
> saving. I myself like the hard copy because I can read it anywhere
> anytime. If I spill soda on it or leave it on the subway it's not the
> end of the world.

>

If you leave an ebook or emagazine on the subway, it's not the end of the world. It's the leaving of the ebook reader that is.

I've pretty much given up on buying magazines, too costly and not enough content for the money. It's a sad thing, I go looking for something to curl up with and find plenty of magazines with something I'd like to read, none that are worth bringing home.

I sometimes satisfy myself pulling them out or recycling bins, but that doesn't happen much. The used book sales here mostly don't want magazines anymore. I have mixed feelings about that. Too often they end up with endless National Geographics (all in order so you know someone's donated

their collection) or architecture or gourmet food magazines. The National Geopgrahics were such a constant that there never seemed to be a rush, the other magazines not interesting. So they end up with a lot of those at the end. Meanwhile the odd magazines, the Scientific Americans (they used to get a lot of those at one University based sale) and the stray computer magazines that were a few often random issues, those are gone. If they'd just stopped accepting the full collections of standard magazines, they'd have few to fuss over and then we could check for those random issues of People or Wooden Boat.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 05:23:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 13/12/2012 21:25, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:
> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 15:05:27 -0600
> JimP. <pongbill127@cableone.net> wrote:
>
>> i was shocked, about 5 years ago, to learn the Spanish prisoner
>> routine is over 400 years old, and that the Nigerian 914 scams are
>> based on it.
>
> I'm a little surprised to hear that it isn't *much* older than that.
>

Probably, but prior to that a man used his sword on the crook rather than call the authorities.

Andrew Swallow

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [William Hamblen](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 06:13:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-13, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> wrote:
> In article <50c9e064\$55\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,
> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>> In <1402.764T2486T7525787@kltpzyxm.invalid>, on 12/12/2012
>> at 12:32 PM, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> said:
>>
>>> One, titled "The End of OS" describes how OS/360 grew to take over

>>> the entire machine, saturating it with its own internal functions.
>>
>> Ironic, since by today's standard OS/360 and the other operating
>> systems of its era were quite small. There are kernels larger than all
>> of OS/360, including compilers and utilities.
>
> Size of machines, it's hard to realize the processing power and
> storage of machines in say the 1980s were. My laptop probably has more
> storage and a faster CPU than the monsters of that period.
>

I visited a data center that has been in commission a long time and was struck by how empty it looked. It really was like that one IBM television commercial where "someone stole the servers." There was just a couple of racks inside a large room that had once been filled with mainframes.

Bud

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [William Hamblen](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 06:13:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-12, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com < Hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com > wrote:

> On Dec 12, 1:19 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:
>
>
>> The September 1939 issue has mostly black and white, but there are some
>> color pictures, I'm not sure if they are still coloring them by hand.
>> Some look "real", though not the colors we saw later, others look like
>> they may have been colorized, but that's a judgement of mine, rather than
>> any real recognition.
>
> My reference toward coloring by hand had to do with printing, not the
> original photograph. That is, in the 1950s, they had a real color
> photograph, be it Ektachrome, Kodachrome, or some other process. But
> apparently printing back then could not easily reproduce from a color
> slide (I don't know why), and to print it they had to hand color a b&w
> image.
>
> I think in the 1960s they were able to reproduce directly from the
> slide.
>
> Somewhere in the late 1970s they came up with a way to _inexpensively_
> print from color slides. (I think it was laser scanning). Mass
> magazines and newspapers began to print many pictures in color (also
> known as "fun, food, fall, fires). Hobby magazines (eg Railpace)
> could print pictures in color.

>

They could do color separations many years before 1960, using colored filters and halftone screens. Scanning is cheaper and easier, although not long ago a drum scanner could set you back a million.

Bud

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 06:41:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 14:10:28 -0800 (PST)
hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 13, 3:28 pm, Ahem A Rivet's Shot <ste...@eircom.net> wrote:
>> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 11:54:11 -0800 (PST)
>>
>> hanco...@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>> I discovered my washing machine controls were mostly window dressing;
>>> that is, different wash options were basically all the same thing
>>> except for timing.
>>
>> Now that's surprising, they should also vary by water
>> temperature, spin speed and optional phases. Modern washing machine
>> controllers also tend to do things like weighing the load by measuring
>> the response to impulsive movement and controlling vibration by fine
>> control of motor drive current and timing.
>
> There are separate knobs for the water temperature and water level.
>
> But the main control is a rotating knob with different options on it.

Ours has separate controls for temperature and spin speed and a big rotary with options that determines the cycle events and times and sets defaults for the temperature and spin speed. Then there's another control with "light", "normal" and "intense" which modifies the settings in pre-defined ways that differ depending on the selected cycle.

But really there aren't many variables apart from the sequence of washes, rinses, spins, pauses and jugglings[1], the temperature in each and the timings of washes, rinses, spins, jugglings and pauses. What else could the controls vary ?

[1] Gentle back and forth motions, which I gather are supposed to reduce tangling and creasing.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 08:16:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <proto-AE4BC7.10175113122012@news.panix.com>, on 12/13/2012
at 10:17 AM, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> said:

> Size of machines, it's hard to realize the processing power and
> storage of machines in say the 1980s were.

Not when you started much earlier; the machines in the 1980's were
much faster and more capable than the machines I started with.

> My laptop probably has more storage and a faster CPU than the
> monsters of that period.

Your wristwatch is probably faster than my first computer.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Nick Spalding](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 08:49:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Charles Richmond wrote, in <kads1o\$bc1\$1@dont-email.me>
on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:31:48 -0600:

> "Michael Black" <et472@ncf.ca> wrote in message
> news:alpine.LNX.2.02.1212121426120.30997@darkstar.example.org...
> On Wed, 12 Dec 2012, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>

>> WWU, which is what Barbara Tuchman is known for writing about, and only
>> rudimentary radio. If nothing else, a "good" radio was so bulky that I

>> think many receivers were reduced to "crystal radios", and everyone still
>> thought the higher frequencies were useless, so they wrre all jammed into
>> a small space and required really long antennas. Transmitters were
>> probably mostly still spark gaps, which were really inefficient, and put
>> out a broad signal. I suspect in many cases, radio in WWI was one way,
>> likely from the back to the front. I think they were still working out how
>> to use radio in war (just like the airplane).

>>

>> On the other hand, WWI caused Howard Armstrong to create the
>> superheterodyne receiver, which is the foundation of so much that came
>> later.

>>

>

> Yes, Edwin Howard Armstong was a radio *genius*!!!

>

>> In WWII, radio had a quarter century to develop and not only was there a
>> more concrete definition of the role radio could play in war, but the
>> technology had improved. Other than transistors, there wasn't a lot of
>> difference between radio use in WWII and radio use in the Viet Nam era.
>> Well, probably radar improved, but that's only because WWII caused radio
>> to be improved, and maybe some of the work wasn't finished by the end of
>> that war.

>

> In WWII, frequency hopping radio had been invented. It was invented by the
> very beautiful actress Hedy Lamarr, along with composer George Antheil.
> BAH, Hedy Lamarr was *no* dummy... However she was perceived, her
> intelligence is *not* in doubt. (Not by me, anyway.)

Only one d in Hedy.

--

Nick Spalding

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 12:40:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 1:13 AM, William Hamblen wrote:

> On 2012-12-13, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> wrote:
>> In article <50c9e064\$55\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,
>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid>
>> wrote:

>>

>>> In <1402.764T2486T7525787@kltpzyxm.invalid>, on 12/12/2012
>>> at 12:32 PM, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> said:

>>>

>>>> One, titled "The End of OS" describes how OS/360 grew to take over
>>>> the entire machine, saturating it with its own internal functions.

>>>
>>> Ironic, since by today's standard OS/360 and the other operating
>>> systems of its era were quite small. There are kernels larger than all
>>> of OS/360, including compilers and utilities.
>>
>> Size of machines, it's hard to realize the processing power and
>> storage of machines in say the 1980s were. My laptop probably has more
>> storage and a faster CPU than the monsters of that period.
>>
>
> I visited a data center that has been in commission a long time and was
> struck by how empty it looked. It really was like that one IBM television
> commercial where "someone stole the servers." There was just a couple of
> racks inside a large room that had once been filled with mainframes.
>

I went thru this at my previous job. We started with a 3090, 2420
tapes, a 3800 printer, and rows and rows of 3380/3880 DASD. By the time
I left we had two physical machines, a z900 and a z/990 with multiple
CPUs per each, 3490 tapes, and a single rack of RAID DASD that had
several times the capacity of the 3380s. We had enough space left over
that I joked we could put in a bowling alley or tennis court. We were
moving boxes around to try to make it look fuller, so no one could covet
the space - this happened at a former employer, they put a desk or two
on the raised floor. We were using the computer room to store obsolete
computer terminals and controllers!

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 12:42:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 1:41 AM, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:
> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 14:10:28 -0800 (PST)
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>
>> On Dec 13, 3:28 pm, Ahem A Rivet's Shot <ste...@eircom.net> wrote:
>>> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 11:54:11 -0800 (PST)
>>>
>>> hanco...@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>> I discovered my washing machine controls were mostly window dressing;
>>>> that is, different wash options were basically all the same thing
>>>> except for timing.
>>>

>>> Now that's surprising, they should also vary by water
>>> temperature, spin speed and optional phases. Modern washing machine
>>> controllers also tend to do things like weighing the load by measuring
>>> the response to impulsive movement and controlling vibration by fine
>>> control of motor drive current and timing.
>>
>> There are separate knobs for the water temperature and water level.
>>
>> But the main control is a rotating knob with different options on it.
>
> Ours has separate controls for temperature and spin speed and a big
> rotary with options that determines the cycle events and times and sets
> defaults for the temperature and spin speed. Then there's another control
> with "light", "normal" and "intense" which modifies the settings in
> pre-defined ways that differ depending on the selected cycle.
>
> But really there aren't many variables apart from the sequence of
> washes, rinses, spins, pauses and jugglings[1], the temperature in each and
> the timings of washes, rinses, spins, jugglings and pauses. What else could
> the controls vary ?
>

I read a while ago that some washers monitor the water to determine how
dirty the wash is, and automatically modify the cycle.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Uncle Steve](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 12:53:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, Dec 13, 2012 at 10:42:01PM -0500, Michael Black wrote:

> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012, Uncle Steve wrote:
>
>>> I had a good food processor and the on/off switch eventually broke. Not
>>> only could I not get a replacement part, but the switch mounts in a square
>>> hole that nothing really matches, and it has an odd set of contacts to
>>> bring in the startup capacitor and then take that out of the circuit. So
>>> it sits in a box, the motor too good to toss out, yet unable to be used
>>> for the sake of a switch that can't really cost more than a few dollars.
>>
>> I hate to bring up the obvious, but what you probably need is some
>> epoxy glue, a bit of random plastic, and a dremel. With that you
>> should be able to modify the casing to accept a replacement switch of
>> the appropriate power rating. Otherwise, mount switch in small

>> project box and bolt it to the side of the FP. YMMV.

>>

> Yes, it wasn't the mounting that was the issue. It was the switch. I was
> even thinking of putting in two switches, a momentary one to start it and
> then another one to keep it on. But I set it aside, and then someone gave
> me a new food processor (so much lighter than the first one) so it still
> sits there, not needed because of the replacement, but still the motor too
> good to toss.

I hate throwing things away. More often than not the parts are good for something, and motors especially are expensive. I don't tend to find a lot of use for AC motors, but that's just me. Whenever I decide to retire a consumer object, generally I will dissect and extract anything useful for the parts-box. The housings are usually not so handy and take up too much space.

Regards,

Uncle Steve

--

Until the progress of elementary education in our day, our peasants had not abandoned a single one of their little Gualish gods. The cult of saints has been the cover under which polytheism has re-established itself. -- Ernest Renan

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 13:09:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 14 Dec 2012 07:42:32 -0500

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/14/2012 1:41 AM, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:

>> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 14:10:28 -0800 (PST)

>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>

>>> On Dec 13, 3:28 pm, Ahem A Rivet's Shot <ste...@eircom.net> wrote:

>>>> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 11:54:11 -0800 (PST)

>>>>

>>>> hanco...@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>>> > I discovered my washing machine controls were mostly window dressing;

>>>> > that is, different wash options were basically all the same thing

>>>> > except for timing.

>>>>

>>>> Now that's surprising, they should also vary by water

>>>> temperature, spin speed and optional phases. Modern washing machine
>>>> controllers also tend to do things like weighing the load by measuring
>>>> the response to impulsive movement and controlling vibration by fine
>>>> control of motor drive current and timing.
>>>
>>> There are separate knobs for the water temperature and water level.
>>>
>>> But the main control is a rotating knob with different options on it.
>>>
>> Ours has separate controls for temperature and spin speed and a
>> big rotary with options that determines the cycle events and times and
>> sets defaults for the temperature and spin speed. Then there's another
>> control with "light", "normal" and "intense" which modifies the
>> settings in pre-defined ways that differ depending on the selected
>> cycle.
>>>
>> But really there aren't many variables apart from the sequence
>> of washes, rinses, spins, pauses and jugglings[1], the temperature in
>> each and the timings of washes, rinses, spins, jugglings and pauses.
>> What else could the controls vary ?
>>>
>>
> I read a while ago that some washers monitor the water to determine how
> dirty the wash is, and automatically modify the cycle.

Now that's a clever (and probably very simple) trick.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 14:10:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Charles Richmond wrote:

> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in message
> news:20121213130645.7563022ef8a8225ddebc1592@eircom.net...
>>
>> [snip...] [snip...]
>> [snip...]
>>
>> I like to live in an environment where the sitting pressure is low,
>> there is a good chance of becoming a sitter, and the sitters can be
>> dislodged easily to become sittees. I'd hate to live in an environment

>> where the sitting pressure is very high, there is no chance of becoming a
>> sitter without being born as one and the only way to dislodge a sitter is
>> to kill them at great personal effort and risk.
>>
>
> You are "mighty right" Steve! Look at those unfortunate people in North
> Korea. North Korea is *w-a-a-a-y-y-y* beyond simply repressive. When a
> person is considered to "revolt" against the government... the *whole*
> family goes to a prison camp for *three* generations. The people interned
> in these camps are worked unmercifully and fed *very* little. A school
> "teacher" may *kill* a child if the child hoards two or three kernels of
> corn. Public executions are held there of anyone *not* following the
> draconian rules.
>
> If all this seems unbelievable to you (it does *sound* unbelievable), check
> it out...

And the reason they have food production problems is because there are 3 or 4
soldiers guarding every farmer.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 14:10:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rod Speed wrote:

>
>
> "jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:PM0004D0BCAA7F60E5@ac8385b6.ipt.aol.com...
>> greymaus wrote:
>>> On 2012-12-12, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
>>>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>> > On Dec 11, 7:41 pm, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> >
>>>> >> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the
>>>> >> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first place.
>>>> >
>>>> > Absolutely.
>>>> >
>>>> > The advertising is very informative in its own right. For one, we get
>>>> > an idea of prices of specific goods and services in a particular
>>>> > time. Two, we see what was 'hot' in a time span. Three, we get a
>>>> > feel for the details of various goods and services--details we might
>>>> > not realize for today. For instance, way back a new house came with
>>>> > _nothing_--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs

>>>> > required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
>>>> > not cheap to install.
>>>> >
>>>> > Then we can read about things that have relevance today. For
>>>> > instance, today we may be arguing whether to tear down some major
>>>> > building---in the past we'll find an article arguing whether or not to
>>>> > build it in the first place. (Sometimes the underlying issues remain
>>>> > the same!)

>>>> >
>>>> > In the want ads, we'll see that jobs were separated for men and women
>>>> > (in some locales also separated by white and colored). We'll see jobs
>>>> > that no longer exist.
>>>> >
>>>> > In the business pages, we'll see an emphasis on "nuts and bolts"
>>>> > smokestack industries, including literally companies that makes nuts
>>>> > and bolts. We'll see ads by such companies, with the plant in the
>>>> > background proudly spewing lots of smoke from its stacks--a sign back
>>>> > then of prosperity.
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> > Barbara Tuchman wrote about history; her stuff was very, very good
>>>> > because she looked at inventory and grocery lists as part of her
>>>> > research. She also went to the areas and walked. This was important
>>>> > when she wrote about WWI; the generals were far away from the fighting
>>>> > and couldn't know what was going on until hours later when a courier
>>>> > arrived with a piece of paper.
>>>> >
>>> >
>>> > It was planned that way so plans would not be changed by misleading news
>>> > in the early stages of an operation.
>> >
>> > And the commanders didn't have to see the mess and have high class
>> > living conditions.
> >
> > Plenty of the commanders like Rommel weren't that stupid.

Can you read the difference between WWI and WWII?

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahtiv](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 14:10:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Charles Richmond wrote:
> "jmfbahtiv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:PM0004D0BC9230294A@ac8385b6.ipt.aol.com...

>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
>>> In <ka9tsb\$ag5\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/12/2012
>>> at 07:45 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:
>>>
>>>> On 12/11/2012 8:45 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>> > For instance, way back a new house came with
>>>> > _nothing_--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs
>>>> > required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
>>>> > not cheap to install.
>>>
>>>> Especially after you fall off the roof and have all those medical
>>>> bills.
>>>
>>> Besides, in the US there is no more analog TV broadcasting, and the
>>> digital receivers are more finicky, so if you don't have cable you
>>> still may need an outdoor antenna.
>>>
>> There are a few stations which broadcast analog. There are two here.
>>
>
> BAH, I thought by law that analog TV signals were *no* longer allowed for
> broadcast. Are the analog stations you receive... coming from Canada???

No. They're local. If a station had a short range, then it could stay analog. I found this out when I discovered the stations after the The Stupid Switch to digital. I still can't receive non-PBS stations.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Nick Spalding](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 15:15:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Uncle Steve wrote, in <5a165e7d0308ca7-2fcb6@gmail.com>
on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 07:53:26 -0500:

> On Thu, Dec 13, 2012 at 10:42:01PM -0500, Michael Black wrote:
>> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012, Uncle Steve wrote:
>>
>>>> I had a good food processor and the on/off switch eventually broke. Not
>>>> only could I not get a replacement part, but the switch mounts in a square
>>>> hole that nothing really matches, and it has an odd set of contacts to
>>>> bring in the startup capacitor and then take that out of the circuit. So
>>>> it sits in a box, the motor too good to toss out, yet unable to be used
>>>> for the sake of a switch that can't really cost more than a few dollars.
>>>
>>> I hate to bring up the obvious, but what you probably need is some

>>> epoxy glue, a bit of random plastic, and a dremel. With that you
>>> should be able to modify the casing to accept a replacement switch of
>>> the appropriate power rating. Otherwise, mount switch in small
>>> project box and bolt it to the side of the FP. YMMV.
>>>
>> Yes, it wasn't the mounting that was the issue. It was the switch. I was
>> even thinking of putting in two switches, a momentary one to start it and
>> then another one to keep it on. But I set it aside, and then someone gave
>> me a new food processor (so much lighter than the first one) so it still
>> sits there, not needed because of the replacement, but still the motor too
>> good to toss.
>
> I hate throwing things away. More often than not the parts are good
> for something, and motors especially are expensive. I don't tend to
> find a lot of use for AC motors, but that's just me. Whenever I
> decide to retire a consumer object, generally I will dissect and
> extract anything useful for the parts-box. The housings are usually
> not so handy and take up too much space.

In 1965 I assisted in breaking up a 650. Most of the parts went to various tech colleges around Dublin. I hung on to the main cooling fan, and a 110v Variac. About 15 years later I lent the blower to Dunsink Observatory who were setting up a rack of Nova 2's and were all ready to go except the supplier of the fan hadn't delivered. They kept it a few weeks and then it was back in my garage. It consisted of a motor with a centrifugal fan on either end of the shaft. Around 1997 I dismantled it and converted it into a grindstone and buffing wheel for the girlfriend of one of my sons who made jewellery for a living in which form it is still giving good service. I haven't had a use for the Variac yet.

Waste not want not.

--

Nick Spalding

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 16:01:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 14, 7:40 am, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> . . . We had enough space left over
> that I joked we could put in a bowling alley or tennis court. . . .

While the physical footprint of the mainframe has decreased, many companies now have servers for web-based applications and data communication terminals taking up that space.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 16:04:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 14, 7:53 am, Uncle Steve <stevet...@gmail.com> wrote:

> I hate throwing things away. More often than not the parts are good
> for something, and motors especially are expensive. I don't tend to
> find a lot of use for AC motors, but that's just me. Whenever I
> decide to retire a consumer object, generally I will dissect and
> extract anything useful for the parts-box. The housings are usually
> not so handy and take up too much space.

I think that kind of 'recycling' was more practical in the 1960s when there was more discrete companies and wiring. But as time went on components, even electro-mechanical ones--become joined and more difficult to reuse.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 16:14:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 13, 1:23 pm, Morten Reistad <fi...@last.name> wrote:

>> For b&w, there was a "Panatomic" at ASA 32 (special processing
>> recommended to maximize sharpness), Plus at ASA 125 (which didn't seem
>> to get much use), and the very popular Tri-X as ASA 400. There was
>> also a very high speed coarse 'recording film' in black & white.
> The Tri-X could be pushed to 800 and beyond, but it got a bit
> grainy doing so.

In later years Kodak had "T-Max" b&w films that were sharper at high speeds.

I shot a roll of Plus-X (125) and got excellent results. Tri-X could show grain in an 8x10 print. But everyone I knew shot Tri-X, never Plus-X. Many people bought it in bulk and spooled it themselves. Of course, really serious photographers had 2¼" cameras where the bigger negative yielded better prints.

For Plus-X, I never knew why Kodak had a separate ASA 125 film for 35mm, and used Verichrome Pan for all other ASA 125 sizes. The data sheets showed Verichrome was slightly better than Plus-X.

> I still have the process sheets for all of these processes (Panatomic,

> Plus-x and tri-x) ; but I dumped the rest of the chemicals when I moved last.

One reason I was loyal to Kodak was because they published all sorts of excellent reasonably priced books on photography and processing. I have one describing their b&w printing papers--there were quite a few distinctly different papers, plus numerous sub-types, and that entire product line has been discontinued.

My local drug store has film developing and they tell me they still process film for customers. Last night I could smell the chemicals.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 16:17:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
news:PM0004D0D078AB2BC8@aca27eb3.ipt.aol.com...

> Charles Richmond wrote:

>> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in message

>> news:20121213130645.7563022ef8a8225ddebc1592@eircom.net...

>>>

>>> [snip...] [snip...]

>>> [snip...]

>>>

>>> I like to live in an environment where the sitting pressure is low,
>>> there is a good chance of becoming a sitter, and the sitters can be
>>> dislodged easily to become sittees. I'd hate to live in an environment
>>> where the sitting pressure is very high, there is no chance of becoming

>>> a

>>> sitter without being born as one and the only way to dislodge a sitter

>>> is

>>> to kill them at great personal effort and risk.

>>>

>>>

>> You are "mighty right" Steve! Look at those unfortunate people in North
>> Korea. North Korea is *w-a-a-a-a-y-y-y* beyond simply repressive. When

>> a

>> person is considered to "revolt" against the government... the *whole*

>> family goes to a prison camp for *three* generations. The people

>> interned

>> in these camps are worked unmercifully and fed *very* little. A school

>> "teacher" may *kill* a child if the child hoards two or three kernels of

>> corn. Public executions are held there of anyone *not* following the

>> draconian rules.

>>>

>> If all this seems unbelievable to you (it does *sound* unbelievable),

>> check

>> it out...
>
> And the reason they have food production problems is because there are 3
> or 4
> soldiers guarding every farmer.

Completely off with the fucking fairys, as always with your politics.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Stan Barr](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 16:19:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:31:48 -0600, Charles Richmond
<numerist@aquaporin4.com> wrote:

>
> In WWII, frequency hopping radio had been invented. It was invented by the
> very beautiful actress Heddy Lamarr, along with composer George Antheil.
> BAH, Heddy Lamarr was *no* dummy... However she was perceived, her
> intelligence is *not* in doubt. (Not by me, anyway.)

I recall coming across an old US ham who said he used to meet her in
the local LA radio stores buying parts for her latest project.

--
Cheers,
Stan Barr plan.b .at. dsl .dot. pipex .dot. com

The future was never like this!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 16:19:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmfbaheciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
> Rod Speed wrote
>> jmfbaheciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
>>> greymaus wrote
>>>> jmfbaheciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
>>>> > hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote
>>>> >> Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote

>>>> >>> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the
>>>> >>> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first
>>>> >>> place.

>>>> >> Absolutely.

>>>> >> The advertising is very informative in its own right. For one, we
>>>> >> get
>>>> >> an idea of prices of specific goods and services in a particular
>>>> >> time. Two, we see what was 'hot' in a time span. Three, we get a
>>>> >> feel for the details of various goods and services--details we might
>>>> >> not realize for today. For instance, way back a new house came with
>>>> >> _nothing_--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs
>>>> >> required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
>>>> >> not cheap to install.

>>>> >> Then we can read about things that have relevance today. For
>>>> >> instance, today we may be arguing whether to tear down some major
>>>> >> building---in the past we'll find an article arguing whether or not
>>>> >> to
>>>> >> build it in the first place. (Sometimes the underlying issues remain
>>>> >> the same!)

>>>> >> In the want ads, we'll see that jobs were separated for men and women
>>>> >> (in some locales also separated by white and colored). We'll see
>>>> >> jobs
>>>> >> that no longer exist.

>>>> >> In the business pages, we'll see an emphasis on "nuts and bolts"
>>>> >> smokestack industries, including literally companies that makes nuts
>>>> >> and bolts. We'll see ads by such companies, with the plant in the
>>>> >> background proudly spewing lots of smoke from its stacks--a sign back
>>>> >> then of prosperity.

>>>> > Barbara Tuchman wrote about history; her stuff was very, very good
>>>> > because she looked at inventory and grocery lists as part of her
>>>> > research. She also went to the areas and walked. This was important
>>>> > when she wrote about WWI; the generals were far away from the fighting
>>>> > and couldn't know what was going on until hours later when a courier
>>>> > arrived with a piece of paper.

>>>> It was planned that way so plans would not be changed by misleading
>>>> news
>>>> in the early stages of an operation.

>>> And the commanders didn't have to see the mess and have high class
>>> living conditions.

>> Plenty of the commanders like Rommel weren't that stupid.

> Can you read the difference between WWI and WWII?

Just as true of WW1.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 16:30:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 14 Dec 2012 08:01:19 -0800 (PST)
hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 14, 7:40 am, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> . . . We had enough space left over
>> that I joked we could put in a bowling alley or tennis court. . . .
>
> While the physical footprint of the mainframe has decreased, many
> companies now have servers for web-based applications and data
> communication terminals taking up that space.

The clever ones rent out colo space taking full advantage of the power and cooling setup built for mainframes that can now support racks of blades.

--
Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 17:06:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

> While the physical footprint of the mainframe has decreased, many
> companies now have servers for web-based applications and data
> communication terminals taking up that space.

slac had this massive room for ibm mainframe that then transitioned to (physically) small mainframe sitting in small corner of the room

.... which was then replaced with a couple racks of i86 processors.

then the room started filling up with racks of i86 processors ... the early "GRID" massive computing infrastructure. The "GRID" supercomputer revolution was going on about the same time as the big upswing in the

big cloud operators ... both paradigms taking effectively the same approach.

the major vendors of GRID i86 servers ... tried to better monetize their investment by marketing as supercomputers for financial industry (what is largely behind high frequency/velocity trading) ... and then to other industries. Effectively the same technology is also marketed as cloud computing.

The big cloud operators and the big GRID operators have tended to have custom build or "white box" (off-brand) blade servers ... claims by the big cloud operators that they can do blades for 1/3 the cost of brand name blade servers. Recent press item is that the brand name server vendors (HP, DELL, IBM, etc) are no longer the biggest part of the i86 server chip market.

for little drift, recent references to SLAC had the first webserver outside europe on their vm370 (mainframe) system:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#7> Why former IBMers who left maybe years ago for any reason are still active on the Greater IBM Connection?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#13> AMC proposes 1980s computer TV series Halt & Catch Fire

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#22> What is a Mainframe?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#23> HCF

recent posts that max configured z196 mainframe with 80processors is rated at 50BIPS and goes for \$28m (\$560,000/BIPS) ... while e5-2600 blade have ratings of 527 BIPS ... IBM has base price of \$1815 for a e5-2600 blade (\$3.44/BIPS):

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012d.html#50> Layer 8: NASA unplugs last mainframe

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#94> Can Mainframes Be Part Of Cloud Computing?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#0> Burroughs B5000, B5500, B6500 videos

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#36> Should IBM allow the use of Hercules as z system emulator?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#38> Should IBM allow the use of Hercules as z system emulator?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#4> Think You Know The Mainframe?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#20> Mainframes Warming Up to the Cloud

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#35> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#52> How will mainframers retiring be different from Y2K?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#62> What are your experiences with Amdahl Computers and Plug-Compatibles?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#11> Can anybody give me a clear idea about Cloud Computing in MAINFRAME ?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#16> Think You Know The Mainframe?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#84> Can anybody give me a clear idea about Cloud Computing in MAINFRAME ?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#34> Can anybody give me a clear idea about Cloud

Computing in MAINFRAME ?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#66> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#95> printer history Languages influenced by PL/1

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#96> The older Hardware school

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#41> Cloud Computing

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#20> X86 server

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#27> PDP-10 system calls, was 1132 printer history

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#28> X86 server

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#42> I.B.M. Mainframe Evolves to Serve the Digital World

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#51> Turn Off Another Light - Univ. of Tennessee

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#56> Blades versus z was Re: Turn Off Another Light - Univ. of Tennessee

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#81> Blades versus z was Re: Turn Off Another Light - Univ. of Tennessee

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#87> Blades versus z was Re: Turn Off Another Light - Univ. of Tennessee

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#88> Blades versus z was Re: Turn Off Another Light - Univ. of Tennessee

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#13> Intel Confirms Decline of Server Giants HP, Dell, and IBM

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#31> Still think the mainframe is going away soon: Think again. IBM mainframe computer sales are 4% of IBM's revenue; with software, services, and storage it's 25%

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#43> Blades versus z was Re: Turn Off Another Light - Univ. of Tennessee

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#67> How do you feel about the fact that today India has more IBM employees than any of the other countries in the world including the USA.?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#13> System/360--50 years--the future?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#44> Under what circumstances would it be a mistake to migrate applications/workload off the mainframe?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#70> Under what circumstances would it be a mistake to migrate applications/workload off the mainframe?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#72> Mainframes are still the best platform for high volume transaction processing

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 17:33:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 14, 7:53 am, Uncle Steve <stevet...@gmail.com> wrote:

> I hate throwing things away. More often than not the parts are good
> for something, and motors especially are expensive. I don't tend to
> find a lot of use for AC motors, but that's just me. Whenever I

- > decide to retire a consumer object, generally I will dissect and
- > extract anything useful for the parts-box. The housings are usually
- > not so handy and take up too much space.

P.S. Also, in the old days parts were screwed on and made of metal. Today, parts are riveted or on integrated so they're harder to remove. Further, much today is made of plastic which will break if pulled out.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 17:37:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 14, 10:15 am, Nick Spalding <spald...@iol.ie> wrote:

- > It consisted of a motor with a
- > centrifugal fan on either end of the shaft.

My father had an old heavy duty electric motor. But when it started the current pull dimmed the lights in the house. I gave it away, but others found it troubling to use for that reason (it could pop breakers), plus it was very heavy.

A modern modern would be light for the same power output, and would have protection circuitry. Sometimes old stuff isn't that practical.

We've talked about old dial telephones. They were built to withstand a nuclear attack and old ones will still work on plain landlines. But dialing 10 digits on a rotary dial is cumbersome, and the phones won't work on the widespread modern automatic voice response systems that require Touch Tone input.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 17:41:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 14, 7:42 am, Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

- > I read a while ago that some washers minitor the water to determine how
- > dirty the wash is, and automatically modify the cycle.

Speaking of washing machines...we had a Bendix. I think it was the same Bendix that made a popular early computer.

As an aside, our old washing machines needed regular servicing. I

remember watching the serviceman take the machine apart, fascinating by the innards. One time a seviceman warned me not to get too interested in that sort of thing as being a repairman was a crap job: "I spent my time reading comic books and this is where I ended up. Be sure to study in school!"

Regarding the earlier comment about IBM using rollers "like a wringer washer" to fabricate cores, the rollers (fairly tiny) were used to align the cores so that they could be threaded by machine. Developing automatic threading for core memory was a tough challenge but critical to keep the cost down. In some cases cores were sent to Asia and manually thread.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 17:42:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 14, 11:30 am, Ahem A Rivet's Shot <ste...@eircom.net> wrote:

>> While the physical footprint of the mainframe has decreased, many
>> companies now have servers for web-based applications and data
>> communication terminals taking up that space.
>
> The clever ones rent out colo space taking full advantage of the
> power and cooling setup built for mainframes that can now support racks of
> blades.

Exactly. Also, the computer rooms were secured and with 24/7 technical staff, important for servers.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 18:14:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <20121213130645.7563022ef8a8225ddebc1592@eircom.net>, steveo@eircom.net (Ahem A Rivet's Shot) writes:

> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 07:42:15 -0500
> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> On 12/13/2012 3:38 AM, Charles Richmond wrote:
>>
>>> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message
>>> news:619.764T150T7143391@kltpzyxm.invalid...

>>>
>>>> In article <ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com
>>>> (Peter Flass) writes:
>>>>
>>>> > On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>> >
>>>> >> One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles
>>>> >> read exactly as they do now, only the names are different.
>>>> >
>>>> > Sad but true.
>>>>
>>>> But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.
>>>> Or was that a "new error"?
>>>
>>> "We won't get fooled again... Here comes the new boss; same as
>>> the old boss."
>>
>> I'm finally old enough to have figured it out. People are people -
>> Communism, Capitalism, Democracy, Dictatorship, Anarchy - it's all
>> the same in the end. The outward appearances differ, but the result
>> is that the in-group is in the driver's seat and the rest of us are
>> sat on.

Political tags - such as royalist, communist, democrat, populist, fascist, liberal, conservative, and so forth - are never basic criteria. The human race divides politically into those who want people to be controlled and those who have no such desire. The former are idealists acting from highest motives for the greatest good of the greatest number. The latter are surly curmudgeons, suspicious and lacking in altruism. But they are more comfortable neighbors than the other sort.

-- Robert A. Heinlein: The Notebooks of Lazarus Long

> There are real differences, they're just not covered by those
> labels. The real differences have to do with how heavily we're sat on,
> whether there is a real chance of becoming one of the sitters, how
> easily the sitters can be dislodged and whether this results in the
> ex-sitter being sat upon or executed.

>
> I like to live in an environment where the sitting pressure
> is low, there is a good chance of becoming a sitter, and the sitters
> can be dislodged easily to become sittees.

Still, we sittees have to keep a close eye on things. It's a bad sign when the sitters start working to dismantle the checks and balances that preserve those freedoms.

> I'd hate to live in an environment where the sitting pressure is

- > very high, there is no chance of becoming a sitter without being
- > born as one and the only way to dislodge a sitter is to kill them
- > at great personal effort and risk.

The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants. It is its natural manure.

-- Thomas Jefferson

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 18:16:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <s0qlc8d9ejbk8dlgbtib0m6jnb5oq8hv8t@4ax.com>, spalding@iol.ie (Nick Spalding) writes:

> Charles Richmond wrote, in <kads1o\$bc1\$1@dont-email.me>

> on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:31:48 -0600:

>

>> In WWII, frequency hopping radio had been invented. It was invented

>> by the very beautiful actress Hedy Lamarr, along with composer

>> George Antheil. BAH, Hedy Lamarr was *no* dummy... However she

>> was perceived, her intelligence is *not* in doubt. (Not by me,

>> anyway.)

>

> Only one d in Hedy.

"That's _Hedley_." -- Blazing Saddles

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 18:19:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 12:37 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 14, 10:15 am, Nick Spalding <spald...@iol.ie> wrote:
>
>> It consisted of a motor with a
>> centrifugal fan on either end of the shaft.
>
> My father had an old heavy duty electric motor. But when it started
> the current pull dimmed the lights in the house. I gave it away, but
> others found it troubling to use for that reason (it could pop
> breakers), plus it was very heavy.
>
> A modern modern would be light for the same power output, and would
> have protection circuitry. Sometimes old stuff isn't that practical.
>
> We've talked about old dial telephones. They were built to withstand
> a nuclear attack and old ones will still work on plain landlines. But
> dialing 10 digits on a rotary dial is cumbersome, and the phones won't
> work on the widespread modern automatic voice response systems that
> require Touch Tone input.

FSVO "modern" - now all going away and being replaced by voice input.
>

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 18:20:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <50c9e064\$55\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>, spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid (Seymour J.) writes:

> In <1402.764T2486T7525787@kltpzyxm.invalid>, on 12/12/2012
> at 12:32 PM, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> said:
>
>> One, titled "The End of OS" describes how OS/360 grew to take over
>> the entire machine, saturating it with its own internal functions.
>
> Ironic, since by today's standard OS/360 and the other operating
> systems of its era were quite small. There are kernels larger than
> all of OS/360, including compilers and utilities.

Yes, I remember my shock in the early '80s when a friend who worked in an IBM 43xx shop mentioned that the OS took up 1200MB of disk space.

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)
\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.
X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.
/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 18:22:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article
<47e99a93-4bef-4964-aab1-71edf3ba8669@b16g2000vbk.googlegroups.com>,
hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com (hancock4) writes:

>
>> Toasters even have computers in them. Nothing significant, and likely
>> dwarfed by all but the first mainframe or so, nobody would have
>> thought back then that one could "waste" a computer in something
>> as trivial as a toaster.
>
> What does a computer do in a toaster?

"I toast, therefore I am." -- Red Dwarf

--
/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)
\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.
X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.
/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 19:37:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message
news:796.766T2447T6165372@kltpzyxm.invalid...
> In article <s0qlc8d9ejbk8dlgbtib0m6jnb5oq8hv8t@4ax.com>, spalding@iol.ie
> (Nick Spalding) writes:
>
>> Charles Richmond wrote, in <kads1o\$bc1\$1@dont-email.me>
>> on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:31:48 -0600:
>>
>>> In WWII, frequency hopping radio had been invented. It was invented
>>> by the very beautiful actress Hedy Lamarr, along with composer
>>> George Antheil. BAH, Hedy Lamarr was *no* dummy... However she

>>> was perceived, her intelligence is *not* in doubt. (Not by me,
>>> anyway.)
>>
>> Only one d in Hedy.
>
> "That's _Hedley_" -- Blazing Saddles
>

It says on the IMDb website... that Hedy Lamarr *sued* Mel Brooks over the use of that name in the movie Blazing Saddles. They settled out of court.

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 19:44:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote in message
news:ea5a8625-88f8-47d5-9c8a-06c2c3587651@c28g2000vby.googlegroups.com...

On Dec 14, 10:15 am, Nick Spalding <spald...@iol.ie> wrote:

>
>> It consisted of a motor with a
>> centrifugal fan on either end of the shaft.
>
> My father had an old heavy duty electric motor. But when it started
> the current pull dimmed the lights in the house. I gave it away, but
> others found it troubling to use for that reason (it could pop
> breakers), plus it was very heavy.
>
> A modern modern would be light for the same power output, and would
> have protection circuitry. Sometimes old stuff isn't that practical.
>
> We've talked about old dial telephones. They were built to withstand
> a nuclear attack and old ones will still work on plain landlines. But
> dialing 10 digits on a rotary dial is cumbersome, and the phones won't
> work on the widespread modern automatic voice response systems that
> require Touch Tone input.

Back before PDA's, an uncle of mine had an electronic "telephone directory" device. It was just a little device that stored names and phone numbers. This device had a Touch Tone tone generator onboard. You selected a phone number and held the device up to the mouthpiece. Then the device would dial the number by producing the touch tones. This device could also be used to generate touch tones for menu systems.

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 19:48:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:kadu4k\$kr\$1@dont-email.me...

> On 12/13/2012 5:10 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>

>> As an aside, back in college I had to do a business paper on the
>> Maytag Company. Back then they were very conservative--had very
>> little debt--and built high quality washers. According to our
>> classes, they were not run optimally since they depended too much on
>> equity financing instead of a balance between equity and debt.
>> Anyway, our family had Maytags and they worked very well. The company
>> was since bought out, and I don't know if the high quality is still
>> the same.

>

> No. I bought a Maytag for the reputation and found out it's the same junk
> everyone is selling.

>

Maytag is now a brand name for Whirlpool. The quality of Maytag is history.
The factory in Iowa where all the old Maytag machines were built... has been
closed for several years now.

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 19:55:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-14, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com < Hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com > wrote:

> On Dec 14, 10:15 am, Nick Spalding <spald...@iol.ie> wrote:

>

>> It consisted of a motor with a
>> centrifugal fan on either end of the shaft.

>

> My father had an old heavy duty electric motor. But when it started
> the current pull dimmed the lights in the house. I gave it away, but

- > others found it troubling to use for that reason (it could pop
- > breakers), plus it was very heavy.
- >
- > A modern modern would be light for the same power output, and would
- > have protection circuitry. Sometimes old stuff isn't that practical.
- >
- > We've talked about old dial telephones. They were built to withstand
- > a nuclear attack and old ones will still work on plain landlines. But
- > dialing 10 digits on a rotary dial is cumbersome, and the phones won't
- > work on the widespread modern automatic voice response systems that
- > require Touch Tone input.
- >

Have/had one of those (years since I started it), helped to spin the motor by hand while pressing the starter button. New brushes helped.

--
maus
.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 20:27:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 14 Dec 2012, Charlie Gibbs wrote:

- > In article <s0qlc8d9ejbk8dlgbtib0m6jnb5oq8hv8t@4ax.com>, spalding@iol.ie
 - > (Nick Spalding) writes:
 - >
 - >> Charles Richmond wrote, in <kads1o\$bc1\$1@dont-email.me>
 - >> on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:31:48 -0600:
 - >>
 - >>> In WWII, frequency hopping radio had been invented. It was invented
 - >>> by the very beautiful actress Hedy Lamarr, along with composer
 - >>> George Antheil. BAH, Hedy Lamarr was *no* dummy... However she
 - >>> was perceived, her intelligence is *not* in doubt. (Not by me,
 - >>> anyway.)
 - >>
 - >> Only one d in Hedy.
 - >
 - > "That's _Hedley_" -- Blazing Saddles
 - >
- I was waiting for that, actually thought of doing it myself.

I thought she became known for her guided torpedo and spread spectrum after the movie came out.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 20:34:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 14 Dec 2012, Charles Richmond wrote:

> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message
> news:796.766T2447T6165372@kltpzyxm.invalid...
>> In article <s0qlc8d9ejbk8dlgbtib0m6jnb5oq8hv8t@4ax.com>, spalding@iol.ie
>> (Nick Spalding) writes:
>>
>>> Charles Richmond wrote, in <kads1o\$bc1\$1@dont-email.me>
>>> on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:31:48 -0600:
>>>
>>>> In WWII, frequency hopping radio had been invented. It was invented
>>>> by the very beautiful actress Hedy Lamarr, along with composer
>>>> George Antheil. BAH, Hedy Lamarr was *no* dummy... However she
>>>> was perceived, her intelligence is *not* in doubt. (Not by me,
>>>> anyway.)
>>>
>>> Only one d in Hedy.
>>
>> "That's _Hedley_." -- Blazing Saddles
>>
>
> It says on the IMDb website... that Hedy Lamarr *sued* Mel Brooks over the
> use of that name in the movie Blazing Saddles. They settled out of court.
>

I didn't know about her before Blazing Saddles, and her technical stuff I learned about much later. She was kind of past being a Hollywood star at that point. Yet, we see her name, we think of the movie, or is it the other way around? I think it gave her a very high visibility at a time when she was fading.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 20:37:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 14 Dec 2012, Charles Richmond wrote:

> <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote in message
> news:ea5a8625-88f8-47d5-9c8a-06c2c3587651@c28g2000vby.googlegroups.com...
> On Dec 14, 10:15 am, Nick Spalding <spald...@iol.ie> wrote:

>>

>>> It consisted of a motor with a
>>> centrifugal fan on either end of the shaft.

>>

>> My father had an old heavy duty electric motor. But when it started
>> the current pull dimmed the lights in the house. I gave it away, but
>> others found it troubling to use for that reason (it could pop
>> breakers), plus it was very heavy.

>>

>> A modern modern would be light for the same power output, and would
>> have protection circuitry. Sometimes old stuff isn't that practical.

>>

>> We've talked about old dial telephones. They were built to withstand
>> a nuclear attack and old ones will still work on plain landlines. But
>> dialing 10 digits on a rotary dial is cumbersome, and the phones won't
>> work on the widespread modern automatic voice response systems that
>> require Touch Tone input.

>

> Back before PDA's, an uncle of mine had an electronic "telephone directory"
> device. It was just a little device that stored names and phone numbers.
> This device had a Touch Tone tone generator onboard. You selected a phone
> number and held the device up to the mouthpiece. Then the device would dial
> the number by producing the touch tones. This device could also be used to
> generate touch tones for menu systems.

>

Yes, technology that filled a gap between two other bits, so they are
forgotten decades later, though at the time they did make a big splash.

They became simple to build when TouchTone encoder ICs hit the market,
various hobby magazines had construction articles. Mostly just to send
TouchTones, but I think some had memory. I seem to recall one in Radio
Electronics that used a diode matrix, but I may not be remembering
properly.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 20:40:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 14 Dec 2012, Charles Richmond wrote:

> "Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:kadu4k\$kr\$1@dont-email.me...
>> On 12/13/2012 5:10 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>
>>> As an aside, back in college I had to do a business paper on the
>>> Maytag Company. Back then they were very conservative--had very
>>> little debt--and built high quality washers. According to our
>>> classes, they were not run optimally since they depended too much on
>>> equity financing instead of a balance between equity and debt.
>>> Anyway, our family had Maytags and they worked very well. The company
>>> was since bought out, and I don't know if the high quality is still
>>> the same.
>>
>> No. I bought a Maytag for the reputation and found out it's the same junk
>> everyone is selling.
>>
>
> Maytag is now a brand name for Whirlpool. The quality of Maytag is history.
> The factory in Iowa where all the old Maytag machines were built... has been
> closed for several years now.

>
Stoves and dryers and washing machines are an interesting case. They are huge, yet fairly empty. It seemed like they stayed being made "locally" for much longer because it was cheaper to assemble close to population centers than ship these huge boxes everywhere.

For all the expense, and size, most of them are quite simple. Some controls, some electronics now, the motor in a washing machine, the heating elements in an oven. Yet often very bulky to work on, and nobody is going to take it in to fix it, they require a serviceman to come to the house.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ben Pfaff](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 20:46:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

> No. I bought a Maytag for the reputation and found out it's the same
> junk everyone is selling.

The contractors I know recommend Bosch or LG for washers and dryers.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 20:51:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 14, 3:37 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

- > They became simple to build when TouchTone encoder ICs hit the market,
- > various hobby magazines had construction articles. Mostly just to send
- > TouchTones, but I think some had memory. I seem to recall one in Radio
- > Electronics that used a diode matrix, but I may not be remembering
- > properly.

The continued development of the integrated circuit created an explosion in electronics--more and more power at less and less cost.

The power was always there and doable--except with discrete transistors it required ever more circuit cards which became expensive. Or relays could be used but they were bulky and expensive, too. By consolidating a considerable amount of discrete components on a single chip--and mass producing them--electronics finally became cheaper than relays for functionality. Somewhere along the line electronic tuners replaced rotating knob tuners in television, for instance.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 21:00:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 14, 3:40 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

- > For all the expense, and size, most of them are quite simple. Some
- > controls, some electronics now, the motor in a washing machine, the
- > heating elements in an oven. Yet often very bulky to work on, and nobody
- > is going to take it in to fix it, they require a serviceman to come to the
- > house.

After usage for some time, repairing a major appliance isn't usually economically justified. Labor and parts are expensive, and it becomes cheaper just to get a new one.

I don't think of an automatic washing machine was "quite simple". It may be old technology, not as complex as an automobile, but it does various tasks fully automatically. It knows how to fill the tub with water of the proper temperature, to stop filling when full, then start the wash cycle, to drain the water, knowing when to stop, then refill with rinse water, then draining and executing a spin cycle. The controls engage and disengage multiple electrical-mechanical

components in the course of that process. There are some safety interlocks built in, too. It has some measure of durability and reliability (commercial grade units have more ruggedness).

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [William Hamblen](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 22:13:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-13, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com < Hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com > wrote:

> On Dec 12, 4:07 pm, Dave Garland <dave.garl...@wizinfo.com> wrote:
>

>>> B&W was much easier to handle and process than color, and much
>>> cheaper, too. Kodachrome originally was extremely slow, ASA 10, and
>>> lens were slower, too.

>>

>> True on the film speed, but I think not so much on the lenses. I had
>> a 35mm Voigtlander Prominent with an 50mm f1.5 lens, that would have
>> dated from around 1952.

>

> I think that would've been very fast for 1952. My impression was that
> the fastest 35mm lens back then were about f/2.8 .

>

> But even a fast lens, like f/1.4, had the disadvantage of having
> shallow depth of field.

Fast lenses were available in 1952. The Zeiss 50mm f/1.5 came out in 1932.

Bud

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 22:16:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <aivb2rFblm2U1@mid.individual.net>,
"Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hasn't worked like that in the great democracys for a hell of a long time
> now.

No? Tell me how it's different here in the USA. We don't get to choose the candidates. We don't get oversight on the voting. We don't get to vote on laws as a rule, and when we do (California prop 8), the courts are as likely to throw out "our" will. So?

--

May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 22:18:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <3084.766T2415T6145276@kltpzyxm.invalid>,
"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:

- > Political tags - such as royalist, communist, democrat, populist,
- > fascist, liberal, conservative, and so forth - are never basic
- > criteria. The human race divides politically into those who
- > want people to be controlled and those who have no such desire.
- > The former are idealists acting from highest motives for the
- > greatest good of the greatest number. The latter are surly
- > curmudgeons, suspicious and lacking in altruism. But they
- > are more comfortable neighbors than the other sort.
- > -- Robert A. Heinlein: The Notebooks of Lazarus Long

- > The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with
- > the blood of patriots and tyrants. It is its natural manure.
- > -- Thomas Jefferson

Very good quotes.

--

May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 22:19:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <kadr8v\$7m8\$1@dont-email.me>,
"Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> wrote:

- > BAH, I thought by law that analog TV signals were *no* longer allowed for
- > broadcast. Are the analog stations you receive... coming from Canada???

Depends on their broadcasting power.

--

May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 22:33:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message
news:3084.766T2415T6145276@kltpzyxm.invalid...
> In article <20121213130645.7563022ef8a8225ddeb1592@eircom.net>,
> steveo@eircom.net (Ahem A Rivet's Shot) writes:
>
>> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 07:42:15 -0500
>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>> On 12/13/2012 3:38 AM, Charles Richmond wrote:
>>>
>>>> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message
>>>> news:619.764T150T7143391@kltpzyxm.invalid...
>>>>
>>>> > In article <ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com
>>>> > (Peter Flass) writes:
>>>> >
>>>> >> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>> One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles
>>>> >>> read exactly as they do now, only the names are different.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Sad but true.
>>>> >
>>>> > But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.
>>>> > Or was that a "new error"?
>>>>
>>>> "We won't get fooled again... Here comes the new boss; same as
>>>> the old boss."
>>>>
>>> I'm finally old enough to have figured it out. People are people -
>>> Communism, Capitalism, Democracy, Dictatorship, Anarchy - it's all
>>> the same in the end. The outward appearances differ, but the result
>>> is that the in-group is in the driver's seat and the rest of us are
>>> sat on.
>>>
> Political tags - such as royalist, communist, democrat, populist,
> fascist, liberal, conservative, and so forth - are never basic
> criteria. The human race divides politically into those who
> want people to be controlled and those who have no such desire.

- > The former are idealists acting from highest motives for the
- > greatest good of the greatest number. The latter are surly
- > curmudgeons, suspicious and lacking in altruism. But they
- > are more comfortable neighbors than the other sort.
- > -- Robert A. Heinlein: The Notebooks of Lazarus Long
- >
- >> There are real differences, they're just not covered by those
- >> labels. The real differences have to do with how heavily we're sat on,
- >> whether there is a real chance of becoming one of the sitters, how
- >> easily the sitters can be dislodged and whether this results in the
- >> ex-sitter being sat upon or executed.
- >>
- >> I like to live in an environment where the sitting pressure
- >> is low, there is a good chance of becoming a sitter, and the sitters
- >> can be dislodged easily to become sittees.
- >
- > Still, we sittees have to keep a close eye on things. It's a bad
- > sign when the sitters start working to dismantle the checks and
- > balances that preserve those freedoms.
- >
- >> I'd hate to live in an environment where the sitting pressure is
- >> very high, there is no chance of becoming a sitter without being
- >> born as one and the only way to dislodge a sitter is to kill them
- >> at great personal effort and risk.

- > The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time
- > to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants.

Not anymore in the great democracys.

- > It is its natural manure.
- > -- Thomas Jefferson

And then the real world moved on, just like it ALWAYS does.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 22:41:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Michael Black" <et472@ncf.ca> wrote in message
news:alpine.LNX.2.02.1212141538260.3758@darkstar.example.org...
> On Fri, 14 Dec 2012, Charles Richmond wrote:
>
>> "Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:kadu4k\$kr\$1@dont-email.me...
>>> On 12/13/2012 5:10 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>>>

>>>> As an aside, back in college I had to do a business paper on the
>>>> Maytag Company. Back then they were very conservative--had very
>>>> little debt--and built high quality washers. According to our
>>>> classes, they were not run optimally since they depended too much on
>>>> equity financing instead of a balance between equity and debt.
>>>> Anyway, our family had Maytags and they worked very well. The company
>>>> was since bought out, and I don't know if the high quality is still
>>>> the same.

>>>

>>> No. I bought a Maytag for the reputation and found out it's the same
>>> junk everyone is selling.

>>>

>>

>> Maytag is now a brand name for Whirlpool. The quality of Maytag is
>> history. The factory in Iowa where all the old Maytag machines were
>> built... has been closed for several years now.

>>

> Stoves and dryers and washing machines are an interesting case. They are
> huge, yet fairly empty. It seemed like they stayed being made "locally"
> for much longer because it was cheaper to assemble close to population
> centers than ship these huge boxes everywhere.

The real reason they were made locally is because the domestic power
system in the US and western Europe was so different voltage wise.

> For all the expense, and size, most of them are quite simple.

That's overstated with the ones that basically weigh the load
of clothes and decide how to deal with them based on the
weight and with those with fancy electronic automatic
balancing systems that mean you don't ever have to
manually adjust the load so it will spin up at highest speed.

> Some controls, some electronics now, the motor in a washing machine, the
> heating elements in an oven. Yet often very bulky to work on, and nobody
> is going to take it in to fix it, they require a serviceman to come to the
> house.

That last is just plain wrong.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 22:54:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> wrote
> Rod Speed <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote
>> Charles Richmond <numerist@aquaporin4.com> wrote

>>> The Golden Rule: "He who has the gold, makes the rules."

>> Hasn't worked like that in the great
>> democracys for a hell of a long time now.

> No?

Nope.

> Tell me how it's different here in the USA.

He who has the gold makes no rules at all even in the USA.

Gates couldn't do a damned thing about the monopoly rules
and John D. Rockefeller got no say on the anti trust rules and
got to like them or lump them instead.

> We don't get to choose the candidates.

You do, just indirectly as the partys try to decide who is
likely to get elected when you do get to vote on them.

And he with the gold certainly does not decide who the
candidates are anyway.

> We don't get oversight on the voting.

He with the gold doesn't either.

> We don't get to vote on laws as a rule,

He with the gold doesn't decide what they are.

> and when we do (California prop 8), the
> courts are as likely to throw out "our" will.

But that is not because anyone with the gold has
decided that that law should be thrown out.

> So?

So it hasn't worked like that in the great democracys
for a hell of a long time now, like I said.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 22:57:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> wrote

> Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote

>> Political tags - such as royalist, communist, democrat, populist,
>> fascist, liberal, conservative, and so forth - are never basic
>> criteria. The human race divides politically into those who
>> want people to be controlled and those who have no such desire.
>> The former are idealists acting from highest motives for the
>> greatest good of the greatest number. The latter are surly
>> curmudgeons, suspicious and lacking in altruism. But they
>> are more comfortable neighbors than the other sort.
>> -- Robert A. Heinlein: The Notebooks of Lazarus Long

>> The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with
>> the blood of patriots and tyrants. It is its natural manure.
>> -- Thomas Jefferson

> Very good quotes.

Nope, the last one is WAY past its useby date now in the great democracys.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 23:09:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <kafv5r\$g32\$1@dont-email.me>, numerist@aquaporin4.com
(Charles Richmond) writes:

> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message
> news:796.766T2447T6165372@kltpzyxm.invalid...

>

>> In article <s0qlc8d9ejbk8dlgbtib0m6jnb5oq8hv8t@4ax.com>,
>> spalding@iol.ie (Nick Spalding) writes:

>>

>>> Charles Richmond wrote, in <kads1o\$bc1\$1@dont-email.me>
>>> on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:31:48 -0600:

>>>

>>>> In WWII, frequency hopping radio had been invented. It was
>>>> invented by the very beautiful actress Hedy Lamarr, along with
>>>> composer George Antheil. BAH, Hedy Lamarr was *no* dummy...
>>>> However she was perceived, her intelligence is *not* in doubt.
>>>> (Not by me, anyway.)

>>>

>>> Only one d in Hedy.

>>
>> "That's _Hedley_" -- Blazing Saddles
>
> It says on the IMDb website... that Hedy Lamarr *sued* Mel Brooks
> over the use of that name in the movie Blazing Saddles. They
> settled out of court.

That's ironic, considering one of the lines in the movie is:
"It's 1874, you'll be able to sue _her_!"

--
/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)
\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.
X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.
/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 23:16:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <1uuop9-4iv.ln1@wair.reistad.name>,
Morten Reistad <first@last.name> wrote:

> I can also confirm the slowness of the Kodachrome films. 25 ASA
> was more or less established when I started photographing in ca 1975.
> Ektachromes were 100 and 200 ASA. B&W was 400, or even 800 with
> some pushing. Or we could use 40 asa extremely fine-grained B&W
> film.

Panatomic X. ASA 32. Wonderful stuff.

--
May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 00:02:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 4:41 PM, Rod Speed wrote:
>
>
> "Michael Black" <et472@ncf.ca> wrote

>> Stoves and dryers and washing machines are an interesting case.
>> They are huge, yet fairly empty. It seemed like they stayed being
>> made "locally" for much longer because it was cheaper to assemble
>> close to population centers than ship these huge boxes everywhere.
>
> The real reason they were made locally is because the domestic power
> system in the US and western europe was so different voltage wise.

110V 60Hz, or 220V 50Hz. That seems like a manageable range of possibilities for a manufacturer that's already making a range of several dozen models. In an older automatic washer, there are what, 2 motors (drive and timer) and a couple of solenoids? After all, Japanese companies seem to be able to make stuff for both markets, as well as 100V stuff in both 50Hz and 60Hz flavors for their domestic markets.

But I think consumer taste may be different in Europe/North America, NA has favored big top loaders with a spin cycle and separate hot/cold water inputs (no built-in heater) that drain to a standpipe. From our last discussion on this topic, it seemed like that did not describe the typical Euro or Oz washer.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 01:06:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote
> Rod Speed wrote
>> Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> wrote

>>> Stoves and dryers and washing machines are an interesting case.
>>> They are huge, yet fairly empty. It seemed like they stayed being
>>> made "locally" for much longer because it was cheaper to assemble
>>> close to population centers than ship these huge boxes everywhere.

>> The real reason they were made locally is because the domestic power
>> system in the US and western europe was so different voltage wise.

> 110V 60Hz, or 220V 50Hz. That seems like a manageable range of
> possibilities for a manufacturer that's already making a range of several
> dozen models.

The problem isnt the actual voltage but the consequences of that to the basic design. With a 110V washing machine, its just not feasible to heat the water electrically in the washing machine with the older top loader automatics. Its just too slow to be practical because of the power available

with a 110V plug in appliance like a washing machine.

The western european and australian top loaders all do heat the water electrically, although they also do usually have a hot water feed as well, not everyone uses that.

Not such a problem with front loaders because they use rather less water, but those were never anything like as popular in north america for various reasons.

- > In an older automatic washer, there are what, 2 motors (drive and timer)
- > and a couple of solenoids?

Sure, but see above.

- > After all, Japanese companies seem to be able to make stuff for both
- > markets, as well as 100V stuff in both 50Hz and 60Hz flavors for their
- > domestic markets.

Yes, but for some reason they have never been very big in the biggest automatic top loader market.

- > But I think consumer taste may be different in Europe/North America, NA
- > has favored big top loaders with a spin cycle and separate hot/cold water
- > inputs (no built-in heater) that drain to a standpipe. From our last
- > discussion on this topic, it seemed like that did not describe the
- > typical Euro or Oz washer.

It is with Australia, but not with western europe.

And the australian ones all have an internal water heater, tho most do have both a hot and cold water feed, the hottest wash is usually hotter than you get from the hot water feed so the washing machine usually does heat even when the hot water supply is used for that.

Not such a problem with front loaders, in fact because they don't use anything like as much water, you don't in fact get very hot water in the washing machine with a front loader with a hot water feed, just because much of the water fill is actually just getting the cold water out of the water pipe from the hot water service and so you still need to heat the water in the washing machine.

That's likely at least part of the reason why front loaders still aren't that popular in north america. Those are generally light enough so Mike's

original line about moving them far doesn't apply.

Some of the more primitive largest top loaders actually had a fucking great lump of concrete or metal in them to help with the out of balance load problem, very crudely. That's now mostly been replaced by automatic mechanical and electronic systems that just don't need the user to shift the load around by hand when the drum can't spin up to full speed when the load isn't distributed evenly enough.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 02:54:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <aj1p1uFsrIcU1@mid.individual.net>,
"Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:

> and John D. Rockefeller got no say on the anti trust rules and
> got to like them or lump them instead.

Gates has learned, since.

>> We don't get to choose the candidates.
>
> You do, just indirectly as the partys try to decide who is
> likely to get elected when you do get to vote on them.

That's just it. If the "partys", meaning the Republicrats and the Democans, are "for" a candidate, that's a candidate I don't vote for. Does my guy ever get a good showing relative to theirs? No.

>> We don't get to vote on laws as a rule,
>

you really think that's nothing, you're not paying attention. Could it be worse? Sure. But: could it be BETTER? sure!

--

May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina

We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 02:54:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <aj1p6rFssmeU1@mid.individual.net>,
"Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:

> Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> wrote
>> Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote
>
>>> Political tags - such as royalist, communist, democrat, populist,
>>> fascist, liberal, conservative, and so forth - are never basic
>>> criteria. The human race divides politically into those who
>>> want people to be controlled and those who have no such desire.
>>> The former are idealists acting from highest motives for the
>>> greatest good of the greatest number. The latter are surly
>>> curmudgeons, suspicious and lacking in altruism. But they
>>> are more comfortable neighbors than the other sort.
>>> -- Robert A. Heinlein: The Notebooks of Lazarus Long
>
>>> The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with
>>> the blood of patriots and tyrants. It is its natural manure.
>>> -- Thomas Jefferson
>
>> Very good quotes.
>
> Nope, the last one is WAY past its useby date now in the great democracys.

Exactly. It's way past time for watering the Tree of Liberty.

--
May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 03:38:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> wrote
> Rod Speed <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote

>> Gates couldn't do a damned thing about the monopoly
>> rules and John D. Rockefeller got no say on the anti trust
>> rules and got to like them or lump them instead.

> Gates has learned, since.

But he never did make any govt rules at all.

>>> We don't get to choose the candidates.

>> You do, just indirectly as the partys try to decide who is
>> likely to get elected when you do get to vote on them.

> That's just it. If the "partys", meaning the Republicrats and the
> Democans, are "for" a candidate, that's a candidate I don't vote for.

Sure, but hardly any vote like that so it isn't what
determines who they put up as candidates to vote for.

And there is STILL no one with the gold that makes any govt rules at all.

> Does my guy ever get a good showing relative to theirs? No.

Because nothing like enough vote like you do to get
more than a pathetic showing when the voters vote.

>>> We don't get to vote on laws as a rule,

>> He with the gold doesn't decide what they are.

> You're naïve.

We'll see...

> He with the gold gets to push, buy ads and lobbieists.

And that isnt making any govt rules at all.

> If you really think that's nothing, you're not paying attention.

Never said anything about nothing. What was being discussed
was whether anyone with the gold ever gets to make any govt
rules. They don't and arguably never have in the US given that
even the founding fathers didn't have much gold. Some of them
were in fact close to bankrupt and had to keep their slaves even
when they had a philosophical objection to slavery because
otherwise they would have gone broke.

> Could it be worse? Sure. But: could it be BETTER? sure!

Separate matter entirely to whether anyone with gold makes any govt rules.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 03:40:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> wrote
> Rod Speed <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote
>> Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> wrote
>>> Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote

>>>> Political tags - such as royalist, communist, democrat, populist,
>>>> fascist, liberal, conservative, and so forth - are never basic
>>>> criteria. The human race divides politically into those who
>>>> want people to be controlled and those who have no such desire.
>>>> The former are idealists acting from highest motives for the
>>>> greatest good of the greatest number. The latter are surly
>>>> curmudgeons, suspicious and lacking in altruism. But they
>>>> are more comfortable neighbors than the other sort.
>>>> -- Robert A. Heinlein: The Notebooks of Lazarus Long

>>>> The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with
>>>> the blood of patriots and tyrants. It is its natural manure.
>>>> -- Thomas Jefferson

>>> Very good quotes.

>> Nope, the last one is WAY past its useby date now in the great
>> democracys.

> Exactly. It's way past time for watering the Tree of Liberty.

Even sillier with the great democracys.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 05:02:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 4:16 PM, Howard S Shubs wrote:
> In article <aivb2rFblm2U1@mid.individual.net>,
> "Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Hasn't worked like that in the great democracys for a hell of a long time

>> now.

>

> No? Tell me how it's different here in the USA. We don't get to choose
> the candidates.

Who is "we", in a country of 311 million inhabitants (219 million eligible voters)? I daresay you get your fractional $1/3 \cdot 10^9$ choice.

Inasmuch as you actively participate in a party, you get a bigger fractional choice. More, if you have big money to spread around. No, if it's the Republicans or Democrats, that's still pretty long odds. If you opt for a third party, your odds of affecting the candidate are a lot better (and the national conventions are more likely to be real, as opposed to carefully choreographed advertising spectacles), although of course the odds of your party's candidate winning are considerably worse, unless he/she/it is already famous (TV personality or incumbent pol who's had a falling out with your party, but yes, the deck is stacked against third parties). Getting rid of the parties doesn't solve the problem, because then for any significant office, only persons who are already famous and wealthy will be serious contenders.

There are certainly changes that could improve your impact (replacing "first past the post" with some other electoral system would be a start). But no matter what, you're still only one three-hundred-millionth of the population.

You want to choose the candidates? Think about the local races, the county commissioners, the school board, the town council, the zoning commission. Maybe the state legislature. You can actually have an impact on those choices.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 06:26:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 14 Dec 2012 18:02:59 -0600

Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

> But I think consumer taste may be different in Europe/North America,
> NA has favored big top loaders with a spin cycle and separate hot/cold
> water inputs (no built-in heater) that drain to a standpipe. From our
> last discussion on this topic, it seemed like that did not describe
> the typical Euro or Oz washer.

Correct, European washers went front loader a long time ago (1960s), hot and cold feed was normal up until a decade or so ago when cold only became normal. They do have spin cycles and drain to a standpipe.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Stan Barr](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 11:38:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 14 Dec 2012 15:27:48 -0500, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> wrote:
> On Fri, 14 Dec 2012, Charlie Gibbs wrote:
>
>> In article <s0qlc8d9ejbk8dlgbtib0m6jnb5oq8hv8t@4ax.com>, spalding@iol.ie
>> (Nick Spalding) writes:
>>
>>> Charles Richmond wrote, in <kads1o\$bc1\$1@dont-email.me>
>>> on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:31:48 -0600:
>>>
>>>> In WWII, frequency hopping radio had been invented. It was invented
>>>> by the very beautiful actress Hedy Lamarr, along with composer
>>>> George Antheil. BAH, Hedy Lamarr was *no* dummy... However she
>>>> was perceived, her intelligence is *not* in doubt. (Not by me,
>>>> anyway.)
>>>
>>> Only one d in Hedy.
>>
>> "That's _Hedley_." -- Blazing Saddles
>>
> I was waiting for that, actually thought of doing it myself.
>
> I thought she became known for her guided torpedo and spread spectrum
> after the movie came out.

The spread-spectrum patent was granted in 1942, but how many of the public noticed is unknown :-)

--

Cheers,
Stan Barr [plan.b .at. dsl .dot. pipex .dot. com](mailto:plan.b.at.dsl.dot.pipex.dot.com)

The future was never like this!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 12:31:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 1:14 PM, Charlie Gibbs wrote:

- >
- > Still, we sitters have to keep a close eye on things. It's a bad
- > sign when the sitters start working to dismantle the checks and
- > balances that preserve those freedoms.

Let's just get rid of the messy political arguments over raising the debt ceiling every year. Why not just give the president the authority to raise it unilaterally? (sarcasm moderm lest anyone miss it)

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 12:35:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 2:48 PM, Charles Richmond wrote:

- > "Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
- > news:kadu4k\$kr\$1@dont-email.me...
- >> On 12/13/2012 5:10 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
- >>>
- >>> As an aside, back in college I had to do a business paper on the
- >>> Maytag Company. Back then they were very conservative--had very
- >>> little debt--and built high quality washers. According to our
- >>> classes, they were not run optimally since they depended too much on
- >>> equity financing instead of a balance between equity and debt.
- >>> Anyway, our family had Maytags and they worked very well. The company
- >>> was since bought out, and I don't know if the high quality is still
- >>> the same.
- >>
- >> No. I bought a Maytag for the reputation and found out it's the same
- >> junk everyone is selling.
- >>
- >
- > Maytag is now a brand name for Whirlpool. The quality of Maytag is
- > history. The factory in Iowa where all the old Maytag machines were
- > built... has been closed for several years now.
- >

Whirlpool is, or was RCA, so from the people who brought you the Bizmac...

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 12:52:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 3:34 PM, Michael Black wrote:

> On Fri, 14 Dec 2012, Charles Richmond wrote:

>

>> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message

>> news:796.766T2447T6165372@kltpzyxm.invalid...

>>> In article <s0qlc8d9ejbk8dlgbtib0m6jnb5oq8hv8t@4ax.com>, spalding@iol.ie

>>> (Nick Spalding) writes:

>>>

>>>> Charles Richmond wrote, in <kads1o\$bc1\$1@dont-email.me>

>>>> on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:31:48 -0600:

>>>>

>>>> > In WWII, frequency hopping radio had been invented. It was invented

>>>> > by the very beautiful actress Heddy Lamarr, along with composer

>>>> > George Antheil. BAH, Heddy Lamarr was *no* dummy... However she

>>>> > was perceived, her intelligence is *not* in doubt. (Not by me,

>>>> > anyway.)

>>>>

>>>> Only one d in Hedy.

>>>

>>> "That's _Hedley_." -- Blazing Saddles

>>>

>>

>> It says on the IMDb website... that Hedy Lamarr *sued* Mel Brooks over

>> the use of that name in the movie Blazing Saddles. They settled out

>> of court.

>>

> I didn't know about her before Blazing Saddles, and her technical stuff

> I learned about much later. She was kind of past being a Hollywood star

> at that point. Yet, we see her name, we think of the movie, or is it

> the other way around? I think it gave her a very high visibility at a

> time when she was fading.

>

For someone who grew up in the 50s she's famous, but looking at her bio she didn't appear in a _lot_ of well-known movies.

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 12:59:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

> On 12/14/2012 1:14 PM, Charlie Gibbs wrote:
>>
>> Still, we sitters have to keep a close eye on things. It's a bad
>> sign when the sitters start working to dismantle the checks and
>> balances that preserve those freedoms.
>
> Let's just get rid of the messy political arguments over raising the
> debt ceiling every year. Why not just give the president the
> authority to raise it unilaterally? (sarcasm modem lest anyone miss
> it)

modem->mode

<sarcasm>

Yep, arguing about whether we're going to default every year is a good thing.

</sarcasm>

--
Dan Espen

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 13:05:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 3:46 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:

> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
>
>> No. I bought a Maytag for the reputation and found out it's the same
>> junk everyone is selling.
>
> The contractors I know recommend Bosch or LG for washers and
> dryers.
>

LG? Lucky-Goldstar? That's a bit of a surprise, although I admit I've had pretty good luck with some of their stuff.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 13:10:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 4:00 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

- > On Dec 14, 3:40 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:
- >
- >> For all the expense, and size, most of them are quite simple. Some
- >> controls, some electronics now, the motor in a washing machine, the
- >> heating elements in an oven. Yet often very bulky to work on, and nobody
- >> is going to take it in to fix it, they require a serviceman to come to the
- >> house.
- >
- > After usage for some time, repairing a major appliance isn't usually
- > economically justified. Labor and parts are expensive, and it becomes
- > cheaper just to get a new one.
- >
- > I don't think of an automatic washing machine was "quite simple".

Simple in the fact that the major pieces, at least the electronics, are usually packaged into a few modules that just plug together. I had a long story about repairing my dishwasher but decided to spare everyone.

The short version is that there are three or four pieces to the front panel that just screw on and plug in. Replacing one or two takes about five minutes and a trained ape could do it - heck, I did.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 13:19:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 9:54 PM, Howard S Shubs wrote:

- >
- > You're naïve. He with the gold gets to push, buy ads and lobbies. If
- > you really think that's nothing, you're not paying attention. Could it
- > be worse? Sure. But: could it be BETTER? sure!
- >

No, that's my original point - people are people, all the time and everywhere. For certain limited time periods in certain small places you may be able to get better, but over time entropy always runs downhill toward the swamp.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 15:11:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sat, 15 Dec 2012 08:10:20 -0500
Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/14/2012 4:00 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>> On Dec 14, 3:40 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:
>>
>>> For all the expense, and size, most of them are quite simple. Some
>>> controls, some electronics now, the motor in a washing machine, the
>>> heating elements in an oven. Yet often very bulky to work on, and
>>> nobody is going to take it in to fix it, they require a serviceman to
>>> come to the house.
>>
>> After usage for some time, repairing a major appliance isn't usually
>> econonically justified. Labor and parts are expensive, and it becomes
>> cheaper just to get a new one.
>>
>> I don't think of an automatic washing machine was "quite simple".
>
> Simple in the fact that the major pieces, at least the electronics, are
> usually packaged into a few modules that just plug together. I had a
> long story about repairing my dishwasher but decided to spare everyone.
> The short version is that there are three or four pieces to the front
> panel that just screw on and plug in. Replacing one or two takes about
> five minutes and a trained ape could do it - heck, I did.

The only trouble I had last time I repaired my dishwasher was part of the casing that hooked and clipped into place had sharp edges that trapped my fingers unexpectedly as it snapped into place and drew blood, getting my fingers out was tricky too - it's a good thing a suitable lever was in reach.

--
Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 15:15:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sat, 15 Dec 2012 07:35:52 -0500

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/14/2012 2:48 PM, Charles Richmond wrote:

>> Maytag is now a brand name for Whirlpool. The quality of Maytag is
>> history. The factory in Iowa where all the old Maytag machines were
>> built... has been closed for several years now.

>>

>

> Whirlpool is, or was RCA, so from the people who brought you the Bizmac...

AFAICT most of the older well known brands are now one and the same, to get something different you have to look at the newer (at least in white goods) brands like LG, Bosch, Smeg (Red Dwarf fans can stop giggling now) etc. I forget the name of the the one set up by people from service companies designed to be easily repairable and long lasting ? Last time I remembered it I made the mistake of looking at the prices.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith

| Directable Mirror Arrays

C:>WIN

| A better way to focus the sun

The computer obeys and wins.

| licences available see

You lose and Bill collects.

| <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 15:18:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sat, 15 Dec 2012 08:05:37 -0500

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/14/2012 3:46 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:

>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>

>>> No. I bought a Maytag for the reputation and found out it's the same
>>> junk everyone is selling.

>>

>> The contractors I know recommend Bosch or LG for washers and
>> dryers.

>>

>

> LG? Lucky-Goldstar? That's a bit of a surprise, although I admit I've
> had pretty good luck with some of their stuff.

Our latest washing machine is an LG (yes it is Lucky Goldstar but they try to forget that, the current tag line is "Life's Good"), it's good and remarkably quite and stable even when spinning flat out. Their main engineering claim on them is about the benefits of their direct drive system instead of using a belt - the motor is guaranteed for 10 years which in itself is an impressive statement of confidence in the engineering.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 17:30:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:kahsfo\$1dc\$1@dont-email.me...

> On 12/14/2012 4:00 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>> On Dec 14, 3:40 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

>>

>>> For all the expense, and size, most of them are quite simple. Some
>>> controls, some electronics now, the motor in a washing machine, the
>>> heating elements in an oven. Yet often very bulky to work on, and
>>> nobody
>>> is going to take it in to fix it, they require a serviceman to come to
>>> the
>>> house.

>>

>> After usage for some time, repairing a major appliance isn't usually
>> economically justified. Labor and parts are expensive, and it becomes
>> cheaper just to get a new one.

>>

>> I don't think of an automatic washing machine was "quite simple".

> Simple in the fact that the major pieces, at least the electronics, are
> usually packaged into a few modules that just plug together.

Just as true of a PC, and even a mainframe
if you don't look at the word few too hard.

> I had a long story about repairing my dishwasher but decided to spare
> everyone. The short version is that there are three or four pieces to the
> front panel that just screw on and plug in. Replacing one or two takes
> about five minutes and a trained ape could do it

Just as true of a PC, and even a mainframe.

> - heck, I did.

Just as true of a PC, and even a mainframe.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 17:33:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote

> Howard S Shubs wrote

>> You're naïve. He with the gold gets to push, buy ads and lobbies. If
>> you really think that's nothing, you're not paying attention. Could it
>> be worse? Sure. But: could it be BETTER? sure!

> No, that's my original point - people are people, all the time and
> everywhere. For certain limited time periods in certain small places you
> may be able to get better, but over time entropy always runs downhill
> toward the swamp.

Even sillier. The reality is that the political process works a hell of
a lot better now than when you had to kill the fool at the top to
get any change in how things are done when he had fucked up
badly enough.

Nowadays some countrys don't even let him run for more
than 2 terms so the bums rush is completely automatic
and they aren't actually stupid enough to let some complete
arsehole like Putin drive an aircraft carrier thru the rules.

Nothing like a swamp in fact with the great democracies.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 17:37:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote

> Peter Flass wrote

>> Charlie Gibbs wrote

>>> Still, we sitters have to keep a close eye on things. It's
>>> a bad sign when the sitters start working to dismantle

>>> the checks and balances that preserve those freedoms.

>> Let's just get rid of the messy political arguments over raising the
>> debt ceiling every year. Why not just give the president the authority
>> to raise it unilaterally? (sarcasm moderm lest anyone miss it)

> Obama is trying to get that right now.

Another Limbaugh lie respewed.

And since he doesn't control the Congress, it aint gunna happen anyway, you watch.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 17:41:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
> Rod Speed wrote
>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
>>> Rod Speed wrote
>>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
>>>> > greymaus wrote
>>>> >> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
>>>> >>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote
>>>> >>>> Peter Flass <Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote

>>>> >>>>> I sometimes get so fascinated by the old stuff, including the
>>>> >>>>> advertising, that I forget what I was looking for in the first
>>>> >>>>> place.

>>>> >>>>> Absolutely.

>>>> >>>>> The advertising is very informative in its own right. For one, we
>>>> >>>>> get an idea of prices of specific goods and services in a
>>>> >>>>> particular
>>>> >>>>> time. Two, we see what was 'hot' in a time span. Three, we get a
>>>> >>>>> feel for the details of various goods and services--details we
>>>> >>>>> might
>>>> >>>>> not realize for today. For instance, way back a new house came
>>>> >>>>> with
>>>> >>>>> _nothing_--things like a stove and heater were optional. Old TVs
>>>> >>>>> required a rooftop antenna, which may have been an extra charge and
>>>> >>>>> not cheap to install.

>>>> >>>>> Then we can read about things that have relevance today. For
>>>> >>>>> instance, today we may be arguing whether to tear down some major

>>>> >>>> building---in the past we'll find an article arguing whether or not
>>>> >>>> to
>>>> >>>> build it in the first place. (Sometimes the underlying issues
>>>> >>>> remain
>>>> >>>> the same!)

>>>> >>>> In the want ads, we'll see that jobs were separated for men
>>>> >>>> and women (in some locales also separated by white and
>>>> >>>> colored). We'll see jobs that no longer exist.

>>>> >>>> In the business pages, we'll see an emphasis on "nuts and bolts"
>>>> >>>> smokestack industries, including literally companies that makes
>>>> >>>> nuts
>>>> >>>> and bolts. We'll see ads by such companies, with the plant in the
>>>> >>>> background proudly spewing lots of smoke from its stacks--a sign
>>>> >>>> back
>>>> >>>> then of prosperity.

>>>> >>> Barbara Tuchman wrote about history; her stuff was very, very good
>>>> >>> because she looked at inventory and grocery lists as part of her
>>>> >>> research. She also wnet to the areas and walked. This was
>>>> >>> important
>>>> >>> when she wrote about WWI; the gerenals were far away from the
>>>> >>> fighting
>>>> >>> and couldn't know what was going on until hours later when a courier
>>>> >>> arrived with a piece of paper.

>>>> >> It was planned that way so plans would not be changed by misleading
>>>> >> news in the early stages of an operation.

>>>> > And the commanders didn't have to see the mess and have high class
>>>> > living conditions.

>>>> Plenty of the commanders like Rommel weren't that stupid.

>>> Can you read the difference between WWI and WWII?

>> Just as true of WW1.

> WWI was at a stalemate until the US sent people over.

Irrelevant to whether all the commanders operated that way.

> The leaders of tropps shoved asses and
> materials around along with their troops.

ALL leaders of troops do, that's their job.

> I suggest you read The Guns of August and Truman's biography.

Read them both thanks, and a hell of a lot more military history than you ever have, thanks.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 17:45:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> wrote

> Rod Speed wrote

>> jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> wrote

>>> Charles Richmond wrote

>>>> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote

>>>> > I like to live in an environment where the sitting pressure is low,
>>>> > there is a good chance of becoming a sitter, and the sitters can be
>>>> > dislodged easily to become sitters. I'd hate to live in an environment
>>>> > where the sitting pressure is very high, there is no chance of
>>>> > becoming
>>>> > a sitter without being born as one and the only way to dislodge a
>>>> > sitter
>>>> > is to kill them at great personal effort and risk.

>>>> You are "mighty right" Steve! Look at those unfortunate people in
>>>> North
>>>> Korea. North Korea is *w-a-a-a-a-y-y-y* beyond simply repressive.
>>>> When
>>>> a person is considered to "revolt" against the government... the
>>>> *whole*
>>>> family goes to a prison camp for *three* generations. The people
>>>> interned
>>>> in these camps are worked unmercifully and fed *very* little. A
>>>> school
>>>> "teacher" may *kill* a child if the child hoards two or three kernels
>>>> of
>>>> corn. Public executions are held there of anyone *not* following the
>>>> draconian rules.

>>>> If all this seems unbelievable to you (it does *sound* unbelievable),
>>>> check it out...

>>> And the reason they have food production problems is
>>> because there are 3 or 4 soldiers guarding every farmer.

>> Completely off with the fucking fairys, as always with your politics.

- > the problem with Communism and its variants is that the
- > workers have to be monitored by enforcers to keep working.

Corse nothing like that ever happens with capitalism, eh ?

- > With capitalism, the workers have an incentive to keep working.

And plenty of them STILL need enforcers to ensure that the worst of the 'workers' keep working.

- > this is especially true with farming.

Even sillier.

- > If you own the farm, you reap the profits, literally.

Much of the time the bank does instead.

- > Even in Israel, the collectives don't work as hard as
- > the farmers who own their own farm and products.

Irrelevant to your stupid claim that there are 3 or 4 soldiers guarding every farmer in north korea.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Stan Barr](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 17:56:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sat, 15 Dec 2012 08:05:37 -0500, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

- > On 12/14/2012 3:46 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:
- >> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
- >>
- >>> No. I bought a Maytag for the reputation and found out it's the same
- >>> junk everyone is selling.
- >>
- >> The contractors I know recommend Bosch or LG for washers and
- >> dryers.
- >>
- >
- > LG? Lucky-Goldstar? That's a bit of a surprise, although I admit I've
- > had pretty good luck with some of their stuff.
- >

I've been using their stuff for decades, including electronic test equipment. Usually pretty good.

--

Cheers,
Stan Barr plan.b .at. dsl .dot. pipex .dot. com

The future was never like this!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 19:11:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 15, 12:02 am, Dave Garland <dave.garl...@wizinfo.com> wrote:

> . . . Inasmuch as you actively participate in a party, you get a bigger
> fractional choice. . . .

Anyone is welcome to participate in a political party. Like any other organization, you start at the bottom and work your way up.

I can't help but suspect there are people who suddenly want to jump into politics and win high elective office without doing the basics first.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 19:16:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 15, 10:22 am, jmfbaiciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:

> the problem with Communism and its variants is that the workers have
> to be monitored by enforcers to keep working. With capitalism, the
> workers have an incentive to keep working.

Human nature being what it is, a workforce needs both enforcers and incentives. One or the other alone won't do it, despite ideological claims.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 19:55:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-15, Stan Barr <plan.b@dsl.pipex.com> wrote:

> On Sat, 15 Dec 2012 08:05:37 -0500, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On 12/14/2012 3:46 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:
>>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
>>>

>>>> No. I bought a Maytag for the reputation and found out it's the same
>>>> junk everyone is selling.
>>>
>>> The contractors I know recommend Bosch or LG for washers and
>>> dryers.
>>>
>>
>> LG? Lucky-Goldstar? That's a bit of a surprise, although I admit I've
>> had pretty good luck with some of their stuff.
>>
>
> I've been using their stuff for decades, including electronic test
> equipment. Usually pretty good.
>

There was a Korean company that made (MSX) system home computers, which were the future of computing for about a week.. (Goldstar?)

--
maus
.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 19:59:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote
> jmfbaiciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote

>> the problem with Communism and its variants is that the
>> workers have to be monitored by enforcers to keep working.
>> With capitalism, the workers have an incentive to keep working.

> Human nature being what it is, a workforce needs both enforcers and
> incentives. One or the other alone won't do it, despite ideological
> claims.

Depends on the workforce. It isn't true that all of them need enforcers, most obviously with the self employed who obviously don't. They clearly do need at least the incentive that their effort can fill their time with something they don't mind doing or with something they like to do.

Even with mindless/menial work like cleaning, you don't

always need enforcers, most obviously with the self employed cleaners who have a decent incentive that they need to work to get what they want lifestyle wise.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:39:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 15 Dec 2012 19:55:36 GMT
greymausg@mail.com wrote:

> On 2012-12-15, Stan Barr <plan.b@dsl.pipex.com> wrote:
>> On Sat, 15 Dec 2012 08:05:37 -0500, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>> On 12/14/2012 3:46 PM, Ben Pfaff wrote:
>>>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
>>>>
>>>> > No. I bought a Maytag for the reputation and found out it's the same
>>>> > junk everyone is selling.
>>>>
>>>> The contractors I know recommend Bosch or LG for washers and
>>>> dryers.
>>>>
>>>
>>> LG? Lucky-Goldstar? That's a bit of a surprise, although I admit
>>> I've had pretty good luck with some of their stuff.
>>>
>>
>> I've been using their stuff for decades, including electronic test
>> equipment. Usually pretty good.
>>
>
> There was a Korean company that made (MSX) system home computers, which
> were the future of computing for about a week.. (Goldstar?)

Same company - Goldstar => Lucky Goldstar => LG.

--
Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:47:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <_o2dnRwKRfTyXIfNnZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@earthlink.com>, on 12/14/2012 at 12:13 AM, William Hamblen <william.hamblen@earthlink.net> said:

> I visited a data center that has been in commission a long time and
> was struck by how empty it looked.

Even in the 1980's the CPU was dwarfed by the DASD farm. Older disk drives were on the order of 100 MB[1], a Mass Storage System only held a few GB and the disks announced in the 1980's were on the order of a GB[2]; these days a single disk drive is on the order of a TB.

[1] Ranging from the 35 MB 3340 to the 630 MB 3390[2]

[2] A 3390 HDA had 2 drives, for a total of 1.26 GB.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:49:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <kafqgs\$d39\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/14/2012 at 01:19 PM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

> FSVO "modern" - now all going away and being replaced by voice input.

With fallback to touch tone needed because of the unreliable voice recognition software. BTDT,GTS[1]

[1] No T shirt, just scars.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:52:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <howard-7E9065.19541514122012@c-131-121-196-216.gonavy.usna.edu>, on 12/14/2012

at 07:54 PM, Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> said:

> Gates has learned, since.

Has he? He's still getting away with coercive marketing tactics.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:57:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <kah0a0\$1mq\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/14/2012

at 11:02 PM, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> said:

> Who is "we", in a country of 311 million inhabitants (219 million
> eligible voters)? I daresay you get your fractional $1/3 * 10^9$
> choice.

Only if you can first get your choice on the ballot. If your state has laws design to exclude 3rd parties, you're SOL.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 03:30:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/15/2012 6:57 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
> In <kah0a0\$1mq\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/14/2012
> at 11:02 PM, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> said:
>
>> Who is "we", in a country of 311 million inhabitants (219 million
>> eligible voters)? I daresay you get your fractional $1/3 \cdot 10^9$
>> choice.
>
> Only if you can first get your choice on the ballot. If your state has
> laws design to exclude 3rd parties, you're SOL.
>

You can still write in for your $1/3 \cdot 10^9$ influence. Not that it's going to help much in a national election. Yes, many states do discriminate against 3rd parties in varying degrees, and that doesn't help. That said, there are 2 US Senators (one a lame duck) who are not a member of either major party.

But like I said, in the down-ballot elections it's a lot more even. Party matters a lot less for school board or town council. Third party candidates can, and sometimes do, win those races (my top-50 city has several third-party elected officials). Start there and build your party to state significance, then national. Sorry if that doesn't provide quick gratification, yes, proportional representation or Independent Runoff Voting or another electoral system would do better. So would fissioning the country into countries small enough to be governed by town meetings, though there are a few practical objections to that solution.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 04:04:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <50cd1b3b\$5\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

> In <howard-7E9065.19541514122012@c-131-121-196-216.gonavy.usna.edu>,
> on 12/14/2012
> at 07:54 PM, Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> said:
>
>> Gates has learned, since.
>
> Has he? He's still getting away with coercive marketing tactics.

Last I looked, he wasn't involved any more. Not so? He learned to get the fuck out and go live life.

--

May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 04:29:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sat, 15 Dec 2012, Howard S Shubs wrote:

> In article <50cd1b3b55fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,
> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:
>
>> In <howard-7E9065.19541514122012@c-131-121-196-216.gonavy.usna.edu>,
>> on 12/14/2012
>> at 07:54 PM, Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> said:
>>
>>> Gates has learned, since.
>>
>> Has he? He's still getting away with coercive marketing tactics.
>
> Last I looked, he wasn't involved any more. Not so? He learned to get
> the fuck out and go live life.
>
He retired, so he could "do good".

One of my long lost cousins, I think on the Colville Reservation in Washington, got a grant or scholarship from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation back in May.

Michael

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 04:45:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 15, 10:30 pm, Dave Garland <dave.garl...@wizinfo.com> wrote:

> But like I said, in the down-ballot elections it's a lot more even.
> Party matters a lot less for school board or town council. Third
> party candidates can, and sometimes do, win those races (my top-50
> city has several third-party elected officials). Start there and
> build your party to state significance, then national. Sorry if that
> doesn't provide quick gratification, ...

It should _not_ provide quick gratification.

Anyway, there is nothing wrong with working within a major party and working your way up.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 04:48:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <kah0a0\$1mq\$1@dont-email.me>,
Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

> You want to choose the candidates? Think about the local races, the
> county commissioners, the school board, the town council, the zoning
> commission. Maybe the state legislature. You can actually have an
> impact on those choices.

I tried that this time. Didn't work. Oh well.

--

May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Nick Spalding](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 10:03:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Shmuel wrote, in <50cd1a2f\$3\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>
on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 19:47:43 -0500:

> In <_o2dnRwKRfTyXlfNnZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@earthlink.com>, on 12/14/2012
> at 12:13 AM, William Hamblen <william.hamblen@earthlink.net> said:
>
>> I visited a data center that has been in commission a long time and
>> was struck by how empty it looked.
>
> Even in the 1980's the CPU was dwarfed by the DASD farm. Older disk
> drives were on the order of 100 MB[1], a Mass Storage System only held
> a few GB and the disks announced in the 1980's were on the order of a
> GB[2]; these days a single disk drive is on the order of a TB.

I am many years out of the mainframe business but I am surprised it isn't more. There is a 1TB drive beside me on my desk in a casing

12x8x1.5 cm.

> [1] Ranging from the 35 MB 3340 to the 630 MB 3390[2]

>

> [2] A 3390 HDA had 2 drives, for a total of 1.26 GB.

--

Nick Spalding

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 13:25:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/15/2012 7:49 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> In <kafqgs\$d39\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/14/2012

> at 01:19 PM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

>

>> FSVO "modern" - now all going away and being replaced by voice input.

>

> With fallback to touch tone needed because of the unreliable voice
> recognition software. BTDT,GTS[1]

>

> [1] No T shirt, just scars.

>

Since when has that stopped anyone. If unreliability were a consideration, windoze would be in the ash bin. Dog help us, people are getting 'doze 8 shoved down their throats. New computers these days all seem to come with it. I think you can request an upgrade to 'doze 7, but how many people will know to do this. The same thing happened when we ordered my wife's laptop a while ago. We had to get "Vista" because XP was no longer available. Is it just me, or does this slop get worse every release?

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 13:27:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <PM0004D0E59B0AE044@aca2fe2b.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/15/2012

at 03:22 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

> the problem with Communism and its variants is that the workers

> have to be monitored by enforcers to keep working. With capitalism,

> the workers have an incentive to keep working.

Actually, in both systems some workers are motivate and some are not. There is an external incentive to keep working only if there is some sort of monitoring. Don't confuse communism with soviet style marxist-leninism; the latter is state capitalism, despite the spin doctors.

> Even in Israel, the collectives don't work as hard as the farmers
> who own their own farm and products.

Actually, they do. The significant variable is not individual versus collective ownership, but how much of a surplus is available. Also note that an employee doesn't have the same incentives as an owner, whether that owner be an individual or a collective.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 13:31:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/15/2012 7:57 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
> In <kah0a0\$1mq\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/14/2012
> at 11:02 PM, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> said:
>
>> Who is "we", in a country of 311 million inhabitants (219 million
>> eligible voters)? I daresay you get your fractional $1/3 \cdot 10^9$
>> choice.
>
> Only if you can first get your choice on the ballot. If your state has
> laws design to exclude 3rd parties, you're SOL.
>

Once again there is no good system. In a country like Israel a very small party can thwart the will of the majority because of the need to continually form coalition governments. In the US "representative" government is not so representative. For example, in states like New York of Illinois the big cities dictate to the rest of the state.

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) Metz](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 13:38:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <hn6rc8pv9uik30depiv4leskf7uptr655e@4ax.com>, on 12/16/2012
at 10:03 AM, Nick Spalding <spalding@iol.ie> said:

> I am many years out of the mainframe business but I am surprised
> it isn't more.

There are tradeoffs[1]. These days the trend is towards RAID and
virtual volumes; the OS doesn't see the underlying hardware. What I
find not simply surprising but appalling is that IBM is still
simulating [E]CKD on fixed sector devices instead of adding FBA
support to z/OS.

[1] E.g., whether to spend money on faster drives or more cache.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 14:08:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <kakhn6\$651@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com
(Peter Flass) writes:

> On 12/15/2012 7:49 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
>
>> In <kafqgs\$d391@dont-email.me>, on 12/14/2012
>> at 01:19 PM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:
>>
>>> FSVO "modern" - now all going away and being replaced by voice
>>> input.
>>
>> With fallback to touch tone needed because of the unreliable voice
>> recognition software. BTDT,GTS[1]

>>
>> [1] No T shirt, just scars.
>
> Since when has that stopped anyone. If unreliability were a
> consideration, windoze would be in the ash bin. Dog help us,
> people are getting 'doze 8 shoved down their throats. New
> computers these days all seem to come with it. I think you
> can request an upgrade to 'doze 7, but how many people will
> know to do this. The same thing happened when we ordered my
> wife's laptop a while ago. We had to get "Vista" because XP
> was no longer available. Is it just me, or does this slop
> get worse every release?

FSVO "worse". The graphics are prettier, so in the eyes of
J. Random Luser it's better.

My wife's laptop came with Win7. I spent three days trying to
set it up on our LAN, then finally gave a scream of frustration
and wiped the hard disk. I tried to install XP, but the install
CD blue-screened while booting. It installs and runs just fine
in VirtualBox under Ubuntu, though.

--
/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)
\/ I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.
X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.
/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 15:20:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <kajf9c\$f6o\$1@dont-email.me>,
Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

> So would fissioning the country into countries small enough
> to be governed by town meetings, though there are a few practical
> objections to that solution.

Try doing that to Manhattan, for example. Some buildings would be too
large especially since Manhattanites have huge egos.

--
This space unintentionally left blank.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 15:23:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <kaki2t\$829\$1@dont-email.me>,
Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/15/2012 7:57 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
>> In <kah0a0\$1mq\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/14/2012
>> at 11:02 PM, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> said:
>>
>>> Who is "we", in a country of 311 million inhabitants (219 million
>>> eligible voters)? I daresay you get your fractional $1/3 \cdot 10^9$
>>> choice.
>>
>> Only if you can first get your choice on the ballot. If your state has
>> laws design to exclude 3rd parties, you're SOL.
>>
>
> Once again there is no good system. In a country like Israel a very
> small party can thwart the will of the majority because of the need to
> continually form coalition governments. In the US "representative"
> government is not so representative. For example, in states like New
> York of Illinois the big cities dictate to the rest of the state.

Assuming that of means or, I don't know of a New York of Illinois . . .

Not in the case of New York State, upstate vs. downstate is a constant tension and upstate gets an unfair share of the state support for schools.

--
This space unintentionally left blank.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 15:43:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rod Speed wrote:
> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
>> Rod Speed wrote
>>
>><snip>

> Irrelevant to your stupid claim that there are 3 or
> 4 soldiers guarding every farmer in north korea.

That's what the white paper stated.

It's clear why N. Korea can't grow enough to feed themselves.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 15:43:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 15, 10:22 am, jmfbahciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:

>

>> the problem with Communism and its variants is that the workers have
>> to be monitored by enforcers to keep working. With capitalism, the
>> workers have an incentive to keep working.

>

> Human nature being what it is, a workforce needs both enforcers and
> incentives. One or the other alone won't do it, despite ideological
> claims.

>

4 for each worker?? That's paying 5 people to do one job and doesn't
include any of the management.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 15:43:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

greymausg@mail.com wrote:

> On 2012-12-15, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

>> Rod Speed wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>> "jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
>>> news:PM0004D0D078AB2BC8@aca27eb3.ipt.aol.com...

>>>> or 4

>>>> soldiers guarding every farmer.

>>>

>>> Completely off with the fucking fairys, as always with your politics.

>>

>> the problem with Communism and its variants is that the workers have
>> to be monitored by enforcers to keep working. With capitalism, the
>> workers have an incentive to keep working.

>>
>> this is especially true with farming. If you own the farm, you reap
>> the profits, literally. Even in Israel, the collectives don't work
>> as hard as the farmers who own their own farm and products.
>
> enter long message about who really owns the land in Israel.

Which tends to ignore the land purchased in the 50s and 60s and
the areas gained because they won the wars.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 15:43:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rod Speed wrote:

> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
>> Peter Flass wrote
>>> Charlie Gibbs wrote
>
>>>> Still, we sitters have to keep a close eye on things. It's
>>>> a bad sign when the sitters start working to dismantle
>>>> the checks and balances that preserve those freedoms.
>
>>> Let's just get rid of the messy political arguments over raising the
>>> debt ceiling every year. Why not just give the president the authority
>>> to raise it unilaterally? (sarcasm moderm lest anyone miss it)
>
>> Obama is trying to get that right now.
>
> Another Limbaugh lie respewed.

You haven't been paying attention to what he's been doing/saying for
the last two weeks. I wish somebody would stand up and tell him to
go back to D.C. and do his job.

>
> And since he doesn't control the Congress, it aint gunna happen anyway, you
> watch.

>
He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.
Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
leadership.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 16:03:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 10:03:55 +0000
Nick Spalding <spalding@iol.ie> wrote:

> I am many years out of the mainframe business but I am surprised it
> isn't more. There is a 1TB drive beside me on my desk in a casing
> 12x8x1.5 cm.

The discs for use in data centres tend to be smaller in capacity than consumer discs, but faster, more reliable and of course more expensive. That being said the leading edge of consumer discs are 4TB now so data centre discs are probably going past the 1TB point (it's been a while since I last looked).

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 16:06:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 08:31:51 -0500
Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/15/2012 7:57 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
>> In <kah0a0\$1mq\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/14/2012
>> at 11:02 PM, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> said:
>>
>>> Who is "we", in a country of 311 million inhabitants (219 million
>>> eligible voters)? I daresay you get your fractional $1/3 \cdot 10^9$
>>> choice.
>>
>> Only if you can first get your choice on the ballot. If your state has
>> laws design to exclude 3rd parties, you're SOL.
>>
>
> Once again there is no good system.

In many ways the best system is a benevolent dictatorship run by a highly intelligent dictator. The tricky part is arranging to always have a highly intelligent, benevolent dictator.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Stan Barr](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 16:20:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:39:28 +0000, Ahem A Rivet's Shot
<steveo@eircom.net> wrote:

> On 15 Dec 2012 19:55:36 GMT

> greymausg@mail.com wrote:

>>

>> There was a Korean company that made (MSX) system home computers, which
>> were the future of computing for about a week.. (Goldstar?)

>

> Same company - Goldstar => Lucky Goldstar => LG.

>

IIRC correctly there were originally two companies, Lucky and Goldstar
which merged to become Lucky-Goldstar sometime in the '50s.

--

Cheers,
Stan Barr [plan.b .at. dsl .dot. pipex .dot. com](mailto:plan.b@dsl.pipex.com)

The future was never like this!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 16:40:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 16 Dec 2012 16:20:05 GMT

Stan Barr <plan.b@dsl.pipex.com> wrote:

> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:39:28 +0000, Ahem A Rivet's Shot

> <steveo@eircom.net> wrote:

>> On 15 Dec 2012 19:55:36 GMT

>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:

>>>

>>> There was a Korean company that made (MSX) system home computers, which
>>> were the future of computing for about a week.. (Goldstar?)

>>

>> Same company - Goldstar => Lucky Goldstar => LG.

>>

>

> IIRC correctly there were originally two companies, Lucky and Goldstar
> which merged to become Lucky-Goldstar sometime in the '50s.

You could well be right, my memory doesn't go back that far,
but they were just using the Goldstar name with the MSX box greymaus
recalls.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 16:48:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> writes:

> The discs for use in data centres tend to be smaller in capacity
> than consumer discs, but faster, more reliable and of course more
> expensive. That being said the leading edge of consumer discs are 4TB now
> so data centre discs are probably going past the 1TB point (it's been a
> while since I last looked).

amazon cloud with 2tb disks from 29nov2012:

Amazon Announces 2 New EC2 Instance Types: Cluster High Memory With
240GB RAM And High Storage With 48TB HDD Space

http://techcrunch.com/2012/11/29/amazon-announces-2-new-ec2-instance-types-cluster-high-memory-with-240gb-ram-and-high-storage-with-48tb-hdd-space/?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+Techcrunch+%28TechCrunch%29

from article:

The first is meant for application that need to deal with large-scale,
in-memory processing. This "Cluster High Memory" instance type will
offer a massive 240 GB of RAM and two 120GB SSDs. The second, the
"High Storage" instance is, as the name implies, focused on storage

and will come with 117 GB of RAM and 24 hard drive for a total of 48 terabytes of HDD space."

.... snip ...

recent article has the brand name server vendors are no longer biggest customer of i86 server chips ... its the big cloud operations (buy more server chips than server vendors)

past articles are that the big cloud operations have done extensive reliability and life-time costs studies to select most cost effective components ... also comments that they do blade assembly/manufacturing for 1/3rd the price of brand name blades (more expensive isn't necessarily most cost effective &/or lowest lifetime costs).

recent posts mentioning cloud operations:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012b.html#6> Cloud apps placed well in the economic cycle

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012b.html#74> IBM Doing Some Restructuring?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012d.html#41> Layer 8: NASA unplugs last mainframe

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012d.html#50> Layer 8: NASA unplugs last mainframe

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#83> Why are organizations sticking with mainframes?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#4> Can Mainframes Be Part Of Cloud Computing?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#19> Can Mainframes Be Part Of Cloud Computing?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#20> Mainframes Warming Up to the Cloud

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#40> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#15> Can anybody give me a clear idea about Cloud Computing in MAINFRAME ?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#81> Should the IBM approach be given a chance to fix the health care system?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#26> Can anybody give me a clear idea about Cloud Computing in MAINFRAME ?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#34> Can anybody give me a clear idea about Cloud Computing in MAINFRAME ?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#66> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#41> Cloud Computing

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#20> X86 server

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#42> I.B.M. Mainframe Evolves to Serve the Digital World

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#47> I.B.M. Mainframe Evolves to Serve the Digital World

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#51> Turn Off Another Light - Univ. of Tennessee

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#52> I.B.M. Mainframe Evolves to Serve the Digital World

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#13> Intel Confirms Decline of Server Giants HP, Dell, and IBM

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#48> Under what circumstances would it be a mistake to migrate applications/workload off the mainframe?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#56> Under what circumstances would it be a mistake to migrate applications/workload off the mainframe?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#69> Under what circumstances would it be a mistake to migrate applications/workload off the mainframe?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#70> Under what circumstances would it be a mistake to migrate applications/workload off the mainframe?
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012o.html#6> Mainframes are still the best platform for high volume transaction processing
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012o.html#11> Mainframes are still the best platform for high volume transaction processing
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012o.html#58> ISO documentation of IBM 3375, 3380 and 3390 track format
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#25> Search Google, 1960:s-style

--
virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 17:31:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

- > He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.
 ^???
- > Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
- > leadership.

Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!

Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small business?

--
Dan Espen

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 17:32:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> writes:

- > On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 08:31:51 -0500
- > Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
- >
- >> On 12/15/2012 7:57 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
- >>> In <kah0a0\$1mq\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/14/2012
- >>> at 11:02 PM, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> said:
- >>>

>>>> Who is "we", in a country of 311 million inhabitants (219 million
>>>> eligible voters)? I daresay you get your fractional $1/3 \cdot 10^9$
>>>> choice.
>>>
>>> Only if you can first get your choice on the ballot. If your state has
>>> laws design to exclude 3rd parties, you're SOL.
>>>
>>
>> Once again there is no good system.
>
> In many ways the best system is a benevolent dictatorship run by a
> highly intelligent dictator. The tricky part is arranging to always have a
> highly intelligent, benevolent dictator.

Since that doesn't work, I don't think it makes any sense to advocate
for it.

--
Dan Espen

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 18:03:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:kakhn6\$65l\$1@dont-email.me...
> On 12/15/2012 7:49 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
>> In <kafqgs\$d39\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/14/2012
>> at 01:19 PM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:
>>
>>> FSVO "modern" - now all going away and being replaced by voice input.
>>
>> With fallback to touch tone needed because of the unreliable voice
>> recognition software. BTDT,GTS[1]
>>
>> [1] No T shirt, just scars.
>>
>
> Since when has that stopped anyone. If unreliability were a
> consideration, windoze would be in the ash bin. Dog help us, people are
> getting 'doze 8 shoved down their throats. New computers these days all
> seem to come with it. I think you can request an upgrade to 'doze 7, but
> how many people will know to do this. The same thing happened when we
> ordered my wife's laptop a while ago. We had to get "Vista" because XP
> was no longer available. Is it just me,

Yep.

> or does this slop get worse every release?

Nope, Win 7 was much better than Vista and quite a bit better than XP too.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 18:08:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
> Rod Speed wrote
>> jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
>>> Rod Speed wrote

>> Irrelevant to your stupid claim that there are 3 or
>> 4 soldiers guarding every farmer in north korea.

> That's what the white paper stated.

And even someone as stupid as you should
be able to grasp that that's not even possible.

Have fun providing a cite on that.

They MAY have said that there are 3-4 soldiers
for every farmer, a different matter entirely.

That's just as true of the US, stupid.

> It's clear why N. Korea can't grow enough to feed themselves.

It cant be for that reason you so stupidly claimed.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 18:13:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 15, 11:48 pm, Howard S Shubs

>> You want to choose the candidates? Think about the local races, the
>> county commissioners, the school board, the town council, the zoning
>> commission. Maybe the state legislature. You can actually have an
>> impact on those choices.

>

> I tried that this time. Didn't work. Oh well.

If someone like me can get on those very local bodies, almost anyone can.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 18:13:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> wrote

> Rod Speed wrote

>> jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> wrote

>>> Peter Flass wrote

>>>> Charlie Gibbs wrote

>>>> > Still, we sitters have to keep a close eye on things. It's

>>>> > a bad sign when the sitters start working to dismantle

>>>> > the checks and balances that preserve those freedoms.

>>>> Let's just get rid of the messy political arguments over raising the

>>>> debt ceiling every year. Why not just give the president the authority

>>>> to raise it unilaterally? (sarcasm moderm lest anyone miss it)

>>> Obama is trying to get that right now.

>> Another Limbaugh lie respewed.

> You haven't been paying attention to what

> he's been doing/saying for the last two weeks.

He has said nothing like that in the last two weeks.

And even if he did, it ain't gunna happen anyway.

> I wish somebody would stand up and tell

> him to go back to D.C. and do his job.

That's what he is doing.

>> And since he doesn't control the Congress,

>> it aint gunna happen anyway, you watch.

> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

Another Limbaugh lie respewed.

> Small businesses are screwed;

Another Limbaugh lie respewed.

> that seems to be the target of the Dem. leadership.

Another Limbaugh lie respewed.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 18:14:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in message
news:20121216160605.716e0e108422ba4dc8ea42f9@eircom.net...

> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 08:31:51 -0500

> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>> On 12/15/2012 7:57 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>>> In <kah0a0\$1mq\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/14/2012

>>> at 11:02 PM, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> said:

>>>

>>>> Who is "we", in a country of 311 million inhabitants (219 million

>>>> eligible voters)? I daresay you get your fractional $1/3 \cdot 10^9$

>>>> choice.

>>>

>>> Only if you can first get your choice on the ballot. If your state has

>>> laws design to exclude 3rd parties, you're SOL.

>>>

>>

>> Once again there is no good system.

>

> In many ways the best system is a benevolent dictatorship run by a

> highly intelligent dictator. The tricky part is arranging to always have a

> highly intelligent, benevolent dictator.

And that's why there is no good system, stupid.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 18:16:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 16, 10:20 am, Walter Bushell <pr...@panix.com> wrote:

> In article <kajf9c\$f6...@dont-email.me>,

> Dave Garland <dave.garl...@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>

>> So would fissioning the country into countries small enough

>> to be governed by town meetings, though there are a few practical

- >> objections to that solution.
- >
- > Try doing that to Manhattan, for example. Some buildings would be too
- > large especially since Manhattanites have huge egos.

Actually, that has been done. In New York City there are local district boards.

Further, in many places communities are organized, and have a board, like a condo board (for better or for worse).

But, as mentioned, these boards allow an entry-level person to get started.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 18:17:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

- "Stan Barr" <plan.b@dsl.pipex.com> wrote in message
news:slrnkcr2m7.27r.plan.b@ID-309335.user.uni-berlin.de...
> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:39:28 +0000, Ahem A Rivet's Shot
> <steveo@eircom.net> wrote:
>> On 15 Dec 2012 19:55:36 GMT
>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:
>>>
>>> There was a Korean company that made (MSX) system home computers, which
>>> were the future of computing for about a week.. (Goldstar?)
>>
>> Same company - Goldstar => Lucky Goldstar => LG.
>>
>
> IIRC correctly

You don't.

- > there were originally two companies, Lucky and Goldstar which
- > merged to become Lucky-Goldstar sometime in the '50s.

Fraid not.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/LG_Corp#History

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 18:18:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in message
news:20121216164005.2be1d6f2c0b6b2fb102aa3b0@eircom.net...

> On 16 Dec 2012 16:20:05 GMT

> Stan Barr <plan.b@dsl.pipex.com> wrote:

>

>> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:39:28 +0000, Ahem A Rivet's Shot

>> <steveo@eircom.net> wrote:

>>> On 15 Dec 2012 19:55:36 GMT

>>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:

>>>>

>>>> There was a Korean company that made (MSX) system home computers,

>>>> which

>>>> were the future of computing for about a week.. (Goldstar?)

>>>

>>> Same company - Goldstar => Lucky Goldstar => LG.

>>>

>>

>> IIRC correctly there were originally two companies, Lucky and Goldstar

>> which merged to become Lucky-Goldstar sometime in the '50s.

> You could well be right,

Nope.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/LG_Corp#History

> my memory doesn't go back that far,

That's why we have the net, stupid.

> but they were just using the Goldstar name

> with the MSX box greymaus recalls.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [hancock4](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 18:21:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 16, 10:43 am, jmfbahciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:

>> Human nature being what it is, a workforce needs _both_ enforcers and

>> incentives. One or the other alone won't do it, despite ideological

>> claims.

>

> 4 for each worker?? That's paying 5 people to do one job and doesn't

> include any of the management.

Where do you get "4 for each worker???"

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 18:43:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 12:32:24 -0500
Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> writes:
>
>> In many ways the best system is a benevolent dictatorship run
>> by a highly intelligent dictator. The tricky part is arranging to
>> always have a highly intelligent, benevolent dictator.
>
> Since that doesn't work, I don't think it makes any sense to advocate
> for it.

There's always the faint hope that some genius will work out a way to address the fundamental problem with it, agreed it's a very faint hope, but then so is the hope of solving the problems inherent in representative democracy. It's just that the latter system tends not to get as unpleasant as the others when it's weaknesses are exploited.

--
Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 19:18:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in message
news:20121216184352.3ef9dbc2f7618e46b54cf1ce@eircom.net...

> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 12:32:24 -0500
> Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:
>
>> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> writes:
>>
>>> In many ways the best system is a benevolent dictatorship run
>>> by a highly intelligent dictator. The tricky part is arranging to
>>> always have a highly intelligent, benevolent dictator.
>>
>> Since that doesn't work, I don't think it makes any sense to advocate
>> for it.
>
> There's always the faint hope that some genius will work out a way

- > to address the fundamental problem with it, agreed it's a very faint hope,
- > but then so is the hope of solving the problems inherent in representative
- > democracy. It's just that the latter system tends not to get as unpleasant
- > as the others when it's weaknesses are exploited.

In fact the main advantage with it is that in the great democracys, when the voters have decided that the one that needs to get the bums rush gets the bums rush at the ballot box, they go quietly.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 19:55:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

- On 2012-12-16, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
- > greymausg@mail.com wrote:
 - >> On 2012-12-15, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
 - >>> Rod Speed wrote:
 - >>>>
 - >>>>
 - >>>> "jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
 - >>>> news:PM0004D0D078AB2BC8@aca27eb3.ipt.aol.com...
 - >>>> > or 4
 - >>>> > soldiers guarding every farmer.
 - >>>>
 - >>>> Completely off with the fucking fairys, as always with your politics.
 - >>>>
 - >>> the problem with Communism and its variants is that the workers have
 - >>> to be monitored by enforers to keep working. With capitalism, the
 - >>> workers have an incentive to keep working.
 - >>>
 - >>> this is especially true with farming. If you own the farm, you reap
 - >>> the profits, literally. Even in Israel, the collectives don't work
 - >>> as hard as the farmers who own their own farm and products.
 - >>
 - >> enter long message about who really owns the land in Israel.
 - >
 - >
 - > Which tends to ignore the land purchased in the 50s and 60s and
 - > the areas gained becuase they won the wars.
 - >
 - > /BAH

Israeli Jews have legal title to about 5% of the land of even pre-1961 Israel.. Palestinian sources.

--

maus

.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 19:57:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <aj6hhuF3vbU1@mid.individual.net>,
"Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:

> "Stan Barr" <plan.b@dsl.pipex.com> wrote in message
> news:slrnkcr2m7.27r.plan.b@ID-309335.user.uni-berlin.de...
>> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:39:28 +0000, Ahem A Rivet's Shot
>> <steveo@eircom.net> wrote:
>>> On 15 Dec 2012 19:55:36 GMT
>>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>> There was a Korean company that made (MSX) system home computers, which
>>>> were the future of computing for about a week.. (Goldstar?)
>>>
>>> Same company - Goldstar => Lucky Goldstar => LG.
>>>
>>
>> IIRC correctly
>

>
>> there were originally two companies, Lucky and Goldstar which
>> merged to become Lucky-Goldstar sometime in the '50s.
>
> Fraid not.
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/LG_Corp#History

That URL shows he DOES remember correctly. What are you, some kind of troll?

--

May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 20:38:23 GMT

"Howard S Shubs" <howard@shubs.net> wrote in message
news:howard-83311A.12574716122012@c-131-121-196-216.gonavy.usna.edu...

> In article <aj6hhuF3vbU1@mid.individual.net>,

> "Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>> "Stan Barr" <plan.b@dsl.pipex.com> wrote in message

>> news:slrnkcr2m7.27r.plan.b@ID-309335.user.uni-berlin.de...

>>> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:39:28 +0000, Ahem A Rivet's Shot

>>> <steveo@eircom.net> wrote:

>>>> On 15 Dec 2012 19:55:36 GMT

>>>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:

>>>>> >

>>>>> > There was a Korean company that made (MSX) system home computers,

>>>>> > which

>>>>> > were the future of computing for about a week.. (Goldstar?)

>>>>>

>>>>> Same company - Goldstar => Lucky Goldstar => LG.

>>>>>

>>>>

>>> IIRC correctly

>>

>> You don't.

>>

>>> there were originally two companies, Lucky and Goldstar which

>>> merged to become Lucky-Goldstar sometime in the '50s.

>>

>> Fraid not.

>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/LG_Corp#History

> That URL shows he DOES remember correctly.

Like hell it does.

> What are you, some kind of troll?

No need to ask you that, the answer is obvious.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 21:04:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message

news:kaht15\$1dc\$2@dont-email.me...

> On 12/14/2012 9:54 PM, Howard S Shubs wrote:

>>

>> You're naïve. He with the gold gets to push, buy ads and lobbies. If
>> you really think that's nothing, you're not paying attention. Could it
>> be worse? Sure. But: could it be BETTER? sure!

>>

>

> No, that's my original point - people are people, all the time and
> everywhere. For certain limited time periods in certain small places you
> may be able to get better, but over time entropy always runs downhill
> toward the swamp.

>

>

REPTILE BRAIN !!!

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 21:05:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz" <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote in
message news:50cd1b3b55fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net...
> In <howard-7E9065.19541514122012@c-131-121-196-216.gonavy.usna.edu>,
> on 12/14/2012
> at 07:54 PM, Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> said:
>
>> Gates has learned, since.
>
> Has he? He's still getting away with coercive marketing tactics.
>

Shmuel, perhaps he means that Gates has learn to *buy* congress around to
his way of thinking...

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 21:12:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Dan Espen" <despen@verizon.net> wrote in message

news:ic8v8x6gyf.fsf@home.home...

> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> writes:

>>

>> [snip...] [snip...]

>> [snip...]

>>

>> In many ways the best system is a benevolent dictatorship run by a
>> highly intelligent dictator. The tricky part is arranging to always have

>> a

>> highly intelligent, benevolent dictator.

>

> Since that doesn't work, I don't think it makes any sense to advocate
> for it.

>

In a sense, the US is *supposed* to be a "benevolent dictator" type of government. The government is *supposed* to restrain the rich and give the working class some sort of chance at having a successful life. It has *not* been working that way for at least the past 30 years. :-)

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 21:24:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> writes:

> "Dan Espen" <despen@verizon.net> wrote in message

> news:ic8v8x6gyf.fsf@home.home...

>> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> writes:

>>>

>>> [snip...] [snip...] [snip...]

>>>

>>> In many ways the best system is a benevolent dictatorship run by a
>>> highly intelligent dictator. The tricky part is arranging to always

>>> have a

>>> highly intelligent, benevolent dictator.

>>

>> Since that doesn't work, I don't think it makes any sense to advocate
>> for it.

>>

>

> In a sense, the US is *supposed* to be a "benevolent dictator" type of
> government.

Not to my knowledge.

- > The government is *supposed* to restrain the rich and
- > give the working class some sort of chance at having a successful
- > life. It has *not* been working that way for at least the past 30
- > years. :-(

That's not a dictatorship and I don't agree that the government is formed for that purpose. Tax policy struggles to be fair and efficient. Taxing the rich is seen by most people as fair.

--

Dan Espen

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 21:30:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

- > On 12/14/2012 9:54 PM, Howard S Shubs wrote:
- >>
- >> You're naïve. He with the gold gets to push, buy ads and lobbies. If
- >> you really think that's nothing, you're not paying attention. Could it
- >> be worse? Sure. But: could it be BETTER? sure!
- >
- > No, that's my original point - people are people, all the time and
- > everywhere. For certain limited time periods in certain small places
- > you may be able to get better, but over time entropy always runs
- > downhill toward the swamp.

I can never remember where I read it or who said it.
I think it was the Rise and Fall of the Third Reich.

The statement was from some former Nazi.
He said something like, if you think that this problem was caused
by the German people, you haven't learned the lesson of history.
This can happen anywhere.

Anyway, if someone knows the quote, I promise to file it away for future
reference.

--

Dan Espen

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 22:10:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 16:30:52 -0500

Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

- > I can never remember where I read it or who said it.
- > I think it was the Rise and Fall of the Third Reich.
- >
- > The statement was from some former Nazi.
- > He said something like, if you think that this problem was caused
- > by the German people, you haven't learned the lesson of history.
- > This can happen anywhere.
- >
- > Anyway, if someone knows the quote, I promise to file it away for future
- > reference.

Gustave Gilbert quoting a conversation with Hermann Göring in his book "The Nuremberg Diary"

=====

"Why of course the people don't want war." Goering shrugged. "Why would some poor slob on a farm want to risk his life in a war when the best he can get out of it is to come back to his farm in one piece. Naturally the common people don't want war; neither in Russia, nor in England nor in America, nor for that matter in Germany. That is understood. But, after all it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a democracy or a fascist dictatorship or a Parliament or a Communist dictatorship."

"There is one difference," I pointed out. "In a democracy the people have some say in the matter through their elected representatives, and in the United States only Congress can declare wars."

"Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. It works the same way in any country."

=====

Is that the one you're thinking of ? It is more often seen with bits of it jammed together looking like a single statement. I pulled the full text and reference from Snopes.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith

| Directable Mirror Arrays

C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 22:46:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/16/2012 11:06 AM, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:

> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 08:31:51 -0500
> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> On 12/15/2012 7:57 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
>>> In <[kah0a0\\$1mq\\$1@dont-email.me](mailto:kah0a0$1mq$1@dont-email.me)>, on 12/14/2012
>>> at 11:02 PM, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> said:
>>>
>>>> Who is "we", in a country of 311 million inhabitants (219 million
>>>> eligible voters)? I daresay you get your fractional $1/3 \cdot 10^9$
>>>> choice.
>>>
>>> Only if you can first get your choice on the ballot. If your state has
>>> laws design to exclude 3rd parties, you're SOL.
>>>
>>
>> Once again there is no good system.
>
> In many ways the best system is a benevolent dictatorship run by a
> highly intelligent dictator. The tricky part is arranging to always have a
> highly intelligent, benevolent dictator.
>

The Romans managed it for 130 years, then family loyalty trumped public welfare.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 22:50:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/16/2012 12:25 PM, Dan Espen wrote:
> [jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com>](mailto:jmfbahciv@See.above@aol.com) writes:
>
>>

Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> writes:

> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 16:30:52 -0500
> Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:
>
>
>> I can never remember where I read it or who said it.
>> I think it was the Rise and Fall of the Third Reich.
>>
>> The statement was from some former Nazi.
>> He said something like, if you think that this problem was caused
>> by the German people, you haven't learned the lesson of history.
>> This can happen anywhere.
>>
>> Anyway, if someone knows the quote, I promise to file it away for future
>> reference.
>
> Gustave Gilbert quoting a conversation with Hermann Göring in his
> book "The Nuremberg Diary"
>
> =====
> "Why of course the people don't want war." Goering shrugged. "Why would
> some poor slob on a farm want to risk his life in a war when the best he
> can get out of it is to come back to his farm in one piece. Naturally the
> common people don't want war; neither in Russia, nor in England nor in
> America, nor for that matter in Germany. That is understood. But, after all
> it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy and it is always
> a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a democracy or a
> fascist dictatorship or a Parliament or a Communist dictatorship."
>
> "There is one difference," I pointed out. "In a democracy the people have
> some say in the matter through their elected representatives, and in the
> United States only Congress can declare wars."
>
> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people can
> always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have
> to do is tell them they are being attacked and denounce the pacifists for
> lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. It works the same
> way in any country."
> =====
>
> Is that the one you're thinking of ? It is more often seen with
> bits of it jammed together looking like a single statement. I pulled the
> full text and reference from Snopes.

Nope, that's not it.

I've read the Rise and Fall, and two of Albert Speer's books.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Sun, 16 Dec 2012 23:55:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-16, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
> On 12/16/2012 12:25 PM, Dan Espen wrote:
>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:
>>
>>>
>>> It's clear why N. Korea can't grow enough to feed themselves.
>>
>> Yep, pretty clear to me:
> ...
>>
>> No doubt that farming and communism don't mix but the north is mineral
>> rich. Farming is better in the south. Blame communism for not running
>> industry and mining like it should. N. Korea's biggest problem is
>> probably the paranoid leadership.
>>
>
> I don't think you can really call it "communism" any more than Syria is
> a democracy. It's just a label.
>
>

Syria could be described as communist until fairly recent, sorta `Putin'
communism, as in various shadowy but powerful figures controlling it,
with, at the bottom, the old confused (to western eyes) Arab property
rights under it.

--
maus
.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 00:48:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Dan Espen" <despen@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:iczk1d4rcj.fsf@home.home...
> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
>
>> On 12/14/2012 9:54 PM, Howard S Shubs wrote:
>>>

>>> You're naïve. He with the gold gets to push, buy ads and lobbies. If
>>> you really think that's nothing, you're not paying attention. Could it
>>> be worse? Sure. But: could it be BETTER? sure!
>>
>> No, that's my original point - people are people, all the time and
>> everywhere. For certain limited time periods in certain small places
>> you may be able to get better, but over time entropy always runs
>> downhill toward the swamp.
>
> I can never remember where I read it or who said it.
> I think it was the Rise and Fall of the Third Reich.

Its pure bullshit where ever it came from.

> The statement was from some former Nazi.
> He said something like, if you think that this problem was caused
> by the German people, you haven't learned the lesson of history.
> This can happen anywhere.

Bullshit it can.

> Anyway, if someone knows the quote,
> I promise to file it away for future reference.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 00:51:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in message
news:20121216221019.58c74d467d212f4bb1dc86d0@eircom.net...

> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 16:30:52 -0500
> Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

>
>
>> I can never remember where I read it or who said it.
>> I think it was the Rise and Fall of the Third Reich.

>>
>> The statement was from some former Nazi.
>> He said something like, if you think that this problem was caused
>> by the German people, you haven't learned the lesson of history.
>> This can happen anywhere.

>>
>> Anyway, if someone knows the quote, I promise to file it away for future
>> reference.

>
> Gustave Gilbert quoting a conversation with Hermann Göring in his
> book "The Nuremberg Diary"

>
> =====
> "Why of course the people don't want war." Goering shrugged. "Why would
> some poor slob on a farm want to risk his life in a war when the best he
> can get out of it is to come back to his farm in one piece. Naturally the
> common people don't want war; neither in Russia, nor in England nor in
> America, nor for that matter in Germany. That is understood. But, after
> all
> it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy and it is always
> a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a democracy or a
> fascist dictatorship or a Parliament or a Communist dictatorship."
>
> "There is one difference," I pointed out. "In a democracy the people have
> some say in the matter through their elected representatives, and in the
> United States only Congress can declare wars."

> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked

Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.

> and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism
> and exposing the country to danger. It works the
> same way in any country."

Pigs arse it does in countrys with a real
free press that can expose the lie that
the country is being attacked when it isn't.

> =====

> Is that the one you're thinking of ? It is more often seen with
> bits of it jammed together looking like a single statement.
> I pulled the full text and reference from Snopes.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 00:53:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:kaliqf\$000\$3@dont-email.me...
> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:
>> jmfbaqciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:
>>
>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.
>>> ^^^^?

>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
>>> leadership.
>>
>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
>>
>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>> business?
>>
>
> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for
> handouts.

Mindlessly silly. Even the stupidest Dem realises
that that's where the bulk of employment is.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 00:56:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<greymausg@mail.com> wrote in message
news:slrnkcsmpv.3jr.greymausg@gmaus.org...
> On 2012-12-16, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On 12/16/2012 12:25 PM, Dan Espen wrote:
>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> It's clear why N. Korea can't grow enough to feed themselves.
>>>
>>> Yep, pretty clear to me:
>> ...
>>>
>>> No doubt that farming and communism don't mix but the north is mineral
>>> rich. Farming is better in the south. Blame communism for not running
>>> industry and mining like it should. N. Korea's biggest problem is
>>> probably the paranoid leadership.
>>>
>>
>> I don't think you can really call it "communism" any more than Syria is
>> a democracy. It's just a label.

> Syria could be described as communist until fairly recent,

Only by fools that don't have a fucking clue about the basics.

> sorta `Putin' communism,

No such animal.

> as in various shadowy but powerful figures controlling it,

That's not communism.

> with, at the bottom, the old confused (to
> western eyes) Arab property rights under it.

Even sillier. That's nothing even remotely resembling anything like communism.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 01:25:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <kald3b\$j0q\$1@dont-email.me>,
"Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> wrote:

> "Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz" <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote in
> message news:50cd1b3b\$5\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net...
>> In <howard-7E9065.19541514122012@c-131-121-196-216.gonavy.usna.edu>,
>> on 12/14/2012
>> at 07:54 PM, Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> said:
>>
>>> Gates has learned, since.
>>
>> Has he? He's still getting away with coercive marketing tactics.
>>
>
> Shmuel, perhaps he means that Gates has learn to *buy* congress around to
> his way of thinking...

I mean he learned to hire people who know this stuff, then he got out of the business so it's not his problem. Gates is smart.

--

May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 01:48:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <20121216184352.3ef9dbc2f7618e46b54cf1ce@eircom.net>,

Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote:

- > There's always the faint hope that some genius will work out a way
- > to address the fundamental problem with it, agreed it's a very faint hope,
- > but then so is the hope of solving the problems inherent in representative
- > democracy. It's just that the latter system tends not to get as unpleasant
- > as the others when it's weaknesses are exploited.

Ah, but democracies can degenerate into the worst kinds of tyranny.

--

This space unintentionally left blank.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Joy Beeson](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 02:21:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 16:06:05 +0000, Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote:

- > The tricky part is arranging to always have a
- > highly intelligent, benevolent dictator.

And keeping him benevolent after he's dealt with the frustrations for a while.

--

Joy Beeson
joy beeson at comcast dot net

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 02:43:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> wrote
> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote

- >> There's always the faint hope that some genius will work out a way
- >> to address the fundamental problem with it, agreed it's a very faint
- >> hope,
- >> but then so is the hope of solving the problems inherent in
- >> representative
- >> democracy. It's just that the latter system tends not to get as
- >> unpleasant
- >> as the others when it's weaknesses are exploited.

> Ah, but democracies can degenerate into the worst kinds of tyranny.

None of the great democracys ever have.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 05:00:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:

>
>
> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering
>
>> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
>> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
>> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked
>
> Doesn't work when they know that they arent being attacked.
>
>> and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism
>> and exposing the country to danger. It works the
>> same way in any country."
>
> Pigs arse it does in countrys with a real
> free press that can expose the lie that
> the country is being attacked when it isnt.

It's a good thing that stuff like the Gulf of Tonkin "incident" would never be believed in the US, or Iraq's sponsorship of 9/11 (yeah, there are a lot of people who still believe that, even though Shrub and his cronies were careful never to explicitly make the claim, only by innuendo).

For the most part, the "free" press knows which side its bread is buttered on, and they are very reluctant to call high government officials liars even when they are. They have an obligation to the stockholders, and they're not going to risk offending advertisers or officials who might cause them grief. And as often as not, the conglomerate that owns the press has other divisions that build jet engines for the military.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 05:15:22 GMT

"Dave Garland" <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote in message news:kam8ug\$c1k\$1@dont-email.me...

> On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:

>>

>>

>> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering

>>

>>> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
>>> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.

>>> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked

>>

>> Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.

>>

>>> and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism

>>> and exposing the country to danger. It works the

>>> same way in any country."

>>

>> Pigs arse it does in countrys with a real

>> free press that can expose the lie that

>> the country is being attacked when it isn't.

> It's a good thing that stuff like the Gulf of Tonkin "incident" would

> never be believed in the US,

That wasn't an attack on the US.

> or Iraq's sponsorship of 9/11

That attack actually happened.

> (yeah, there are a lot of people who still believe that, even though Shrub

> and his cronies were careful never to explicitly make the claim, only by

> innuendo).

> For the most part, the "free" press knows which side its bread is buttered

> on,

Must be why they were so free with the Pentagon Papers and Watergate. You're completely off with the fucking fairys on that claim.

> and they are very reluctant to call high government officials liars even

> when they are.

Completely off with the fucking fairys on that with Watergate alone.

> They have an obligation to the stockholders,

Who are delighted when their paper exposes something like Watergate.

- > and they're not going to risk offending advertisers or officials who might
- > cause them grief.

Completely off with the fucking fairys on that with Watergate alone.

- > And as often as not, the conglomerate that owns the press has other
- > divisions that build jet engines for the military.

More utterly mindless silly stuff. Clearly wasn't a problem with Watergate.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 06:01:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/16/2012 11:15 PM, Rod Speed wrote:

- >
- >
- > "Dave Garland" <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote in message
- > news:kam8ug\$c1k\$1@dont-email.me...
- >> On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:
- >>>
- >>>
- >>> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering
- >>>
- >>>> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
- >>>> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
- >>>> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked
- >>>
- >>> Doesn't work when they know that they arent being attacked.
- >>>
- >>>> and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism
- >>>> and exposing the country to danger. It works the
- >>>> same way in any country."
- >>>
- >>> Pigs arse it does in countrys with a real
- >>> free press that can expose the lie that
- >>> the country is being attacked when it isnt.
- >
- >> It's a good thing that stuff like the Gulf of Tonkin "incident"
- >> would never be believed in the US,
- >
- > That wasn't an attack on the US.

Who said it had to be a real attack?

- >
- >> or Iraq's sponsorship of 9/11
- >
- > That attack actually happened.

But Iraq didn't have anything to do with it. It's just that Iraq was the country Bush and his buddies wanted to invade, not Afghanistan or Saudi Arabia.

- >
- >> (yeah, there are a lot of people who still believe that, even though
- >> Shrub and his cronies were careful never to explicitly make the
- >> claim, only by innuendo).
- >
- >> For the most part, the "free" press knows which side its bread is
- >> buttered on,
- >
- > Must be why they were so free with the Pentagon
- > Papers and Watergate. You're completely off with
- > the fucking fairys on that claim.

Watergate was 40 years ago, Rod.

- >
- >> and they are very reluctant to call high government officials liars
- >> even when they are.
- >
- > Completely off with the fucking fairys on that with Watergate alone.
- >
- >> They have an obligation to the stockholders,
- >
- > Who are delighted when their paper
- > exposes something like Watergate.

Newspapers are pretty much dead these days, Rod. These days people get their news from the TV, 30 seconds is heavy coverage for any story that doesn't involve either blood or sex.

- ..
- >> and they're not going to risk offending advertisers or officials who
- >> might cause them grief.
- >
- > Completely off with the fucking fairys on that with Watergate alone.

You're living in the past, Rod.

- >
- >> And as often as not, the conglomerate that owns the press has other

>> divisions that build jet engines for the military.
>
> More utterly mindless silly stuff. Clearly wasn't a problem with
> Watergate.
>

40 years ago.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 07:17:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 23:00:55 -0600
Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

> On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:
>>
>>
>> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering
>>
>>> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
>>> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
>>> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked
>>
>> Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.

Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60 years.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Sam\[1\]\[2\]](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 08:25:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote
> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote
>> Rod Speed wrote
>>> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering

>>>> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people

>>>> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
>>>> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked

>>> Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.

> Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60 years.

Nope, just pointing out that that fool never did have a fucking clue,
to fools like you that are too stupid to have even noticed that.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 08:51:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:25:28 +1100

"sam" <sam@nospam.com> wrote:

> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote

>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote

>>> Rod Speed wrote

>>>> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering

>

>>>> > "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people

>>>> > can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.

>>>> > All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked

>

>>>> Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.

>

>> Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60 years.

>

> Nope, just pointing out that that fool never did have a fucking clue,

> to fools like you that are too stupid to have even noticed that.

You delurked in afc just for that - you are a strange person. Are
you a Speedo sock puppet taking a different tack, you sound like it ?

You might have noticed that I replied through Dave's post and not
directly - that's because Speedo has a special place in my filters file.
Also arguing with someone over 60 years dead is extreme idiocy even for him.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith

| Directable Mirror Arrays

C:>WIN

| A better way to focus the sun

The computer obeys and wins.

| licences available see

You lose and Bill collects.

| <http://www.sohara.org/>

>
>> On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering
>>>> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
>>>> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
>>>> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked
>>>
>>> Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.
>
> Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60
> years.
>

Whatever else you can say, Der dicke Hermann was a smart cookie.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Roger Blake](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 13:08:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-17, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:
> For the most part, the "free" press knows which side its bread is
> buttered on, and they are very reluctant to call high government
> officials liars even when they are.

At least when those high government officials are Democrats. The press has certainly have shown they will not go after Obama for any reason.

For example, where are the Woodward and Bernsteins of today to investigate the Benghazi "bump in the road?" Any Republican president would have been skewered by the press, and for good reason, over that debacle. But because Obama has the magical "D" next to his name the mainstream press keeps it quiet and it's all good, man! And so what if Obama received more votes than there were voters in some precincts? That just shows how popular he is! Rejoice in having a candidate so saintly that even the dead vote for him! Big deal that we don't even know what grades our illustrious Chief Executive received in college due to him having his records sealed -- it's all good, as long as he has that miracle "D" on his side! I swear that if it were discovered that Obama were boiling babies in the White House basement and eating them, the press would be fawning over his selection of delectable sauces.

The double-standard is obvious and it is toxic.

--

Roger Blake (Change "invalid" to "com" for email. Google Groups killfiled.)

"Climate policy has almost nothing to do anymore with environmental protection... the next world climate summit in Cancun is actually an economy summit during which the distribution of the world's resources will be negotiated." -- Ottmar Edenhofer, IPCC

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Roger Blake](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 13:14:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-17, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
> When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're
> talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you
> want, but it's their opinions that count.

Last time I checked this was not the case in the House of Representatives. However, the useless, spineless Republicans do seem to lack the will to stand up to the Communis..., I mean, the "Democrats."

For anyone who lived through the Cold War, there are no words to describe the irony of seeing Pravda warning the U.S. about the direction we are taking:

http://english.pravda.ru/opinion/columnists/27-04-2009/10745_9-american_capitalism-0/

http://english.pravda.ru/opinion/columnists/19-11-2012/12284_9-obama_soviet_mistake-0/

--

Roger Blake (Change "invalid" to "com" for email. Google Groups killfiled.)

"Climate policy has almost nothing to do anymore with environmental protection... the next world climate summit in Cancun is actually an economy summit during which the distribution of the world's resources will be negotiated." -- Ottmar Edenhofer, IPCC

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 13:40:25 GMT

On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 07:40:15 -0500

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/17/2012 2:17 AM, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:

>> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 23:00:55 -0600

>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>>

>>> On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering

>>>>

>>>> > "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people

>>>> > can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.

>>>> > All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked

>>>>

>>>> Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.

>>

>> Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60

>> years.

>>

>

> Whatever else you can say, Der dicke Hermann was a smart cookie.

For sure, the best demonstration of the truth of his statements there IME was in England when the Falklands war happened. A year earlier Argentina was the place the beef came from, and the Falklands were unheard of. Suddenly the entire country came over all patriotic and enthused with a need to bash the Argies and protect "our people" in the Falklands. The speed at which war fever spread and the extent to which it spread was eye opening.

Note well, I make no comment here as to the importance or otherwise of the Falklands war. I simply observe that, in a country that hadn't known war for nearly forty years, war fever was easily achieved over a spot of land hardly anyone had even heard of.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith

C:>WIN

The computer obeys and wins.

You lose and Bill collects.

| Directable Mirror Arrays

| A better way to focus the sun

| licences available see

| <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 13:42:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <kakhn6\$65l\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/16/2012
at 08:25 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

> Is it just me, or does this slop get worse every release?

I don't know; I don't do windoze.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 13:43:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:

> There are tradeoffs[1]. These days the trend is towards RAID and
> virtual volumes; the OS doesn't see the underlying hardware. What I
> find not simply surprising but appalling is that IBM is still
> simulating [E]CKD on fixed sector devices instead of adding FBA
> support to z/OS.
>
> [1] E.g., whether to spend money on faster drives or more cache.

no argument from me

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 13:51:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <slrnkcs9mn.1vo.greymausg@gmaus.org>, on 12/16/2012
at 07:55 PM, greymausg@mail.com said:

> Israeli Jews have legal title to about 5% of the land of even
> pre-1961 Israel.. Palestinian sources.

Which are biased. I wonder if any of the land records from the Mandate

are still available.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 13:52:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <howard-83311A.12574716122012@c-131-121-196-216.gonavy.usna.edu>, on 12/16/2012

at 12:57 PM, Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> said:

> That URL shows he DOES remember correctly. What are you, some
> kind of troll?

You just noticed?

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 13:56:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <[kaliyh\\$00\\$1@dont-email.me](mailto:kaliyh$00$1@dont-email.me)>, on 12/16/2012

at 05:46 PM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

> The Romans managed it for 130 years,

FSVO benevolent. Perhaps Alexander or Cyrus had some claim to benevolence, but definitely not the Romans.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 14:05:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <kan2ue\$as9\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/17/2012
at 07:31 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

> When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something,
> we're talking about the 'leadership'.

And still wrong. Both major parties are heavily influenced by the large corporations and the wealthy. Both major parties pay lip service to small businesses, and neither really cares.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Walter Banks](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 14:19:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roger Blake wrote:

> On 2012-12-17, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:
>> For the most part, the "free" press knows which side its bread is
>> buttered on, and they are very reluctant to call high government
>> officials liars even when they are.
>
> At least when those high government officials are Democrats. The press
> has certainly have shown they will not go after Obama for any reason.
>
> For example, where are the Woodwards and Bernsteins of today to
> investigate the Benghazi "bump in the road?" Any Republican president
> would have been skewered by the press, and for good reason, over that

- > debacle. But because Obama has the magical "D" next to his name the
- > mainstream press keeps it quiet and it's all good, man!

- > And so what if
- > Obama received more votes than there were voters in some precincts?
- > That just shows how popular he is! Rejoice in having a candidate so
- > saintly that even the dead vote for him! Big deal that we don't even
- > know what grades our illustrious Chief Executive received in college
- > due to him having his records sealed -- it's all good, as long as he has
- > that miracle "D" on his side!
- >
- > The double-standard is obvious and it is toxic.

You may actually want to test the double standard and see if it is true.
I just looked for John Boehner's Xavier College records.

Bin Laden was not named by the (R) government until several days
after 911 .

The US has enough voting irregularities for everyone. It used to be
gerrymandering the boundaries was enough. Now it is poll times, long
waiting lines, voter ID and poll locations used to skew the vote by
a few percent.

w..

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 14:41:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> writes:

- > On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:25:28 +1100
- > "sam" <sam@nospam.com> wrote:
- >
- >> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote
- >>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote
- >>>> Rod Speed wrote
- >>>>> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering
- >>>>>
- >>>>>> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
- >>>>>> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
- >>>>>> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked
- >>>>>>
- >>>>>>> Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.
- >>>>>>>
- >>>>>>>> Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60 years.

>>
>> So, you think that answers "why"?
>>
>> It doesn't.
>>
>> And it's stupid.
>>
>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,
>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.
>>
>
> When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're
> talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you
> want, but it's their opinions that count.

What "we" kimosabe. The proper pronoun for you is "I".

And nobody but a few loonies think that "democrats want to ban guns[*]" or "democrats want to destroy small business" or on the other side that "republicans want to eat babies" or "republicans hate homosexuals".

And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small business clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".

Nice to see you've put yourself into the Rush Limburger support camp.

scott

[*] Some wish to eliminate future sales of assault weapons to civilians, for which there is some justification.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [kenney](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 15:09:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <kaliyh\$00\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com (Peter Flass) wrote:

> The Romans managed it for 130 years, then family loyalty trumped
> public welfare.

I am not sure where you got that from. The adoptive Emperors lasted three generations. After Nero the Emperors were family for two or three at the most before either running out of blood heirs or being usurped. The Empire was fairly stable apart from the occasional civil war but turnover at the top was extreme. According to Seton the only Julio-Claudian Emperors to die of natural causes were Augustus and

possibly Tiberius.

Ken Young

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [scott](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 15:10:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> writes:
> In article <aivb2rFblm2U1@mid.individual.net>,
> "Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Hasn't worked like that in the great democracys for a hell of a long time
>> now.
>
> No? Tell me how it's different here in the USA. We don't get to choose
> the candidates. We don't get oversight on the voting. We don't get to
> vote on laws as a rule, and when we do (California prop 8), the courts
> are as likely to throw out "our" will. So?

The problem with letting the people vote, is that they can vote for anything, even when it is prohibited by the Bill of Rights. That's what the courts are for to balance the will of the people with the principles of the founders.

It's pretty clear that Prop 8 violates the US constitution[*], as we'll see next summer.

If Proposition 9, for example, required all republicans to leave the state, and it passed by the "will of the people", does that make it right?

[*] It already has been shown to violate the California constitution.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 15:24:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 17/12/2012 13:40, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 07:40:15 -0500
> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> On 12/17/2012 2:17 AM, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:
>>> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 23:00:55 -0600
>>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:

>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> > "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering
>>>> >
>>>> >> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
>>>> >> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
>>>> >> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked
>>>> >
>>>> > Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.
>>>
>>> Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60
>>> years.
>>>
>>
>> Whatever else you can say, Der dicke Hermann was a smart cookie.
>
> For sure, the best demonstration of the truth of his statements
> there IME was in England when the Falklands war happened. A year earlier
> Argentina was the place the beef came from, and the Falklands were unheard
> of. Suddenly the entire country came over all patriotic and enthused with a
> need to bash the Argies and protect "our people" in the Falklands. The
> speed at which war fever spread and the extent to which it spread was eye
> opening.
>
> Note well, I make no comment here as to the importance or otherwise
> of the Falklands war. I simply observe that, in a country that hadn't known
> war for nearly forty years, war fever was easily achieved over a spot of
> land hardly anyone had even heard of.
>

The forty years had better be Argentina, you have to go back to the 1730s to find a decade in which Britain was not at war. The Aden Emergency and the Dhofar Rebellion had kept the British Army busy in the 60s and 70s.

< http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_wars_involving_Great_Britain >

Andrew Swallow

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 15:28:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:
> And still wrong. Both major parties are heavily influenced by the
> large corporations and the wealthy. Both major parties pay lip service
> to small businesses, and neither really cares.

there are periodic references to congress ... as "kabuki theater"
<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kabuki>

look at the 1603-1629 period ... aka last sentence in the 1st paragraph of the period.

CBO has last decade decrease in tax revenue of \$6T (compared to baseline which had all federal debt retired by 2010) and increase in spending of \$6T (compared to baseline) for a \$12T budget gap (much of that momentum continues today) most of it occurring after congress allowed fiscal responsibility act to expire in 2002 ... which required spending match revenue. in the middle of last decade, us comptroller general would include in speeches references to nobody in congress was capable of middle school arithmetic (for what they were doing to the budget).

something over \$2T of the increase in spending last decade went to DOD ... little over \$1T appropriated for the two wars and there is little or nothing to show for the other trillion (or even where it went). the total life-cycle costs for the two wars is estimated to be over \$5T (taking into account long term veterans benefits and health care costs).

there are all sorts of terrorist activities in the two wars occurring nearly every day with significant lost of life (including thousands of americans) ... having relatively little press coverage (number of lines/minutes of press coverage per death).

Twinkie CEO Admits Company Took Employees Pensions and Put It Toward Executive Pay

<http://www.alternet.org/corporate-accountability-and-workplace/twinkie-ceo-admits-company-took-employees-pensions-and-put-it>

The lack of SEC doing anything has shown up in many places. Possibly because even GAO didn't think SEC was doing anything, they started doing reports of public company fraudulent financial filings.

<http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-03-395R> .

<http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-06-678> .

<http://www.gao.gov/special.pubs/gao-06-1079sp> .

even showing uptick after SOX ... in theory, at least after SOX (passed in the wake of Enron&Worldcom supposedly to prevent it in the future), all the executives and the auditors should be doing jail time. similar:

A Straightforward Criminal Case Against Wall Street CEOs and Senior Executives

<http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2011/03/a-straightforward-criminal-case-against-wall-street-ceos-and-senior-executives.html>

Too-big-to-fail and moral hazard has also morphed into too-big-to-jail

with references to the drug cartel money laundering turning Mexico into Columbia and major factor in upswing in cartel related violence (also number of lines/minutes of press coverage per death).

recent posts mentioning congress as kabuki theater and/or too-big-to-jail:

- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012d.html#16> IBM cuts more than 1,000 U.S. Workers
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012d.html#36> McCain calls for U.S.-led airstrikes in Syria
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012d.html#61> Why Republicans Aren't Mentioning the Real Cause of Rising Prices at the Gas Pump
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#16> Wonder if they know how Boydian they are?
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#35> The Dallas Fed Is Calling For The Immediate Breakup Of Large Banks
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#37> The \$30 billion Social Security hack
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#58> Word Length
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#88> Developing a Disruptive Mindset
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#15> Born Fighting
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#17> Let the IRS Do Your Taxes, Really
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#25> Time to competency for new software language?
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#31> Rome speaks to us. Their example can inspire us to avoid their fate
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#88> Defense acquisitions are broken and no one cares
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#9> JPM LOSES \$2 BILLION USD!
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#20> Psychology Of Fraud: Why Good People Do Bad Things
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#46> Why America Is Slouching Towards Third World Status
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#32> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#33> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#34> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#39> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#64> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#1> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#14> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#41> Lawmakers reworked financial portfolios after talks with Fed, Treasury officials
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#86> Should the IBM approach be given a chance to fix the health care system?
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#25> This Is The Wall Street Scandal Of All Scandals
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#12> The Secret Consensus Among Economists
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#37> If all of the American earned dollars hidden in off shore accounts were uncovered and taxed do you think we would be able to close the deficit gap?
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#55> CALCULATORS
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#66> Singer Cartons of Punch Cards
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#30> General Mills computer
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#32> General Mills computer
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#0> General Mills computer
- <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#55> U.S. Sues Wells Fargo, Accusing It of Lying About Mortgages

- > collective ownership, but how much of a surplus is available. Also
- > note that an employee doesn't have the same incentives as an owner,
- > whether that owner be an individual or a collective.

>

The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long day;
OTOH the farmer who owned his own property, would work 12-15 or more
hours a day. The difference was personal ownership. I don't
remember the books' titles and they're still packed in boxes. Just
knowing human nature would give you a hint.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 15:34:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 16, 10:43 am, jmfbahciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:

>

>>> Human nature being what it is, a workforce needs both enforcers and
>>> incentives. One or the other alone won't do it, despite ideological
>>> claims.

>>

>> 4 for each worker?? That's paying 5 people to do one job and doesn't
>> include any of the management.

>

>

> Where do you get "4 for each worker???"

Form the white paper I read about North Korea. It's packed away so
I can't give you the book's specs.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 15:34:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dan Espen wrote:

> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>

>> On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>

>>>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> > jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> writes:
>>>> >
>>>> >> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.
>>>> > ^^^^?
>>>> >> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
>>>> >> leadership.
>>>> >
>>>> > Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
>>>> >
>>>> > Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>>>> > business?
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >>>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for
handouts.
>>>>
>>>> So, you think that answers "why"?
>>>>
>>>> It doesn't.
>>>>
>>>> And it's stupid.
>>>>
>>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,
>>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're
>>>> talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you
>>>> want, but it's their opinions that count.
>>>>
>>>> Sorry, but when we say Democrat it does not mean just the leaders.

And when I write "Democrat leadership", I do mean the leaders.

<snip>

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfba@civ](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 15:34:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

greymausg@mail.com wrote:
> On 2012-12-16, jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:
>>> On 2012-12-15, jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
>>>> Rod Speed wrote:

>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> > "jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>> > news:PM0004D0D078AB2BC8@aca27eb3.ipt.aol.com...
>>>> >> or 4
>>>> >> soldiers guarding every farmer.
>>>> >
>>>> > Completely off with the fucking fairys, as always with your politics.
>>>>
>>>> the problem with Communism and its variants is that the workers have
>>>> to be monitored by enforcers to keep working. With capitalism, the
>>>> workers have an incentive to keep working.
>>>>
>>>> this is especially true with farming. If you own the farm, you reap
>>>> the profits, literally. Even in Israel, the collectives don't work
>>>> as hard as the farmers who own their own farm and products.
>>>
>>> enter long message about who really owns the land in Israel.
>>
>>
>> Which tends to ignore the land purchased in the 50s and 60s and
>> the areas gained because they won the wars.
>>
>> /BAH
>
> Israeli Jews have legal title to about 5% of the land of even pre-1961
> Israel.. Palestinian sources.
>
>

You use today's definition of legal title after a democratic process of ownership has been put into place. I really don't want to get into another discussion about this with you.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 15:34:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dan Espen wrote:

> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>

>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>

>>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>> ^^^^?
>>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
>>>> leadership.
>>>
>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
>>>
>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>>> business?
>>>
>>
>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for
handouts.
>
> So, you think that answers "why"?
>
> It doesn't.
>
> And it's stupid.
>
> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,
> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.
>
Then you are able to think. I did write Democrat _leadership_. They've
gone completely nuts.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 15:34:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> In <kakhn6\$65I\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/16/2012
> at 08:25 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:
>
>> Is it just me, or does this slop get worse every release?
>
> I don't know; I don't do windoze.

I went to the brick/mortar store to take a look. I didn't
have much energy so I only played with the interface for a
couple of minutes. I still haven't decided if it's worse
than an one-balled mouse or not. I'll have to play a little
bit more. I certainly could not find much of anything
to play with even though there were a couple dozen icons
or WTH they call them these days. The delay of movement
was perceptible and annoying.

/BAH

>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [scott](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 17:13:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmfbaheiv <See.above@aol.com> writes:
> Dan Espen wrote:

>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
>>
>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>> business?
>>
> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.

Please point out one single democrat in a leadership position that has ever under any circumstances, stated that. Of course, since no democrat has ever stated this, you'll be unable to.

In any case, raising the taxes on folks earning > 250,000 per year to the same rates that existed prior to 2002, will in no case cause the "destruction of small business"; nor with the exception of a couple of vocal republican idiots who happen to own small businesses[*], will it have any perceptible effect on employment by small business.

> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax
> forms/directions.

Last I knew, the IRS wrote Schedule C, not the democrats; and it is based on close to 100 years of lawmaking by both parties.

scott

[*] Including the fool that committed suicide after the president was re-elected.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Walter Banks](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 17:22:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmfbaheiv wrote:

> Dan Espen wrote:
>> jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> writes:
>>
>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.
>> ^??
>
> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps the head
> of the horse in front of you.
>
>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
>>> leadership.
>>
>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
>>
>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>> business?
>>
> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.
> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax
> forms/directions.
>

It is probably a good read but also work though how much gross revenue they need to generated before any form of taxes are significant. I don't remember a single business decision I have made where taxes was the determining factor to proceed.

The biggest impact on employment generated by small businesses that government can make is infrastructure. A unified infrastructure, roads, transportation of goods, product standards, and communication are all the life blood of small business activity and marketing. Educated employees, access to raw materials and markets makes a real difference.

Real debate on productivity needs to happen. If private industry is so good how come a product can be made at a profit in China and shipped to the US for less than it can be manufactured in the US. Wages are often cited as the problem but is it possible that the current expectations of GMROI a far higher number is a bigger problem for sustained growth. This is especially true with investment capital available at a historic low of 1 or 2%

/rant

w..

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 17:23:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/17/2012 9:34 AM, jmfbahciv wrote:

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>> On Dec 16, 10:43 am, jmfbahciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> Human nature being what it is, a workforce needs both enforcers and
>>>> incentives. One or the other alone won't do it, despite ideological
>>>> claims.
>>>
>>> 4 for each worker?? That's paying 5 people to do one job and doesn't
>>> include any of the management.
>>
>>
>> Where do you get "4 for each worker???"
>
> Form the white paper I read about North Korea. It's packed away so
> I can't give you the book's specs.

One has to suspect that counts all the soldiers in the army vs. all the farmers, not soldiers actually standing there watching the farmers. Which, even though North Korea has an enormous army for its size, probably isn't that different a ratio from the US, if you count all our mercenaries, military contractors, and "contractors" as soldiers (North Korea hasn't discovered "outsourcing" yet).

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 17:25:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/17/2012 7:14 AM, Roger Blake wrote:

> For anyone who lived through the Cold War, there are no words to describe
> the irony of seeing Pravda warning the U.S. about the direction we are
> taking:

I think Pravda has always been happy to offer us advice :)

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Sam\[1\]\[2\]](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 17:32:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in message
news:20121217085116.7fcea78b85e28dfb5aedbc2c@eircom.net...

> On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:25:28 +1100
> "sam" <sam@nospam.com> wrote:
>
>> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote
>>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote
>>>> Rod Speed wrote
>>>> > Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering
>>
>>>> >> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
>>>> >> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
>>>> >> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked
>>
>>>> > Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.
>>
>>> Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60 years.
>>
>> Nope, just pointing out that that fool never did have a fucking clue,
>> to fools like you that are too stupid to have even noticed that.
>
> You delurked in afc just for that - you are a strange person. Are
> you a Speedo sock puppet taking a different tack, you sound like it ?

You quite sure you aint one of those rocket scientist terminal fuckwits ?

And there is no different tack, fuckwit.

<reams of your puerile shit any 2 year old could leave for dead flushed
where it belongs>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 17:45:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> wrote
> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote

>> For the most part, the "free" press knows which side its
>> bread is buttered on, and they are very reluctant to call
>> high government officials liars even when they are.

Mindlessly silly with Tricky Dick Nixon alone.
And most recently with the Shrub.

> At least when those high government officials are Democrats.

Even sillier.

- > The press has certainly have shown they
- > will not go after Obama for any reason.

He hasn't been caught lying about anything yet.

- > For example, where are the Woodwards and Bernsteins
- > of today to investigate the Benghazi "bump in the road?"

They aren't always around at the time, and don't always have good sources of info that they can use to expose a govt fuckup.

- > Any Republican president would have been skewered by
- > the press, and for good reason, over that debacle. But
- > because Obama has the magical "D" next to his name the
- > mainstream press keeps it quiet and it's all good, man!

Mindlessly silly. Forgotten the Bay of Pigs already eh ?

And Carter's complete abortion getting the hostages released eh ?

- > And so what if Obama received more votes than there were voters
- > in some precincts? That just shows how popular he is! Rejoice in
- > having a candidate so saintly that even the dead vote for him!
- > Big deal that we don't even know what grades our illustrious Chief
- > Executive received in college due to him having his records sealed

None of your fucking business. The mormon bishop wouldn't even release most of his tax returns, a hell of a lot more relevant.

- > -- it's all good, as long as he has that miracle "D" on his side!
- > I swear that if it were discovered that Obama were boiling
- > babies in the White House basement and eating them, the
- > press would be fawning over his selection of delectable sauces.

Least we know where your biases lie.

- > The double-standard is obvious and it is toxic.

Then do the decent thing and set fire to yourself on Capitol Hill or sumfin.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 17:59:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbaahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
news:PM0004D10DD291CEF8@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com...
> Dan Espen wrote:

>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:
>>
>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.
>> ^ ^ ^ ^ ?
>
> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps the
> head
> of the horse in front of you.
>
>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
>>> leadership.
>>
>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
>>
>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>> business?

> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respouted.

\$3K/per year in taxes on an operation with a taxable income of \$250K is nothing even remotely resembling anything like screwing anyone, just getting them to pay a bit more tax at a time of an immense deficit.

> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax
> forms/directions.

Doesn't do anything like you so mindlessly claimed.

And that wasn't done by the Dems ANYWAY.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:02:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
news:PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com...
> Rod Speed wrote:
>>
>>
>> "Peter Flass" <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:kaliqf\$000\$3@dont-email.me...
>>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:
>>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:
>>>>

>>>> > He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.
>>>> ^^^^?
>>>> > Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
>>>> > leadership.
>>>>
>>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
>>>>
>>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>>>> business?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for
>>> handouts.
>>
>> Mindlessly silly. Even the stupidest Dem realises
>> that that's where the bulk of employment is.
>
> And gaining control of that employment

Not even possible by screwing small business.

> will help further their agenda of socialism.

You wouldn't know what real socialism was if it bit you on your lard arse.

You have benefitted from socialism yourself, most obviously with public libraris, the govt school system you attended, social security, etc etc etc and still do in spades.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:09:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote
> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote
>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote

>>> the problem with Communism and its variants is that the
>>> workers have to be monitored by enforers to keep working.
>>> With capitalism, the workers have an incentive to keep working.

>> Actually, in both systems some workers are motivate and some are
>> not. There is an external incentive to keep working only if there is
>> some sort of monitoring. Don't confuse communism with soviet style
>> marxist-leninism; the latter is state capitalism, despite the spin

>> doctors.

Mindlessly silly, nothing even remotely resembling anything like capitalism.

> Do you remember when the USSR sent its soldiers out to harvest potatoes?

Corse there were never any soldiers WITH MACHINE GUNS when any of Ford's factories went on strike during the great depression, eh ?

And McArthur and his deputy Eisenhower never put the Bonus Army camp to the torch, eh ?

>>> Even in Israel, the collectives don't work as hard as
>>> the farmers who own their own farm and products.

>> Actually, they do. The significant variable is not individual versus
>> collective ownership, but how much of a surplus is available. Also
>> note that an employee doesn't have the same incentives as an
>> owner, whether that owner be an individual or a collective.

> The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long day;
> OTOH the farmer who owned his own property, would work 12-15 or
> more hours a day. The difference was personal ownership. I don't
> remember the books' titles and they're still packed in boxes. Just
> knowing human nature would give you a hint.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:09:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"sam" <sam@nospam.com> writes:

> Just like when the Japs were actually stupid enough to do Pearl
> Harbor, fuckwit.

for the fun of it ... one of the lines was with the attack on Pearl
Harbor met that US wasn't coming to the assistance to some other attacks
going on (but hasten US entry into the war)

BBC - The Fall of Singapore: The Great Betrayal 2012
<http://forums.vr-zone.com/chit-chatting/2195584-yt-bbc-the-fall-singapore-the-great-betrayal-2012-a.html>

from above:

Pearl Harbor and the Fall of Singapore: 70 years ago these huge military
disasters shook both Britain and America, but they conceal a secret so
shocking it has remained hidden ever since. This landmark film by Paul

Elston tells the incredible story of how it was the British who gave the Japanese the knowhow to take out Pearl Harbor and capture Singapore. For 19 years before the fall of Singapore to the Japanese, British officers were spying for Japan. Worse still, the Japanese had infiltrated the very heart of the British establishment - through a mole who was a peer of the realm known to Churchill himself.

.... snip ...

British Lord responsible for Pearl Harbor

WW2: The Fall of Singapore

over on facebook somebody posted something related to "antifragility", I jumped in with side-track from "The Generals" ... then the author of antifragility also jumped in. started with:

<http://edge.org/conversation/understanding-is-a-poor-substitute-for-convexity-antifragility>

I side-tracked

The Generals: American Military Command from World War II to Today
www.amazon.com/The-Generals-American-Military-ebook/dp/B007V65TAM/

pg90/loc1328-32 "What was astonishing was the speed with which the Americans adapted themselves to modern warfare," the most famous German general of the war, Field Marshal Erwin Rommel, commented. "The Americans, it is fair to say, profited far more than the British from their experience in Africa, thus confirming the axiom that education is easier than re-education." Another German officer, Maj. Gen. Friedrich von Mellenthin, wrote, even more explicitly, "I don't think the British ever solved the problem of mobile warfare in open desert. In general the British method of making war is slow, rigid and methodical."

.... snip ...

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:12:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
news:PM0004D10DC899651E@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com...
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>> On Dec 16, 10:43 am, jmfbahciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> Human nature being what it is, a workforce needs _both_ enforcers and
>>>> incentives. One or the other alone won't do it, despite ideological
>>>> claims.
>>>
>>> 4 for each worker?? That's paying 5 people to do one job and doesn't
>>> include any of the management.
>>
>>
>> Where do you get "4 for each worker???"
>
> Form the white paper I read about North Korea. It's packed away so
> I can't give you the book's specs.

Presumably its http://www.kinu.or.kr/eng/pub/pub_04_01.jsp
which in fact says nothing like that.

And pity about the source anyway.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:13:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
news:PM0004D10DE19D0EBF@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com...
> Dan Espen wrote:
>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
>>
>>> On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:
>>>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
>>>>
>>>> > On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:
>>>> >> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.
>>>> >> ^^^?
>>>> >>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the
>>>> >>> Dem.
>>>> >>> leadership.

>>>> >>
>>>> >> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>>>> >> business?
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> > Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for
> handouts.
>>>>
>>>> So, you think that answers "why"?
>>>>
>>>> It doesn't.
>>>>
>>>> And it's stupid.
>>>>
>>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,
>>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.
>>>>
>>>
>>> When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're
>>> talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you
>>> want, but it's their opinions that count.
>>
>> Sorry, but when we say Democrat it does not mean just the leaders.
>
> And when I write "Democrat leadership", I do mean the leaders.

Still a mindlessly silly Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:14:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
news:PM0004D10DC41A733F@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com...
> greymausg@mail.com wrote:
>> On 2012-12-16, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
>>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:
>>>> On 2012-12-15, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
>>>> > Rod Speed wrote:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>> >> news:PM0004D0D078AB2BC8@aca27eb3.ipt.aol.com...
>>>> >>> or 4
>>>> >>> soldiers guarding every farmer.

>>>> >>
>>>> >> Completely off with the fucking fairys, as always with your politics.
>>>> >
>>>> > the problem with Communism and its variants is that the workers have
>>>> > to be monitored by enforers to keep working. With capitalism, the
>>>> > workers have an incentive to keep working.
>>>> >
>>>> > this is especially true with farming. If you own the farm, you reap
>>>> > the profits, literally. Even in Israel, the collectives don't work
>>>> > as hard as the farmers who own their own farm and products.
>>>>
>>>> enter long message about who really owns the land in Israel.
>>>
>>>
>>> Which tends to ignore the land purchased in the 50s and 60s and
>>> the areas gained becuase they won the wars.
>>>
>>> /BAH
>>
>> Israeli Jews have legal title to about 5% of the land of even pre-1961
>> Israel.. Palestinian sources.

> You use today's defintion of legal title after a democratic
> process of ownership has been put into place.

There is no such thing with the land that was owned by Arabs.

> I really doon't wnat to get into another discussion about this with you.

Wota surprise.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:15:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
news:PM0004D10DD91EEFE5@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com...

> Dan Espen wrote:

>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>

>>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>>

>>>> > He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>>> ^^^^?

>>>> > Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.

>>>> > leadership.

- >>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
- >>>
- >>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
- >>> business?
- >>>
- >> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.
- >> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax
- >> forms/directions.
- >>
- >
- > It is probably a good read but also work though how much gross
- > revenue they need to generated before any form of taxes are significant.
- > I don't remember a single business decision I have made where taxes
- > was the determining factor to proceed.
- >
- > The biggest impact on employment generated by small businesses
- > that government can make is infrastructure. A unified infrastructure,
- > roads, transportation of goods, product standards, and
- > communication are all the life blood of small business activity and
- > marketing. Educated employees, access to raw materials and markets
- > makes a real difference.

- > Real debate on productivity needs to happen. If private industry
- > is so good how come a product can be made at a profit in China
- > and shipped to the US for less than it can be manufactured in the US.

The answer is obvious to anyone with even half a clue, wages.

- > Wages are often cited as the problem

Compare the minimum wage in both countrys sometime.

- > but is it possible that the current expectations of GMROI a
- > far higher number is a bigger problem for sustained growth.

Taint the reason for what you waved around just above.

- > This is especially true with investment
- > capital available at a historic low of 1 or 2%

Because you fools let the clowns completely implode much of the world financial system, AGAIN, and that's what you get every time that's allowed to happen.

Hasn't happened in a place like Australia that wasn't that stupid.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:32:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anne & Lynn Wheeler <lynn@garlic.com> wrote
> sam <sam@nospam.com> wrote

>> Just like when the Japs were actually stupid enough to do Pearl
>> Harbor, fuckwit.

> for the fun of it ... one of the lines was with the attack on Pearl
> Harbor met that US wasn't coming to the assistance to some
> other attacks going on (but hasten US entry into the war)

> BBC - The Fall of Singapore: The Great Betrayal 2012
> <http://forums.vr-zone.com/chit-chatting/2195584-yt-bbc-the-fall-singapore-the-great-betrayal-2012-a.html>

> from above:

> Pearl Harbor and the Fall of Singapore: 70 years ago these huge military
> disasters shook both Britain and America, but they conceal a secret so
> shocking it has remained hidden ever since. This landmark film by Paul
> Elston tells the incredible story of how it was the British who gave the
> Japanese the knowhow to take out Pearl Harbor and capture Singapore. For
> 19 years before the fall of Singapore to the Japanese, British officers
> were spying for Japan. Worse still, the Japanese had infiltrated the
> very heart of the British establishment - through a mole who was a peer
> of the realm known to Churchill himself.

And basically was personally responsible for
getting the Jap aircraft carrier system going.

> ... snip ...
>
> British Lord responsible for Pearl Harbor
>
>
>
>

Yeah, just watched it last week when it showed up on
one of our govt broadcast TV channels, fascinating.

> WW2: The Fall of Singapore
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
> over on facebook somebody posted soemthing related to "antifragility", I
> jumped in with side-track from "The Generals" ... then the author of
> antifragility also jumped in. started with:
> <http://edge.org/conversation/understanding-is-a-poor-substitute-for-convexity-antifragility>
>
> I side-tracked
>
> The Generals: American Military Command from World War II to Today
> [www.amazon.com/The-Generals-American-Military-ebook/dp/B007V65TAM/](http://www.amazon.com/The-Generals-American-Military-ebook/dp/B007V65TAM/pg90/loc1328-32)
>
> pg90/loc1328-32 "What was astonishing was the speed with which the
> Americans adapted themselves to modern warfare," the most famous German
> general of the war, Field Marshal Erwin Rommel, commented. "The
> Americans, it is fair to say, profited far more than the British from
> their experience in Africa, thus confirming the axiom that education is
> easier than re-education." Another German officer, Maj. Gen. Friedrich
> von Mellenthin, wrote, even more explicitly, "I don't think the British
> ever solved the problem of mobile warfare in open desert. In general the
> British method of making war is slow, rigid and methodical."

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:47:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

> Dan Espen wrote:
>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
>>
>>> On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:
>>>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
>>>>
>>>> > On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:
>>>> >> jmbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.
>>>> >>>> ^^^^?
>>>> >>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
>>>> >>>> leadership.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>>>> >> business?

>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> > Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for
> handouts.
>>>>
>>>> So, you think that answers "why"?
>>>>
>>>> It doesn't.
>>>>
>>>> And it's stupid.
>>>>
>>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,
>>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.
>>>>
>>>
>>> When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're
>>> talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you
>>> want, but it's their opinions that count.
>>
>> Sorry, but when we say Democrat it does not mean just the leaders.
>
> And when I write "Democrat leadership", I do mean the leaders.

And so you did. Sorry.

So that still leaves the insult about the 50% of America that votes for people that want to destroy small business. I guess you just think we're clueless.

Of course, no one in America wants to destroy small business. As nefarious as politicians are, there's just no future in destroying small business.

--
Dan Espen

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:54:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:

> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
>> On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:
>>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
>>>
>>>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> > jmfbahtiv <See.above@aol.com> writes:
>>>> >
>>>> >> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.
>>>> > ^^^^?
>>>> >> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
>>>> >> leadership.
>>>> >
>>>> > Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
>>>> >
>>>> > Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>>>> > business?
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for handouts.
>>>
>>> So, you think that answers "why"?
>>>
>>> It doesn't.
>>>
>>> And it's stupid.
>>>
>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,
>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.
>>>
>>
>> When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're
>> talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you
>> want, but it's their opinions that count.
>
> What "we" kimosabe. The proper pronoun for you is "I".
>
> And nobody but a few loonies think that "democrats want to ban guns[*]"
> or "democrats want to destroy small business" or on the other side that
> "republicans want to eat babies" or "republicans hate homosexuals".
>
> And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small business
> clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".

If the business clears 250K, their taxes remain the same.
To get to 3k extra, they have to net something like 330K.

--
Dan Espen

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:55:37 GMT

On 2012-12-17, jmfbaqciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>

> Do you remember when the USSR sent its soldiers out to harvest potatoes?

I remember the Army here (.ie) helping with the harvest, 47,48. Well, remember not that well, but I remember the uniforms. It's standard procedure.

>

>>

>>> Even in Israel, the collectives don't work as hard as the farmers
>>> who own their own farm and products.

>>

>> Actually, they do. The significant variable is not individual versus
>> collective ownership, but how much of a surplus is available. Also
>> note that an employee doesn't have the same incentives as an owner,
>> whether that owner be an individual or a collective.

>>

> The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long day;
> OTOH the farmer who owned his own property, would work 12-15 or more
> hours a day. The difference was personal ownership. I don't
> remember the books' titles and they're still packed in boxes. Just
> knowing human nature would give you a hint.

>

> /BAH

In the Soviet Union, the land was 'collectivised', organized into large estates, owned by the `Soviets'. `Soviet' was an old Russian word for the peasant groups that organized the work on the Noble-owned estates. So, the large amount of land was worked in `common', and the workers had `gardens'. quite amazingly, these seemed to produce almost as much as the open land :)

the tragedy was that after the 1917 Revolution, the structure of land ownership was developing to a genuinely modern form, before the Communists imposed their system, largely by force. Incidentally, since 1989, foreigners have been operating these estates, AFAIK, real ownership is not allowed.

A German group are operating a large estate near Kalach, scene of one of the decisive battles of the war, its on the net somewhere, very impressive. Makes you think. One of the Tolstoy family visited the old family estate, with a view of revitalizing it. While he was there, evryone he met was drunk, even in the morning, lots of the men dead from alcohol poisoning by 40-50.

--
maus
.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:55:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-17, jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
> greymausg@mail.com wrote:
>> On 2012-12-16, jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
>>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:
>>>> On 2012-12-15, jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
>>>> > Rod Speed wrote:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "jmfahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>> >> news:PM0004D0D078AB2BC8@aca27eb3.ipt.aol.com...
>>>> >>> or 4
>>>> >>> soldiers guarding every farmer.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Completely off with the fucking fairys, as always with your politics.
>>>> >
>>>> > the problem with Communism and its variants is that the workers have
>>>> > to be monitored by enforcers to keep working. With capitalism, the
>>>> > workers have an incentive to keep working.
>>>> >
>>>> > this is especially true with farming. If you own the farm, you reap
>>>> > the profits, literally. Even in Israel, the collectives don't work
>>>> > as hard as the farmers who own their own farm and products.
>>>>
>>>> enter long message about who really owns the land in Israel.
>>>
>>>
>>> Which tends to ignore the land purchased in the 50s and 60s and
>>> the areas gained because they won the wars.
>>>
>>> /BAH
>>
>> Israeli Jews have legal title to about 5% of the land of even pre-1961
>> Israel.. Palestinian sources.
>>
>>
>
> You use today's definition of legal title after a democratic process

> of ownership has been put into place. I really doon't want
> to get into another discussion about this with you.
>
> /BAH

Agreed!.

--
maus
.
.
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:55:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-17, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:
> On 12/17/2012 9:34 AM, jmfbaiv wrote:
>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:
>>> On Dec 16, 10:43 am, jmfbaiv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> > Human nature being what it is, a workforce needs _both_ enforcers and
>>>> > incentives. One or the other alone won't do it, despite ideological
>>>> > claims.
>>>>
>>>> 4 for each worker?? That's paying 5 people to do one job and doesn't
>>>> include any of the management.
>>>
>>>
>>> Where do you get "4 for each worker???"
>>
>> Form the white paper I read about North Korea. It's packed away so
>> I can't give you the book's specs.
>
> One has to suspect that counts all the soldiers in the army vs. all
> the farmers, not soldiers actually standing there watching the
> farmers. Which, even though North Korea has an enormous army for its
> size, probably isn't that different a ratio from the US, if you count
> all our mercenaries, military contractors, and "contractors" as
> soldiers (North Korea hasn't discovered "outsourcing" yet).
>

hey, maybe they would hire out surplus soldiers to the US..
Catch 22?.. I believe crazier things are happening in Afganistan.

--
maus
. .
....

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 18:59:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/17/2012 9:34 AM, jmfbahciv wrote:

> Dan Espen wrote:

>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>

>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>> ^^^^?

>

> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps the head
> of the horse in front of you.

>

>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.

>>> leadership.

>>

>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!

>>

>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>> business?

>>

> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.

> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax

> forms/directions.

Funny. I've been filling out Schedule C for 30 years, and I've never seen that, aside from the fact that it's something of a PITA, especially if you don't keep voluminous records, and that I have to pay both parts of FICA. But I take that to be evidence of bureaucracy, not politics. And doing Schedule C lets you do some deductions you might not have been able to make otherwise, so long as you're prepared to offer a good argument if they're challenged.

Besides, most "small business" isn't doing Schedule C (sole proprietor). Most of them are incorporated in one form or another. Even among the people who hang out in a.f.c., I'd wager that a majority have some form of incorporation, to protect against liability if nothing else.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:02:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmfbaheciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

> Dan Espen wrote:
>> jmfbaheciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:
>>
>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.
>> ^??
>
> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps the head
> of the horse in front of you.

Interesting. Google is coming up empty on the usage "rein check".

>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
>>> leadership.
>>
>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!
>>
>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>> business?
>>
> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.
> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax
> forms/directions.

Interesting definition of "tax the shit out of".
Completely a fantasy.

And that still leaves you short about explaining why the Democratic
leadership sees advantage in destroying small business.

They want to raise revenue.

--
Dan Espen

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:07:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 17, 9:41 am, Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote:

> Takes a strange kind of troll that knows people don't want to hear his

> crap and resort to multiple ids.

For some reason, lots of people keep feeding known trolls, and thus encourage them. rec.arts.tv is really bad. Not feeding trolls usually works.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:07:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 15:24:45 +0000
Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote:

> On 17/12/2012 13:40, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:
>> On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 07:40:15 -0500
>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>> On 12/17/2012 2:17 AM, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:
>>>> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 23:00:55 -0600
>>>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> > On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering
>>>> >>
>>>> >>> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
>>>> >>> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
>>>> >>> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.
>>>>
>>>> Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60
>>>> years.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> Whatever else you can say, Der dicke Hermann was a smart cookie.
>>
>> For sure, the best demonstration of the truth of his statements
>> there IME was in England when the Falklands war happened. A year earlier
>> Argentina was the place the beef came from, and the Falklands were
>> unheard of. Suddenly the entire country came over all patriotic and
>> enthused with a need to bash the Argies and protect "our people" in the
>> Falklands. The speed at which war fever spread and the extent to which
>> it spread was eye opening.
>>
>> Note well, I make no comment here as to the importance or

>> otherwise of the Falklands war. I simply observe that, in a country
>> that hadn't known war for nearly forty years, war fever was easily
>> achieved over a spot of land hardly anyone had even heard of.
>>
>
> The forty years had better be Argentina, you have to go back to the
> 1730s to find a decade in which Britain was not at war. The Aden
> Emergency and the Dhofar Rebellion had kept the British Army busy in the
> 60s and 70s.
> < http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_wars_involving_Great_Britain>

The Falklands was the only one that had people flag waving and the only one that was presented to the public as Britain going to war. The rest were just things the armed forces were doing overseas without too much fuss being made over it at home.

--
Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) Metz](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:35:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <20121217130932@news.eternal-september.org>, on 12/17/2012
at 01:14 PM, Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> said:

> Last time I checked this was not the case in the House of
> Representatives. However, the useless, spineless Republicans do
> seem to lack the will to stand up to the Communis..., I mean, the
> "Democrats."

Did you use to work for Pravda? You write like it.

--
Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Alfred Falk](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:48:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in
news:20121215062624.a4f3a1b41c9d38a782332cb3@eircom.net:

- > On Fri, 14 Dec 2012 18:02:59 -0600
- > Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:
- >
- >> But I think consumer taste may be different in Europe/North America,
- >> NA has favored big top loaders with a spin cycle and separate
- >> hot/cold water inputs (no built-in heater) that drain to a standpipe.
- >> From our last discussion on this topic, it seemed like that did
- >> not describe the typical Euro or Oz washer.
- >
- > Correct, European washers went front loader a long time ago
- > (1960s), hot and cold feed was normal up until a decade or so ago when
- > cold only became normal. They do have spin cycles and drain to a
- > standpipe.

25 years ago I had some British visitors that commented on the primitiveness of my top-loading washer. I subsequently saw theirs and understood.

Top-loaders are approaching extinction in Canada. (Replaced old Kenmore [Whirlpool] model with Korean-made front-loader 5 years ago.) I just had a quick look at homedepot.com. There seems to be a lot higher proportion of top-loaders than on on homedepot.ca.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:48:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <PM0004D10DB0CDEE1A@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012
at 03:34 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

- > Do you remember when the USSR sent its soldiers out to harvest
- > potatoes?

How does that conflict with their system being state capitalism?

- > The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long
- > day;

Are you talking about a real collective, owned by the workers, or a state run farm where the workers are coolies? Where and what year. Are you comparing them to single family farms in the same location and

year? Or are you saying, e.g., that there is a longer work day on a moshave than on a nearby kibbutz?

If you're talking about soviet "collective" farms, the operative expression is "they pretend to pay us and we pretend to work."

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:50:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012 at 03:34 PM, [jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com>](#) said:

> And gaining control of that employment will help further their agenda
> of socialism.

You're nuts; the leadership of the Democratic party is wealthy and the last thing they would want is socialism.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Stan Dandy Liver](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:58:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 14 Dec 2012 21:00:55 -0000, <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote:

> On Dec 14, 3:40 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:
>
>> For all the expense, and size, most of them are quite simple. Some
>> controls, some electronics now, the motor in a washing machine, the

>> heating elements in an oven. Yet often very bulky to work on, and
>> nobody
>> is going to take it in to fix it, they require a serviceman to come to
>> the
>> house.
>
> After usage for some time, repairing a major appliance isn't usually
> economically justified. Labor and parts are expensive, and it becomes
> cheaper just to get a new one.
>
> I don't think of an automatic washing machine was "quite simple". It
> may be old technology, not as complex as an automobile, but it does
> various tasks fully automatically. It knows how to fill the tub with
> water of the proper temperature, to stop filling when full, then start
> the wash cycle, to drain the water, knowing when to stop, then refill
> with rinse water, then draining and executing a spin cycle. The
> controls engage and disengage multiple electrical-mechanical
> components in the course of that process. There are some safety
> interlocks built in, too. It has some measure of durability and
> reliability (commercial grade units have more ruggedness).
>

This is a standard Forth programming example!
Which I don't have to hand ATM.
Hopefully a Forther can post it.

(xpost added)

--

[dash dash space newline 4line sig]

Money/Life question

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Sam\[1\]\[2\]](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 20:20:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in message
news:20121217190721.c06ab8d457f0f83fd77ba092@eircom.net...
> On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 15:24:45 +0000
> Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote:
>
>> On 17/12/2012 13:40, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:
>>> On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 07:40:15 -0500
>>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 12/17/2012 2:17 AM, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:

>>>> > On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 23:00:55 -0600
>>>> > Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:
>>>> >
>>>> >> On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>>> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
>>>> >>>> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
>>>> >>>> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.
>>>> >
>>>> > Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60
>>>> > years.
>>>> >
>>>> >>>
>>>> Whatever else you can say, Der dicke Hermann was a smart cookie.
>>>
>>> For sure, the best demonstration of the truth of his statements
>>> there IME was in England when the Falklands war happened. A year
>>> earlier
>>> Argentina was the place the beef came from, and the Falklands were
>>> unheard of. Suddenly the entire country came over all patriotic and
>>> enthused with a need to bash the Argies and protect "our people" in the
>>> Falklands. The speed at which war fever spread and the extent to which
>>> it spread was eye opening.
>>>
>>> Note well, I make no comment here as to the importance or
>>> otherwise of the Falklands war. I simply observe that, in a country
>>> that hadn't known war for nearly forty years, war fever was easily
>>> achieved over a spot of land hardly anyone had even heard of.
>>>
>>
>> The forty years had better be Argentina, you have to go back to the
>> 1730s to find a decade in which Britain was not at war. The Aden
>> Emergency and the Dhofar Rebellion had kept the British Army busy in the
>> 60s and 70s.
>> < http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_wars_involving_Great_Britain>

> The Falklands was the only one that had people flag waving

More mindless pig ignorant drivel. Pity about WW1 and WW2.

> and the only one that was presented to the public as Britain going to war.

More mindless pig ignorant drivel. Pity about WW1 and WW2.

- > The rest were just things the armed forces were doing
- > overseas without too much fuss being made over it at home.

More mindless pig ignorant drivel. Pity about WW1 and WW2.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Stan Dandy Liver](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 20:52:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 16:20:05 -0000, Stan Barr <plan.b@dsl.pipex.com> wrote:

- > On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 00:39:28 +0000, Ahem A Rivet's Shot
- > <steveo@eircom.net> wrote:
- >> On 15 Dec 2012 19:55:36 GMT
- >> greymausg@mail.com wrote:
- >>>
- >>> There was a Korean company that made (MSX) system home computers, which
- >>> were the future of computing for about a week.. (Goldstar?)
- >>
- >> Same company - Goldstar => Lucky Goldstar => LG.
- >>
- >
- > IIRC correctly there were originally two companies, Lucky and Goldstar
- > which merged to become Lucky-Goldstar sometime in the '50s.
- >
- They were going to make Televisions and other stuff in Old South Wales, they had an investment programme of *One Billion Pounds!* </Dr Evil>. But it didn't happen.

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/wales/5263606.stm>

--

[dash dash space newline 4line sig]

Money/Life question

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Stan Dandy Liver](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 21:36:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:54:03 -0000, Charlie Gibbs

<cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:

> In article <ka9tn1\$9of\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com
> (Peter Flass) writes:

>

>> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>

>>> One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read
>>> exactly as they do now, only the names are different.

>>

>> Sad but true.

>

> But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.

> Or was that a "new error"?

>

ON ERROR RESUME

--

[dash dash space newline 4line sig]

Money/Life question

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Stan Dandy Liver](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 21:55:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 13:40:25 -0000, Ahem A Rivet's Shot

<steveo@eircom.net> wrote:

> On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 07:40:15 -0500

> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>> On 12/17/2012 2:17 AM, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:

>>> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 23:00:55 -0600

>>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>> On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:

>>>> >

>>>> >

>>>> > "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering

>>>> >

>>>> >> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people

>>>> >> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.

>>>> >> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked

>>>> >

>>>> > Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.

>>>
>>> Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60
>>> years.
>>>
>>
>> Whatever else you can say, Der dicke Hermann was a smart cookie.
>
> For sure, the best demonstration of the truth of his statements
> there IME was in England when the Falklands war happened. A year earlier
> Argentina was the place the beef came from, and the Falklands were
> unheard
> of. Suddenly the entire country came over all patriotic and enthused
> with a
> need to bash the Argies and protect "our people" in the Falklands. The
> speed at which war fever spread and the extent to which it spread was eye
> opening.
>
> Note well, I make no comment here as to the importance or otherwise
> of the Falklands war. I simply observe that, in a country that hadn't
> known
> war for nearly forty years, war fever was easily achieved over a spot of
> land hardly anyone had even heard of.

It was frightening.

Also the Diana-fever that happened later.

--

[dash dash space newline 4line sig]

Money/Life question

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 22:21:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Stanley Daniel de Liver" <notagoodone@invalid.org.invalid> wrote in message
news:op.wphduxdc5cosae@dell3100...

> On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 13:40:25 -0000, Ahem A Rivet's Shot
> <steveo@eircom.net> wrote:

>

>> On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 07:40:15 -0500

>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>>

>>> On 12/17/2012 2:17 AM, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:

>>>> On Sun, 16 Dec 2012 23:00:55 -0600

>>>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>>>>
>>>> > On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering
>>>> >>
>>>> >>> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people
>>>> >>> can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy.
>>>> >>> All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.
>>>>
>>>> Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60
>>>> years.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> Whatever else you can say, Der dicke Hermann was a smart cookie.
>>
>> For sure, the best demonstration of the truth of his statements
>> there IME was in England when the Falklands war happened. A year earlier
>> Argentina was the place the beef came from, and the Falklands were
>> unheard
>> of. Suddenly the entire country came over all patriotic and enthused
>> with a
>> need to bash the Argies and protect "our people" in the Falklands. The
>> speed at which war fever spread and the extent to which it spread was eye
>> opening.

>> Note well, I make no comment here as to the importance or otherwise
>> of the Falklands war. I simply observe that, in a country that hadn't
>> known war for nearly forty years, war fever was easily achieved over a
>> spot of land hardly anyone had even heard of.

> It was frightening.

It shouldn't have been if you had known any history.

And not even disturbing, let alone frightening.

> Also the Diana-fever that happened later.

What was frightening about that ?

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Bernd Felsche](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 22:55:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 14, 7:53am, Uncle Steve <stevet...@gmail.com> wrote:

>> I hate throwing things away. More often than not the parts are good
>> for something, and motors especially are expensive. I don't tend to
>> find a lot of use for AC motors, but that's just me. Whenever I
>> decide to retire a consumer object, generally I will dissect and
>> extract anything useful for the parts-box. The housings are usually
>> not so handy and take up too much space.

> P.S. Also, in the old days parts were screwed on and made of metal.
> Today, parts are riveted or on integrated so they're harder to
> remove. Further, much today is made of plastic which will break if
> pulled out.

3D scanners and printers can be used to make new "unique parts". :-)

--

/\" Bernd Felsche - Somewhere in Western Australia

\ / ASCII ribbon campaign | For every complex problem there is an

X against HTML mail | answer that is clear, simple, and wrong.

/\ and postings | --HL Mencken

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Tarkin](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 22:58:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 17, 2:58 pm, "Stanley Daniel de Liver"

<notagood...@invalid.org.invalid> wrote:

> On Fri, 14 Dec 2012 21:00:55 -0000, <hanco...@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote:

>> On Dec 14, 3:40 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

>

>>> For all the expense, and size, most of them are quite simple. Some
>>> controls, some electronics now, the motor in a washing machine, the
>>> heating elements in an oven. Yet often very bulky to work on, and
>>> nobody

>>> is going to take it in to fix it, they require a serviceman to come to

>>> the

>>> house.

>

>> After usage for some time, repairing a major appliance isn't usually
>> economically justified. Labor and parts are expensive, and it becomes
>> cheaper just to get a new one.

>

>> I don't think of an automatic washing machine was "quite simple". It
>> may be old technology, not as complex as an automobile, but it does
>> various tasks fully automatically. It knows how to fill the tub with
>> water of the proper temperature, to stop filling when full, then start

>> the wash cycle, to drain the water, knowing when to stop, then refill
>> with rinse water, then draining and executing a spin cycle. The
>> controls engage and disengage multiple electrical-mechanical
>> components in the course of that process. There are some safety
>> interlocks built in, too. It has some measure of durability and
>> reliability (commercial grade units have more ruggedness).

>
> This is a standard Forth programming example!
> Which I don't have to hand ATM.
> Hopefully a Forther can post it.
>
> (xpost added)
>
> --
> [dash dash space newline 4line sig]
>
> Money/Life question

From Leo Brodie's 'Starting Forth':

: WASHER WASH SPIN RINSE SPIN ;

: RINSE FAUCETS OPEN TILL-FULL FAUCETS CLOSE ;

ok.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Bernd Felsche](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 23:04:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/14/2012 4:00 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>> On Dec 14, 3:40 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

>>> For all the expense, and size, most of them are quite simple.
>>> Some controls, some electronics now, the motor in a washing
>>> machine, the heating elements in an oven. Yet often very bulky
>>> to work on, and nobody is going to take it in to fix it, they
>>> require a serviceman to come to the house.

>> After usage for some time, repairing a major appliance isn't
>> usually economically justified. Labor and parts are expensive,
>> and it becomes cheaper just to get a new one.

>> I don't think of an automatic washing machine was "quite simple".

> Simple in the fact that the major pieces, at least the electronics, are

> usually packaged into a few modules that just plug together. I had a
> long story about repairing my dishwasher but decided to spare everyone.
> The short version is that there are three or four pieces to the front
> panel that just screw on and plug in. Replacing one or two takes about
> five minutes and a trained ape could do it - heck, I did.

If you've never done it before, it makes you an untrained ape. ;-)

--

/\" Bernd Felsche - Somewhere in Western Australia
\\ ASCII ribbon campaign | For every complex problem there is an
X against HTML mail | answer that is clear, simple, and wrong.
/\ and postings | --HL Mencken

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Bernd Felsche](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 23:09:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 15, 12:02am, Dave Garland <dave.garl...@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>> . . . Inasmuch as you actively participate in a party, you get a
>> bigger fractional choice. . . .

> Anyone is welcome to participate in a political party. Like any other
> organization, you start at the bottom and work your way up.

Or out the door. :-)

> I can't help but suspect there are people who suddenly want to jump
> into politics and win high elective office without doing the basics
> first.

Why not? School graduates expect to hop straight into management and
onto boards. All it takes is an MBA.

--

/\" Bernd Felsche - Somewhere in Western Australia
\\ ASCII ribbon campaign | For every complex problem there is an
X against HTML mail | answer that is clear, simple, and wrong.
/\ and postings | --HL Mencken

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Bernd Felsche](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 23:12:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> said:

>>> Who is "we", in a country of 311 million inhabitants (219 million
>>> eligible voters)? I daresay you get your fractional $1/3 \cdot 10^9$
>>> choice.

>> Only if you can first get your choice on the ballot. If your state has
>> laws design to exclude 3rd parties, you're SOL.

> Once again there is no good system. In a country like Israel a very
> small party can thwart the will of the majority because of the need to
> continually form coalition governments. In the US "representative"
> government is not so representative. For example, in states like New
> York of Illinois the big cities dictate to the rest of the state.

I thought it was obvious: The representatives represent their parties.

--

/\" Bernd Felsche - Somewhere in Western Australia

\\/ ASCII ribbon campaign | For every complex problem there is an
X against HTML mail | answer that is clear, simple, and wrong.

/\ and postings | --HL Mencken

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 23:15:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/17/2012 8:43 AM, Anne & Lynn Wheeler wrote:

> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:

>> There are tradeoffs[1]. These days the trend is towards RAID and
>> virtual volumes; the OS doesn't see the underlying hardware. What I
>> find not simply surprising but appalling is that IBM is still
>> simulating [E]CKD on fixed sector devices instead of adding FBA
>> support to z/OS.

>>

>> [1] E.g., whether to spend money on faster drives or more cache.

>

> no argument from me

>

Previously there were too many dependencies on CKD to make the change
easy. Now, however, VSAM has replaced ISAM, PDSEs have replaced PDSs,
and there is a new load mudule format, so TTRs aren't imbeded in
everything. Perhaps there's hope.

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 23:16:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/17/2012 8:56 AM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
> In <kalih\$00\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/16/2012
> at 05:46 PM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:
>
>> The Romans managed it for 130 years,
>
> FSVO benevolent. Perhaps Alexander or Cyrus had some claim to
> benevolence, but definitely not the Romans.
>

The Antonine rule was pretty benign, maybe not as idyllic as Gibbon
portrays it, but it was remembered as a golden age.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Bernd Felsche](#) on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 23:20:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote:
> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:
>> On 12/16/2012 6:51 PM, Rod Speed wrote:
>>> "Ahem A Rivet's Shot" <steveo@eircom.net> quoted Goering

>>>> "Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the
>>>> people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders.
>>>> That is easy. All you have to do is tell them they are being
>>>> attacked

>>> Doesn't work when they know that they aren't being attacked.

> Rod - you're arguing with someone who's been dead for over 60
> years.

Rod's happy to argue with his own shadow.

--
/\" Bernd Felsche - Somewhere in Western Australia
\\ / ASCII ribbon campaign | For every complex problem there is an
X against HTML mail | answer that is clear, simple, and wrong.
/\ and postings | --HL Mencken

> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
>> In <kakhn6\$65I\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/16/2012
>> at 08:25 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:
>>
>>> Is it just me, or does this slop get worse every release?
>>
>> I don't know; I don't do windoze.
>
> I went to the brick/mortar store to take a look. I didn't
> have much energy so I only played with the interface for a
> couple of minutes. I still haven't decided if it's worse
> than an one-balled mouse or not. I'll have to play a little
> bit more. I certainly could not find much of anything
> to play with even though there were a couple dozen icons
> or WTH they call them these days. The delay of movement
> was perceptible and annoying.
>

Microsloth is going crazy trying to move the cr@p. They're not only advertising the heck out of windoze 8, they've done product placement to get the "surface" on just about every show on TV.

--
Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 00:31:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

> On 12/17/2012 10:34 AM, jmfbahciv wrote:
>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
>>> In <kakhn6\$65I\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/16/2012
>>> at 08:25 AM, Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:
>>>
>>>> Is it just me, or does this slop get worse every release?
>>>
>>> I don't know; I don't do windoze.
>>
>> I went to the brick/mortar store to take a look. I didn't
>> have much energy so I only played with the interface for a
>> couple of minutes. I still haven't decided if it's worse
>> than an one-balled mouse or not. I'll have to play a little
>> bit more. I certainly could not find much of anything
>> to play with even though there were a couple dozen icons

>> or WTH they call them these days. The delay of movement
>> was perceptible and annoying.
>>
>
> Microsloth is going crazy trying to move the cr@p. They're not only
> advertising the heck out of windoze 8, they've done product placement
> to get the "surface" on just about every show on TV.

I've seen those ads.

Is it me or does the product look like crap?

--

Dan Espen

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 02:27:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

> Previously there were too many dependencies on CKD to make the change
> easy. Now, however, VSAM has replaced ISAM, PDSEs have replaced PDSs,
> and there is a new load module format, so TTRs aren't imbeded in
> everything. Perhaps there's hope.

re:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#29> Search Google, 1960:s-style

circa 1980, I was told that even if I provided fully integrated & tested
FBA support for MVS ... I still needed a \$26M business case to cover
publications, education, training, etc. I wasn't allowed to use
life-cycle as the business case, I could only use incremental new sales
.... however, the claim was that they were already selling as much CKD as
possible and any FBA support would just result in the same amount of
disk ... just FBA instead of CKD.

somewhat later ... the big explosion in midrange and vm/4341s ...
locked MVS out. 3380s CKD was the high-end disks for datacenters ... but
the only mid-range disks were FBA 3370s. You could get situation were
MVS customer upgraded some 370 to 4341 continued to use existing CKD
disks ... but there was nothing for new orders. You could attach
high-end 3380s to 4341 in datacenter ... but big part of the explosion
in mid-range 4341s were outside the datacenter ... even in areas w/o
typical raised floor provisioning (where 3380 was gross overkill).

Eventually they were forced to come up with CKD 3375 ... CKD layered on
top of FBA 3370 ... to try and help MVS in the mid-range market

explosion.

however, the other problem in the mid-range market explosion with company having hundreds of 4341s out in distributed departmental areas was that typical MVS operation had dozen or more support people. Big part with the mid-range 4341 explosion was drastic reduction in cost of hardware as well as reduction in infrastructure provisioning costs ... to make it practical, it also required massive reduction in people support costs.

misc. past posts mentioning CKD, DASD, FBA, multi-track search, etc
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/submain.html#dasd>

--
virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Alex McDonald](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 06:34:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 17, 2:58 pm, Tarkin <tarkin...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Dec 17, 2:58 pm, "Stanley Daniel de Liver"

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> <notagood...@invalid.org.invalid> wrote:

>> On Fri, 14 Dec 2012 21:00:55 -0000, <hanco...@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote:

>>> On Dec 14, 3:40 pm, Michael Black <et...@ncf.ca> wrote:

>

>>>> For all the expense, and size, most of them are quite simple. Some
>>>> controls, some electronics now, the motor in a washing machine, the
>>>> heating elements in an oven. Yet often very bulky to work on, and
>>>> nobody
>>>> is going to take it in to fix it, they require a serviceman to come to
>>>> the
>>>> house.

>

>>> After usage for some time, repairing a major appliance isn't usually
>>> economically justified. Labor and parts are expensive, and it becomes
>>> cheaper just to get a new one.

>

>>> I don't think of an automatic washing machine was "quite simple". It
>>> may be old technology, not as complex as an automobile, but it does
>>> various tasks fully automatically. It knows how to fill the tub with
>>> water of the proper temperature, to stop filling when full, then start
>>> the wash cycle, to drain the water, knowing when to stop, then refill
>>> with rinse water, then draining and executing a spin cycle. The
>>> controls engage and disengage multiple electrical-mechanical
>>> components in the course of that process. There are some safety
>>> interlocks built in, too. It has some measure of durability and
>>> reliability (commercial grade units have more ruggedness).

>
>> This is a standard Forth programming example!
>> Which I don't have to hand ATM.
>> Hopefully a Forther can post it.

>
>> (xpost added)
>
>> --
>> [dash dash space newline 4line sig]

>
>> Money/Life question
>
> From Leo Brodie's 'Starting Forth':
>
> : WASHER WASH SPIN RINSE SPIN ;
>
> : RINSE FAUCETS OPEN TILL-FULL FAUCETS CLOSE ;
>
> ok.

That's a fraction of it. From Forth Inc's web site;

(Washing Machine Embedded Application)

\ Port assignments
01 CONSTANT PORT

\ bit-mask name bit-mask name
1 CONSTANT MOTOR 8 CONSTANT FAUCETS
2 CONSTANT CLUTCH 16 CONSTANT DETERGENT
4 CONSTANT PUMP 32 CONSTANT LEVEL

\ A colon begins a new definition.

\ Device control
: ON (mask --) PORT C@ OR PORT C! ;
: OFF (mask --) INVERT PORT C@ AND PORT C! ;

\ Definitions can contain generic SwiftX words and any others you've

defined...

\ Timing functions

: SECONDS (n --) 0 ?DO 1000 MS LOOP ;

: MINUTES (n --) 60 * SECONDS ;

: TILL-FULL (--) \ Wait till level switch is on
BEGIN PORT C@ LEVEL AND UNTIL ;

\ ...so, application-specific functions are defined in terms of previous definitions...

\ Washing machine functions

: ADD (port --) DUP ON 10 SECONDS OFF ;

: DRAIN (--) PUMP ON 3 MINUTES ;

: AGITATE (--) MOTOR ON 10 MINUTES MOTOR OFF ;

: SPIN (--) CLUTCH ON MOTOR ON

5 MINUTES MOTOR OFF CLUTCH OFF PUMP OFF ;

: FILL-TUB (--) FAUCETS ON TILL-FULL FAUCETS OFF ;

\ Wash cycles

: WASH (--) FILL-TUB DETERGENT ADD AGITATE DRAIN ;

: RINSE (--) FILL-TUB AGITATE DRAIN ;

\ ...until you reach the main application definition.

\ Top-level control

: WASHER (--) WASH SPIN RINSE SPIN ;

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 12:33:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/17/2012 2:50 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> In <PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012

> at 03:34 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

>

>> And gaining control of that employment will help further their agenda
>> of socialism.

>

> You're nuts; the leadership of the Democratic party is wealthy and the
> last thing they would want is socialism.

>

Advocates of big government want to remove any possible roadblocks. Big business is hand-in-glove with big government ("military-industrial complex"). Small business is largely independent and mostly wants to be

left alone without burdensome taxes or regulations. Government schools are fine, but Democrats are fighting tooth-and-nail to roadblock independent schools. With Obamacare the government is making an attempt to "tame" churches. Not to bring up a certain German political party of the '30s, but what they want is "gleichschaltung" - everyone going along with the government line.

--

Pete

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [Walter Banks](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 13:24:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rod Speed wrote:

- > "Walter Banks" ?walter@bytecrafter.com? wrote in message
- > news:50CF54F2.42369107@bytecrafter.com...
- > ?
- >
- >
- > ? Real debate on productivity needs to happen. If private industry
- > ? is so good how come a product can be made at a profit in China
- > ? and shipped to the US for less than it can be manufactured in the US.
- >
- > The answer is obvious to anyone with even half a clue, wages.
- >
- > ? Wages are often cited as the problem
- >
- > Compare the minimum wage in both countrys sometime.
- >
- > ? but is it possible that the current expectations of GMROI a
- > ? far higher number is a bigger problem for sustained growth.
- >

Rod, do the math, "What is the wage needed in the US to compete with a product manufactured in China?" then really read what I posted.

w..

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:39:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

greymausg@mail.com wrote:
> On 2012-12-17, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:
>>> On 2012-12-16, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
>>>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:
>>>> > On 2012-12-15, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
>>>> >> Rod Speed wrote:
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> "jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>> >>> news:PM0004D0D078AB2BC8@aca27eb3.ipt.aol.com...
>>>> >>>> or 4
>>>> >>>> soldiers guarding every farmer.
>>>> >>>>
>>>> >>> Completely off with the fucking fairys, as always with your politics.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> the problem with Communism and its variants is that the workers have
>>>> >> to be monitored by enforers to keep working. With capitalism, the
>>>> >> workers have an incentive to keep working.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> this is especially true with farming. If you own the farm, you reap
>>>> >> the profits, literally. Even in Israel, the collectives don't work
>>>> >> as hard as the farmers who own their own farm and products.
>>>> >
>>>> > enter long message about who really owns the land in Israel.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Which tends to ignore the land purchased in the 50s and 60s and
>>>> the areas gained becuase they won the wars.
>>>>
>>>> /BAH
>>>
>>> Israeli Jews have legal title to about 5% of the land of even pre-1961
>>> Israel.. Palestinian sources.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> You use today's defintion of legal title after a democratic process
>> of ownership has been put into place. I really doon't wnat
>> to get into another discussion about this with you.
>>
>> /BAH
>
> Agreed!.

Oh, thank you! :-)

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:39:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dan Espen wrote:

> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>

>> Dan Espen wrote:

>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>

>>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>>

^^^?

>>

>> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps the head
>> of the horse in front of you.

>

> Interesting. Google is coming up empty on the usage "rein check".

Does it really?!!!! It's been a common term all my life. How odd.

>

>>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.
>>>> leadership.

>>>

>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!

>>>

>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small
>>> business?

>>>

>> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.

>> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax
>> forms/directions.

>

> Interesting definition of "tax the shit out of".

> Completely a fantasy.

If a small business owner's bank account has a \$300K or more balance at any time of the year, there is a surtax charged. Note that this is half a house if you're a builder. Small farms will fall into that \$250K category. Service businesses such as plumbing and electrical could get that much income during the year. Brick and mortar businesses and restaurants will also be affected. Destroy small business and you'll end up with a fascist or communistic economy where decisions are made by politicians and not by the people who deal with the prlbems.

>

> And that still leaves you short about explaining _why_ the Democratic
> leadership sees advantage in destroying small business.

To increase the population's dependency on the Fed. government; note that this does not include States' government.

>
> They want to raise revenue.

>
That's just plain BS. If they want to revenue, they leave the businesses alone. Those are the entities which cut paychecks which produces revenue. If the Dems were only trying to raise revenue, I might consider their proposal but they're also increasing spending by an amount far greater than the supposed revenue from increasing taxes. They also seem to be avoiding saying anything about death taxes which makes me suspect that they're going to go back to the one million \$ threshold. And that will definitely take out a lot small business.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:39:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> In <PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012
> at 03:34 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

>
>> And gaining control of that employment will help further their agenda
>> of socialism.

>
> You're nuts; the leadership of the Democratic party is wealthy and the
> last thing they would want is socialism.

>
You need to listen and read more. The only way to have everyone "equal" is to implement some form of socialism. Massachusetts' attempt for "equal" used a fascist technique. It's very common now for middle class types to demand "the government has to do something" rather than take the responsibility themselves. If the government, and thus, politicians, have the responsibility for all living conditions, then the only way to administer and control it is with the techniques used by communism and/or fascism.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfahciv](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:39:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

greymausg@mail.com wrote:

> On 2012-12-17, jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>>

>> Do you remember when the USSR sent its soldiers out to harvest potatoes?

>

> I remember the Army here (.ie) helping with the harvest, 47,48. Well,

> remember not that well, but I remember the uniforms. It's standard

> procedure.

Sure, when there isn't anyone else to do that work. In the USSR's case, the farming people stopped working. Shmuel wrote a quote that describes the attitude.

>

>>

>>>

>>>> Even in Israel, the collectives don't work as hard as the farmers

>>>> who own their own farm and products.

>>>

>>> Actually, they do. The significant variable is not individual versus

>>> collective ownership, but how much of a surplus is available. Also

>>> note that an employee doesn't have the same incentives as an owner,

>>> whether that owner be an individual or a collective.

>>>

>> The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long day;

>> OTOH the farmer who owned his own property, would work 12-15 or more

>> hours a day. The difference was personal ownership. I don't

>> remember the books' titles and they're still packed in boxes. Just

>> knowing human nature would give you a hint.

>>

>> /BAH

>

> In the Soviet Union, the land was 'collectivised', organized into large

> estates, owned by the `Soviets'. `Soviet' was an old Russian word for the

> peasant groups that organized the work on the Noble-owned estates. So, the

> large amount of land was worked in `common', and the workers had `gardens'.

> quite amazingly, these seemed to produce almost as much as the open land :)

Exactly. The piece that was their personal area was more productive because the owner had more self-interest in his stuff than the collectives'. The outdoor market I saw when I was in Leningrad showed how the "illegal" bartering systems was working. Quality and stuff were better and more available than in the legal state-owned stores.

- >
- > the tragedy was that after the 1917 Revolution, the structure of land
- > ownership was developing to a genuinely modern form, before the Communists
- > imposed their system, largely by force. Incidentally, since 1989,
- > foreigners have been operating these estates, AFAIK, real ownership is not
- > allowed.

It's still the same.

- >
- > A German group are operating a large estate near Kalach, scene of one of
- > the decisive battles of the war, its on the net somewhere, very impressive.
- > Makes you think. One of the Tolstoy family visited the old family estate,
- > with a view of revitalizing it. While he was there, evryone he met was
- > drunk, even in the morning, lots of the men dead from alcohol poisoning
- > by 40-50.

Boredom and political corruption make an explosive combination. not much has changed.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:39:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass wrote:

- > On 12/17/2012 10:09 AM, kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk wrote:
- >> In article <[kalijh\\$o00\\$1@dont-email.me](mailto:kalijh$o00$1@dont-email.me)>, Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com (Peter
- >> Flass) wrote:
- >>
- >>> The Romans managed it for 130 years, then family loyalty trumped
- >>> public welfare.
- >>
- >> I am not sure where you got that from.
- >
- > Bad calculation :- (Supposed to be the accession of Nerva (96AD) to the
- > death of Marcus Aurelius (180AD), so 85 years.
- >
- > Can't hex one and use tertiary on the other to get a decimal answer.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:39:47 GMT

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> In <PM0004D10DB0CDEE1A@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012
> at 03:34 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

>
>> Do you remember when the USSR sent its soldiers out to harvest
>> potatoes?

>
> How does that conflict with their system being state capitalism?

>
>> The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long
>> day;

>
> Are you talking about a real collective, owned by the workers,

Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).

> or a
> state run farm where the workers are coolies? Where and what year.

The books were about Israel and its history.

> Are
> you comparing them to single family farms in the same location and
> year?

Yes.

> Or are you saying, e.g., that there is a longer work day on a
> moshave than on a nearby kibbutz?

No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer hours than those who work under a collective org. A collective would have to post work details and hours. The first thing you hear is someone complaining about someone else not having to do the messiest work. An owner just does the labor until the job is done. There are no hours scheduled by a manager nor days off nor obligatory coffee breaks.

>
> If you're talking about soviet "collective" farms, the operative
> expression is "they pretend to pay us and we pretend to work."
>

That's an organization which has completely self-destructed. If those people owned their own pieces of that farming area, they would have more self-interest in success. For those people who didn't care about doing the work, they would sell the land

to someone who did have an interest.

Bottom line is that the land is productive and not lying fallow or worse.

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:39:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dan Espen wrote:

> scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:

>

>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>> On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>>

>>>> > On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> >> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>> >>

>>>> >>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>>> >> ^^^^?

>>>> >>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.

>>>> >>> leadership.

>>>> >>

>>>> >>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!

>>>> >>

>>>> >>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small

>>>> >>> business?

>>>> >>

>>>> >

>>>> >>> > Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for handouts.

>>>>

>>>> >>>> So, you think that answers "why"?

>>>>

>>>> >>>> It doesn't.

>>>>

>>>> >>>> And it's stupid.

>>>>

>>>> >>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,

>>>> >>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.

>>>>

>>>

>>> >>>> When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're

>>> >>>> talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you

>>> want, but it's their opinions that count.
>>
>> What "we" kimosabe. The proper pronoun for you is "I".
>>
>> And nobody but a few loonies think that "democrats want to ban guns[*]"
>> or "democrats want to destroy small business" or on the other side that
>> "republicans want to eat babies" or "republicans hate homosexuals".
>>
>> And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small
business
>> clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".
>
> If the business clears 250K, their taxes remain the same.

How do you figure?

> To get to 3k extra, they have to net something like 330K.

>
What figures are you using?

/BAH

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:39:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dan Espen wrote:

> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>

>> Dan Espen wrote:

>>> Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>

>>>> On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> > Peter Flass <Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>> >

>>>> >> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> >>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>> >>>

>>>> >>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>>> >>>>

~~~~~?

>>>> >>>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.

>>>> >>>>> leadership.

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small

>>>> >>>> business?

>>>> >>>  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for  
>> handouts.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > So, you think that answers "why"?  
>>>> >  
>>>> > It doesn't.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > And it's stupid.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,  
>>>> > and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.  
>>>> >  
>>>>  
>>>> When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're  
>>>> talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you  
>>>> want, but it's their opinions that count.  
>>>  
>>> Sorry, but when we say Democrat it does not mean just the leaders.  
>>  
>> And when I write "Democrat leadership", I do mean the leaders.  
>  
> And so you did. Sorry.

OK. I was getting worried. ;-)

>  
> So that still leaves the insult about the 50% of America that votes for  
> people that want to destroy small business. I guess you just think  
> we're clueless.

No. I think people want to believe their political leaders aren't nuts especially having voted for them. I'd much rather have some sane Democrats in power than those Reps whose agenda is to put women back into their chattal roles. The D.C. Dems seem to have gotten stuck in opening the gates to the barbarians rather than consider working with a Republican. I find, even among regular people, that the most avid Democrats don't have a desire or ability to manage their own money. Oddly, this especially includes old people, too.

>  
> Of course, no one in America wants to destroy small business.  
> As nefarious as politicians are, there's just no future in destroying  
> small business.

But the D.C. politicians, who are mostly city slickers, don't understand that. Obama hasn't had a single hour's exposure to that kind of work.

The people he's hired to run the government are even worse. Some of EPA and OSHA edicts coming out of that city w.r.t. farms are ridiculous. I'm very worried.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:39:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peter Flass wrote:

> On 12/17/2012 10:34 AM, jmfbahciv wrote:

>> Dan Espen wrote:

>>> Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:

>>>

>>>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> > jmfbahciv <[See.above@aol.com](mailto:See.above@aol.com)> writes:

>>>> >

>>>> >> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>>> > ^???

>>>> >> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.

>>>> >> leadership.

>>>> >

>>>> > Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!

>>>> >

>>>> > Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small

>>>> > business?

>>>> >

>>>>

>>>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for

>> handouts.

>>>

>>> So, you think that answers "why"?

>>>

>>> It doesn't.

>>>

>>> And it's stupid.

>>>

>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,

>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.

>>>

>> Then you are able to think. I did write Democrat \_leadership\_. They've

>> gone completely nuts.

>>

>

> We'll have to see what happens with the fiscal cliff. Boehner has

> apparently indicated he;s willing to accept \$1T in increased revenue,

> apparently including a 6% increase on people making over \$1M, in return  
> for \$1T in cuts to entitlement programs. The last time we had something  
> like this, the democrats refused to cut anything and the deal collapsed.

>  
And the Democrats will be seen to "win" which is their goal. They're already campaigning for 2014. This misnamed financial cliff of Jan 1st is exactly what the Dems want. Huge increase in revenue and really pissed off income tax payers. They can take care of the so-called spending cuts with later legislation or regulations out of FDA, OSHA and other non-elected agencies. The Dems can blame the Republicans and everyone will believe them (this is what truly amazes me).

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:39:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rod Speed wrote:

>  
>  
> "jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
> news:PM0004D10DD91EEFE5@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com...  
>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>  
>>>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> > jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >  
>>>> >> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> > ^^^?  
>>>> >> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.  
>>>> >> leadership.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> > business?  
>>>> >  
>>>>  
>>>> >>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for  
>> handouts.  
>>>  
>>> >>> So, you think that answers "why"?  
>>>  
>>> >>> It doesn't.

>>>  
>>> And it's stupid.  
>>>  
>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,  
>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.  
>>>  
>> Then you are able to think. I did write Democrat \_leadership\_. They've  
>> gone completely nuts.  
>  
> Corse nothing like that has ever happened with your leadership, eh ?

Which is? If you are trying to imply that I like Republicans, I've told before that you are very wrong.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahtiv](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:39:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Stanley Daniel de Liver wrote:  
> On Wed, 12 Dec 2012 19:54:03 -0000, Charlie Gibbs  
> <[cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid](mailto:cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid)> wrote:  
>  
>> In article <[ka9tn1\\$9of\\$1@dont-email.me](mailto:ka9tn1$9of$1@dont-email.me)>, Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com  
>> (Peter Flass) writes:  
>>  
>>> On 12/11/2012 8:38 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> One thing that hasn't changed: Articles on political troubles read  
>>>> exactly as they do now, only the names are different.  
>>>  
>>> Sad but true.  
>>  
>> But... but... they told us we were entering a new era.  
>> Or was that a "new error"?  
>>  
> ON ERROR RESUME  
>  
>  
> PUNCH ANY KEY.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

---

Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:51:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz" <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote in message news:50cf7401\$30\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net...

> In <20121217130932@news.eternal-september.org>, on 12/17/2012

> at 01:14 PM, Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> said:

>

>> Last time I checked this was not the case in the House of  
>> Representatives. However, the useless, spineless Republicans do  
>> seem to lack the will to stand up to the Communis..., I mean, the  
>> "Democrats."

>

> Did you use to work for Pravda? You write like it.

>

I understand that "Pravda" means "truth" in Russian.... a sort of 1984 type of name. I guess the Russians have a lot of trouble with the concept.

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 14:55:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-18, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/17/2012 2:50 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>> In <PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012

>> at 03:34 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

>>

>>> And gaining control of that employment will help further their agenda  
>>> of socialism.

>>

>> You're nuts; the leadership of the Democratic party is wealthy and the  
>> last thing they would want is socialism.

>>

>

> Advocates of big government want to remove any possible roadblocks. Big  
> business is hand-in-glove with big government ("military-industrial  
> complex"). Small business is largely independent and mostly wants to be  
> left alone without burdensome taxes or regulations. Government schools  
> are fine, but Democrats are fighting tooth-and-nail to roadblock  
> independent schools. With Obamacare the government is making an attempt  
> to "tame" churches. Not to bring up a certain German political party of  
> the '30s, but what they want is "gleichschaltung" - everyone going along



>>

>> Interesting definition of "tax the shit out of".

>> Completely a fantasy.

>

> If a small business owner's bank account has a \$300K or more balance at  
> any time of the year, there is a surtax charged. Note that this is

No it isn't. There has never been a tax on assets and there never will be.

> half a house if you're a builder. Small farms will fall into that

> \$250K category. Service businesses such as plumbing and electrical

> could get that much income during the year. Brick and mortar

A bank account balance is not income.

As I said before, if a business earns 250K there is no tax increase.

If a business earns 300K the additional tax is tiny.

> businesses and restaurants will also be affected. Destroy small

> business and you'll end up with a fascist or communistic economy where

> decisions are made by politicians and not by the people who deal with

> the problems.

Again, explain why any one, or any politician would want to destroy  
small business. How would that get someone elected?

>> And that still leaves you short about explaining why the Democratic

>> leadership sees advantage in destroying small business.

>

> To increase the population's dependency on the Fed. government; note

> that this does not include States' government.

No one wants anyone to be dependent on the government.

It doesn't help anyone, especially politicians.

>> They want to raise revenue.

>>

> That's just plain BS. If they want to revenue, they leave the

> businesses alone. Those are the entities which cut paychecks

> which produces revenue. If the Dems were only trying to raise

> revenue, I might consider their proposal but they're also

> increasing spending by an amount far greater than the supposed

> revenue from increasing taxes. They also seem to be avoiding

> saying anything about death taxes which makes me suspect that

> they're going to go back to the one million \$ threshold.

> And that will definitely take out a lot small business.

So you can increase revenue by not raising taxes.

How do you think that works?

How is it working now?  
How well has it worked for the last 12 years?  
How many small businesses were destroyed during the Clinton era?

--

Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 15:46:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>> In <PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012  
>> at 03:34 PM, jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:  
>>  
>>> And gaining control of that employment will help further their agenda  
>>> of socialism.  
>>  
>> You're nuts; the leadership of the Democratic party is wealthy and the  
>> last thing they would want is socialism.  
>>  
> You need to listen and read more. The only way to have everyone "equal"  
> is to implement some form of socialism. Massachusetts' attempt for  
> "equal" used a fascist technique. It's very common now for middle  
> class types to demand "the government has to do something" rather  
> than take the responsibility themselves. If the government, and thus,  
> politicians, have the responsibility for all living conditions, then  
> the only way to administer and control it is with the techniques  
> used by communism and/or fascism.

I need to listen and read more?

That's funny.

All these rich politicians want everyone to be "equal".  
That's funny too.

Really, this stuff is crazy.  
Paranoid crazy.

--

Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 15:52:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

> Dan Espen wrote:

>> scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:

>>

>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>> On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> > Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>> >

>>>> >> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> >>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>> >>>

>>>> >>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>>> >>>

^^^?

>>>> >>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.

>>>> >>>> leadership.

>>>> >>>

>>>> >>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!

>>>> >>>

>>>> >>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small

>>>> >>> business?

>>>> >>>

>>>> >>

>>>> >> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for

> handouts.

>>>> >

>>>> > So, you think that answers "why"?

>>>> >

>>>> > It doesn't.

>>>> >

>>>> > And it's stupid.

>>>> >

>>>> > Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,

>>>> > and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.

>>>> >

>>>>

>>>> When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're

>>>> talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you

>>>> want, but it's their opinions that count.

>>>

>>> What "we" kimosabe. The proper pronoun for you is "I".

>>>

>>> And nobody but a few loonies think that "democrats want to ban guns[\*]"

>>> or "democrats want to destroy small business" or on the other side that

>>> "republicans want to eat babies" or "republicans hate homosexuals".

>>>

>>> And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small  
> business  
>>> clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".  
>>  
>> If the business clears 250K, their taxes remain the same.  
>  
> How do you figure?

Simple, the proposed tax is to increase taxes on income over 250K. That's TAXABLE income by the way.

Anyone making 250K or less, gets the Bush tax cut.  
Anyone making 250K or more only pays the Clinton Era tax on taxable income over 250K. They still get the Bush tax cut on the first 250K.

>> To get to 3k extra, they have to net something like 330K.  
>>  
> What figures are you using?

The proposed increase is 4% on income over 250K.  
You need 80K of income to see 3K in taxes.

$80,000 \times .04 = 3.2K.$

250K plus 80K = 330K.

--

Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 15:55:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-18, jmfbaheiv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

> greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
>> On 2012-12-17, jmfbaheiv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:  
>> quite amazingly, these seemed to produce almost as much as the open land :)  
>  
> Exactly. The piece that was their personal area was more productive because  
> the owner had more self-interest in his stuff than the collectives'. The  
> outdoor market I saw when I was in Leningrad showed how the "illegal"  
> bartering systems was working. Quality and stuff were better and more  
> available than in the legal state-owned stores.

Ehhh, you have been away from farming longer than I, and have a more

charitable view of a `peasants' methods than I. I meant that a great deal of the collectives produce was processed through the workers plots and sold as product of the plots, as in fertilizer going to vegetables, or corn being fed to the peasants pigs (or, indeed, distilled into vodka)

>  
>>  
>> the tragedy was that after the 1917 Revolution, the structure of land  
>> ownership was developing to a genuinely modern form, before the Communists  
>> imposed their system, largely by force. Incidentally, since 1989,  
>> foreigners have been operating these estates, AFAIK, real ownership is not  
>> allowed.  
>  
> It's still the same.

I meant real ownership is not allowed to foreigners. (This was the situation around 2000, may have changed by now.)

>  
>>  
>> A German group are operating a large estate near Kalach, scene of one of  
>> the decisive battles of the war, its on the net somewhere, very impressive.  
>> Makes you think. One of the Tolstoy family visited the old family estate,  
>> with a view of revitalizing it. While he was there, evryone he met was  
>> drunk, even in the morning, lots of the men dead from alcohol poisoning  
>> by 40-50.  
>  
> Boredom and political corruption make an explosive combination. not  
> much has changed.  
>  
> /BAH

--  
maus  
.  
.  
....

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Anonymous](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 15:59:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Originally posted by: lbmekon

On 18 Dec 2012 14:39:41 GMT, jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>> In <PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012  
>> at 03:34 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:  
>>  
>>> And gaining control of that employment will help further their agenda  
>>> of socialism.  
>>  
>> You're nuts; the leadership of the Democratic party is wealthy and the  
>> last thing they would want is socialism.  
>>  
> You need to listen and read more. The only way to have everyone "equal"

May read better as "everyone else".

Carl Goldsworthy

> is to implement some form of socialism. Massachusetts' attempt for  
> "equal" used a fascist technique. It's very common now for middle  
> class types to demand "the government has to do something" rather  
> than take the responsibility themselves. If the government, and thus,  
> politicians, have the responsibility for all living conditions, then  
> the only way to administer and control it is with the techniques  
> used by communism and/or fascism.  
>  
> /BAH

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Stan Barr](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 16:17:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:31:42 -0500, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:  
> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>  
>> On 12/17/2012 10:34 AM, jmfbahciv wrote:  
>>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>>>> In <kakhn6\$65I\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/16/2012  
>>>> at 08:25 AM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:  
>>>>  
>>>> > Is it just me, or does this slop get worse every release?  
>>>>  
>>>> I don't know; I don't do windoze.  
>>>  
>>> I went to the brick/mortar store to take a look. I didn't  
>>> have much energy so I only played with the interface for a  
>>> couple of minutes. I still haven't decided if it's worse

>>> than an one-balled mouse or not. I'll have to play a little  
>>> bit more. I certainly could not find much of anything  
>>> to play with even though there were a couple dozen icons  
>>> or WTH they call them these days. The delay of movement  
>>> was perceptible and annoying.  
>>>  
>>  
>> Microsloth is going crazy trying to move the cr@p. They're not only  
>> advertising the heck out of windoze 8, they've done product placement  
>> to get the "surface" on just about every show on TV.  
>  
> I've seen those ads.  
>  
> Is it me or does the product look like crap?  
>

Not had a \*good\* look at it yet, but it was most unimpressive...  
Poor and slow take-up here in the UK, almost no-one upgrading with  
people only using it because it came with their new laptop.

Over here desktops are dead and laptops are dying, tablets are the big  
thing. Even \*I've\* got one :-)

--  
Cheers,  
Stan Barr plan.b .at. dsl .dot. pipex .dot. com

The future was never like this!

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [scott](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 16:44:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
> On 12/17/2012 2:50 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>> In <PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012  
>> at 03:34 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:  
>>  
>>> And gaining control of that employment will help further their agenda  
>>> of socialism.  
>>  
>> You're nuts; the leadership of the Democratic party is wealthy and the  
>> last thing they would want is socialism.  
>>  
>  
> Advocates of big government want to remove any possible roadblocks. Big  
> business is hand-in-glove with big government ("military-industrial  
> complex"). Small business is largely independent and mostly wants to be

> left alone without burdensome taxes or regulations

> Government schools

> are fine, but Democrats are fighting tooth-and-nail to roadblock

> independent schools.'

Where do you get this crap? Democrats are huge supporters of education in all forms, including charter schools. They don't particularly want to fund religions so they generally disfavor vouchers. Government (read: my) money shouldn't be provided to any one (or any or all) religious organization(s).

> With Obamacare the government is making an attempt

> to "tame" churches.

Churches should have zero role in government or public policy. If they want to continue to be exempt from taxes, then they need to follow the rules. All of them. Including letting their employees determine for themselves if birth control is a suitable alternative (particularly if their employees aren't part of the same 'faith').

Period.

scott

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 16:55:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-18, jmfbahtiv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

> greymausg@mail.com wrote:

>> On 2012-12-17, jmfbahtiv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

>>>> > Which tends to ignore the land purchased in the 50s and 60s and

>>>> > the areas gained because they won the wars.

>>>> >

>>>> > /BAH

>>>>

>>>> Israeli Jews have legal title to about 5% of the land of even pre-1961

>>>> Israel.. Palestinian sources.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>> You use today's definition of legal title after a democratic process

>>> of ownership has been put into place. I really don't want

>>> to get into another discussion about this with you.

>>>

>>> /BAH

>>  
>> Agreed!.  
>  
> Oh, thank you! :-)  
>  
> /BAH

Actually to veer somewhat, the Falklands War was caused by a variant of this situation. The Argentinians claim prior ownership, some have told me of Spanish-speaking settlers of the Islands being forcibly removed to Argentina. Checking Wikipedia just now, the situation is a lot more complex than that.

More recently than that, the natives on Diego Suarez in the Indian Ocean claim that they were rounded up by the British and dumped, in Mauritius, with no compensation, the Island being developed as a bomber base for the Iraqi and Afganistan Wars. Wikipedia seems to have no refence to that, but a court case is being persued.

--  
maus  
.  
.  
....

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 16:55:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2012-12-18, Charles Richmond <numerist@aquaporin4.com> wrote:  
> "Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz" <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote in  
> message news:50cf7401\$30\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net...  
>> In <20121217130932@news.eternal-september.org>, on 12/17/2012  
>> at 01:14 PM, Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> said:  
>>  
>>> Last time I checked this was not the case in the House of  
>>> Representatives. However, the useless, spineless Republicans do  
>>> seem to lack the will to stand up to the Communis..., I mean, the  
>>> "Democrats."  
>>  
>> Did you use to work for Pravda? You write like it.  
>>  
>  
> I understand that "Pravda" means "truth" in Russian.... a sort of 1984 type  
> of name. I guess the Russians have a lot of trouble with the concept.  
>

There was a joke once, that there was no truth in Pravda and no News in Novosti (sp?). Actually, since 2000 roughly, both have had more independent news than the US equivalent.

--  
maus  
.  
.  
....

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [scott](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 17:05:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jmbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
> Peter Flass wrote:

>> We'll have to see what happens with the fiscal cliff. Boehner has  
>> apparently indicated he;s willing to accept \$1T in increased revenue,  
>> apparently including a 6% increase on people making over \$1M, in return  
>> for \$1T in cuts to entitlement programs. The last time we had something  
>> like this, the democrats refused to cut anything and the deal collapsed.  
>>  
> And the Democrats will be seen to "win" which is their goal.

The democrats are still working out of the mess that the bush tax cuts, financial dereg, and two unnecessary wars have left the country in. Their goal is to rein in the budget deficit without causing futher irreparable harm. It is clear to anyone who looks at the US Budget that cuts in spending alone cannot accomplish this[\*]. It's clear to anyone who looks that the tax rates that are being proposed are significantly less than at any time prior to 2002 - and the US hummed along just fine then, including small businesses, family farms and all the other bugaboos the radical right like Barb keep bitching about.

[\*] Defense, over one trillion a year[\*\*], needs to drop by 50%, but the republican ideologues and lobby would throw a fit. Do we really need 1500 new F-35's, or are new-build/upgraded F-16's/F-15's (at 10-50% the cost) sufficient for any conceivable threat (in conjunction with the small number of F-22s already built). There's no reason that a carrier cannot float for 75 years instead of 50, so the carrier new-build program can slow down substantially (even assuming we need a dozen CBGs floating around).

[\*\*] 1.030-1.415 Trillion - almost half of this is interest on debt incurred in past wars!

< [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Military\\_budget\\_of\\_the\\_United\\_States#Budget\\_breakdown\\_for\\_2012](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Military_budget_of_the_United_States#Budget_breakdown_for_2012)>

Drop defense spending by 50% and fix medicare spending (address the cost structure<sup>\*\*\*</sup>) and the annual deficit will be eliminated with minor revenue increases.

[\*\*\*] Rein in costs for prescription drugs, medical fraud, waste etc.

> They're  
> already campaigning for 2014. This misnamed financial cliff of Jan 1st  
> is exactly what the Dems want.

Hell, the republicans should want to go over the cliff, after which they can vote for some rationally modified subset the former tax cuts and tell their constituents that they never voted for a tax increase.

> Huge increase in revenue

Thus reducing the deficit.

> and really  
> pissed off income tax payers.

Pure speculation on your part. You may be pissed off (but you always seem to be, about pretty much everything from computers to politics), but the vast majority of the country obviously doesn't think like you. You may want to consider that you're the outlier here.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 17:19:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <ic7gog33jn.fsf@home.home>, [despen@verizon.net](mailto:despen@verizon.net) (Dan Espen) writes:

> [jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com>](mailto:jmfbahciv@see.above.aol.com) writes:  
>  
>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>  
>>> [jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com>](mailto:jmfbahciv@see.above.aol.com) writes:  
>>>  
>>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>  
>> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps  
>> the head of the horse in front of you.  
>

> Interesting. Google is coming up empty on the usage "rein check".

Try "check rein", which is the more common term.

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 17:34:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <icpq2819qp.fsf@home.home>, despen@verizon.net (Dan Espen) writes:

> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>

>> Microsloth is going crazy trying to move the cr@p. They're not  
>> only advertising the heck out of windoze 8, they've done product  
>> placement to get the "surface" on just about every show on TV.

>

> I've seen those ads.

And they're not just on TV - they're also part of the pre-movie ads that you sit through at the local cinema.

> Is it me or does the product look like crap?

It's a continuation of the Fisher-Price look that started with XP.

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 17:39:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Walter Banks <walter@bytecrafter.com> wrote

> Rod Speed wrote

>> Walter Banks ?walter@bytecrafter.com? wrote

>>> Real debate on productivity needs to happen. If private industry  
>>> is so good how come a product can be made at a profit in China  
>>> and shipped to the US for less than it can be manufactured in the US.

>> The answer is obvious to anyone with even half a clue, wages.

>>> Wages are often cited as the problem

>> Compare the minimum wage in both countrys sometime.

>>> but is it possible that the current expectations of GMROI a  
>>> far higher number is a bigger problem for sustained growth.

> Rod, do the math,

Don't need to. The difference in the minimum wage between the  
two countrys is all you need to explain your para now at the top.

> "What is the wage needed in the US to compete  
> with a product manufactured in China?"

Basically something like the minimum wage  
in china, which isnt even legal in the US.

> then really read what I posted.

Already read it thanks.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 17:50:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote  
> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote  
>> jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote

>>> And gaining control of that employment  
>>> will help further their agenda of socialism.

>> You're nuts; the leadership of the Democratic party is  
>> wealthy and the last thing they would want is socialism.

> You need to listen and read more.

You need to stop mindlessly respewing Limbaugh's lies.

> The only way to have everyone "equal"

Hadn't noticed that Obama actually wants to be "equal" income wise to you.

> is to implement some form of socialism.

You wouldn't know what real socialism was if it bit you on your lard arse.

YOU benefit from LOTS of socialism yourself, most obviously with public librarys which even you should have noticed are govt operations, to schools, the cops, the national highway system, which was even done by one of yours.

> Massachusetts' attempt for "equal"

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

There was never ever anything of the sort.

> used a fascist technique.

You wouldn't know what real fascism was if it bit you on your lard arse.

> It's very common now for middle class types  
> to demand "the government has to do something"  
> rather than take the responsibility themselves.

Didn't notice you out there personally building any part of the national highway system so you could use it.

> If the government, and thus, politicians, have  
> the responsibility for all living conditions,

They never ever do in a place like the US.

> then the only way to administer and control it is with  
> the techniques used by communism and/or fascism.

More utterly mindless silly stuff.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 17:56:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> writes:

> jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>  
>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>> jmfbaiciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>  
>>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> > jmfbaiciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >  
>>>> >> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> > ^???  
>>>>  
>>>> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps the head  
>>>> of the horse in front of you.  
>>>  
>>> Interesting. Google is coming up empty on the usage "rein check".  
>>  
>> Does it really?!!!! It's been a common term all my life. How odd.  
>  
> The term "rain check" is very common. Sounds the same.  
>  
>>>> >> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.  
>>>> >> leadership.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> > business?  
>>>> >  
>>>> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.  
>>>> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax  
>>>> forms/directions.  
>>>  
>>> Interesting definition of "tax the shit out of".  
>>> Completely a fantasy.  
>>  
>> If a small business owner's bank account has a \$300K or more balance at  
>> any time of the year, there is a surtax charged. Note that this is  
>  
> No it isn't. There has never been a tax on assets and there never will be.  
>  
>> half a house if you're a builder. Small farms will fall into that  
>> \$250K category. Service businesses such as plumbing and electrical  
>> could get that much income during the year. Brick and mortar  
>  
> A bank account balance is not income.  
> As I said before, if a business earns 250K there is no tax increase.  
> If a business earns 300K the additional tax is tiny.  
>  
>> business and restaurants will also be affected. Destroy small

>> business and you'll end up with a fascist or communistic economy where  
>> decisions are made by politicians and not by the people who deal with  
>> the problems.

>

> Again, explain why any one, or any politician would want to destroy  
> small business. How would that get someone elected?

>

>>> And that still leaves you short about explaining \_why\_ the Democratic  
>>> leadership sees advantage in destroying small business.

>>

>> To increase the population's dependency on the Fed. government; note  
>> that this does not include States' government.

>

> No one wants anyone to be dependent on the government.

> It doesn't help anyone, especially politicians.

>

>>> They want to raise revenue.

>>>

>> That's just plain BS. If they want to revenue, they leave the  
>> businesses alone. Those are the entities which cut paychecks  
>> which produces revenue. If the Dems were only trying to raise  
>> revenue, I might consider their proposal but they're also  
>> increasing spending by an amount far greater than the supposed  
>> revenue from increasing taxes. They also seem to be avoiding  
>> saying anything about death taxes which makes me suspect that  
>> they're going to go back to the one million \$ threshold.  
>> And that will definitely take out a lot small business.

>

> So you can \_increase\_ revenue by not raising taxes.

> How do you think that works?

> How is it working now?

> How well has it worked for the last 12 years?

> How many small businesses were destroyed during the Clinton era?

Why limit to the past 12 years? It hasn't worked particularly well  
since the Reagan administration.

-- Patrick

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 17:59:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D120BABD2E92@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com...

> Dan Espen wrote:

>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>  
>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>>  
>>>> > He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>>                               ^??  
>>>  
>>> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps the  
>>> head  
>>> of the horse in front of you.  
>>  
>> Interesting. Google is coming up empty on the usage "rein check".  
>  
> Does it really?!!!! It's been a common term all my life. How odd.  
>  
>>  
>>>> > Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.  
>>>> > leadership.  
>>>>  
>>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>>  
>>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> business?  
>>>>  
>>> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.  
>>> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax  
>>> forms/directions.  
>>  
>> Interesting definition of "tax the shit out of".  
>> Completely a fantasy.

> If a small business owner's bank account has a \$300K or more  
> balance at any time of the year, there is a surtax charged.

That's not 'taxing the shit out of' anything.

> Note that this is half a house if you're a builder.

And the value of the surtax isn't.

> Small farms will fall into that \$250K category.

And at that level THERE IS NO INCREASE IN TAX PAID  
WHATEVER, so no one has taxed the shit out of any of those.

> Service businesses such as plumbing and electrical  
> could get that much income during the year.

And at that level THERE IS NO INCREASE IN TAX PAID  
WHATEVER, so no one has taxed the shit out of any of those.

> Brick and mortar businesses and restaurants will also be affected.

And at that level THERE IS NO INCREASE IN TAX PAID  
WHATEVER, so no one has taxed the shit out of any of those.

> Destroy small business

No one is destroying anyone when THERE  
IS NO INCREASE IN TAX PAID WHATEVER.

> and you'll end up with a fascist or communistic economy

Even sillier.

> where decisions are made by politicians and  
> not by the people who deal with the problems.

Completely off with the fucking fairys, as always.

>> And that still leaves you short about explaining \_why\_ the Democratic  
>> leadership sees advantage in destroying small business.

> To increase the population's dependency on the Fed. government;

Just another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

> note that this does not include States' government.

>> They want to raise revenue.

> That's just plain BS.

Nope, yours is, and mindless Limbaugh lies respewed.

> If they want to revenue, they leave the businesses alone.

Mindlessly silly. Even your clowns don't even propose that  
no business ever pays any tax at all, and even you should  
be able to grasp that that would produce ZERO revenue  
from those.

> Those are the entities which cut  
> paychecks which produces revenue.

Like hell it does when the BOTTOM HALF

of the US pays no net federal income tax.

- > If the Dems were only trying to raise revenue,
- > I might consider their proposal but they're also
- > increasing spending by an amount far greater
- > than the supposed revenue from increasing taxes.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

- > They also seem to be avoiding saying anything
- > about death taxes which makes me suspect that
- > they're going to go back to the one million \$ threshold.
- > And that will definitely take out a lot small business.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

Even when that was around, it did NOT  
'take out' a lot of small business.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 18:03:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote  
> greymausg@mail.com wrote  
>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote  
>>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote

>>> Do you remember when the USSR sent its soldiers out to harvest potatoes?

>> I remember the Army here (.ie) helping with the harvest, 47,48.  
>> Well, remember not that well, but I remember the uniforms.  
>> It's standard procedure.

> Sure, when there isn't anyone else to do that work. In  
> the USSR's case, the farming people stopped working.

Like hell they did.

> Shmuel wrote a quote that describes the attitude.

Just because some fool claims something doesn't make it gospel.

>>>> > Even in Israel, the collectives don't work as hard as  
>>>> > the farmers who own their own farm and products.

>>>> Actually, they do. The significant variable is not individual versus

>>>> collective ownership, but how much of a surplus is available. Also  
>>>> note that an employee doesn't have the same incentives as an owner,  
>>>> whether that owner be an individual or a collective.

>>> The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long day;  
>>> OTOH the farmer who owned his own property, would work 12-15 or more  
>>> hours a day. The difference was personal ownership. I don't  
>>> remember the books' titles and they're still packed in boxes. Just  
>>> knowing human nature would give you a hint.

>> In the Soviet Union, the land was 'collectivised', organized into large  
>> estates, owned by the `Soviets'. `Soviet' was an old Russian word for the  
>> peasant groups that organized the work on the Noble-owned estates. So,  
>> the  
>> large amount of land was worked in `common', and the workers had  
>> `gardens'.  
>> quite amazingly, these seemed to produce almost as much as the open land  
>> :)

> Exactly. The piece that was their personal area was more productive  
> because  
> the owner had more self-interest in his stuff than the collectives'. The  
> outdoor market I saw when I was in Leningrad showed how the "illegal"  
> bartering systems was working. Quality and stuff were better and more  
> available than in the legal state-owned stores.

Just as true in the west.

>> the tragedy was that after the 1917 Revolution, the structure of land  
>> ownership was developing to a genuinely modern form, before the  
>> Communists imposed their system, largely by force. Incidentally,  
>> since 1989, foreigners have been operating these estates, AFAIK,  
>> real ownership is not allowed.

> It's still the same.

Like hell it is.

>> A German group are operating a large estate near Kalach, scene of one of  
>> the decisive battles of the war, its on the net somewhere, very  
>> impressive.  
>> Makes you think. One of the Tolstoy family visited the old family estate,  
>> with a view of revitalizing it. While he was there, evryone he met was  
>> drunk, even in the morning, lots of the men dead from alcohol poisoning  
>> by 40-50.

> Boredom and political corruption make an explosive combination.

Corse nothing like that ever happens in the US, eh ?

> not much has changed.

Another mindlessly silly pig ignorant lie.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 18:06:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> writes:

> In article <ic7gog33jn.fsf@home.home>, despen@verizon.net (Dan Espen)  
> writes:

>

>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>

>>> Dan Espen wrote:

>>>

>>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>>

>>>> > He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>>>

>>> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps  
>>> the head of the horse in front of you.

>>

>> Interesting. Google is coming up empty on the usage "rein check".

>

> Try "check rein", which is the more common term.

One word:

Definition of CHECKREIN

1: a short rein looped over a hook on the saddle of a harness to prevent a horse from lowering its head

2: a branch rein connecting the driving rein of one horse of a pair with the bit of the other

Doesn't fit the usage.

Otherwise, nada.

--

Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 18:09:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D120FF2DD9FE@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com...  
> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>> In <PM0004D10DB0CDEE1A@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012  
>> at 03:34 PM, jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:  
>>  
>>> Do you remember when the USSR sent its soldiers out to harvest  
>>> potatoes?  
>>  
>> How does that conflict with their system being state capitalism?  
>>  
>>> The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long  
>>> day;  
>>  
>> Are you talking about a real collective, owned by the workers,  
>  
> Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).  
>  
>> or a  
>> state run farm where the workers are coolies? Where and what year.  
>  
> The books were about Israel and its history.  
>  
>> Are  
>> you comparing them to single family farms in the same location and  
>> year?  
>  
> Yes.  
>  
>> Or are you saying, e.g., that there is a longer work day on a  
>> moshave than on a nearby kibbutz?  
>  
> No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer hours  
> than those who work under a collective org. A collective would have  
> to post work details and hours.

Not all of them do it like that.

> The first thing you hear is someone complaining  
> about someone else not having to do the messiest work.

Corse that never ever happens with non collectives, eh ?

> An owner just does the labor until the job is done.

That doesn't happen with the worst of them.

- > There are no hours scheduled by a manager
- > nor days off nor obligatory coffee breaks.

There aren't with plenty of the hippy communes either.

- >> If you're talking about soviet "collective" farms, the operative
- >> expression is "they pretend to pay us and we pretend to work."

- > That's an organization which has completely self-destructed. If
- > those people owned their own pieces of that farming area, they
- > would have more self-interest in success. For those people
- > who didn't care about doing the work, they would sell the land
- > to someone who did have an interest.

Plenty of them don't do that.

- > Bottom line is that the land is productive and not lying fallow
- > or worse.

There is plenty of land that lies fallow or worse in the west.

We are even stupid enough to pay people to do that at times.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 18:14:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D120DC1C02AF@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com...

> Dan Espen wrote:

>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>

>>> Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>>

>>>> > On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> >> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>> >>

>>>> >>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> >>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>>> >>>>>

>>>> >>>>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the

>>>> >>>>>>> Dem.

>>>> >>>>> leadership.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> >>>>> business?  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for  
>>> handouts.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> So, you think that answers "why"?  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> It doesn't.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> And it's stupid.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,  
>>>> >> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take  
>>>> >> exception.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >  
>>>> > When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're  
>>>> > talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you  
>>>> > want, but it's their opinions that count.  
>>>>  
>>>> Sorry, but when we say Democrat it does not mean just the leaders.  
>>>  
>>> And when I write "Democrat leadership", I do mean the leaders.  
>>  
>> And so you did. Sorry.  
>  
> OK. I was getting worried. ;-)  
>  
>>  
>> So that still leaves the insult about the 50% of America that votes for  
>> people that want to destroy small business. I guess you just think  
>> we're clueless.  
>  
> No. I think people want to believe their political leaders aren't nuts  
> especially having voted for them. I'd much rather have some sane  
> Democrats in power than those Reps whose agenda is to put women back  
> into their chattal roles. The D.C. Dems seem to have gotten stuck  
> in opening the gates to the barbarians rather than consider working  
> with a Republican. I find, even among regular people, that the most  
> avid Democrats don't have a desire or ability to manage their own money.  
> Oddly, this especially includes old people, too.  
>

>>  
>> Of course, no one in America wants to destroy small business.  
>> As nefarious as politicians are, there's just no future in destroying  
>> small business.  
>  
> But the D.C. politicians, who are mostly city slickers, don't understand  
> that. Obama hasn't had a single hour's exposure to that kind of work.  
> The people he's hired to run the government are even worse.

He's mostly hired those your clowns had.

> Some of EPA and OSHA edicts coming out of that  
> city w.r.t. farms are ridiculous. I'm very worried.

More fool you. Its just more Limbaugh lies that you  
are too stupid to even scrutinise like the mindlessly  
silly lie that anyone wants to destroy all small business.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 18:15:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Charlie Gibbs" <[cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid](mailto:cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid)> writes:

> In article <[icpq2819qp.fsf@home.home](mailto:icpq2819qp.fsf@home.home)>, [despen@verizon.net](mailto:despen@verizon.net) (Dan Espen)  
> writes:  
>  
>> Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:  
>>  
>>> Microsloth is going crazy trying to move the cr@p. They're not  
>>> only advertising the heck out of windoze 8, they've done product  
>>> placement to get the "surface" on just about every show on TV.  
>>  
>> I've seen those ads.  
>  
> And they're not just on TV - they're also part of the pre-movie ads  
> that you sit through at the local cinema.  
>  
>> Is it me or does the product look like crap?  
>  
> It's a continuation of the Fisher-Price look that started with XP.

They lost the rounding and although I like the blue, the juxtaposition  
of blue, purple, orange, and green is just ugly.

A screen full of mono-color squares with no background image,  
they've lost their minds.

The Fisher-Price look always bothered me too.

--  
Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 18:18:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D1215503BAE1@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com...  
> Peter Flass wrote:  
>> On 12/17/2012 10:34 AM, jmfbahciv wrote:  
>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>>  
>>>> > On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> >> ^^^?  
>>>> >>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the  
>>>> >>> Dem.  
>>>> >>> leadership.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> >> business?  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for  
>>> handouts.  
>>>>  
>>>> So, you think that answers "why"?  
>>>>  
>>>> It doesn't.  
>>>>  
>>>> And it's stupid.  
>>>>  
>>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,  
>>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.  
>>>>  
>>> Then you are able to think. I did write Democrat \_leadership\_. They've  
>>> gone completely nuts.  
>>>

>>  
>> We'll have to see what happens with the fiscal cliff. Boehner has  
>> apparently indicated he;s willing to accept \$1T in increased revenue,  
>> apparently including a 6% increase on people making over \$1M, in return  
>> for \$1T in cuts to entitlement programs. The last time we had something  
>> like this, the democrats refused to cut anything and the deal collapsed.

> And the Democrats will be seen to "win" which is  
> their goal. They're already campaigning for 2014.

Corse your clowns never ever do anything like that, eh ?

> This misnamed financial cliff of Jan 1st is exactly  
> what the Dems want. Huge increase in revenue  
> and really pissed off income tax payers.

It would STILL see the BOTTOM HALF pay no net federal income tax.

> They can take care of the so-called spending cuts with later legislation

Just how do you propose that they will get that thru the Congress ?

> or regualtions out of FDA, OSHA and other non-elected agencies.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.  
That's not even possible with spending cuts.

> The Dems can blame the Repulbicans and everyone  
> will the believe them (this is what truly amazes me).

It shouldn't, you do precisely the same thing yourself  
with the Dems.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 18:19:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D1214631949A@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com...

> Rod Speed wrote:

>>

>>

>> "jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
>> news:PM0004D10DD91EEFE5@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com...

>>> Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>>

>>>> > On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >> jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> >> ^^^^?  
>>>> >>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the  
>>>> >>> Dem.  
>>>> >>> leadership.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> >> business?  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for  
>>> handouts.  
>>>>  
>>>> So, you think that answers "why"?  
>>>>  
>>>> It doesn't.  
>>>>  
>>>> And it's stupid.  
>>>>  
>>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,  
>>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.  
>>>>  
>>> Then you are able to think. I did write Democrat \_leadership\_. They've  
>>> gone completely nuts.  
>>  
>> Corse nothing like that has ever happened with your leadership, eh ?

> Which is? If you are trying to imply that I like Republicans,  
> I've told before that you are very wrong.

You clearly mindlessly respew their lies.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Banks](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 18:33:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rod Speed wrote:

> Walter Banks <walter@bytecra.com> wrote  
>> Rod Speed wrote  
>>> Walter Banks ?walter@bytecra.com? wrote  
>

>>>> Real debate on productivity needs to happen. If private industry  
>>>> is so good how come a product can be made at a profit in China  
>>>> and shipped to the US for less than it can be manufactured in the US.  
>  
>>> The answer is obvious to anyone with even half a clue, wages.  
>  
>>>> Wages are often cited as the problem  
>  
>>> Compare the minimum wage in both countrys sometime.  
>  
>>>> but is it possible that the current expectations of GMROI a  
>>>> far higher number is a bigger problem for sustained growth.  
>  
>> Rod, do the math,  
>  
> Don't need to. The difference in the minimum wage between the  
> two countrys is all you need to explain your para now at the top.  
>  
>> "What is the wage needed in the US to compete  
>> with a product manufactured in China?"  
>  
> Basically something like the minimum wage  
> in china, which isnt even legal in the US.

Rod, do the math. There is a lot more than wage differences  
in product costs. The product manufactured in China has 3000  
miles of ocean, two borders and land shipping to destinations  
in the US not to mention differences in personal productivity.

It really isn't all about wages.

W..

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 18:33:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Patrick Scheible <kkt@zipcon.net> writes:

> Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> writes:  
>  
>> jmfbaheiv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> jmfbaheiv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>>  
>>>> > Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> >> jmfbaheiv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> >> ^^^^?  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps the head  
>>>> > of the horse in front of you.  
>>>>  
>>>> Interesting. Google is coming up empty on the usage "rein check".  
>>>>  
>>> Does it really?!?! It's been a common term all my life. How odd.  
>>  
>> The term "rain check" is very common. Sounds the same.  
>>  
>>>> >>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.  
>>>> >>> leadership.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> >> business?  
>>>> >>  
>>>> > BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.  
>>>> > Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax  
>>>> > forms/directions.  
>>>>  
>>>> Interesting definition of "tax the shit out of".  
>>>> Completely a fantasy.  
>>>>  
>>> If a small business owner's bank account has a \$300K or more balance at  
>>> any time of the year, there is a surtax charged. Note that this is  
>>>  
>>> No it isn't. There has never been a tax on assets and there never will be.  
>>>  
>>> half a house if you're a builder. Small farms will fall into that  
>>> \$250K category. Service businesses such as plumbing and electrical  
>>> could get that much income during the year. Brick and mortar  
>>>  
>>> A bank account balance is not income.  
>>> As I said before, if a business earns 250K there is no tax increase.  
>>> If a business earns 300K the additional tax is tiny.  
>>>  
>>>> businessess and restaurants will also be affected. Destroy small  
>>>> business and you'll end up with a fascist or communistic economy where  
>>>> decisions are made by politicians and not by the people who deal with  
>>>> the prlbems.  
>>>>  
>>>> Again, explain why any one, or any politician would want to destroy

>> small business. How would that get someone elected?  
>>  
>>>> And that still leaves you short about explaining why the Democratic  
>>>> leadership sees advantage in destroying small business.  
>>>  
>>> To increase the population's dependency on the Fed. government; note  
>>> that this does not include States' government.  
>>  
>> No one wants anyone to be dependent on the government.  
>> It doesn't help anyone, especially politicians.  
>>  
>>>> They want to raise revenue.  
>>>>  
>>> That's just plain BS. If they want to revenue, they leave the  
>>> businesses alone. Those are the entities which cut paychecks  
>>> which produces revenue. If the Dems were only trying to raise  
>>> revenue, I might consider their proposal but they're also  
>>> increasing spending by an amount far greater than the supposed  
>>> revenue from increasing taxes. They also seem to be avoiding  
>>> saying anything about death taxes which makes me suspect that  
>>> they're going to go back to the one million \$ threshold.  
>>> And that will definitely take out a lot small business.  
>>  
>> So you can increase revenue by not raising taxes.  
>> How do you think that works?  
>> How is it working now?  
>> How well has it worked for the last 12 years?  
>> How many small businesses were destroyed during the Clinton era?  
>  
> Why limit to the past 12 years? It hasn't worked particularly well  
> since the Reagan administration.

Here we have the TEA Party (Taxed Enough Already), when taxes are lower than ever (a lot more than 20 years).  
Ask any right winger and they'll deny, deny, deny.

They must all be smokers paying cigarette tax.

The studies all confirmed, taxing the wealthy does not cause an economic slow down, and can reduce deficits.

So we have the right wing predicting Communism and Nazism for a historically minor tax adjustment. How can something be Communist and Nazi at the same time? It doesn't matter. Just get as paranoid as possible and spew.

With all the talk about mental illness on TV, seeing what should be normal people talking so paranoid is concerning. Maybe there's

something in the environment. Beck and Rush can't be the only reason.

--  
Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 18:33:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:

> [\*] Defense, over one trillion a year[\*\*], needs to drop by 50%, but the  
> republican ideologues and lobby would throw a fit. Do we really need  
> 1500 new F-35's, or are new-build/upgraded F-16's/F-15's (at 10-50% the cost) sufficient  
> for any conceivable threat (in conjunction with the small number of F-22s  
> already built). There's no reason that a carrier cannot float for 75 years  
> instead of 50, so the carrier new-build program can slow down substantially  
> (even assuming we need a dozen CBGs floating around).

re:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#30> Search Google, 1960:s-style

for the fun of it ... web site that goes into more detail. Last year I was exchanging messages with somebody in vancouver BC and happened to quote several references on this website ... they then said they wanted to forward to somebody in Canadian gov. turn out it was the prime minister. more recently there are claims canada is backing out of F35.

<http://elpdefensenews.blogspot.com/>

their f35 reading list:

<http://elpdefensenews.blogspot.com/p/f-35-reading-list.html>

and recent: Canada still confused about acquisition costs for F-35

[http://elpdefensenews.blogspot.com/2012/12/canada-still-conf used-about-acquisition.html](http://elpdefensenews.blogspot.com/2012/12/canada-still-conf-used-about-acquisition.html)

winslow wheeler (and before you ask, we aren't related)

<http://nation.time.com/2012/12/03/if-more-money-buys-a-smaller-fleet-what-will-less-money-buy/>

<http://nation.time.com/2012/12/04/more-than-the-navys-number-of-carriers-could-be-sinking/>

<http://nation.time.com/2012/12/05/is-the-fleet-steaming-forward-or-backward/>

one of the recent things to come out is that naval war games dating back to the 80s ... our carriers being regularly sunk by diesel/electric submarines ... results that were suppressed in summaries/reports. assumption is that the carriers will pretty much have to be kept far away from any serious threat ... and the range of the carrier f35 is limited ... so that, in turn, makes them pretty much useless.

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:06:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/18/2012 9:39 AM, jmfba@civ wrote:

> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>> In <PM0004D10DB0CDEE1A@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012

>> at 03:34 PM, jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> said:

>>

>>> Do you remember when the USSR sent its soldiers out to harvest

>>> potatoes?

>>

>> How does that conflict with their system being state capitalism?

>>

>>> The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long

>>> day;

>>

>> Are you talking about a real collective, owned by the workers,

>

> Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).

>

>> or a

>> state run farm where the workers are coolies? Where and what year.

>

> The books were about Israel and its history.

>

>> Are

>> you comparing them to single family farms in the same location and

>> year?

>

> Yes.

>

>> Or are you saying, e.g., that there is a longer work day on a

>> moshave than on a nearby kibbutz?

>

> No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer hours

> than those who work under a collective org. A collective would have

> to post work details and hours. The first thing you hear is someone

> complaining about someone else not having to do the messiest work.

> An owner just does the labor until the job is done. There are no

> hours scheduled by a manager nor days off nor obligatory coffee

> breaks.

>

>>

>> If you're talking about soviet "collective" farms, the operative  
>> expression is "they pretend to pay us and we pretend to work."  
>>  
>  
> That's an organization which has completely self-destructed. If  
> those people owned their own pieces of that farming area, they  
> would have more self-interest in success. For those people  
> who didn't care about doing the work, they would sell the land  
> to someone who did have an interest.  
>  
> Bottom line is that the land is productive and not lying fallow  
> or worse.  
>

In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that. National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community - vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you could see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A few grew flowers and no one had a lawn.

--  
Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:17:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Walter Banks <[walter@bytecrafter.com](mailto:walter@bytecrafter.com)> wrote  
> Rod Speed wrote  
>> Walter Banks <[walter@bytecrafter.com](mailto:walter@bytecrafter.com)> wrote  
>>> Rod Speed wrote  
>>>> Walter Banks ?[walter@bytecrafter.com](mailto:walter@bytecrafter.com)? wrote

>>>> > Real debate on productivity needs to happen. If private industry  
>>>> > is so good how come a product can be made at a profit in China  
>>>> > and shipped to the US for less than it can be manufactured in the US.

>>>> The answer is obvious to anyone with even half a clue, wages.

>>>> > Wages are often cited as the problem

>>>> Compare the minimum wage in both countrys sometime.

>>>> > but is it possible that the current expectations of GMROI a  
>>>> > far higher number is a bigger problem for sustained growth.

>>> Rod, do the math,

>> Don't need to. The difference in the minimum wage between the  
>> two countrys is all you need to explain your para now at the top.

>>> "What is the wage needed in the US to compete  
>>> with a product manufactured in China?"

>> Basically something like the minimum wage  
>> in china, which isnt even legal in the US.

> Rod, do the math.

Don't need to. Operations like Apple have already done them, and have worked out that it's a lot cheaper to get their stuff made in China than in the US.

> There is a lot more than wage differences in product costs.

But it's the wage cost that completely dominates the cost of low cost consumer goods.

> The product manufactured in China has 3000 miles of  
> ocean, two borders and land shipping to destinations in the US

And when stuff like ipads and iphones moves in fully automated container loads, that's peanuts in the final retail price and you have to pay MUCH more to move them around the US if you made them in the US anyway.

> not to mention differences in personal productivity.

That's much better in china than in the US with the manufacture of low cost consumer goods on even the hours worked alone.

> It really isn't all about wages.

Never said it was, but it is whats the reason that low cost consumer goods are almost all made outside the US and the west in general now.

There are some obvious exceptions with movies and TV series and with OSs and even book authors etc, and most of the full commercialisation of almost all technology still happens in the US first, but the world has moved on with low cost consumer goods.

Most of the manufacture of aircraft and even cars is still done in the west, particularly if you include Japan in that, and obviously military hardware is still done in the west, but the manufacture of low cost consumer goods is long gone now, for a reason.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:17:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/18/2012 10:42 AM, Dan Espen wrote:

- >
- > So you can increase revenue by not raising taxes.
- > How do you think that works?
- > How is it working now?
- > How well has it worked for the last 12 years?
- > How many small businesses were destroyed during the Clinton era?

Revenue is up this year, I think 10%, but taxes are not. We don't have a revenue problem, we have a spending problem.

--  
Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:21:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <kao8lp\$24g\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/17/2012 at 06:15 PM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

- > Previously there were too many dependencies on CKD to make the
- > change easy.

FSVO previously; the RCI in VSAM made it clear that it wasn't exactly rocket science. For that matter, they had the example of page-formatted volumes in TSS/360 to learn from.

- > Now, however, VSAM has replaced ISAM,

ISAM effectively died in the 1970's, even though it continued to be supported much longer.

- > and there is a new load mudule format, so TTRs aren't imbeded in

> everything.

More importantly, it would have been possible to model the TTR on FBA storage.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to [spamtrap@library.lspace.org](mailto:spamtrap@library.lspace.org)

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:22:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Anne & Lynn Wheeler" <[lynn@garlic.com](mailto:lynn@garlic.com)> wrote in message  
[news:m3obhr1a7g.fsf@garlic.com](mailto:news:m3obhr1a7g.fsf@garlic.com)...

>

> scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:

>> [\*] Defense, over one trillion a year[\*\*], needs to drop by 50%, but the  
>> republican ideologues and lobby would throw a fit. Do we really need  
>> 1500 new F-35's, or are new-build/upgraded F-16's/F-15's (at 10-50%  
>> the cost) sufficient  
>> for any conceivable threat (in conjunction with the small number of  
>> F-22s  
>> already built). There's no reason that a carrier cannot float for  
>> 75 years  
>> instead of 50, so the carrier new-build program can slow down  
>> substantially  
>> (even assuming we need a dozen CBGs floating around).

>

> re:

> <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#30> Search Google, 1960:s-style

>

> for the fun of it ... web site that goes into more detail. Last year I  
> was exchanging messages with somebody in vancouver BC and happened to  
> quote several references on this website ... they then said they wanted  
> to forward to somebody in Canadian gov. turn out it was the prime  
> minister. more recently there are claims canada is backing out of  
> F35.

> <http://elpdefensenews.blogspot.com/>

>

> their f35 reading list:

> <http://elpdefensenews.blogspot.com/p/f-35-reading-list.html>

>

- > and recent: Canada still confused about acquisition costs for F-35
- > <http://elpdefensenews.blogspot.com/2012/12/canada-still-confused-about-acquisition.html>
- >
- > winslow wheeler (and before you ask, we aren't related)
- > <http://nation.time.com/2012/12/03/if-more-money-buys-a-smaller-fleet-what-will-less-money-buy/>
- > <http://nation.time.com/2012/12/04/more-than-the-navys-number-of-carriers-could-be-sinking/>
- > <http://nation.time.com/2012/12/05/is-the-fleet-steaming-forward-or-backward/>
  
- > one of the recent things to come out is that naval war games dating
- > back to the 80s ... our carriers being regularly sunk by diesel/electric
- > submarines ... results that were suppressed in summaries/reports.

And won't last any time at all when nukes are used.

- > assumption is that the carriers will pretty much
- > have to be kept far away from any serious threat ...

There won't ever be any serious threats like that again, nukes guarantee that.

They are very handy when you want to fuck over somewhere like Iraq tho.

- > and the range of the carrier f35 is limited ...
- > so that, in turn, makes them pretty much useless.

Nope, not if you just want to fuck over Iraq etc.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:22:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/18/2012 11:44 AM, Scott Lurndal wrote:

- > Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:
- >> On 12/17/2012 2:50 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
- >>> In <[PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com](mailto:PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com)>, on 12/17/2012
- >>> at 03:34 PM, [jmfbahciv](#) <[See.above@aol.com](mailto:See.above@aol.com)> said:
- >>>
- >>>> And gaining control of that employment will help further their agenda
- >>>> of socialism.
- >>>
- >>> You're nuts; the leadership of the Democratic party is wealthy and the
- >>> last thing they would want is socialism.
- >>>
- >>
- >> Advocates of big government want to remove any possible roadblocks. Big

>> business is hand-in-glove with big government ("military-industrial  
>> complex"). Small business is largely independent and mostly wants to be  
>> left alone without burdensome taxes or regulations  
>  
>> Government schools  
>> are fine, but Democrats are fighting tooth-and-nail to roadblock  
>> independent schools.'  
>  
> Where do you get this crap? Democrats are huge supporters of education  
> in all forms, including charter schools. They don't particularly want to  
> fund religions so they generally disfavor vouchers. Government (read: my) money  
> shouldn't be provided to any one (or any or all) religious organization(s).  
>

Vouchers aren't a subsidy to a religious group. They go to the parents.  
Where they choose to send their kids is there business. Most parents  
aren't stupid and will send their kids wherever they can get the best  
education.

>  
>> With Obamacare the government is making an attempt  
>> to "tame" churches.  
>  
> Churches should have zero role in government or public policy. If they  
> want to continue to be exempt from taxes, then they need to follow the  
> rules. All of them. Including letting their employees determine for themselves if  
> birth control is a suitable alternative (particularly if their employees  
> aren't part of the same 'faith').

Like I said.

--  
Pete

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:22:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:

> On 12/18/2012 10:42 AM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>  
>> So you can increase revenue by not raising taxes.  
>> How do you think that works?  
>> How is it working now?  
>> How well has it worked for the last 12 years?

>> How many small businesses were destroyed during the Clinton era?  
>  
> Revenue is up this year, I think 10%, but taxes are not. We don't  
> have a revenue problem, we have a spending problem.

Does repeating mantras make you feel better?

Cut military spending. Boeing doesn't need welfare.  
Oh, that's no good?

Looks like we need more taxes to keep subsidizing Boeing.

--  
Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) Metz](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:23:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <kao8oe\$24g\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/17/2012  
at 06:16 PM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

> The Antonine rule was pretty benign, maybe not as idyllic as  
> Gibbon portrays it, but it was remembered as a golden age.

By whom? It may have been a golden age for residents of Rome, but it  
certainly wasn't for the conquered provinces.

--  
Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:23:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Peter Flass" <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message  
news:kageen\$75m\$1@dont-email.me...  
> On 12/18/2012 9:39 AM, jmfbaiv wrote:  
>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>>> In <PM0004D10DB0CDEE1A@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012

>>> at 03:34 PM, jmfbahtiv <See.above@aol.com> said:  
>>>  
>>>> Do you remember when the USSR sent its soldiers out to harvest  
>>>> potatoes?  
>>>  
>>> How does that conflict with their system being state capitalism?  
>>>  
>>>> The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long  
>>>> day;  
>>>  
>>> Are you talking about a real collective, owned by the workers,  
>>  
>> Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).  
>>  
>>> or a  
>>> state run farm where the workers are coolies? Where and what year.  
>>  
>> The books were about Israel and its history.  
>>  
>>> Are  
>>> you comparing them to single family farms in the same location and  
>>> year?  
>>  
>> Yes.  
>>  
>>> Or are you saying, e.g., that there is a longer work day on a  
>>> moshave than on a nearby kibbutz?  
>>  
>> No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer hours  
>> than those who work under a collective org. A collective would have  
>> to post work details and hours. The first thing you hear is someone  
>> complaining about someone else not having to do the messiest work.  
>> An owner just does the labor until the job is done. There are no  
>> hours scheduled by a manager nor days off nor obligatory coffee  
>> breaks.  
>>  
>>>  
>>> If you're talking about soviet "collective" farms, the operative  
>>> expression is "they pretend to pay us and we pretend to work."  
>>>  
>>  
>> That's an organization which has completely self-destructed. If  
>> those people owned their own pieces of that farming area, they  
>> would have more self-interest in success. For those people  
>> who didn't care about doing the work, they would sell the land  
>> to someone who did have an interest.  
>>  
>> Bottom line is that the land is productive and not lying fallow

>> or worse.

> In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.

Putin isnt, neither was Yeltsin or Gorby either.

> National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community -  
> vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you could  
> see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A few grew  
> flowers and no one had a lawn.

They do now.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:27:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In <kapnfn\$hno\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/18/2012  
at 07:33 AM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

> With Obamacare the government is making an attempt  
> to "tame" churches.

Pravda.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:29:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/18/2012 12:05 PM, Scott Lurndal wrote:

>  
> The democrats are still working out of the mess that the bush tax cuts, financial dereg,  
> and two unnecessary wars have left the country in. Their goal is to  
> rein in the budget deficit without causing futher irreparable harm. It  
> is clear to anyone who looks at the US Budget that cuts in spending alone  
> cannot accomplish this[\*]. It's clear to anyone who looks that the tax rates  
> that are being proposed are significantly less than at any time prior to

- > 2002 - and the US hummed along just fine then, including small businesses,
- > family farms and all the other bugaboos the radical right like Barb keep
- > bitching about.

I have head it said several times by non-partisan sources that US business taxes are higher than most other developed countries.

....

>

- > Pure speculation on your part. You may be pissed off (but you always
- > seem to be, about pretty much everything from computers to politics), but
- > the vast majority of the country obviously doesn't think like you. You
- > may want to consider that you're the outlier here.
- >

You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular vote was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a sign that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.

--

Pete

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:53:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Peter Flass" <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote in message  
news:kaqf4h\$bps\$2@dont-email.me...

> On 12/18/2012 10:42 AM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>

- >> So you can increase revenue by not raising taxes.
- >> How do you think that works?
- >> How is it working now?
- >> How well has it worked for the last 12 years?
- >> How many small businesses were destroyed during the Clinton era?

> Revenue is up this year, I think 10%,

Pity about the immense deficit that remains, anyway.

And that's off the lowest revenues in a long time anyway.

> but taxes are not. We don't have a revenue problem,

Corse you do.

> we have a spending problem.

You have both and you are too stupid to even notice.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 19:58:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Peter Flass" <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> wrote in message  
news:kaqfqk\$h7k\$1@dont-email.me...

> On 12/18/2012 12:05 PM, Scott Lurndal wrote:

>>

>> The democrats are still working out of the mess that the bush tax  
>> cuts, financial dereg,  
>> and two unnecessary wars have left the country in. Their goal is to  
>> rein in the budget deficit without causing futher irreparable harm.  
>> It

>> is clear to anyone who looks at the US Budget that cuts in spending  
>> alone

>> cannot accomplish this[\*]. It's clear to anyone who looks that the  
>> tax rates

>> that are being proposed are significantly less than at any time prior  
>> to

>> 2002 - and the US hummed along just fine then, including small  
>> businesses,

>> family farms and all the other bugaboos the radical right like Barb  
>> keep

>> bitching about.

>

> I have head it said several times by non-partisan sources that US business  
> taxes are higher than most other developed countries.

It's a lie.

>> Pure speculation on your part. \_You\_ may be pissed off (but you always  
>> seem to be, about pretty much everything from computers to politics), but  
>> the vast majority of the country obviously doesn't think like you. You  
>> may want to consider that you're the outlier here.

> You're peddling the Obama line of BS.

Corse you never ever peddle any Repug line of bullshit, eh ?

> From what I read the popular vote was something like 51 percent to 47.

Still a majority.

> This isn't exactly a "vast majority"

He never said that that was a vast majority, fool.

> or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a sign that he'd  
> better tread pretty carefully.

Nope, he aint got any more terms, he  
can do what he likes with impunity.

> I blame Romney, and his campaign staff for the result.

I blame those actually stupid enough to put up  
a mormon bishop as a presidential candidate.

> He was a fairly unattractive candidate

So why was your lot stupid enough to have him ?

> and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the "BS  
> of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.

Corse Romney talked about heaps of issues, eh ?

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 20:05:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:

> On 12/18/2012 12:05 PM, Scott Lurndal wrote:

>>  
>> The democrats are still working out of the mess that the bush tax cuts, financial dereg,  
>> and two unnecessary wars have left the country in. Their goal is to  
>> rein in the budget deficit without causing futher irreparable harm. It  
>> is clear to anyone who looks at the US Budget that cuts in spending alone  
>> cannot accomplish this[\*]. It's clear to anyone who looks that the tax rates  
>> that are being proposed are significantly less than at any time prior to  
>> 2002 - and the US hummed along just fine then, including small businesses,  
>> family farms and all the other bugaboos the radical right like Barb keep  
>> bitching about.

>  
> I have head it said several times by non-partisan sources that US  
> business taxes are higher than most other developed countries.

> ...  
>>  
>> Pure speculation on your part. \_You\_ may be pissed off (but you always  
>> seem to be, about pretty much everything from computers to politics), but  
>> the vast majority of the country obviously doesn't think like you. You  
>> may want to consider that you're the outlier here.  
>>  
>  
> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular  
> vote was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a  
> sign that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his  
> campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate  
> and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the  
> "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.

To realistically blame Romney, you need to point to the other candidate that would have done better.

The entire Republican field was abysmal.

Who can forget how Cain made such a big splash.  
Only to be shown to be nuts.

The only statesman in the bunch was Huntsman who was automatically disqualified because he wasn't 100% crazy.

So, blame Romney if you want, but I blame the entire party.

Gingrich just made a comment about Hillary running in 2016:

"The Republican party is incapable of competing at that level,"

--  
Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 20:12:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:  
> I have head it said several times by non-partisan sources that US  
> business taxes are higher than most other developed countries.

re:  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#30> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#34> Search Google, 1960:s-style

there are multiple ways of doing the comparison, like top tax bracket versus effective corporate tax collected versus corporate taxes as percent of total tax collected versus corporate taxes as percent of GDP  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate\\_tax\\_in\\_the\\_United\\_States](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate_tax_in_the_United_States)

above has comparison of corporate tax collected by country sliced & diced in several ways.

part of the reason that congress is called most corrupt institution on earth is the amount of money they've received for 70,000+ page tax code with enormous loopholes. the current speaker of the house at the start of the last new congress ... made some statements about the most prized committee appointments were on committee dealing with the tax code ... because they became the recipients of the largest amounts of money from special interests. part of "Kabuki theater" is nobody in congress really wants the tax code fixed ... because that would stop the enormous amounts of money flowing into their pockets. there are claims that the largest business ROI is money spent on congress for tax loopholes .... the ROI can be thousands of times more than they have to pay for the loopholes. The appearance of conflict with strong opposing sides to the tax issues can enorously increase the funds flowing into congressional pockets.

Facebook compares corporate, individual tax burden in 1950, today  
<http://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/statements/2012/jul/03/facebook-posts/facebook-post-compares-corporate-individual-tax-burden/>

from above:

In 1950, corporations paid \$3 in taxes for every \$1 by a worker. Today, they pay 22 cents for every \$1 by a worker."

.... snip ...

Corporate Tax Rates, Then and Now  
<http://www.ritholtz.com/blog/2011/04/corporate-tax-rates-then-and-now/>

from above:

Corporate Taxes as a Percentage of Federal Revenue  
1955 . . . 27.3%  
2010 . . . 8.9%

Corporate Taxes as a Percentage of GDP  
1955 . . . 4.3%  
2010 . . . 1.3%

Individual Income/Payrolls as a Percentage of Federal Revenue

1955 . . . 58.0%

2010 . . . 81.5%

.... snip ...

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [hancock4](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 20:46:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 18, 1:33 pm, Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote:

- > Here we have the TEA Party (Taxed Enough Already), when taxes are
- > lower than ever (a lot more than 20 years).
- > Ask any right winger and they'll deny, deny, deny.

The TEA folks conveniently forget how much in government services they demand:

- bigger airports, modernized air traffic control. While partly paid from user fees, much of it is paid for by general tax dollars.
- bone dry streets, roads, and highways the moment a snowflake falls.
- many prisons, sophisticated law enforcement and homeland security, tracking of offenders after release (eg Megan's law).
- expanded military (note the calls for war after the Libya incident and desire to increase military spending).

- > With all the talk about mental illness on TV, seeing what should be
- > normal people talking so paranoid is concerning. Maybe there's
- > something in the environment. Beck and Rush can't be the only reason.

Talk radio is part of it. The 'right' hated Bill Clinton--he was a "Mike Stivic" baby boomer who represented--theoretically--all the things they were against, even though he was actually a centrist. But the big thing was that he was in power and they were out. The cycle is repeating for Obama. He's also a centrist, but they've got themselves so worked up and believe he's a far left extremist.

But this is nothing new. After the narrow loss in 1948, the 'right' hated Harry Truman--mostly because he was in and they were out. They felt a sense of entitlement to be in despite being in the minority. They invented the communist witchhunts as a way of subverting the Democrats, and managed to get a lot of good people out of office. Ironically, their smear campaign backfired and hit them too.

I wonder what would've happened to say an EAM employee in a large corporation if they were 'named' as a communist. My guess is that it probably wouldn't matter for a low level employee, but a manager might lose his job without any appeal or recourse.

Also, in the early 1960s when the corporate world was still rather conservative, I wonder how an entry-level programmer would be screened for a job--would a prospective employer only check out his technical credentials, or also check out his overall college experience for unapproved activities, church affiliation, etc?

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 20:53:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 18, 2:22 pm, Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote:

- > Cut military spending. Boeing doesn't need welfare.
- > Oh, that's no good?
- > Looks like we need more taxes to keep subsidizing Boeing.

There are a number of major military contractors, (not just Boeing), including their employees and subcontractors, who naturally have strong feelings against cutting defense spending. Likewise with communities hosting a military base.

But as you said, do we really want to keep subsidizing these organizations?

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [D.J.](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 20:55:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:48:27 +0000 (UTC), Alfred Falk <falk@arc.REMOVE.ab.ca> wrote:

- > Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in
- > news:20121215062624.a4f3a1b41c9d38a782332cb3@eircom.net:

>

>> On Fri, 14 Dec 2012 18:02:59 -0600

>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>>

>>> But I think consumer taste may be different in Europe/North America,

>>> NA has favored big top loaders with a spin cycle and separate

>>> hot/cold water inputs (no built-in heater) that drain to a standpipe.

>>> From our last discussion on this topic, it seemed like that did  
>>> \_not\_ describe the typical Euro or Oz washer.  
>>  
>> Correct, European washers went front loader a long time ago  
>> (1960s), hot and cold feed was normal up until a decade or so ago when  
>> cold only became normal. They do have spin cycles and drain to a  
>> standpipe.  
>  
> 25 years ago I had some British visitors that commented on the  
> primitiveness of my top-loading washer. I subsequently saw theirs and  
> understood.  
>  
> Top-loaders are approaching extinction in Canada. (Replaced old Kenmore  
> [Whirlpool] model with Korean-made front-loader 5 years ago.) I just had  
> a quick look at homedepot.com. There seems to be a lot higher proportion  
> of top-loaders than on on homedepot.ca.

I prefer top loaders and that is what I bought when I needed a clothes  
washer. Dish washer, came with my place, is a front loader.

..  
JimP.

--  
Brushing aside the thorns so I can see the stars.  
<http://www.linuxgazette.net/> Linux Gazette  
<http://www.drivein-jim.net/> Drive-In movie theaters  
<http://story.drivein-jim.net/> A story Feb, 2011

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [D.J.](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 21:02:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 17 Dec 2012 18:55:38 GMT, greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
> On 2012-12-17, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:  
>> On 12/17/2012 9:34 AM, jmfbahciv wrote:  
>>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:  
>>>> On Dec 16, 10:43 am, jmfbahciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>> >> Human nature being what it is, a workforce needs \_both\_ enforcers and  
>>>>> >> incentives. One or the other alone won't do it, despite ideological  
>>>>> >> claims.  
>>>>> >  
>>>>> > 4 for each worker?? That's paying 5 people to do one job and doesn't  
>>>>> > include any of the management.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> >>>> Where do you get "4 for each worker???"  
>>>>>

>>> Form the white paper I read about North Korea. It's packed away so  
>>> I can't give you the book's specs.  
>>  
>> One has to suspect that counts all the soldiers in the army vs. all  
>> the farmers, not soldiers actually standing there watching the  
>> farmers. Which, even though North Korea has an enormous army for its  
>> size, probably isn't that different a ratio from the US, if you count  
>> all our mercenaries, military contractors, and "contractors" as  
>> soldiers (North Korea hasn't discovered "outsourcing" yet).  
>>  
>  
> hey, maybe they would hire out surplus soldiers to the US..  
> Catch 22?,.. I believe crazier things are happening in Afganistan.

I was watching CNN this past weekend. They were talking to an escapee from North Korea. He said he was born in a prison camp; with factory, farmland, mines, stores. His parents had to win the right to marry and have a child. Anyone trying to escape was shot, if you didn't report someone who was plotting to escape, you were shot, etc. He didn't know life was different until someone was put in the camp who had lived in a North Korean city before the camp. So evidently, the military there just guarded the fence and shot people trying to escape.

..  
JimP.

--  
Brushing aside the thorns so I can see the stars.  
<http://www.linuxgazette.net/> Linux Gazette  
<http://www.drivein-jim.net/> Drive-In movie theaters  
<http://story.drivein-jim.net/> A story Feb, 2011

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 21:22:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Anne & Lynn Wheeler <[lynn@garlic.com](mailto:lynn@garlic.com)> writes:

> re:  
> <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#30> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
> <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#34> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
>  
> there are multiple ways of doing the comparison, like top tax bracket  
> versus effective corporate tax collected versus corporate taxes as  
> percent of total tax collected versus corporate taxes as percent of GDP  
> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate\\_tax\\_in\\_the\\_United\\_States](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate_tax_in_the_United_States)  
>  
> above has comparison of corporate tax collected by country sliced &  
> diced in several ways.

>  
> part of the reason that congress is called most corrupt institution on  
> earth is the amount of money they've received for 70,000+ page tax code  
> with enormous loopholes. the current speaker of the house at the start  
> of the last new congress ... made some statements about the most prized  
> committee appointments were on committee dealing with the tax code ...  
> because they became the recipients of the largest amounts of money from  
> special interests. part of "Kabuki theater" is nobody in congress really  
> wants the tax code fixed ... because that would stop the enormous  
> amounts of money flowing into their pockets. there are claims that the  
> largest business ROI is money spent on congress for tax loopholes  
> ... the ROI can be thousands of times more than they have to pay for the  
> loopholes. The appearance of conflict with strong opposing sides to the  
> tax issues can enorously increase the funds flowing into congressional  
> pockets.

Generally agreed, but there are plenty of members of Congress who resent the amount of money they must raise for their reelection campaigns. The money doesn't go to them personally, that would be illegal, it's just what they have to raise if they want to get reelected or elected to another office. Their opponents certainly will.

Maybe if conflict of interest rules were strengthened to prohibit voting on any issue that was championed by one of their donors.

Or if congressional districts were smaller, so campaigning could be done person to person instead of by expensive media ads.

-- Patrick

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 21:22:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JimP. <pongbill127@cableone.net> writes:

> On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:48:27 +0000 (UTC), Alfred Falk  
> <falk@arc.REMOVE.ab.ca> wrote:  
>> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in  
>> news:20121215062624.a4f3a1b41c9d38a782332cb3@eircom.net:  
>>  
>>> On Fri, 14 Dec 2012 18:02:59 -0600  
>>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> But I think consumer taste may be different in Europe/North America,  
>>>> NA has favored big top loaders with a spin cycle and separate  
>>>> hot/cold water inputs (no built-in heater) that drain to a standpipe.

>>>> From our last discussion on this topic, it seemed like that did  
>>>> \_not\_ describe the typical Euro or Oz washer.  
>>>  
>>> Correct, European washers went front loader a long time ago  
>>> (1960s), hot and cold feed was normal up until a decade or so ago when  
>>> cold only became normal. They do have spin cycles and drain to a  
>>> standpipe.  
>>  
>> 25 years ago I had some British visitors that commented on the  
>> primitiveness of my top-loading washer. I subsequently saw theirs and  
>> understood.  
>>  
>> Top-loaders are approaching extinction in Canada. (Replaced old Kenmore  
>> [Whirlpool] model with Korean-made front-loader 5 years ago.) I just had  
>> a quick look at homedepot.com. There seems to be a lot higher proportion  
>> of top-loaders than on on homedepot.ca.  
>  
> I prefer top loaders and that is what I bought when I needed a clothes  
> washer. Dish washer, came with my place, is a front loader.

I've never seen a top loader dishwasher :)

-- Patrick

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 21:31:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote in message  
news:c0b82855-0058-43f7-a8f3-86c39e4afc5b@b16g2000vbh.googlegroups.com...  
> On Dec 18, 1:33 pm, Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote:  
>  
>> Here we have the TEA Party (Taxed Enough Already), when taxes are  
>> lower than ever (a lot more than 20 years).  
>> Ask any right winger and they'll deny, deny, deny.  
>  
> The TEA folks conveniently forget how much in government services they  
> demand:  
> --bigger airports, modernized air traffic control. While partly paid  
> from user fees, much of it is paid for by general tax dollars.  
> --bone dry streets, roads, and highways the moment a snowflake falls.  
> --many prisons, sophisticated law enforcement and homeland security,  
> tracking of offenders after release (eg Megan's law).  
> --expanded military (note the calls for war after the Libya incident  
> and desire to increase military spending).  
>  
>

>> With all the talk about mental illness on TV, seeing what should be  
>> normal people talking so paranoid is concerning. Maybe there's  
>> something in the environment. Beck and Rush can't be the only reason.

>

> Talk radio is part of it. The 'right' hated Bill Clinton--he was a  
> "Mike Stivic" baby boomer who represented--theoretically--all the  
> things they were against, even though he was actually a centrist. But  
> the big thing was that he was in power and they were out. The cycle  
> is repeating for Obama. He's also a centrist, but they've got  
> themselves so worked up and believe he's a far left extremist.

>

> But this is nothing new. After the narrow loss in 1948, the 'right'  
> hated Harry Truman--mostly because he was in and they were out. They  
> felt a sense of entitlement to be in despite being in the minority.  
> They invented the communist witchhunts as a way of subverting the  
> Democrats, and managed to get a lot of good people out of office.  
> Ironically, their smear campaign backfired and hit them too.

>

> I wonder what would've happened to say an EAM employee in a large  
> corporation if they were 'named' as a communist. My guess is that it  
> probably wouldn't matter for a low level employee, but a manager might  
> lose his job without any appeal or recourse.

>

> Also, in the early 1960s when the corporate world was still rather  
> conservative, I wonder how an entry-level programmer would be screened  
> for a job--would a prospective employer only check out his technical  
> credentials, or also check out his overall college experience for  
> unapproved activities, church affiliation, etc?

The short story is that they were so desperately  
short of programmers that they weren't that stupid.

Even hippys had no problem getting a job and I know  
one who always rocked up at DECUS wearing what  
we call thongs and you barbarians call flip flops, right  
thru the winter.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 21:34:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"JimP." <pongbill127@cableone.net> wrote in message  
news:f7m1d85cg6chvpi4ifngmeueqs14ojp9u2@4ax.com...  
> On 17 Dec 2012 18:55:38 GMT, greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
>> On 2012-12-17, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:  
>>> On 12/17/2012 9:34 AM, jmfbahciv wrote:  
>>>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>>>> > On Dec 16, 10:43 am, jmfbahciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:  
>>>> >  
>>>> >>> Human nature being what it is, a workforce needs \_both\_ enforcers  
>>>> >>> and  
>>>> >>> incentives. One or the other alone won't do it, despite ideological  
>>>> >>> claims.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> 4 for each worker?? That's paying 5 people to do one job and doesn't  
>>>> >> include any of the management.  
>>>> >  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Where do you get "4 for each worker???"  
>>>>  
>>>> Form the white paper I read about North Korea. It's packed away so  
>>>> I can't give you the book's specs.  
>>>>  
>>> One has to suspect that counts all the soldiers in the army vs. all  
>>> the farmers, not soldiers actually standing there watching the  
>>> farmers. Which, even though North Korea has an enormous army for its  
>>> size, probably isn't that different a ratio from the US, if you count  
>>> all our mercenaries, military contractors, and "contractors" as  
>>> soldiers (North Korea hasn't discovered "outsourcing" yet).  
>>>  
>>  
>> hey, maybe they would hire out surplus soldiers to the US..  
>> Catch 22?,.. I believe crazier things are happening in Afganistan.  
>  
> I was watching CNN this past weekend. They were talking to an escapee  
> from North Korea. He said he was born in a prison camp; with factory,  
> famrland, mines, stores. His parents had to win the right to marry and  
> have a child. Ayone trying to escape was shot, if you didn't report  
> someone who was plotting to escape, you were shot, etc. He didn't know  
> life was different until someone was put in the camp who had lived in  
> a North Korean city before the camp. So evidently, the military there  
> just guarded the fence and shot people trying to escape.

The absolute vast bulk of north koreans arent in the prison camps.

Likely there are a higher percentage of non whites in prisons in the US than in north korea.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 21:37:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Patrick Scheible" <kkt@zipcon.net> wrote in message  
news:86licvkqd4.fsf@zipcon.net...

> Anne & Lynn Wheeler <lynn@garlic.com> writes:  
>  
>> re:  
>> <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#30> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
>> <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#34> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
>>  
>> there are multiple ways of doing the comparison, like top tax bracket  
>> versus effective corporate tax collected versus corporate taxes as  
>> percent of total tax collected versus corporate taxes as percent of GDP  
>> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate\\_tax\\_in\\_the\\_United\\_States](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate_tax_in_the_United_States)  
>>  
>> above has comparison of corporate tax collected by country sliced &  
>> diced in several ways.  
>>  
>> part of the reason that congress is called most corrupt institution on  
>> earth is the amount of money they've received for 70,000+ page tax code  
>> with enormous loopholes. the current speaker of the house at the start  
>> of the last new congress ... made some statements about the most prized  
>> committee appointments were on committee dealing with the tax code ...  
>> because they became the recipients of the largest amounts of money from  
>> special interests. part of "Kabuki theater" is nobody in congress really  
>> wants the tax code fixed ... because that would stop the enormous  
>> amounts of money flowing into their pockets. there are claims that the  
>> largest business ROI is money spent on congress for tax loopholes  
>> ... the ROI can be thousands of times more than they have to pay for the  
>> loopholes. The appearance of conflict with strong opposing sides to the  
>> tax issues can enorously increase the funds flowing into congressional  
>> pockets.  
>  
> Generally agreed, but there are plenty of members of Congress who resent  
> the amount of money they must raise for their reelection campaigns. The  
> money doesn't go to them personally, that would be illegal, it's just  
> what they have to raise if they want to get reelected or elected to  
> another office. Their opponents certainly will.

> Maybe if conflict of interest rules were strengthened to prohibit  
> voting on any issue that was championed by one of their donors.

That wouldn't work, it would be too easy to donate  
to someone who opposed your interests so they  
couldn't vote.

> Or if congressional districts were smaller,

That's the way the british do it. Doesn't make any significant difference.

> so campaigning could be done person to person

We're never going to see that again.

> instead of by expensive media ads.

That will always be the way its done now that its possible,  
essentially because most of the voters do watch the media.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 22:21:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Patrick Scheible <kkt@zipcon.net> writes:

> Generally agreed, but there are plenty of members of Congress who resent  
> the amount of money they must raise for their reelection campaigns. The  
> money doesn't go to them personally, that would be illegal, it's just  
> what they have to raise if they want to get reelected or elected to  
> another office. Their opponents certainly will.  
>  
> Maybe if conflict of interest rules were strengthened to prohibit voting  
> on any issue that was championed by one of their donors.  
>  
> Or if congressional districts were smaller, so campaigning could be done  
> person to person instead of by expensive media ads.

re:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#30> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#34> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#35> Search Google, 1960:s-style

or if they cared about re-ellection ... recent post over in facebook  
about "spending being the problem" ... that showed graph out to 2040  
(but w/o very fine break-out; aka current majority party in the house  
was responsible for part-d, and now complaining about the "entitlement"  
programs ... but by far the most egregious and enormous of the  
entitlement programs is the long term \$40T part-d unfunded mandate that  
they passed in 2003, which comes to swamp all other budget items):

CBO reported that tax revenues were decreased by \$6T last decade  
(compared to baseline, which had all federal debt retired by 2010) and  
spending increased by \$6T last decade (compared to baseline) for \$12T  
budget gap, momentum of which continues today. This happened after  
congress allowed fiscal responsibility act to expire in 2002 (required  
spending to match revenue). The first major legislation was medicare  
part-D in 2003 ... which comptroller general characterized as unfunded  
mandate that long term comes to be \$40T, totally swamping all other  
budget items (afterwards the comptroller general would include in

speeches that nobody in congress was capable of middle school arithmetic for medicare part-d and other things they were doing to the budget). CBS 60mins did segment on the behind the scenes that were done to get medicare part-d passed ... including inserting a sentence at the last minute that prevented competitive bidding and they also prevented CBO from distributing report on the effect of that sentence until after the vote. 60mins showed side-by-side drugs, part-d was three times the price of identical drugs from VA (that allows competitive bidding). 60mins also followed the 18people from the party in power responsible for getting part-d passed ... afterwards all had resigned and were on drug industry payrolls

.... snip ...

also there was recent item about colbert and super-pac money  
<http://www.colbertsuperpac.com/>

.... there is apparently a way to launder the money in such a way that it doesn't have to be reported.

<http://ivn.us/editors-blog/2012/12/18/colbert-super-pac-donates-over-700000-to-charity/>

from above:

The donation, originating from P.O. Box "Bite Me," highlights the ease with which extremely large sums of untraceable cash can change hands with little to no oversight or regulation.

.... snip ...

past posts mentioning part-d:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010c.html#0> Oldest Instruction Set still in daily use?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010c.html#3> Oldest Instruction Set still in daily use?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010c.html#9> Oldest Instruction Set still in daily use?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010f.html#34> The 2010 Census

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010f.html#35> The 2010 Census

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010f.html#46> not even sort of about The 2010 Census

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010o.html#66> They always think we don't understand

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010o.html#75> origin of 'fields'?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010o.html#78> origin of 'fields'?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011g.html#72> 77,000 federal workers paid more than governors

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#14> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#20> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#28> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#29> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#33> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#37> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011j.html#40> Advice from Richard P. Feynman

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011l.html#59> computer bootlaces

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011n.html#57> The Mortgage Crisis---Some Inside Views  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011o.html#42> Speed: Re: Soups  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011o.html#73> How Pursuit of Profits Kills Innovation and the U.S. Economy  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011p.html#136> Gingrich urged yes vote on controversial Medicare bill  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011p.html#137> The High Cost of Failing Artificial Hips  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012.html#6> We are on the brink of a historic decision [referring to defence cuts]  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012d.html#36> McCain calls for U.S.-led airstrikes in Syria  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#40> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#61> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#68> Interesting News Article  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#0> Interesting News Article  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#41> Lawmakers reworked financial portfolios after talks with Fed, Treasury officials  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#51> Is this Boyd's fundamental postulate, 'to improve our capacity for independent action'? thoughts please  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#63> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#81> Should the IBM approach be given a chance to fix the health care system?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#37> If all of the American earned dollars hidden in off shore accounts were uncovered and taxed do you think we would be able to close the deficit gap?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#74> Unthinkable, Predictable Disasters  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#79> Romney and Ryan's Phony Deficit-Reduction Plan  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#85> Singer Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#33> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#38> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#71> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#0> General Mills computer

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 22:34:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 18/12/2012 16:55, greymausg@mail.com wrote:

{snip}

>

> More recently than that, the natives on Diego Suarez in the Indian Ocean  
> claim that they were rounded up by the British and dumped, in Mauritius,  
> with no compensation, the Island being developed as a bomber base for the  
> Iraqi and Afghanistan Wars. Wikipedia seems to have no reference to that,  
> but a court case is being persued.

>  
>

The British and US Governments will spend more money not compensating the people than the value of the compensation. They will also have to suffer lots of bad publicity. There are good reasons for Compulsory Purchase system.

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 22:55:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-18, Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote:

> On 18/12/2012 16:55, greymausg@mail.com wrote:

> {snip}

>

>>

>> More recently than that, the natives on Diego Suarez in the Indian Ocean  
>> claim that they were rounded up by the British and dumped, in Mauritius,  
>> with no compensation, the Island being developed as a bomber base for the  
>> Iraqi and Afganistan Wars. Wikipedia seems to have no refence to that,  
>> but a court case is being persued.

>>

>>

> The British and US Governments will spend more money not compensating  
> the people than the value of the compensation. They will also have to  
> suffer lots of bad publicity. There are good reasons for Compulsory  
> Purchase system.

>

> Andrew Swallow

Actually, on rechecking, Wikipedia has a good bit on Diego Suarez (not the gardener)

--

maus

.

.

....

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2012 23:27:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/18/2012 2:23 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
> In <kao8oe\$24g\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/17/2012  
> at 06:16 PM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:  
>  
>> The Antonine rule was pretty benign, maybe not as idyllic as  
>> Gibbon portrays it, but it was remembered as a golden age.  
>  
> By whom? It may have been a golden age for residents of Rome, but it  
> certainly wasn't for the conquered provinces.  
>

It was better than what came before or after. There was peace, mostly.  
Trajan conquered Arabia but Hadrian gave it up. Until the Dacian wars  
there were no major conflicts, only border skirmishes. In most  
provinces it appeared the economy was flourishing. There was a major  
spurt of activity building amenities in the provinces - aqueducts,  
baths, amphitheatres, temples. Before you had Domitian, though he was  
just a blip between Nero and Nerva. After you got Caracalla and disaster.

--  
Pete

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 01:06:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <828.770T465T5743518@kltpzyxm.invalid>,  
"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:

> In article <icpq2819qp.fsf@home.home>, despen@verizon.net (Dan Espen)  
> writes:  
>  
>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> Microsloth is going crazy trying to move the cr@p. They're not  
>>> only advertising the heck out of windoze 8, they've done product  
>>> placement to get the "surface" on just about every show on TV.  
>>  
>> I've seen those ads.  
>  
> And they're not just on TV - they're also part of the pre-movie ads  
> that you sit through at the local cinema.  
>  
>> Is it me or does the product look like crap?  
>  
> It's a continuation of the Fisher-Price look that started with XP.

If a product has to be advertised that much, it's most likely crap from the getgo.

--

This space unintentionally left blank.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 01:10:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

> How can something be Communist  
> and Nazi at the same time?

The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

--

This space unintentionally left blank.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 01:11:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <kaqeen\$75m\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/18/2012 9:39 AM, jmfbaiv wrote:  
>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>>> In <PM0004D10DB0CDEE1A@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012  
>>> at 03:34 PM, jmfbaiv <See.above@aol.com> said:  
>>>  
>>>> Do you remember when the USSR sent its soldiers out to harvest  
>>>> potatoes?  
>>>  
>>> How does that conflict with their system being state capitalism?  
>>>  
>>>> The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long  
>>>> day;  
>>>  
>>> Are you talking about a real collective, owned by the workers,  
>>  
>> Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).  
>>

>>> or a  
>>> state run farm where the workers are coolies? Where and what year.  
>>  
>> The books were about Israel and its history.  
>>  
>>> Are  
>>> you comparing them to single family farms in the same location and  
>>> year?  
>>  
>> Yes.  
>>  
>>> Or are you saying, e.g., that there is a longer work day on a  
>>> moshave than on a nearby kibbutz?  
>>  
>> No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer hours  
>> than those who work under a collective org. A collective would have  
>> to post work details and hours. The first thing you hear is someone  
>> complaining about someone else not having to do the messiest work.  
>> An owner just does the labor until the job is done. There are no  
>> hours scheduled by a manager nor days off nor obligatory coffee  
>> breaks.  
>>  
>>>  
>>> If you're talking about soviet "collective" farms, the operative  
>>> expression is "they pretend to pay us and we pretend to work."  
>>>  
>>  
>> That's an organization which has completely self-destructed. If  
>> those people owned their own pieces of that farming area, they  
>> would have more self-interest in success. For those people  
>> who didn't care about doing the work, they would sell the land  
>> to someone who did have an interest.  
>>  
>> Bottom line is that the land is productive and not lying fallow  
>> or worse.  
>>  
>  
> In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.

The Communist killed off most of the people who weren't.

> National  
> Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community - vacation  
> homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you could see  
> that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A few grew  
> flowers and no one had a lawn.

--

This space unintentionally left blank.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 01:13:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Walter Bushell" <proto@panix.com> wrote in message  
news:proto-7F2434.20065318122012@news.panix.com...  
> In article <828.770T465T5743518@kltpzyxm.invalid>,  
> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:  
>  
>> In article <icpq2819qp.fsf@home.home>, despen@verizon.net (Dan Espen)  
>> writes:  
>>  
>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>  
>>>> Microsloth is going crazy trying to move the cr@p. They're not  
>>>> only advertising the heck out of windoze 8, they've done product  
>>>> placement to get the "surface" on just about every show on TV.  
>>>  
>>> I've seen those ads.  
>>  
>> And they're not just on TV - they're also part of the pre-movie ads  
>> that you sit through at the local cinema.  
>>  
>>> Is it me or does the product look like crap?  
>>  
>> It's a continuation of the Fisher-Price look that started with XP.  
>  
> If a product has to be advertised that much, it's most likely crap  
> from the getgo.

Mindlessly silly. When the main alternatives have been  
out there for quite a while now, it does make sense to  
advertise that you have got your alternative available  
now for those who don't keep up with whats available.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 01:46:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <ajc5saF9aqiU1@mid.individual.net>,  
"Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:  
  
> The absolute vast bulk of north koreans arent in the prison camps.

>  
> Likely there are a higher percentage of non whites in prisons in the US  
> than in north korea.

Nothing quite like being an apologist for the NK communist regime, huh?

--

May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina  
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods  
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 01:52:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:

> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>  
> wrote:  
>  
>> How can something be Communist  
>> and Nazi at the same time?  
>  
> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.

--

Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 02:16:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> wrote  
> Rod Speed <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote

>> The absolute vast bulk of north koreans arent in the prison camps.

>> Likely there are a higher percentage of non whites  
>> in prisons in the US than in north korea.

> Nothing quite like being an apologist for the NK communist regime, huh?

Just rubbing his nose in the basics.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 02:16:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <kapvst\$60q\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/18/2012  
at 08:51 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:

> I understand that "Pravda" means "truth" in Russian.... a sort of  
> 1984 type of name. I guess the Russians have a lot of trouble  
> with the concept.

Unless the names Pravda and Izvestia were meant to be ironic (-;

--  
Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 02:29:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <PM0004D12125421FEF@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/18/2012  
at 02:39 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

> You need to listen and read more.

I've listened and read enough to understand that the Democratic party  
has been sliding to the right for a long time and that it is dependent  
on corporate donations during campaign season.

> The only way to have everyone "equal"

Get your red herrings while they're fresh!

--  
Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 02:32:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <PM0004D120FF2DD9FE@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/18/2012  
at 02:39 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

> Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).

On a kibbutz the workers \*are\* the owners.

> No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer  
> hours than those who work under a collective org.

A partnership is a collective; does that mean that the partners slack  
off?

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 02:43:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <slrnkd17dv.3lp.greymausg@gmaus.org>, on 12/18/2012  
at 04:55 PM, greymausg@mail.com said:

> There was a joke once, that there was no truth in Pravda and no  
> News in Novosti (sp?).

I believe that it's no news in Pravda and no truth in Izvestia, but  
I've always assumed that it had the subtext that there was neither  
truth nor news in either. The quote dates from the soviet era.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 02:47:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <kaqtoh\$cuvs\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/18/2012  
at 06:27 PM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

> It was better than what came before or after. There was peace,  
> mostly.

FSVO peace. It depends on whose ox was gored.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 04:24:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/18/2012 1:06 PM, Peter Flass wrote:

> In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.  
> National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community -  
> vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you  
> could see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A  
> few grew flowers and no one had a lawn.  
>

IIRC, lawns are imitations of the groundkeeping of English (or at  
least European) estates. Wikipedia says they date from the 16th c.  
Do other cultures independently have the concept of a lawn being  
desirable?

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 04:45:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/18/2012 1:29 PM, Peter Flass wrote:

> I have head it said several times by non-partisan sources that US  
> business taxes are higher than most other developed countries.

But US personal taxes are lower than most other developed countries.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 04:59:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote  
> Peter Flass wrote

>> I have head it said several times by non-partisan sources that US  
>> business taxes are higher than most other developed countries.

> But US personal taxes are lower than most other developed countries.

And he lied about business taxes actually paid too.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 08:55:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-19, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:

>

>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>

>> wrote:

>>

>>> How can something be Communist

>>> and Nazi at the same time?

>>

>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

>

> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.

>

Not really. Just political rant. I was reading recently, an account of the war by a confirmed Nazi, he blamed the bomb attempt on Hitler on the old upper class in the Army. The `hard' Nazis were very antiestablishment. Take the salient points, state control, media control, evening out of educational rights, there was very little difference. In the War in Russia, there was less difference in pay or oppertunity in the German Army than the Russian, which was largely based on the old Czarist model, with the addition of political officers.

--

maus

.  
.  
....

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 09:55:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-19, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

> On 12/18/2012 1:06 PM, Peter Flass wrote:

>

>> In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.

>> National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community -

>> vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you

>> could see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A

>> few grew flowers and no one had a lawn.

>>

>

> IIRC, lawns are imitations of the groundkeeping of English (or at

> least European) estates. Wikipedia says they date from the 16th c.

> Do other cultures independently have the concept of a lawn being

> desirable?

>

Where grass lawns are possible, which for a lot of regions they are not, or very hard to maintain. Japanese equivalent would be those pebbly areas.

--

maus

.  
.  
....

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 09:55:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-18, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/18/2012 2:23 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>> In <kao8oe\$24g\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/17/2012

>> at 06:16 PM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

>>

>>> The Antonine rule was pretty benign, maybe not as idyllic as  
>>> Gibbon portrays it, but it was remembered as a golden age.  
>>  
>> By whom? It may have been a golden age for residents of Rome, but it  
>> certainly wasn't for the conquered provinces.  
>>  
>  
> It was better than what came before or after. There was peace, mostly.  
> Trajan conquered Arabia but Hadrian gave it up. Until the Dacian wars  
> there were no major conflicts, only border skirmishes. In most  
> provinces it appeared the economy was flourishing. There was a major  
> spurt of activity building amenities in the provinces - aqueducts,  
> baths, amphitheaters, temples. Before you had Domitian, though he was  
> just a blip between Nero and Nerva. After you got Caracalla and disaster.  
>

After the Republican Wars, their society was largely based on slavery,  
on an established elite. This concept has blighted the countries based  
on Roman ideas, Spain, Italy, and France, ever since. The idea of less rich  
men being the basis of society, which is a legace of Viking or Saxon society,  
is a better idea, even now, as shown by remarks here about small business.

--  
maus  
. .  
....

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 12:10:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <proto-A373F0.20114118122012@news.panix.com>, on 12/18/2012  
at 08:11 PM, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> said:

> The Communist killed off most of the people who weren't.

No; in fact, the soviets may have murdered more farmers than urban  
dwellers.

--  
Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not

reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 12:45:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/18/2012 8:06 PM, Walter Bushell wrote:  
> In article <828.770T465T5743518@kltpzyxm.invalid>,  
> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:  
>  
>> In article <icpq2819qp.fsf@home.home>, despen@verizon.net (Dan Espen)  
>> writes:  
>>  
>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>  
>>>> Microsloth is going crazy trying to move the cr@p. They're not  
>>>> only advertising the heck out of windoze 8, they've done product  
>>>> placement to get the "surface" on just about every show on TV.  
>>>  
>>> I've seen those ads.  
>>  
>> And they're not just on TV - they're also part of the pre-movie ads  
>> that you sit through at the local cinema.  
>>  
>>> Is it me or does the product look like crap?  
>>  
>> It's a continuation of the Fisher-Price look that started with XP.  
>  
> If a product has to be advertised that much, it's most likely crap  
> from the getgo.  
>

If a product comes from microsoft, it's most likely crap from the getgo.

--  
Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 12:46:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/18/2012 8:46 PM, Howard S Shubs wrote:  
> In article <ajc5saF9aqiU1@mid.individual.net>,  
> "Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:

>  
>> The absolute vast bulk of north koreans arent in the prison camps.  
>>  
>> Likely there are a higher percentage of non whites in prisons in the US  
>> than in north korea.  
>  
> Nothing quite like being an apologist for the NK communist regime, huh?  
>

I sure hope Roddy is getting paid to be a troll, otherwise he's wasting his time. This one did give me a laugh, though.

--  
Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 12:49:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/18/2012 8:52 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:  
>  
>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>  
>> wrote:  
>>  
>>> How can something be Communist  
>>> and Nazi at the same time?  
>>  
>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.  
>  
> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.  
>

Not so much. "Nazi", remember, is "National Socialist", as opposed to Communism, which is supposed to be international. Later on they de-emphasized the "socialist" part, but earlier they were the "German Workers Party."

Anyway, left or right, the farther you move on the spectrum the closer you get to the guys from the other side.

--  
Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

---

Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 13:31:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 19/12/2012 02:32, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> In <PM0004D120FF2DD9FE@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/18/2012

> at 02:39 PM, jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

>

>> Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).

>

> On a kibbutz the workers \*are\* the owners.

>

>> No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer

>> hours than those who work under a collective org.

>

> A partnership is a collective; does that mean that the partners slack

> off?

>

No, it means that on a collective farm the workers are serfs and like the land are owned by absentee landlords.

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 13:36:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 19/12/2012 01:52, Dan Espen wrote:

> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:

>

>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>

>> wrote:

>>

>>> How can something be Communist

>>> and Nazi at the same time?

>>

>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

>

> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.

>

Not really. Where do you think the National \*Socialist\* Party got many of its ideas?

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [scott](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 14:56:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular vote  
> was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a sign  
> that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his  
> campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate  
> and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the  
> "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.

The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).

If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the first  
time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes) as a  
"mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.

Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge margins,  
the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll never be able  
to leave.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [scott](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:02:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

> On 12/18/2012 12:05 PM, Scott Lurndal wrote:

>>  
>> The democrats are still working out of the mess that the bush tax cuts, financial dereg,  
>> and two unnecessary wars have left the country in. Their goal is to  
>> rein in the budget deficit without causing further irreparable harm. It  
>> is clear to anyone who looks at the US Budget that cuts in spending alone  
>> cannot accomplish this[\*]. It's clear to anyone who looks that the tax rates  
>> that are being proposed are significantly less than at any time prior to  
>> 2002 - and the US hummed along just fine then, including small businesses,  
>> family farms and all the other bugaboos the radical right like Barb keep  
>> bitching about.

>  
> I have head it said several times by non-partisan sources that US  
> business taxes are higher than most other developed countries.  
> ...

I've heard it said that Vampires die if exposed to sunlight.

How about some cites?

While the tax rate (34-35%) is one of the highest rates in the world, no corporation actually pays that. Deferred taxes on foreign income is one of the biggest reasons that companies actually pay low taxes. ( [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate\\_tax\\_in\\_the\\_United\\_States#Tax\\_deferral](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate_tax_in_the_United_States#Tax_deferral))

For example, google pays a 2.4% tax rate. ( <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2010-10-21/google-2-4-rate-shows-how-60-billion-u-s-revenue-lost-to-tax-loopholes.html>)

scott

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [scott](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:09:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:  
> In <kapnfn\$hno\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/18/2012  
> at 07:33 AM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:  
>  
>> With Obamacare the government is making an attempt  
>> to "tame" churches.  
>  
> Pravda.  
>

Westboro. Needs taming badly.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:19:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
> On 2012-12-18, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:  
>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
>>> On 2012-12-17, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:  
>>>> >> Which tends to ignore the land purchased in the 50s and 60s and  
>>>> >> the areas gained because they won the wars.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> /BAH  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Israeli Jews have legal title to about 5% of the land of even pre-1961  
>>>> > Israel.. Palestinian sources.

>>>> >  
>>>> >  
>>>>  
>>>> You use today's definition of legal title after a democratic process  
>>>> of ownership has been put into place. I really don't want  
>>>> to get into another discussion about this with you.  
>>>>  
>>>> /BAH  
>>>  
>>> Agreed!.  
>>  
>> Oh, thank you! :-)  
>>  
>> /BAH  
>  
> Actually to veer somewhat, the Falklands War was caused by a variant of this  
situation.  
> The Argentinians claim prior ownership, some have told me of  
Spanish-speaking settlers  
> of the Islands being forcibly removed to Argentina. Checking Wikipedia just  
now, the  
> situation is a lot more complex than that.  
>  
> More recently than that, the natives on Diego Suarez in the Indian Ocean  
> claim that they were rounded up by the British and dumped, in Mauritius,  
> with no compensation, the Island being developed as a bomber base for the  
> Iraqi and Afghanistan Wars. Wikipedia seems to have no reference to that,  
> but a court case is being pursued.

The US has the Indian tribes. The next really big world war will probably  
be over some islands in Asia which China wants. all of this is based  
on who's on first.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahtiv](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:19:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dave Garland wrote:

> On 12/18/2012 1:06 PM, Peter Flass wrote:

>  
>> In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.  
>> National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community -  
>> vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you  
>> could see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A  
>> few grew flowers and no one had a lawn.

>>  
>  
> IIRC, lawns are imitations of the groundkeeping of English (or at  
> least European) estates. Wikipedia says they date from the 16th c.  
> Do other cultures independently have the concept of a lawn being  
> desirable?

>  
I'm convinced that the lawn-mentality in the US is part of the middle class trying to prove they're rich. I think lawns are ugly because its a monoculture. I see all that work going into a useless area and wonder how the mentality got that way. I'm allowed to keep my leaves, hence my dirt. A "good" middle class person removes all leaves and keeps the grass green even in a drought.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:19:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dan Espen wrote:

> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>

>> Dan Espen wrote:

>>> scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:

>>>

>>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>> >On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> >> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>> >>

>>>> >>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> >>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>>> >>>> ^^^^?

>>>> >>>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.

>>>> >>>>> leadership.

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> >>>>> business?

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>>

>>>> >>>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for

>> handouts.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> So, you think that answers "why"?  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> It doesn't.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> And it's stupid.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,  
>>>> >> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >  
>>>> >When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're  
>>>> >talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you  
>>>> >want, but it's their opinions that count.  
>>>>  
>>>> What "we" kimosabe. The proper pronoun for you is "I".  
>>>>  
>>>> And nobody but a few loonies think that "democrats want to ban guns[\*]"  
>>>> or "democrats want to destroy small business" or on the other side that  
>>>> "republicans want to eat babies" or "republicans hate homosexuals".  
>>>>  
>>>> And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small  
>> business  
>>>> clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".  
>>>  
>>> If the business clears 250K, their taxes remain the same.  
>>  
>> How do you figure?  
>  
> Simple, the proposed tax is to increase taxes on income over  
> 250K. That's TAXABLE income by the way.  
>  
> Anyone making 250K or less, gets the Bush tax cut.

I don't believe the latter. I've become very used to not paying  
taxing on qualified dividends and low long term capital gains tax.  
For some strange reason, politicians, and their constituents, think  
that only rich people have those two income and investment sources.

I haven't heard a thing about death taxes.

> Anyone making 250K or more only pays the Clinton Era tax on  
> taxable income over 250K. They still get the Bush tax cut  
> on the first 250K.  
>  
>>> To get to 3k extra, they have to net something like 330K.

>>>

>> What figures are you using?

>

> The proposed increase is 4% on income over 250K.

> You need 80K of income to see 3K in taxes.

>

>  $80,000 \times .04 = 3.2K$ .

>

>  $250K \text{ plus } 80K = 330K$ .

So you are saying that the 33% tax rate will become 37% and the 35% rate will become 39%? That's not the figure I heard. Reporting has sucked big time w.r.t. this topic. The number I heard was in the 40s. AMT thresholds are lower even this year.

So all this fuss is for "only" \$3K increase. that won't solve any of the trillions that the Dems are spending each year (their bailout monies).

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:19:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Walter Bushell wrote:

> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>  
> wrote:

>

>> How can something be Communist

>> and Nazi at the same time?

>

> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

>

<GRIN> And most of those governments got their initial training by the Nazis. That area, and Viet Nam, were the odd ends of WWII which didn't get taken care of in 1945.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:19:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dan Espen wrote:

> jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>  
>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>> jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>>  
>>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> > jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >  
>>>> >> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> > ^^^^?  
>>>>  
>>>> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps the  
head  
>>>> of the horse in front of you.  
>>>  
>>> Interesting. Google is coming up empty on the usage "rein check".  
>>  
>> Does it really?!!!! It's been a common term all my life. How odd.  
>  
> The term "rain check" is very common. Sounds the same.

I completely fucked it up. Check rein...or checkrein. My apologies. :-)

>  
>>>> >> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.  
>>>> >> leadership.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> > business?  
>>>> >  
>>>> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.  
>>>> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax  
>>>> forms/directions.  
>>>  
>>> Interesting definition of "tax the shit out of".  
>>> Completely a fantasy.  
>>  
>> If a small business owner's bank account has a \$300K or more balance at  
>> any time of the year, there is a surtax charged. Note that this is  
>  
> No it isn't. There has never been a tax on assets and there never will be.  
>  
>> half a house if you're a builder. Small farms will fall into that  
>> \$250K category. Service businesses such as plumbing and electrical  
>> could get that much income during the year. Brick and mortar

- >
- > A bank account balance is not income.
- > As I said before, if a business earns 250K there is no tax increase.
- > If a business earns 300K the additional tax is tiny.
- >
- >> businesses and restaurants will also be affected. Destroy small
- >> business and you'll end up with a fascist or communistic economy where
- >> decisions are made by politicians and not by the people who deal with
- >> the problems.
- >
- > Again, explain why any one, or any politician would want to destroy
- > small business. How would that get someone elected?
- >
- >>> And that still leaves you short about explaining why the Democratic
- >>> leadership sees advantage in destroying small business.
- >>
- >> To increase the population's dependency on the Fed. government; note
- >> that this does not include States' government.
- >
- > No one wants anyone to be dependent on the government.
- > It doesn't help anyone, especially politicians.
- >
- >>> They want to raise revenue.
- >>>
- >> That's just plain BS. If they want to revenue, they leave the
- >> businesses alone. Those are the entities which cut paychecks
- >> which produces revenue. If the Dems were only trying to raise
- >> revenue, I might consider their proposal but they're also
- >> increasing spending by an amount far greater than the supposed
- >> revenue from increasing taxes. They also seem to be avoiding
- >> saying anything about death taxes which makes me suspect that
- >> they're going to go back to the one million \$ threshold.
- >> And that will definitely take out a lot small business.
- >
- > So you can increase revenue by not raising taxes.
- > How do you think that works?

If Congress gave businesses, big, medium and small, a tax base which wasn't going to change for the next two decades, they would start to use their cash to do more business. More business means more employees to do the work. More employees means more income taxes and social security funding gets sent to the Fed.

that's how the government always increases revenues. Increasing the rates just move the big money off shore and invested in a geographical area which makes it possible to have a profit.

> How is it working now?

It's not. There has not been fiscal stability (that means that both the population and businesses can plan) for the last 4-6 years.

> How well has it worked for the last 12 years?

> How many small businesses were destroyed during the Clinton era?

I don't know because his tax increase was retroactive but didn't have to be paid until after he left office.

/BAH

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:20:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peter Flass wrote:

> On 12/18/2012 9:39 AM, jmfbahciv wrote:

>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>>> In <PM0004D10DB0CDEE1A@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012

>>> at 03:34 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

>>>

>>>> Do you remember when the USSR sent its soldiers out to harvest

>>>> potatoes?

>>>

>>> How does that conflict with their system being state capitalism?

>>>

>>>> The books I read talked about the collectives not working a long

>>>> day;

>>>

>>> Are you talking about a real collective, owned by the workers,

>>

>> Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).

>>

>>> or a

>>> state run farm where the workers are coolies? Where and what year.

>>

>> The books were about Israel and its history.

>>

>>> Are

>>> you comparing them to single family farms in the same location and

>>> year?

>>

>> Yes.

>>

>>> Or are you saying, e.g., that there is a longer work day on a  
>>> moshave than on a nearby kibbutz?  
>>  
>> No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer hours  
>> than those who work under a collective org. A collective would have  
>> to post work details and hours. The first thing you hear is someone  
>> complaining about someone else not having to do the messiest work.  
>> An owner just does the labor until the job is done. There are no  
>> hours scheduled by a manager nor days off nor obligatory coffee  
>> breaks.  
>>  
>>>  
>>> If you're talking about soviet "collective" farms, the operative  
>>> expression is "they pretend to pay us and we pretend to work."  
>>>  
>>  
>> That's an organization which has completely self-destructed. If  
>> those people owned their own pieces of that farming area, they  
>> would have more self-interest in success. For those people  
>> who didn't care about doing the work, they would sell the land  
>> to someone who did have an interest.  
>>  
>> Bottom line is that the land is productive and not lying fallow  
>> or worse.  
>>  
>  
> In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that. National  
> Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community - vacation  
> homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you could see  
> that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A few grew  
> flowers and no one had a lawn.

Kewl. That's good to know.

/BAH

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:20:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dan Espen wrote:

> Walter Bushell <[proto@panix.com](mailto:proto@panix.com)> writes:  
>  
>> In article <[icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home](mailto:icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home)>, Dan Espen <[despen@verizon.net](mailto:despen@verizon.net)>  
>> wrote:  
>>  
>>> How can something be Communist

>>> and Nazi at the same time?  
>>  
>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.  
>  
> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.  
>  
How? A country begins with the Nazis influencing them. After the WWII ends and a decade, the USSR starts cutting deals with them. So now there's aspects of Russian-style communism. Now China is helping and North Korea. Mix them all together with an Islamic agenda to revert back to the politics and powers of the Assassins with nuclear bombing capabilities, and you've got an Earth-sized powder keg.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:20:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rod Speed wrote:

> "jmfbahciv" <[See.above@aol.com](mailto:See.above@aol.com)> wrote in message  
> news:PM0004D1214631949A@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com...  
>> Rod Speed wrote:  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "jmfbahciv" <[See.above@aol.com](mailto:See.above@aol.com)> wrote in message  
>>> news:PM0004D10DD91EEFE5@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com...  
>>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> > Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:  
>>>> >  
>>>> >> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >>> jmfbahciv <[See.above@aol.com](mailto:See.above@aol.com)> writes:  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> >>>> ^^^^?  
>>>> >>>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the  
>>>> >>>>> Dem.  
>>>> >>>>> leadership.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> >>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> >>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> >>>> >>> business?  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> >> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for

>>>> handouts.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > So, you think that answers "why"?  
>>>> >  
>>>> > It doesn't.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > And it's stupid.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,  
>>>> > and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.  
>>>> >  
>>>> Then you are able to think. I did write Democrat \_leadership\_. They've  
>>>> gone completely nuts.  
>>>>  
>>> Corse nothing like that has ever happened with your leadership, eh ?  
>  
>> Which is? If you are trying to imply that I like Republicans,  
>> I've told before that you are very wrong.  
>  
> You clearly mindlessly respew their lies.

Obviously, you're a Democrat lover and mindlessly parrot their spin bites.

/BAH

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:20:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dan Espen wrote:  
> Patrick Scheible <kkt@zipcon.net> writes:  
>  
>> Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> writes:  
>>  
>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>  
>>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> > jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >  
>>>> >> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> >>>> ^^^?  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps the

head

>>>> >> of the horse in front of you.

>>>> >

>>>> > Interesting. Google is coming up empty on the usage "rein check".

>>>>

>>>> Does it really?!!!! It's been a common term all my life. How odd.

>>>

>>> The term "rain check" is very common. Sounds the same.

>>>

>>>> >>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the Dem.

>>>> >>>> leadership.

>>>> >>>

>>>> >>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!

>>>> >>>

>>>> >>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small

>>>> >>> business?

>>>> >>>

>>>> >> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.

>>>> >> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax

>>>> >> forms/directions.

>>>> >

>>>> > Interesting definition of "tax the shit out of".

>>>> > Completely a fantasy.

>>>>

>>>> If a small business owner's bank account has a \$300K or more balance at  
>>>> any time of the year, there is a surtax charged. Note that this is

>>>

>>> No it isn't. There has never been a tax on assets and there never will  
be.

>>>

>>>> half a house if you're a builder. Small farms will fall into that

>>>> \$250K category. Service businesses such as plumbing and electrical

>>>> could get that much income during the year. Brick and mortar

>>>

>>> A bank account balance is not income.

>>> As I said before, if a business earns 250K there is no tax increase.

>>> If a business earns 300K the additional tax is tiny.

>>>

>>>> business and restaurants will also be affected. Destroy small

>>>> business and you'll end up with a fascist or communistic economy where

>>>> decisions are made by politicians and not by the people who deal with

>>>> the prlbems.

>>>

>>> Again, explain why any one, or any politician would want to destroy

>>> small business. How would that get someone elected?

>>>

>>>> > And that still leaves you short about explaining \_why\_ the Democratic

>>>> > leadership sees advantage in destroying small business.

>>>>

>>>> To increase the population's dependency on the Fed. government; note  
>>>> that this does not include States' government.

>>>

>>> No one wants anyone to be dependent on the government.  
>>> It doesn't help anyone, especially politicians.

>>>

>>>> > They want to raise revenue.

>>>> >

>>>> That's just plain BS. If they want to revenue, they leave the  
>>>> businesses alone. Those are the entities which cut paychecks  
>>>> which produces revenue. If the Dems were only trying to raise  
>>>> revenue, I might consider their proposal but they're also  
>>>> increasing spending by an amount far greater than the supposed  
>>>> revenue from increasing taxes. They also seem to be avoiding  
>>>> saying anything about death taxes which makes me suspect that  
>>>> they're going to go back to the one million \$ threshold.  
>>>> And that will definitely take out a lot small business.

>>>

>>> So you can increase revenue by not raising taxes.  
>>> How do you think that works?  
>>> How is it working now?  
>>> How well has it worked for the last 12 years?  
>>> How many small businesses were destroyed during the Clinton era?  
>>

>> Why limit to the past 12 years? It hasn't worked particularly well  
>> since the Reagan administration.

>

> Here we have the TEA Party (Taxed Enough Already), when taxes are  
> lower than ever (a lot more than 20 years).  
> Ask any right winger and they'll deny, deny, deny.

>

> They must all be smokers paying cigarette tax.

>

> The studies all confirmed, taxing the wealthy does not cause an  
> economic slow down, and can reduce deficits.

But it is NOT going to reduce any deficit. The increased spending  
which Obama is insisting be included won't even get paid with his  
proposed tax increase.

>

> So we have the right wing predicting Communism and Nazism for a  
> historically minor tax adjustment. How can something be Communist  
> and Nazi at the same time? It doesn't matter. Just get as paranoid  
> as possible and spew.

Any tax which the middle class and rich pay will NOT be available for  
investment, purchases, or what ever they would "spend" that money on.

Any tax increase will not pay off the debt nor will it cover the increased spending. You are talking as if this tax increase will solve Washington's spending problems and debt. It will not. Big government debts will cause bad things to happen such as no exports which means all businesses contract. Imports will increase which means that more money goes out of the country and all businesses will contract. Now, the large businesses have already compensated for this and are busy making money outside of the US. It's the small businesses who are going to go bankrupt. And there still is no farm bill so all of those small businesses are in flux and can't plan anything.

- >
- > With all the talk about mental illness on TV, seeing what should be
- > normal people talking so paranoid is concerning. Maybe there's
- > something in the environment. Beck and Rush can't be the only reason.

Now read the above. Is that madness? I call it simple common sense. Perhaps you should look for yours.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:20:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

lbmekon wrote:

- > On 18 Dec 2012 14:39:41 GMT, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:
- >
- >> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:
- >>> In <PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012
- >>> at 03:34 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:
- >>>
- >>>> And gaining control of that employment will help further their agenda
- >>>> of socialism.
- >>>
- >>> You're nuts; the leadership of the Democratic party is wealthy and the
- >>> last thing they would want is socialism.
- >>>
- >> You need to listen and read more. The only way to have everyone "equal"
- >
- > May read better as "everyone else".

Yea, you are correct. The pols never include themselves when they pass their "equality" laws. Thanks.

>  
> Carl Goldsworthy  
>  
>  
>> is to implement some form of socialism. Massachusetts' attempt for  
>> "equal" used a fascist technique. It's very common now for middle  
>> class types to demand "the government has to do something" rather  
>> than take the responsibility themselves. If the government, and thus,  
>> politicians, have the responsibility for all living conditions, then  
>> the only way to administer and control it is with the techniques  
>> used by communism and/or fascism.  
>>  
>> /BAH

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Banks](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:45:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jmfbaheciv wrote:

> Dan Espen wrote:  
>> jmfbaheciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:  
>>>>  
>>>> > Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>> >>  
>>>> > And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small  
>>>> business  
>>>> > clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".  
>>>>  
>>>> If the business clears 250K, their taxes remain the same.  
>>>  
>>> How do you figure?  
>>  
>> Simple, the proposed tax is to increase taxes on income over  
>> 250K. That's TAXABLE income by the way.  
>>  
>> Anyone making 250K or less, gets the Bush tax cut.  
>  
> I don't believe the latter. I've become very used to not paying  
> taxing on qualified dividends and low long term capital gains tax.  
> For some strange reason, politicians, and their constituents, think

- > that only rich people have those two income and investment sources.
- >
- > I haven't heard a thing about death taxes.
- >
- >> Anyone making 250K or more only pays the Clinton Era tax on
- >> taxable income over 250K. They still get the Bush tax cut
- >> on the first 250K.
- >>
- >>>> To get to 3k extra, they have to net something like 330K.
- >>>>
- >>> What figures are you using?
- >>
- >> The proposed increase is 4% on income over 250K.
- >> You need 80K of income to see 3K in taxes.
- >>
- >>  $80,000 \times .04 = 3.2K$ .
- >>
- >> 250K plus 80K = 330K.
- >
- > So you are saying that the 33% tax rate will become 37% and the 35%
- > rate will become 39%? That's not the figure I heard. Reporting
- > has sucked big time w.r.t. this topic. The number I heard was in the
- > 40s. AMT thresholds are lower even this year.
- >
- > So all this fuss is for "only" \$3K increase. that won't solve
- > any of the trillions that the Dems are spending each year
- > (their bailout monies).
- >

It is easy to say that there is too much spending or they are spending more than is being generated in taxes. It is a lot harder to identify how that should be changed.

What for example could be eliminated that won't eliminate jobs or create an environment that would be impossible to create jobs.

There is this crazy situation where the stock market is growing faster than the rate of job growth. Money in the market is not generating money from value added jobs as as fast as speculative value.

Isn't that a indirect definition of inflation.

W..

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:54:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 19, 10:20 am, jmfahciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:

> But it is NOT going to reduce any deficit. The increased spending  
> which Obama is insisting be included won't even get paid with his  
> proposed tax increase.

What about all the increased spending the Republicans want for thier  
pet programs? They act like they're budget cutters, but they spend  
worse than the Democrats. Their platform this election was to  
increase defense spending.

Ten years ago the US got involved in two ground wars, plus a massive  
internal Homeland Security effort. All of these cost serious money.  
The bills must be paid.

The Republicans say they must cut Amtrak and PBS to fix the deficit.  
That's ridiculous because those two take up a tiny miniscule amount of  
all federal funding and cutting them will solve nothing (except make  
traffic worse).

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:57:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 19 Dec 2012, Peter Flass wrote:

> Not so much. "Nazi", remember, is "National Socialist", as opposed to  
> Communism, which is supposed to be international. Later on they  
> de-emphasized the "socialist" part, but earlier they were the "German Workers  
> Party."

>  
I thought some of that was just convenience. They took over an existng  
party. And knowing the nazis, I can imagine them pretending to be  
something they weren't until the gullible jumped on board.

But someone pointed out the similarities between the nazis and the Russian  
communists, and I think that's fair. The secret police, the abuse of  
people, the "report your neighbors", things like that were identical, but  
that's not philosophy, it's implementation. I also remember reading, but  
can't remember if it was fiction or non-fiction, that it was relatively  
easy for gestapo types to find a place in the USSR, they just changed  
their label. Their "skillset" was desired, so the fact that they'd been  
nasty Germans before the war ended was overlooked.

Michael

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Stan Dandy Liver](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 16:01:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Tue, 18 Dec 2012 22:55:38 -0000, <greymausg@mail.com> wrote:

> On 2012-12-18, Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote:  
>> On 18/12/2012 16:55, greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
>> {snip}  
>>  
>>>  
>>> More recently than that, the natives on Diego Suarez in the Indian  
>>> Ocean  
>>> claim that they were rounded up by the British and dumped, in  
>>> Mauritius,  
>>> with no compensation, the Island being developed as a bomber base for  
>>> the  
>>> Iraqi and Afganistan Wars. Wikipedia seems to have no refence to that,  
>>> but a court case is being persued.  
>>>  
>>>  
>> The British and US Governments will spend more money not compensating  
>> the people than the value of the compensation. They will also have to  
>> suffer lots of bad publicity. There are good reasons for Compulsory  
>> Purchase system.  
>>  
>> Andrew Swallow  
>  
> Actually, on rechecking, Wikipedia has a good bit on Diego Suarez (not  
> the gardener)  
>  
>  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diego\\_Garcia](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diego_Garcia)

--  
[dash dash space newline 4line sig]

Money/Life question

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 16:15:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Dan Espen" <despen@verizon.net> wrote in message  
news:icpq26x0z0.fsf@home.home...

> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:

>

>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>  
>> wrote:

>>

>>> How can something be Communist

>>> and Nazi at the same time?

>>

>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

>

> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.

>

ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is different...  
the way both are practiced in the "real world" results in virtually the same  
type of totalitarian government.

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 16:20:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <4AkAs.208320\$la6.193548@fed08.iad>, scott@slp53.sl.home  
(Scott Lurndal) writes:

> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>

>> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular

>> vote was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast

>> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a

>> sign that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and

>> his campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive

>> candidate and the White House kept his campaign off balance all

>> summer with the "BS of the week" rather than actually talking

>> about any issues.

>

> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).

>

> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the

> first time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes)

> as a "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.

Heck, here in Canada, with our multi-party system, candidates routinely win (and claim a "mandate") with only 40% of the vote.

- > Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge
- > margins, the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll
- > never be able to leave.

If you guys manage to get rid of your right-wingers, could you come up here and tell us how to do it?

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 16:25:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <PM0004D13604C337BD@ac81665e.ipt.aol.com>, See.above@aol.com (jmfbahciv) writes:

> lbmekon wrote:

>

>> On 18 Dec 2012 14:39:41 GMT, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

>>

>>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>>>

>>>> In <PM0004D10DD5F2729B@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/17/2012

>>>> at 03:34 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

>>>>

>>>> > And gaining control of that employment will help further their

>>>> > agenda of socialism.

>>>>

>>>> You're nuts; the leadership of the Democratic party is wealthy

>>>> and the last thing they would want is socialism.

>>>

>>> You need to listen and read more. The only way to have everyone

>>> "equal"

>>

>> May read better as "everyone else".

>

> Yea, you are correct. The pols never include themselves when they

> pass their "equality" laws. Thanks.

"...but some are more equal than others." -- Animal Farm

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 16:48:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-19, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

> In <kaqtoh\$scuv\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/18/2012

> at 06:27 PM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

>

>> It was better than what came before or after. There was peace,

>> mostly.

>

> FSVO peace. It depends on whose ox was gored.

>

Quote:

We made a desert and called it peace (or similiar)  
froget from whom.

--

maus

.

.

....

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 16:48:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-19, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

> In <slrnkd17dv.3lp.greymausg@gmail.org>, on 12/18/2012

> at 04:55 PM, greymausg@mail.com said:

>

>> There was a joke once, that there was no truth in Pravda and no

>> News in Novosti (sp?).

>

---

> I believe that it's no news in Pravda and no truth in Izvestia, but  
> I've always assumed that it had the subtext that there was neither  
> truth nor news in either. The quote dates from the soviet era.  
>

corrected.

--  
maus  
. . .  
....

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 17:01:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

> Dan Espen wrote:  
>> jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:  
>>>>  
>>>> > Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>> >>On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >>>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >>>>> jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> >>>>>> ^^^^?  
>>>> >>>>>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the  
> Dem.  
>>>> >>>>>>> leadership.  
>>>> >>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >>>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> >>>>>>>>>> business?  
>>>> >>>>>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for  
>>> handouts.  
>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>> So, you think that answers "why"?

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>>> It doesn't.

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>>> And it's stupid.

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,  
>>>> >>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>

>>>> >>When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're  
>>>> >>talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you  
>>>> >>>want, but it's their opinions that count.

>>>> >

>>>> > What "we" kimosabe. The proper pronoun for you is "I".

>>>> >

>>>> > And nobody but a few loonies think that "democrats want to ban guns[\*]"  
>>>> > or "democrats want to destroy small business" or on the other side that  
>>>> > "republicans want to eat babies" or "republicans hate homosexuals".

>>>> >

>>>> > And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small  
>>> business  
>>>> > clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".

>>>>

>>>> If the business clears 250K, their taxes remain the same.

>>>

>>> How do you figure?

>>

>> Simple, the proposed tax is to increase taxes on income over  
>> 250K. That's TAXABLE income by the way.

>>

>> Anyone making 250K or less, gets the Bush tax cut.

>

> I don't believe the latter. I've become very used to not paying  
> taxing on qualified dividends and low long term capital gains tax.  
> For some strange reason, politicians, and their constituents, think  
> that only rich people have those two income and investment sources.

>

> I haven't heard a thing about death taxes.

>

>

>> Anyone making 250K or more only pays the Clinton Era tax on  
>> taxable income over 250K. They still get the Bush tax cut  
>> on the first 250K.

>>

>>>> To get to 3k extra, they have to net something like 330K.

>>>>

>>> What figures are you using?

>>  
>> The proposed increase is 4% on income over 250K.  
>> You need 80K of income to see 3K in taxes.  
>>  
>> 80,000 x .04 = 3.2K.  
>>  
>> 250K plus 80K = 330K.  
>  
> So you are saying that the 33% tax rate will become 37% and the 35%  
> rate will become 39%? That's not the figure I heard. Reporting  
> has sucked big time w.r.t. this topic. The number I heard was in the  
> 40s. AMT thresholds are lower even this year.  
>  
> So all this fuss is for "only" \$3K increase. that won't solve  
> any of the trillions that the Dems are spending each year  
> (their bailout monies).

The 4% increases in the top rates is the figure I've heard too. You need better news sources. However, it's just what Obama is negotiating for, and remains to be seen exactly what will happen. Yes, it's a small increase, and I can't believe both sides are making such a big deal about it. Its passage would be mostly symbolic. We should be repealing the Bush tax cuts for everybody.

It's normal and healthy to have deficits during a recession. Tax receipts go down and spending on social programs goes up. That extra money helps the economy recover. What's not normal or healthy is to have deficits during boom times. Boom times are not when there should be tax cuts, or when large additional social benefits should be added. The debt problem is the fault of the 2002-2003 congress and president. They're Republicans, Barb, Republicans. They repealed the Clinton-era restraints on unbalanced budgets. They passed a large tax cut without making corresponding spending cuts. They passed the Medicare Part D benefit. And they took us into a war which we didn't need to fight and has got us nothing. Result was an overheated economy that ended in a big crash instead of a mild correction, and big increases in the debt besides.

-- Patrick

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 17:19:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/19/2012 9:56 AM, Scott Lurndal wrote:  
> Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:  
>

>> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular vote  
>> was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
>> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a sign  
>> that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his  
>> campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate  
>> and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the  
>> "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.  
>  
> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).

The last is no surprise.

>  
> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the first  
> time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes) as a  
> "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.

I didn't agree with Bush on that either. What recent elections show is  
that the people want a compromise.

>  
> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge margins,  
> the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll never be able  
> to leave.  
>

That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
trying to stir up class warfare, and now he's going to have to back out  
of it.

--  
Pete

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 17:26:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

re:  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#30> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#34> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#35> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#36> Search Google, 1960:s-style

Stealth Target of Defense Spending Cuts: America's Highly Effective  
Socialized Medicine Provider, the VA System, and Military Benefits

Generally

<http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2012/12/stealth-target-of-defense-spending-cuts-americas-highly-effective-socialized-medicine-provider-the-va-system-and-military-benefits-generally.html>

so is this another benefit for the drug industry?

aka, medicare part-d was one of the first major acts passed after congress allowed fiscal responsibility act to expire in 2002 (required that spending match tax revenue).

cbs 60mins segment was something of expose of what was done getting the part-d act through ... primarily the efforts of 18 specific people that were members of the majority party at the time ... they insert a one line sentence at the last minute that precludes competitive bidding. then 60mins lines up identical drugs under part-d (no competitive bidding) and from the VA (that has competitive bidding) .... with the drugs under part-d are three times the cost as the identical cost under VA. the "18" also prevent CBO from distributing report on the effect of the one sentence change (no competitive bidding) from being distributed until after the bill passes. After the bill passes, all 18 resign and are on drug industry payrolls.

comptroller general characterizes part-d as long-term, \$40T unfunded mandate that comes to swamp all other budget items. the current graphs about what happens to entitlement programs out through 2040 ... tend show everything all lumped together ... as justification for privatizing entitlement programs ... when the biggest component happens to be part-d. I've frequently commented that in the middle of last decade the comptroller general includes in speeches that nobody in congress was capable of middle school arithmetic (for what they were doing to the budget, including part-d).

CBO came out with report that last decade, the tax revenue was reduced by \$6T (compared to baseline which had all federal debt retired by 2010) and increased spending by \$6T for a \$12T budget gap (compared to baseline which would have eliminated all federal debt) ... again comptroller general making references that nobody in congress was capable of middle school arithmetic.

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:08:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Andrew Swallow" <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote in message news:YOCdnSWNN-xbXEzNnZ2dnUVZ8tKdnZ2d@bt.com...  
> On 19/12/2012 02:32, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>> In <PM0004D120FF2DD9FE@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/18/2012  
>> at 02:39 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:  
>>  
>>> Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).  
>>  
>> On a kibbutz the workers \*are\* the owners.  
>>  
>>> No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer  
>>> hours than those who work under a collective org.  
>>  
>> A partnership is a collective; does that mean that the partners slack  
>> off?  
  
> No, it means that on a collective farm the workers are serfs

Like hell they are when they decide how things are done.

> and like the land are owned by absentee landlords.

Even sillier. There are no landlords, absentee or otherwise with a kibbutz.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:09:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Andrew Swallow" <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote in message news:YOCdnSSNN-wkX0zNnZ2dnUVZ8tKdnZ2d@bt.com...  
> On 19/12/2012 01:52, Dan Espen wrote:  
>> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>  
>>> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> How can something be Communist  
>>>> and Nazi at the same time?  
>>>  
>>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.  
>>  
>> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.  
  
> Not really.

Yes, really.

> Where do you think the National \*Socialist\* Party got many of its ideas?

From Bismarck.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:13:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 19/12/2012 14:56, Scott Lurndal wrote:

> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>

>> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular vote  
>> was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
>> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a sign  
>> that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his  
>> campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate  
>> and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the  
>> "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.

>

> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).

>

> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the first  
> time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes) as a  
> "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.

>

> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge margins,  
> the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll never be able  
> to leave.

>

'tax the rich' is a code phrase that means introduce maximum  
exploitation of the workers in 2 years time.

Obamacare will be as expensive as health care by insurance company, you  
will just have to pay for it via extra taxes.

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:13:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Scott Lurndal" <scott@slp53.sl.home> wrote in message  
news:4AkAs.208320\$la6.193548@fed08.iad...

> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>  
>> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular vote  
>> was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
>> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a sign  
>> that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his  
>> campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate  
>> and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the  
>> "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.

> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).

Yes.

> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the first  
> time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes) as a  
> "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.

Yes.

> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge margins,  
> the  
> republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll never be able to  
> leave.

I doubt it. Their real problem is actually finding candidates that appeal to the voters. As someone else said, they haven't been able to find anything other than rabid loons. The voters hardly ever vote on tax rates, much more often on personalities, no matter how stupid that is.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:15:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Scott Lurndal" <scott@slp53.sl.home> wrote in message  
news:8GkAs.208321\$1a6.89884@fed08.iad...

> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>> On 12/18/2012 12:05 PM, Scott Lurndal wrote:  
>>>  
>>> The democrats are still working out of the mess that the bush tax  
>>> cuts, financial dereg,  
>>> and two unnecessary wars have left the country in. Their goal is to  
>>> rein in the budget deficit without causing further irreparable harm.  
>>> It  
>>> is clear to anyone who looks at the US Budget that cuts in spending  
>>> alone

>>> cannot accomplish this[\*]. It's clear to anyone who looks that the  
>>> tax rates  
>>> that are being proposed are significantly less than at any time prior  
>>> to  
>>> 2002 - and the US hummed along just fine then, including small  
>>> businesses,  
>>> family farms and all the other bugaboos the radical right like Barb  
>>> keep  
>>> bitching about.

>> I have head it said several times by non-partisan sources that US  
>> business taxes are higher than most other developed countries.

>> ...

>

> I've heard it said that Vampires die if exposed to sunlight.

>

> How about some cites?

> While the tax rate (34-35%) is one of the highest rates in the world,

It isnt actually.

> no corporation actually pays that. Deferred taxes on foreign income  
> is one of the biggest reasons that companies actually pay low taxes.  
> ( [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate\\_tax\\_in\\_the\\_United\\_States#Tax\\_deferral](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate_tax_in_the_United_States#Tax_deferral))

> For example, google pays a 2.4% tax rate.  
> ( <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2010-10-21/google-2-4-rate-shows-how-60-billion-u-s-revenue-lost-to-tax-loopholes.html>)

That happens in the other countrys too and is a hell of a problem in the EU just because of the way the EU is structured and that's where most of the alternative countrys that matter are.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:15:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 19/12/2012 15:19, jmfbaheiv wrote:

> Dave Garland wrote:

>> On 12/18/2012 1:06 PM, Peter Flass wrote:

>>

>>> In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.  
>>> National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community -  
>>> vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you  
>>> could see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A  
>>> few grew flowers and no one had a lawn.

>>>  
>>  
>> IIRC, lawns are imitations of the groundkeeping of English (or at  
>> least European) estates. Wikipedia says they date from the 16th c.  
>> Do other cultures independently have the concept of a lawn being  
>> desirable?  
>>  
> I'm convinced that the lawn-mentality in the US is part of the  
> middle class trying to prove they're rich. I think lawns are  
> ugly because its a monoculture. I see all that work going into  
> a useless area and wonder how the mentality got that way. I'm  
> allowed to keep my leaves, hence my dirt. A "good" middle class  
> person removes all leaves and keeps the grass green even in  
> a drought.  
>  
> /BAH  
>  
The English have lawns, so the Middle Class are just pretending to be  
British.

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:23:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 19/12/2012 15:45, Walter Banks wrote:

>  
>  
> jmfba@civ wrote:  
>  
>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>> jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>>  
>>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> > scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:  
>>>> >  
>>>> >> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >> And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small  
>>>> business  
>>>> >> clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".  
>>>> >  
>>>> > If the business clears 250K, their taxes remain the same.  
>>>>  
>>>> How do you figure?  
>>>>

>>> Simple, the proposed tax is to increase taxes on income over  
>>> 250K. That's TAXABLE income by the way.  
>>>  
>>> Anyone making 250K or less, gets the Bush tax cut.  
>>  
>> I don't believe the latter. I've become very used to not paying  
>> taxing on qualified dividends and low long term capital gains tax.  
>> For some strange reason, politicians, and their constituents, think  
>> that only rich people have those two income and investment sources.  
>>  
>> I haven't heard a thing about death taxes.  
>>  
>>> Anyone making 250K or more only pays the Clinton Era tax on  
>>> taxable income over 250K. They still get the Bush tax cut  
>>> on the first 250K.  
>>>  
>>>> > To get to 3k extra, they have to net something like 330K.  
>>>> >  
>>>> What figures are you using?  
>>>  
>>> The proposed increase is 4% on income over 250K.  
>>> You need 80K of income to see 3K in taxes.  
>>>  
>>>  $80,000 \times .04 = 3.2K$ .  
>>>  
>>> 250K plus 80K = 330K.  
>>  
>> So you are saying that the 33% tax rate will become 37% and the 35%  
>> rate will become 39%? That's not the figure I heard. Reporting  
>> has sucked big time w.r.t. this topic. The number I heard was in the  
>> 40s. AMT thresholds are lower even this year.  
>>  
>> So all this fuss is for "only" \$3K increase. that won't solve  
>> any of the trillions that the Dems are spending each year  
>> (their bailout monies).  
>>  
>  
> It is easy to say that there is too much spending or they are  
> spending more than is being generated in taxes. It is a  
> lot harder to identify how that should be changed.  
>  
> What for example could be eliminated that won't eliminate  
> jobs or create an environment that would be impossible to  
> create jobs.  
>  
> There is this crazy situation where the stock market is  
> growing faster than the rate of job growth. Money in the  
> market is not generating money from value added jobs

> as as fast as speculative value.  
>  
> Isn't that a indirect definition of inflation.  
>  
> w..  
>  
>

The stock market is being drive by the money the government is giving to the banks. The banks have to put the reserves (money) they do not lend somewhere. Also much of the money they do lend ends up on the stock market.

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:23:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D1360DF15635@ac81665e.ipt.aol.com...  
> greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
>> On 2012-12-18, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:  
>>> greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
>>>> On 2012-12-17, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:  
>>>> >>> Which tends to ignore the land purchased in the 50s and 60s and  
>>>> >>> the areas gained becuae they won the wars.  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >>> /BAH  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Israeli Jews have legal title to about 5% of the land of even  
>>>> >> pre-1961  
>>>> >> Israel.. Palestinian sources.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >  
>>>> > You use today's defintion of legal title after a democratic process  
>>>> > of ownership has been put into place. I really doon't wnat  
>>>> > to get into another discussion about this with you.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > /BAH  
>>>>  
>>>> Agreed!.  
>>>  
>>> Oh, thank you! :-)  
>>>  
>>> /BAH  
>>>  
>>> Actually to veer somewhat, the Falklands War was caused by a variant of

>> this  
> situation.  
>> The Argentinians claim prior ownership, some have told me of  
> Spanish-speaking settlers  
>> of the Islands being forcibly removed to Argentina. Checking Wikipedia  
>> just  
> now, the  
>> situation is a lot more complex than that.  
>>  
>> More recently than that, the natives on Diego Suarez in the Indian Ocean  
>> claim that they were rounded up by the British and dumped, in Mauritius,  
>> with no compensation, the Island being developed as a bomber base for the  
>> Iraqi and Afganistan Wars. Wikipedia seems to have no refence to that,  
>> but a court case is being persued.

> The US has the Indian tribes. The next really big world war

There wont be another really big world war, you watch.

Nukes have ensured that.

> will probably be over some islands in Asia which China wants.

They aint even stupid enough to try taking Taiwan back by force.

> all of this is absed on who's on first.

There wont be a really big world war about something as trivial as those islands.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:28:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D135F1265B89@ac81665e.ipt.aol.com...

> Dave Garland wrote:

>> On 12/18/2012 1:06 PM, Peter Flass wrote:

>>

>>> In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.

>>> National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community -

>>> vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you

>>> could see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A

>>> few grew flowers and no one had a lawn.

>>>

>>

>> IIRC, lawns are imitations of the groundkeeping of English (or at

>> least European) estates. Wikipedia says they date from the 16th c.  
>> Do other cultures independently have the concept of a lawn being  
>> desirable?

> I'm convinced that the lawn-mentality in the US is  
> part of the middle class trying to prove they're rich.

Not rich so much as just having done competently.

> I think lawns are ugly

Yeah, me too.

> because its a monoculture.

I think they are ugly because of the massive effort involved  
compared with anything else. Completely fucking stupid.

> I see all that work going into a useless area

Me too.

> and wonder how the mentality got that way.

Same way with furious house cleaning etc.

> I'm allowed to keep my leaves, hence my dirt.

I've never been stupid enough to get into a situation  
where anyone gets any say on what I do yard wise.

> A "good" middle class person removes all leaves

Not all of them are that stupid.

> and keeps the grass green even in a drought.

Or that either when there are severe restrictions  
on what you can do legally water wise.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:33:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbaahciv" <[See.above@aol.com](mailto:See.above@aol.com)> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D135772B816B@ac81665e.ipt.aol.com...

> Dan Espen wrote:

>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:  
>>>>  
>>>> > Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>> >>On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >>>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >>>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> >>>>>>> ^^^^?  
>>>> >>>>>>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the  
> Dem.  
>>>> >>>>>>>> leadership.  
>>>> >>>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >>>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> >>>>>>>> business?  
>>>> >>>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for  
>>> handouts.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> So, you think that answers "why"?  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> It doesn't.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> And it's stupid.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,  
>>>> >>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take  
>>>> >>>> exception.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> >>When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're  
>>>> >>>> talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you  
>>>> >>>> want, but it's their opinions that count.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > What "we" kimosabe. The proper pronoun for you is "I".  
>>>> >  
>>>> > And nobody but a few loonies think that "democrats want to ban  
>>>> > guns[\*]"  
>>>> > or "democrats want to destroy small business" or on the other side  
>>>> > that

>>>> > "republicans want to eat babies" or "republicans hate homosexuals".  
>>>> >  
>>>> > And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small  
>>> business  
>>>> > clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".  
>>>>  
>>>> If the business clears 250K, their taxes remain the same.  
>>>  
>>> How do you figure?  
>>  
>> Simple, the proposed tax is to increase taxes on income over  
>> 250K. That's TAXABLE income by the way.  
>>  
>> Anyone making 250K or less, gets the Bush tax cut.  
  
> I don't believe the latter.

Its true anyway, one of Obama's terminal stupidities.

> I've become very used to not paying taxing on qualified  
> dividends and low long term capital gains tax. For some  
> strange reason, politicians, and their constituents, think that  
> only rich people have those two income and investment sources.

Bullshit.

> I haven't heard a thing about death taxes.

Because they aren't going to change anything there.

>> Anyone making 250K or more only pays the Clinton Era tax on  
>> taxable income over 250K. They still get the Bush tax cut  
>> on the first 250K.  
>>  
>>>> To get to 3k extra, they have to net something like 330K.  
>>>>  
>>> What figures are you using?  
>>  
>> The proposed increase is 4% on income over 250K.  
>> You need 80K of income to see 3K in taxes.  
>>  
>>  $80,000 \times .04 = 3.2K$ .  
>>  
>> 250K plus 80K = 330K.

> So you are saying that the 33% tax rate will  
> become 37% and the 35% rate will become 39%?

Yep.

> That's not the figure I heard.

That's because of the fucked stuff you listen to, riddled with lies.

> Reporting has sucked big time w.r.t. this topic.

If you had enough of a clue to use the net using dialup...

> The number I heard was in the 40s.

Just another Limbaugh lie.

> AMT thresholds are lower even this year.

> So all this fuss is for "only" \$3K increase.

Because they can't manage to force the rabid tea party fools to do any better than that.

> that won't solve any of the trillions that the Dems

> are spending each year (their bailout monies).

Corse the shrub never had any of those at all, eh ?

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:34:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D135CF2A83D6@ac81665e.ipt.aol.com...

> Walter Bushell wrote:

>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>

>> wrote:

>>

>>> How can something be Communist

>>> and Nazi at the same time?

>>

>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

>>

> <GRIN> And most of those governments got their initial training by the

> Nazis.

Pigs arse they did.

> That area, and Viet Nam, were the odd ends of

> WWII which didn't get taken care of in 1945.

Even sillier.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:41:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D135AD546DAB@ac81665e.ipt.aol.com...

> Dan Espen wrote:

>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>

>>> Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>>

>>>> > Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> >> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>> >>

>>>> >>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>>> >>

^^^?

>>>> >

>>>> > Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps the

> head

>>>> > of the horse in front of you.

>>>>

>>>> Interesting. Google is coming up empty on the usage "rein check".

>>>

>>> Does it really?!!!! It's been a common term all my life. How odd.

>>

>> The term "rain check" is very common. Sounds the same.

>

> I completely fucked it up. Check rein...or checkrein. My apologies. :-)

>

>

>>

>>>> >>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the

>>>> >>> Dem.

>>>> >>> leadership.

>>>> >>

>>>> >> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!

>>>> >>

>>>> >> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small

>>>> >> business?

>>>> >>

>>>> > BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.

>>>> > Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax

>>>> > forms/directions.  
>>>>  
>>>> Interesting definition of "tax the shit out of".  
>>>> Completely a fantasy.  
>>>>  
>>> If a small business owner's bank account has a \$300K or more balance at  
>>> any time of the year, there is a surtax charged. Note that this is  
>>>  
>> No it isn't. There has never been a tax on assets and there never will  
>> be.  
>>  
>>> half a house if you're a builder. Small farms will fall into that  
>>> \$250K category. Service businesses such as plumbing and electrical  
>>> could get that much income during the year. Brick and mortar  
>>>  
>> A bank account balance is not income.  
>> As I said before, if a business earns 250K there is no tax increase.  
>> If a business earns 300K the additional tax is tiny.  
>>  
>>> businesses and restaurants will also be affected. Destroy small  
>>> business and you'll end up with a fascist or communistic economy where  
>>> decisions are made by politicians and not by the people who deal with  
>>> the problems.  
>>  
>> Again, explain why any one, or any politician would want to destroy  
>> small business. How would that get someone elected?  
>>  
>>>> And that still leaves you short about explaining \_why\_ the Democratic  
>>>> leadership sees advantage in destroying small business.  
>>>>  
>>> To increase the population's dependency on the Fed. government; note  
>>> that this does not include States' government.  
>>>  
>> No one wants anyone to be dependent on the government.  
>> It doesn't help anyone, especially politicians.  
>>  
>>>> They want to raise revenue.  
>>>>  
>>> That's just plain BS. If they want to revenue, they leave the  
>>> businesses alone. Those are the entities which cut paychecks  
>>> which produces revenue. If the Dems were only trying to raise  
>>> revenue, I might consider their proposal but they're also  
>>> increasing spending by an amount far greater than the supposed  
>>> revenue from increasing taxes. They also seem to be avoiding  
>>> saying anything about death taxes which makes me suspect that  
>>> they're going to go back to the one million \$ threshold.  
>>> And that will definitely take out a lot small business.  
>>>  
>>

>> So you can increase revenue by not raising taxes.

>> How do you think that works?

- > If Congress gave businesses, big, medium and small, a tax
- > base which wasn't going to change for the next two decades,
- > they would start to use their cash to do more business.

Nope, not when the clowns have been allowed to completely implode much of the world financial system, again, by YOUR party.

Businesses, big, medium and small, have ALL noticed that the consumer has slammed their pocket books shut because they are worried about how long they will have their current job and house for and are only spending on what they consider are essentials and it will take a long time to change that, just like it did with the great depression.

- > More business means more employees to do the work.

Not when there isnt any more business.

- > More employees means more income taxes
- > and social security funding gets sent to the Fed.

Not when there isnt any more business.

- > that's how the government alwyas increases revenues.

That's not how the revenues were increased in the 40s.

- > Increasing the rates just move the big money off shore

How odd that it didn't in the 40s when the rates were quite literally over 90%

- > and invested in a geographical area
- > which makes it possible to have a profit.

How odd that it didn't in the 40s when the rates were quite literally over 90%

>> How is it working now?

- > It's not. There has not been fiscal stability (that means that both
- > the population and busineesses can plan) for the last 4-6 years.

Because your fools allowed the clowns to completely implode much of the world financial system, AGAIN.

>> How well has it worked for the last 12 years?  
>> How many small businesses were destroyed during the Clinton era?

> I don't know because his tax increase was retroactive  
> but didn't have to be paid until after he left office.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respouted.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:47:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D135DABC0862@ac81665e.ipt.aol.com...

> Dan Espen wrote:

>> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:

>>

>>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>

>>> wrote:

>>>

>>>> How can something be Communist

>>>> and Nazi at the same time?

>>>

>>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

>>

>> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.

> How?

Nowhere was communist and nazi at the same time.

> A country begins with the Nazis influencing them.

They influenced fuck all on that stuff.

> After the WWII ends and a decade,  
> the USSR starts cutting deals with them.

Nope, occupied them in fact.

> So now there's aspects of Russian-style communism.

Which has all been binned now everywhere from Poland  
to Yugoslavia to the baltic states to east germany etc etc etc.

> Now China is helping and North Korea.

Even sillier as far as political systems are concerned.

Even china has binned russian style communism and never had it either.

- > Mix them all together with an Islamic agenda to revert
- > back to the politics and powers of the Assansins

That's a lie with the biggest islamic country by far, Indonesia.

- > with nuclear bombing capabilities,

Fuck all islamic countrys have anything like that.

- > and you've got an Earth-sized powder keg.

Just another silly little fantasy, and nothing to do with what you were commenting on anyway.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 18:48:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbaahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D136149CE428@ac81665e.ipt.aol.com...

> Rod Speed wrote:

>> "jmfbaahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message

>> news:PM0004D1214631949A@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com...

>>> Rod Speed wrote:

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> "jmfbaahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message

>>>> news:PM0004D10DD91EEFE5@ac812cc2.ipt.aol.com...

>>>> > Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> >> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>> >>

>>>> >>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>>> >>>> jmfbaahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

>>>> >>>>

>>>> >>>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.

>>>> >>>>> ^^^^?

>>>> >>>>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the

>>>> >>>>>> Dem.

>>>> >>>>>> leadership.

>>>> >>>>>

>>>> >>>>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!

>>>> >>>>>>

>>>> >>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> >>>> business?  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for  
>>>> > handouts.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> So, you think that answers "why"?  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> It doesn't.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> And it's stupid.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,  
>>>> >> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take  
>>>> >> exception.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> > Then you are able to think. I did write Democrat \_leadership\_.  
>>>> > They've  
>>>> > gone completely nuts.  
>>>>  
>>>> Corse nothing like that has ever happened with your leadership, eh ?  
>>  
>>> Which is? If you are trying to imply that I like Republicans,  
>>> I've told before that you are very wrong.  
>>  
>> You clearly mindlessly respew their lies.

> Obviously, you're a Democrat lover

You're face down in the mud, as always.

> and mindlessly parrot their spin bites.

Everyone can see for themselves you are lying, again.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:06:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D135C7C56114@ac81665e.ipt.aol.com...  
> Dan Espen wrote:  
>> Patrick Scheible <kkt@zipcon.net> writes:  
>>  
>>> Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> writes:  
>>>

>>>> jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>>  
>>>> > Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >> jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >>>> jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> >>>>> ^^^^?  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>> Rein as in horse reins; some bridles have a rein check which keeps  
>>>> >>> the  
>>>> > head  
>>>> >>> of the horse in front of you.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Interesting. Google is coming up empty on the usage "rein check".  
>>>> >  
>>>> > Does it really?!!!!! It's been a common term all my life. How odd.  
>>>>  
>>>> The term "rain check" is very common. Sounds the same.  
>>>>  
>>>> >>>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the  
>>>> >>>>> Dem.  
>>>> >>>>> leadership.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> >>>>> business?  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> BEcause they want to tax the shit out of small business owners.  
>>>> >>> Before you reply to this, go read Schedule C of the IRS' tax  
>>>> >>> forms/directions.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> Interesting definition of "tax the shit out of".  
>>>> >> Completely a fantasy.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > If a small business owner's bank account has a \$300K or more balance  
>>>> > at  
>>>> > any time of the year, there is a surtax charged. Note that this is  
>>>>  
>>>> No it isn't. There has never been a tax on assets and there never will  
>>>> > be.  
>>>>  
>>>> > half a house if you're a builder. Small farms will fall into that  
>>>> > \$250K category. Service businesses such as plumbing and electrical  
>>>> > could get that much income during the year. Brick and mortar

>>>>  
>>>> A bank account balance is not income.  
>>>> As I said before, if a business earns 250K there is no tax increase.  
>>>> If a business earns 300K the additional tax is tiny.  
>>>>  
>>>> > businesses and restaurants will also be affected. Destroy small  
>>>> > business and you'll end up with a fascist or communistic economy where  
>>>> > decisions are made by politicians and not by the people who deal with  
>>>> > the prlbems.  
>>>>  
>>>> Again, explain why any one, or any politician would want to destroy  
>>>> small business. How would that get someone elected?  
>>>>  
>>>> >> And that still leaves you short about explaining \_why\_ the Democratic  
>>>> >> leadership sees advantage in destroying small business.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > To increase the population's dependency on the Fed. government; note  
>>>> > that this does not include States' government.  
>>>>  
>>>> No one wants anyone to be dependent on the government.  
>>>> It doesn't help anyone, especially politicians.  
>>>>  
>>>> >> They want to raise revenue.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> > That's just plain BS. If they want to revenue, they leave the  
>>>> > businesses alone. Those are the entities which cut paychecks  
>>>> > which produces revenue. If the Dems were only trying to raise  
>>>> > revenue, I might consider their proposal but they're also  
>>>> > increasing spending by an amount far greater than the supposed  
>>>> > revenue from incrasing taxes. They also seem to be avoiding  
>>>> > saying anything about death taxes which makes me suspect that  
>>>> > they're going to go back to the one million \$ threshold.  
>>>> > And that will definitely take out a lot small business.  
>>>>  
>>>> So you can \_increase\_ revenue by not raising taxes.  
>>>> How do you think that works?  
>>>> How is it working now?  
>>>> How well has it worked for the last 12 years?  
>>>> How many small businesses were destroyed during the Clinton era?  
>>>  
>>> Why limit to the past 12 years? It hasn't worked particularly well  
>>> since the Reagan administration.  
>>  
>> Here we have the TEA Party (Taxed Enough Already), when taxes are  
>> lower than ever (a lot more than 20 years).  
>> Ask any right winger and they'll deny, deny, deny.  
>>  
>> They must all be smokers paying cigarette tax.

>>

>> The studies all confirmed, taxing the wealthy does not cause an  
>> economic slow down, and can reduce deficits.

> But it is NOT going to reduce any deficit. The increased spending  
> which Obama is insisting be included won't even get paid with his  
> proposed tax increase.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

It was YOUR fool that demanded that military  
spending be increased when Obama wants to cut it.

>> So we have the right wing predicting Communism and Nazism for a  
>> historically minor tax adjustment. How can something be Communist  
>> and Nazi at the same time? It doesn't matter. Just get as paranoid  
>> as possible and spew.

> Any tax which the middle class and rich pay will NOT be available for  
> investment, purchaces, or what ever they would "spend" that money on.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

> Any tax increase will not pay off the debt  
> nor will it cover the increased spending.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

> You are talking as if this tax increase will solve  
> Washington's spending problems and debt.

Another lie. He JUST said it would be better than not  
increasing taxes and when the BOTTOM HALF of the  
US pays no net federal income tax, he's dead right.

And even the fuckwit Dems don't even propose to change that.

Something that NO OTHER MODERN FIRST OR SECOND  
WORLD COUNTRY IS ACTUALLY STUPID ENOUGH TO HAVE.

> It will not.

Having fun thrashing that straw man ?

> Big government debts

It was your Repugs that produced those with the invasion  
of Iraq and Afghanistan and the bailouts they did when

they allowed the clowns to completely implode much of the world financial system, AGAIN.

> will cause bad things to happen such as no exports

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

With the biggest govt debt seen since WW2, the US is STILL the world's biggest manufacturer and much of that is STILL exported.

And that was seen when the US last had such an immense level of big govt debt, just after WW2 had ended, before that immense big govt debt was paid down with the top marginal income tax rate at well over 90%

> which means all businesses contract.

Even sillier. Exports have always been a minor part of the US economy and most US businesses don't export at all.

> Imports will increase

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

> which means that more money goes out of the country

Its been doing that for decades now.

> and all businesses will contract.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

Most businesses have in fact been expanding for a long time now and that's why the unemployment rate bottomed at just 4.x% with an immense legal and illegal immigration rate, with the participation rate, the percentage of the workforce actually working, at an all time historic high, just before your fools allowed the clowns to completely implode much of the world financial system, AGAIN.

> Now, the large businesses have already compensated  
> for this and are busy making money outside of the US.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

Have fun listing where they are actually doing that.

> It's the small businesses who are going to go bankrupt.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

Those that go bankrupt are the result of your fools allowing the clowns to completely implode much of the world financial system, AGAIN.

> And there still is no farm bill so all of those small  
> businesses are in flux and can't plan anything.

They keep churning out all the food and fiber ANYWAY.

>> With all the talk about mental illness on TV, seeing what should be  
>> normal people talking so paranoid is concerning. Maybe there's  
>> something in the environment. Beck and Rush can't be the only reason.

> Now read the above. Is that madness?

Nope, just Limbaugh lies mindlessly respewed.

> I call it simple common sense.

Nope, just Limbaugh lies mindlessly respewed.

> Perhaps you should look for yours.

You cant even manage to work out what are Limbaugh's lies.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:26:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <YOCdnSWNN-xbXEzNnZ2dnUVZ8tKdnZ2d@bt.com>, on 12/19/2012  
at 01:31 PM, Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> said:

> No, it means that on a collective farm the workers are serfs and like  
> the land are owned by absentee landlords.

Then a kibbutz is not a collective farm, because the workers are the owners.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to

domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:27:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Walter Banks" <walter@bytecraft.com> wrote in message  
news:50D1E134.3A62B294@bytecraft.com...

>  
>  
> jmbahciv wrote:  
>  
>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>> jmbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>  
>>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> > scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:  
>>>> >  
>>>> >> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >> And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small  
>>>> business  
>>>> >> clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".  
>>>> >  
>>>> > If the business clears 250K, their taxes remain the same.  
>>>>  
>>>> How do you figure?  
>>>  
>>> Simple, the proposed tax is to increase taxes on income over  
>>> 250K. That's TAXABLE income by the way.  
>>>  
>>> Anyone making 250K or less, gets the Bush tax cut.  
>>  
>> I don't believe the latter. I've become very used to not paying  
>> taxing on qualified dividends and low long term capital gains tax.  
>> For some strange reason, politicians, and their constituents, think  
>> that only rich people have those two income and investment sources.  
>>  
>> I haven't heard a thing about death taxes.  
>>  
>>> Anyone making 250K or more only pays the Clinton Era tax on  
>>> taxable income over 250K. They still get the Bush tax cut  
>>> on the first 250K.  
>>>  
>>>> > To get to 3k extra, they have to net something like 330K.  
>>>> >

>>>> What figures are you using?

>>>

>>> The proposed increase is 4% on income over 250K.

>>> You need 80K of income to see 3K in taxes.

>>>

>>>  $80,000 \times .04 = 3.2K$ .

>>>

>>> 250K plus 80K = 330K.

>>

>> So you are saying that the 33% tax rate will become 37% and the 35%

>> rate will become 39%? That's not the figure I heard. Reporting

>> has sucked big time w.r.t. this topic. The number I heard was in the

>> 40s. AMT thresholds are lower even this year.

>>

>> So all this fuss is for "only" \$3K increase. that won't solve

>> any of the trillions that the Dems are spending each year

>> (their bailout monies).

>>

>

> It is easy to say that there is too much spending or they are

> spending more than is being generated in taxes. It is a

> lot harder to identify how that should be changed.

> What for example could be eliminated that won't eliminate jobs

Very little, because almost all govt spending does generate at least some jobs.

The much better question is which govt spending generates less jobs than the same money spent by non govt because it wasn't raised in taxes by the govt and left to non govt to spend.

Corse even that is complicated with so many of the consumers having slammed their pocket books shut because now that the clowns have been allowed to completely implode much of the world financial system, again, they are quite rightly worried about how long they will have their current jobs and houses etc for and so are only spending on what they consider are the essentials.

So if its not taxed and spent by govt, creating at least some jobs in the process, it may just get mostly saved and not create many if any jobs at all, it may just pay down the mortgage etc, particularly with mortgages with withdrawal facilitys etc.

> or create an environment that would be impossible to  
> create jobs.

There is no such environment.

- > There is this crazy situation where the stock market
- > is growing faster than the rate of job growth.

Nothing crazy about that, its very common when the stock market has imploded because the clowns were allowed to completely implode much of the world financial system, again, and its being realised that it isnt actually the end of civilisation as we know it and that quite a bit of the market will survive that.

- > Money in the market is not generating money from
- > value added jobs as as fast as speculative value.

See above.

- > Isn't that a indirect definition of inflation.

Nope, we are actually seeing deflation, most obviously in the housing market.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:36:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 19, 1:13 pm, Andrew Swallow <am.swal...@btinternet.com> wrote:

- > Obamacare will be as expensive as health care by insurance company, you
- > will just have to pay for it via extra taxes.

The present US health insurance system is an utter failure. But the Republicans consistently refused to do anything to fix it (eliminating some of the massive wasteful bureaucratic overhead would be an easy first step); they kept saying "let the marketplace work it out", but the marketplace FAILED.

The Democrats went and did their own thing. All the Republicans did in resposne was to jump up and down and rant, not come up with a superior counter solution.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:41:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Andrew Swallow" <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote in message  
news:hp-dnb2zqfY5nk\_NnZ2dnUVZ8jdnZ2d@bt.com...

> On 19/12/2012 14:56, Scott Lurndal wrote:

>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>

>>> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular vote  
>>> was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
>>> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a sign  
>>> that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his  
>>> campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate  
>>> and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the  
>>> "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.

>>

>> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
>> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).

>>

>> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the first  
>> time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes) as a  
>> "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.

>>

>> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge margins,  
>> the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll never be able  
>> to leave.

>>

>

> 'tax the rich' is a code phrase that means introduce maximum exploitation  
> of the workers in 2 years time.

Mindlessly silly.

> Obamacare will be as expensive as health care by insurance company, you  
> will just have to pay for it via extra taxes.

Even sillier, its those who have to pay for the insurance that  
they did not previously bother to have that will pay for it.

And because so many of those didn't actually need insurance,  
the costs of the insurance companys will drop a bit too.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:42:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> writes:

> On 19/12/2012 15:19, jmfbahciv wrote:

>> Dave Garland wrote:

>>> On 12/18/2012 1:06 PM, Peter Flass wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.  
>>>> National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community -  
>>>> vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you  
>>>> could see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A  
>>>> few grew flowers and no one had a lawn.  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>> IIRC, lawns are imitations of the groundkeeping of English (or at  
>>> least European) estates. Wikipedia says they date from the 16th c.  
>>> Do other cultures independently have the concept of a lawn being  
>>> desirable?  
>>>  
>> I'm convinced that the lawn-mentality in the US is part of the  
>> middle class trying to prove they're rich. I think lawns are  
>> ugly because its a monoculture. I see all that work going into  
>> a useless area and wonder how the mentality got that way. I'm  
>> allowed to keep my leaves, hence my dirt. A "good" middle class  
>> person removes all leaves and keeps the grass green even in  
>> a drought.  
>>  
>> /BAH  
>>  
> The English have lawns, so the Middle Class are just pretending to be  
> British.

Lots of nice games can be played on lawns. Frisbee, touch football,  
etc. Mowing is a simple and pleasant chore, unlike weeding a crop or  
flower bed.

-- Patrick

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:44:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Andrew Swallow" <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote in message  
news:grydnfkbZ86dm0\_NnZ2dnUVZ8r6dnZ2d@bt.com...  
> On 19/12/2012 15:45, Walter Banks wrote:  
>>  
>>  
>> jmfbahciv wrote:  
>>  
>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>>

>>>> > Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >> scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>> And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small  
>>>> > business  
>>>> >>> clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".  
>>>> >>  
>>>> >> If the business clears 250K, their taxes remain the same.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > How do you figure?  
>>>>  
>>>> Simple, the proposed tax is to increase taxes on income over  
>>>> 250K. That's TAXABLE income by the way.  
>>>>  
>>>> Anyone making 250K or less, gets the Bush tax cut.  
>>>>  
>>> I don't believe the latter. I've become very used to not paying  
>>> taxing on qualified dividends and low long term capital gains tax.  
>>> For some strange reason, politicians, and their constituents, think  
>>> that only rich people have those two income and investment sources.  
>>>  
>>> I haven't heard a thing about death taxes.  
>>>  
>>>> Anyone making 250K or more only pays the Clinton Era tax on  
>>>> taxable income over 250K. They still get the Bush tax cut  
>>>> on the first 250K.  
>>>>  
>>>> >> To get to 3k extra, they have to net something like 330K.  
>>>> >>  
>>>> > What figures are you using?  
>>>>  
>>>> The proposed increase is 4% on income over 250K.  
>>>> You need 80K of income to see 3K in taxes.  
>>>>  
>>>>  $80,000 \times .04 = 3.2K$ .  
>>>>  
>>>> 250K plus 80K = 330K.  
>>>>  
>>> So you are saying that the 33% tax rate will become 37% and the 35%  
>>> rate will become 39%? That's not the figure I heard. Reporting  
>>> has sucked big time w.r.t. this topic. The number I heard was in the  
>>> 40s. AMT thresholds are lower even this year.  
>>>  
>>> So all this fuss is for "only" \$3K increase. that won't solve  
>>> any of the trillions that the Dems are spending each year  
>>> (their bailout monies).

>>>

>>

>> It is easy to say that there is too much spending or they are  
>> spending more than is being generated in taxes. It is a  
>> lot harder to identify how that should be changed.

>>

>> What for example could be eliminated that won't eliminate  
>> jobs or create an environment that would be impossible to  
>> create jobs.

>>

>> There is this crazy situation where the stock market is  
>> growing faster than the rate of job growth. Money in the  
>> market is not generating money from value added jobs  
>> as as fast as speculative value.

>>

>> Isn't that a indirect definition of inflation.

> The stock market is being drive by the money the government is giving to  
> the banks.

Nope, that's happened in countrys where no  
money was given by the govt to the banks too.

Its actually driven by the realisation that we aren't  
going to get another great depression this time  
around, essentially because even the fools that  
allowed it to happen started the bailouts much  
earlier this time around and so those operations  
are mostly not going to go bust this time.

> The banks have to put the reserves (money) they do not lend somewhere.  
> Also much of the money they do lend ends up on the stock market.

Even more pig ignorant.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:50:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote

> Andrew Swallow <am.swal...@btinternet.com> wrote

>> Obamacare will be as expensive as health care by insurance  
>> company, you will just have to pay for it via extra taxes.

> The present US health insurance system is an utter failure.

That's radically overstated, particularly with those who get health insurance as part of their employment.

> But the Republicans consistently refused to do anything to fix it

And Obama was stupid enough to just make it compulsory.

> (eliminating some of the massive wasteful bureaucratic  
> overhead would be an easy first step);

Easier said than done.

> they kept saying "let the marketplace work  
> it out", but the marketplace FAILED.

Nope, just do worse than say a universal medicare.

> The Democrats went and did their own thing.

And stupidly just made the insurance system compulsory.

> All the Republicans did in resposne was to jump up and down  
> and rant, not come up with a superior counter solution.

The superior counter solution is obvious, universal medicare.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:54:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212191051270.14656@darkstar.example.org>, on  
12/19/2012

at 10:57 AM, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> said:

> But someone pointed out the similarities between the nazis and the  
> Russian communists, and I think that's fair.

Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling case for  
the fat right nuts and the far left nuts being brothers under the  
skin.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:55:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-19, Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:  
> In article <4AkAs.208320\$la6.193548@fed08.iad>, scott@slp53.sl.home  
> (Scott Lurndal) writes:  
>  
>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular  
>>> vote was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
>>> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a  
>>> sign that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and  
>>> his campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive  
>>> candidate and the White House kept his campaign off balance all  
>>> summer with the "BS of the week" rather than actually talking  
>>> about any issues.  
>>  
>> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
>> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).  
>>  
>> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the  
>> first time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes)  
>> as a "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.  
>  
> Heck, here in Canada, with our multi-party system, candidates  
> routinely win (and claim a "mandate") with only 40% of the vote.  
>  
>> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge  
>> margins, the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll  
>> never be able to leave.  
>  
> If you guys manage to get rid of your right-wingers, could you  
> come up here and tell us how to do it?  
>

There were elections in the UK recently for some sort  
of police post, there were reports of some voting stations  
with less than five voters.

--  
maus

.  
....

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:55:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-19, Stanley Daniel de Liver <notagoodone@invalid.org.invalid> wrote:  
> On Tue, 18 Dec 2012 22:55:38 -0000, <greymausg@mail.com> wrote:

>  
>> On 2012-12-18, Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote:

>>> On 18/12/2012 16:55, greymausg@mail.com wrote:

>>> {snip}

>>>

>>>>

>>>> More recently than that, the natives on Diego Suarez in the Indian  
>>>> Ocean

>>>> claim that they were rounded up by the British and dumped, in

>>>> Mauritius,

>>>> with no compensation, the Island being developed as a bomber base for

>>>> the

>>>> Iraqi and Afganistan Wars. Wikipedia seems to have no reference to that,

>>>> but a court case is being persued.

>>>>

>>>>

>>> The British and US Governments will spend more money not compensating

>>> the people than the value of the compensation. They will also have to

>>> suffer lots of bad publicity. There are good reasons for Compulsory

>>> Purchase system.

>>>

>>> Andrew Swallow

>>

>> Actually, on rechecking, Wikipedia has a good bit on Diego Suarez (not  
>> the gardener)

>>

>>

> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diego\\_Garcia](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diego_Garcia)

>

Corrected.

--  
maus

.  
.  
....

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:02:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <kassj8\$tk8\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012  
at 12:19 PM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
> trying to stir up class warfare,

More BS.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:08:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

greymausg@mail.com writes:

> On 2012-12-19, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:  
>> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>  
>>> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> How can something be Communist  
>>>> and Nazi at the same time?  
>>>  
>>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.  
>>  
>> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.  
>>  
> Not really. Just political rant.

Yes really.

In the first case it's political and economic system vs. political and  
economic system.

In the second it's political and economic system vs. a religion.

--  
Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:09:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 19/12/2012 19:55, greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
{snip}

>  
> There were elections in the UK recently for some sort  
> of police post, there were reports of some voting stations  
> with less than five voters.  
>  
>  
The government decided to introduce elected Police Commissioners. I still do not know what one of these men does other than get in the way of their Chief Constable.

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:11:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 19, 12:19 pm, Peter Flass <Peter\_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
> trying to stir up class warfare, and now he's going to have to back out  
> of it.

Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored to unite the country and political opposition. But the 'right' and the Republicans consistently reject his offers.

The 'right' has constantly portrays Obama as a leftist extremist all set to impose a communist doctrine upon the country--from massive tax hikes to government confiscation of all industries. But the record shows he has made no effort to do any of the horrible things they claim.

Given all that, it sure seems it is the 'right' that is pushing class warfare, not Obama.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:12:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> writes:

> On 19/12/2012 02:32, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>> In <PM0004D120FF2DD9FE@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/18/2012  
>> at 02:39 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:  
>>  
>>> Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).  
>>  
>> On a kibbutz the workers \*are\* the owners.  
>>  
>>> No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer  
>>> hours than those who work under a collective org.  
>>  
>> A partnership is a collective; does that mean that the partners slack  
>> off?  
>  
> No, it means that on a collective farm the workers are serfs and like  
> the land are owned by absentee landlords.

The failures of communism come from a failure to recognize human nature.

I'd say that a Kibbutz with religious overtones and a justified siege mentality is not quite the same. You just might convince workers to work for the common good.

--  
Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:16:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<greymausg@mail.com> wrote in message  
news:slrnkd306t.27l.greymausg@gmaus.org...  
> On 2012-12-19, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:  
>> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>  
>>> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> How can something be Communist  
>>>> and Nazi at the same time?  
>>>

>>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

>>

>> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.

> Not really. Just political rant. I was reading recently, an account of the  
> war by a confirmed Nazi, he blamed the bomb attempt on Hitler on the old  
> upper class in the Army.

And that line isnt anything new, and is quite accurate.

> The `hard' Nazis were very antiestablishment.

That's true of the military, but not so true of industry.

> Take the salient points, state control, media control, evening  
> out of educational rights, there was very little difference.

Yes, but that's how things are done, not the 'philosophy' driving it.

> In the War in Russia, there was less difference in pay or oppertunity  
> in the German Army than the Russian, which was largely based on  
> the old Czarist model, with the addition of political officers.

Yes, but again, that's the detail of how its done, not the idea behind it.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:19:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Patrick Scheible" <kkt@zipcon.net> wrote in message  
news:86ip7xde13.fsf@zipcon.net...

> Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> writes:

>

>> On 19/12/2012 15:19, jmfbahciv wrote:

>>> Dave Garland wrote:

>>>> On 12/18/2012 1:06 PM, Peter Flass wrote:

>>>>

>>>> > In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.

>>>> > National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community -

>>>> > vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you

>>>> > could see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A

>>>> > few grew flowers and no one had a lawn.

>>>> >

>>>>

>>>> IIRC, lawns are imitations of the groundkeeping of English (or at

>>>> least European) estates. Wikipedia says they date from the 16th c.

>>>> Do other cultures independently have the concept of a lawn being

>>>> desirable?  
>>>>  
>>> I'm convinced that the lawn-mentality in the US is part of the  
>>> middle class trying to prove they're rich. I think lawns are  
>>> ugly because its a monoculture. I see all that work going into  
>>> a useless area and wonder how the mentality got that way. I'm  
>>> allowed to keep my leaves, hence my dirt. A "good" middle class  
>>> person removes all leaves and keeps the grass green even in  
>>> a drought.  
>>>  
>>> /BAH  
>>>  
>> The English have lawns, so the Middle Class are just pretending to be  
>> British.  
>  
> Lots of nice games can be played on lawns.

A few, anyway.

> Frisbee, touch football, etc.

Some work much better on a hard surface instead.

> Mowing is a simple and pleasant chore,

Only for the stupid.

> unlike weeding a crop or flower bed.

But you can eat the results with the vegy patch and it  
isnt hard to use stuff like weed matting and mulch so  
you don't have to weed it much at all.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:21:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:

> On 12/18/2012 8:52 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>> Walter Bushell <[proto@panix.com](mailto:proto@panix.com)> writes:  
>>  
>>> In article <[icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home](mailto:icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home)>, Dan Espen <[despen@verizon.net](mailto:despen@verizon.net)>  
>>> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> How can something be Communist  
>>>> and Nazi at the same time?

>>>

>>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

>>

>> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.

>>

>

> Not so much. "Nazi", remember, is "National Socialist", as opposed to

> Communism, which is supposed to be international. Later on they

> de-emphasized the "socialist" part, but earlier they were the "German

> Workers Party."

In Nazi Germany, being a Communist meant the gas chamber.

> Anyway, left or right, the farther you move on the spectrum the closer

> you get to the guys from the other side.

No an Islamacist is a radical adherent to a religion.

Their political and economic beliefs are not defined.

Socially, they tend to want Sharia law.

There not into dictatorships as much as they seek religious input to their governance. In Iran they've constructed a hodge-podge of relationships between supposedly elected officials and the clergy.

I don't see any other Islamic country clamoring to adopt that system.

--

Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:23:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> writes:

> On 19/12/2012 01:52, Dan Espen wrote:

>> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:

>>

>>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>

>>> wrote:

>>>

>>>> How can something be Communist

>>>> and Nazi at the same time?

>>>

>>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

>>

>> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.

>

> Not really. Where do you think the National \*Socialist\* Party got  
> many of its ideas?

Not from Lenin.

The entire basis of each is different. Regardless of how similar they may have seemed in practice.

--

Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:27:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

> On Dec 19, 12:19 pm, Peter Flass <Peter\_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:  
>  
>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
>> trying to stir up class warfare, and now he's going to have to back out  
>> of it.  
>  
> Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored to unite the  
> country and political opposition. But the 'right' and the Republicans  
> consistently reject his offers.  
>  
> The 'right' has constantly portrays Obama as a leftist extremist all  
> set to impose a communist doctrine upon the country--from massive tax  
> hikes to government confiscation of all industries. But the record  
> shows he has made no effort to do any of the horrible things they  
> claim.  
>  
> Given all that, it sure seems it is the 'right' that is pushing class  
> warfare, not Obama.

Class warfare?

Funny how they get away with equating a small adjustment in taxes to a rate in effect 12 years ago as WAR.

Seems like we're not dealing with adults.

--

Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:30:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> writes:

> On 19/12/2012 14:56, Scott Lurndal wrote:  
>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular vote  
>>> was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
>>> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a sign  
>>> that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his  
>>> campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate  
>>> and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the  
>>> "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.  
>>  
>> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
>> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).  
>>  
>> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the first  
>> time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes) as a  
>> "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.  
>>  
>> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge margins,  
>> the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll never be able  
>> to leave.  
>>  
>  
> 'tax the rich' is a code phrase that means introduce maximum  
> exploitation of the workers in 2 years time.  
>  
> Obamacare will be as expensive as health care by insurance company,  
> you will just have to pay for it via extra taxes.

Yeah?

Tell you what, I'd rather pay more taxes than loose my job and insurance  
and face bankruptcy.

--  
Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [scott](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:32:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> writes:

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

>

>> On Dec 19, 12:19 pm, Peter Flass <Peter\_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>>

>>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
>>> trying to stir up class warfare, and now he's going to have to back out  
>>> of it.

>>

>> Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored to unite the  
>> country and political opposition. But the 'right' and the Republicans  
>> consistently reject his offers.

>>

>> The 'right' has constantly portrays Obama as a leftist extremist all  
>> set to impose a communist doctrine upon the country--from massive tax  
>> hikes to government confiscation of all industries. But the record  
>> shows he has made no effort to do any of the horrible things they  
>> claim.

>>

>> Given all that, it sure seems it is the 'right' that is pushing class  
>> warfare, not Obama.

>

> Class warfare?

>

> Funny how they get away with equating a small adjustment in taxes to a  
> rate in effect 12 years ago as WAR.

>

> Seems like we're not dealing with adults.

Indeed. These are the same people who complain about the soi disant  
"war on christmas".

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:36:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jmfbaiciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

> Dave Garland wrote:

>> On 12/18/2012 1:06 PM, Peter Flass wrote:

>>

>>> In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.  
>>> National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community -  
>>> vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you  
>>> could see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A  
>>> few grew flowers and no one had a lawn.

>>>

>>  
>> IIRC, lawns are imitations of the groundkeeping of English (or at  
>> least European) estates. Wikipedia says they date from the 16th c.  
>> Do other cultures independently have the concept of a lawn being  
>> desirable?  
>>  
> I'm convinced that the lawn-mentality in the US is part of the  
> middle class trying to prove they're rich.

Nope, just decent people striving for what they think looks good.

> I think lawns are ugly because its a monoculture.

That puts you in a minority but since I don't live next to you,  
feel free.

> I see all that work going into a useless area and wonder how the  
> mentality got that way.

It's only useless if you don't like how it looks.  
It's also useful when you sell the house since so many people like lawns.

> I'm allowed to keep my leaves, hence my dirt. A "good" middle class  
> person removes all leaves and keeps the grass green even in a drought.

So, you're not a "good" middle class person?

What point are you trying to make, other than that you don't like lawns?

--

Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Roger Blake](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:37:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-19, Walter Banks <[walter@bytecrafter.com](mailto:walter@bytecrafter.com)> wrote:  
> It is easy to say that there is too much spending or they are  
> spending more than is being generated in taxes. It is a  
> lot harder to identify how that should be changed.

Phase out Social Security, Medicare, and all federal entitlement programs.

Problem solved.

--  
-----

Roger Blake (Change "invalid" to "com" for email. Google Groups killfiled.)

"Climate policy has almost nothing to do anymore with environmental protection... the next world climate summit in Cancun is actually an economy summit during which the distribution of the world's resources will be negotiated." -- Ottmar Edenhofer, IPCC

-----

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:38:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/19/2012 1:50 PM, Rod Speed wrote:

> <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote

> And stupidly just made the insurance system compulsory.

>> All the Republicans did in resposne was to jump up and down and

>> rant, not come up with a superior counter solution.

>

> The superior counter solution is obvious, universal medicare.

Of course it's obvious. But the right has been ranting so much, and the insurance industry is so powerful, we can't come up with enough pols who have the spine to do that.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:40:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Dan Espen" <despen@verizon.net> wrote in message  
news:icwqwdvImp.fsf@home.home...

> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>

>> On 12/18/2012 8:52 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:

>>>

>>>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>

>>>> wrote:

>>>>

>>>> > How can something be Communist

>>>> > and Nazi at the same time?

>>>>

>>>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

>>>

>>> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.

>>>

>>

>> Not so much. "Nazi", remember, is "National Socialist", as opposed to  
>> Communism, which is supposed to be international. Later on they  
>> de-emphasized the "socialist" part, but earlier they were the "German  
>> Workers Party."

>

> In Nazi Germany, being a Communist meant the gas chamber.

>

>> Anyway, left or right, the farther you move on the spectrum the closer  
>> you get to the guys from the other side.

>

> No an Islamacist is a radical adherent to a religion.  
> Their political and economic beliefs are not defined.

That's overstated with Islam which does have quite  
a lot of the political and economic beliefs defined.

> Socially, they tend to want Sharia law.

That's very arguable indeed when by far the biggest  
moslem country, Indonesia, isnt that stupid.

> There not into dictatorships as much as they  
> seek religious input to their governance.

But there is a sense in which Mahomet was a  
dictator who lied about some angel whispering  
in his ear all that stuff that ended up in the koran  
which is more of a dictatorship than is usually seen.

With the death penalty for what you choose to do  
flouting the dictatorship.

> In Iran they've constructed a hodge-podge of relationships  
> between supposedly elected officials and the clergy.

Just like Britain did at one time.

> I don't see any other Islamic country clamoring to adopt that system.

Plenty do want sharia law which is very close to that.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:42:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Scott Lurndal" <scott@slp53.sl.home> wrote in message  
news:\_vpAs.245227\$GT3.168360@fed03.iad...  
> Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> writes:  
>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:  
>>  
>>> On Dec 19, 12:19Â pm, Peter Flass <Peter\_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. Â He spent the election  
>>>> trying to stir up class warfare, and now he's going to have to back out  
>>>> of it.  
>>>  
>>> Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored to unite the  
>>> country and political opposition. But the 'right' and the Republicans  
>>> consistently reject his offers.  
>>>  
>>> The 'right' has constantly portrays Obama as a leftist extremist all  
>>> set to impose a communist doctrine upon the country--from massive tax  
>>> hikes to government confiscation of all industries. But the record  
>>> shows he has made no effort to do any of the horrible things they  
>>> claim.  
>>>  
>>> Given all that, it sure seems it is the 'right' that is pushing class  
>>> warfare, not Obama.  
>>  
>> Class warfare?  
>>  
>> Funny how they get away with equating a small adjustment in taxes to a  
>> rate in effect 12 years ago as WAR.  
>>  
>> Seems like we're not dealing with adults.  
>  
> Indeed. These are the same people who complain about the soi disant  
> "war on christmas".

Its just another mindless mantra, like the war on drugs.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:43:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
> Dan Espen wrote:  
>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) writes:

>>>>  
>>>> > Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>> >>On 12/16/2012 6:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>> >>>  
>>>> >>>> On 12/16/2012 12:31 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> >>>>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>>> >>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>> He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress.  
>>>> >>>>>>> ^^^^?  
>>>> >>>>>>>> Small businesses are screwed; that seems to be the target of the  
> Dem.  
>>>> >>>>>>>> leadership.  
>>>> >>>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>> Wow, you sound like such an idiot with this stuff!  
>>>> >>>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>> Why, in your mind, do you think the Democrats want to screw small  
>>>> >>>>>>>> business?  
>>>> >>>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>>> Because they're successful and not dependent on the government for  
>>> handouts.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> So, you think that answers "why"?  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> It doesn't.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> And it's stupid.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>> Sorry to be insulting, but having voted Democrat a few times,  
>>>> >>>> and not wanting to destroy any business big or small, I take exception.  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>  
>>>> >>>>>>When we say "The Democrats" want something or think something, we're  
>>>> >>>>>>talking about the 'leadership'. You can think and feel whatever you  
>>>> >>>>>>want, but it's their opinions that count.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > What "we" kimosabe. The proper pronoun for you is "I".  
>>>> >  
>>>> > And nobody but a few loonies think that "democrats want to ban guns[\*]"  
>>>> > or "democrats want to destroy small business" or on the other side that  
>>>> > "republicans want to eat babies" or "republicans hate homosexuals".  
>>>> >  
>>>> > And only an idiot thinks that an extra \$3k/year in taxes for a small  
>>> business  
>>>> > clearing \$250000 in profit per year will "destroy small business".  
>>>>  
>>>> If the business clears 250K, their taxes remain the same.

>>>

>>> How do you figure?

>>

>> Simple, the proposed tax is to increase taxes on income over  
>> 250K. That's TAXABLE income by the way.

>>

>> Anyone making 250K or less, gets the Bush tax cut.

>

> I don't believe the latter.

> I've become very used to not paying

> taxing on qualified dividends and low long term capital gains tax.

> For some strange reason, politicians, and their constituents, think

> that only rich people have those two income and investment sources.

No they don't.

They know exactly which age brackets and income levels  
pay dividend tax.

They've got this crazy idea that if you're making substantial dividend  
income you don't need a special tax break over others less fortunate.

> I haven't heard a thing about death taxes.

Believe it will revert to pre-Bush.

>> Anyone making 250K or more only pays the Clinton Era tax on  
>> taxable income over 250K. They still get the Bush tax cut  
>> on the first 250K.

>>

>>>> To get to 3k extra, they have to net something like 330K.

>>>>

>>> What figures are you using?

>>

>> The proposed increase is 4% on income over 250K.

>> You need 80K of income to see 3K in taxes.

>>

>>  $80,000 \times .04 = 3.2K$ .

>>

>> 250K plus 80K = 330K.

>

> So you are saying that the 33% tax rate will become 37% and the 35%

> rate will become 39%? That's not the figure I heard. Reporting

> has sucked big time w.r.t. this topic. The number I heard was in the

> 40s. AMT thresholds are lower even this year.

It amazes me that you won't take the few seconds it takes to find out.

> So all this fuss is for "only" \$3K increase. that won't solve

- > any of the trillions that the Dems are spending each year
- > (their bailout monies).

No one said it would.

It simply helps.

As proposed it's supposed to be 1.6T over 10 years.

It's being chopped down, the last I heard 1.2T.

--

Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Roger Blake](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:47:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-19, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

- > Seems like we're not dealing with adults.

Certainly when dealing with liberals we are not. At least the adults are still in charge of the House, though unfortunately they seem to be unable to stand up to the constant squalling of the Demobrats.

--

-----  
Roger Blake (Change "invalid" to "com" for email. Google Groups killfiled.)

"Climate policy has almost nothing to do anymore with environmental protection... the next world climate summit in Cancun is actually an economy summit during which the distribution of the world's resources will be negotiated." -- Ottmar Edenhofer, IPCC  
-----

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:47:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jmbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

- > Dan Espen wrote:
- >> How is it working now?

...(tired old stability argument)

- > It's not. There has not been fiscal stability (that means
- > that both the population and businesses can plan) for the

> last 4-6 years.

Good theory. Only problem, it didn't work 2000-2008.

--

Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:52:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 19, 3:23 pm, Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote:

>> Not really. Where do you think the National \*Socialist\* Party got  
>> many of its ideas?  
> Not from Lenin.  
> The entire basis of each is different. Regardless of how similar  
> they may have seemed in practice.

Communist, Nazi, and Islamacist are all the same. They are all systems of totalitarianism -- of a central government with high power that uses ruthless methods to enforce compliance among the population. They often use the same methods, sometimes copied from one another.

The espoused ideology is essentially B/S with enough logic holes to drive a truck through. It's used to motivate the 'true believers' and keep them fired up enough so that they'll do anything to support the rulers. The rulers also make good use of thugs and lowlifes as the enforcers. There is also often a cult of personality for a top leader, be he alive or deceased.

For the everyday person, it's all b/s. They go through lip service, saying the right things and never saying the wrong things, and to try to stay as far under the radar as possible to avoid getting shot.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Roger Blake](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:52:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-19, Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:  
> If you guys manage to get rid of your right-wingers, could you  
> come up here and tell us how to do it?

Ah, so you want a Soviet-style one-party system, eh Comrade?

Actually what we need to do is get rid of all the left wingers. The Republicans should probably just go home and let the squalling brats bring the house down, letting said brats own the resulting mess.

--

-----  
Roger Blake (Change "invalid" to "com" for email. Google Groups killfiled.)

"Climate policy has almost nothing to do anymore with environmental protection... the next world climate summit in Cancun is actually an economy summit during which the distribution of the world's resources will be negotiated." -- Ottmar Edenhofer, IPCC  
-----

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:53:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jmbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:

> But it is NOT going to reduce any deficit.

Show us the math.

Use the current budget as the starting point.

No one is talking about eliminating the deficit.

--

Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Roger Blake](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 20:56:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-19, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:  
> Of course it's obvious.

What is "obvious" to anyone who understands the intent of the Constitution and the principles of limited government is that the feds have no authority to impose "universal medicare." In fact, judge Janet Rogers Brown was 100% in her statement that it is not possible to fit Social Security and Medicare even as we know them today in the framework of the Constitution.

The federal government has no legitimate business being involved in wealth redistribution. All federal entitlement programs should be ended. Period.

--

-----  
Roger Blake (Change "invalid" to "com" for email. Google Groups killfiled.)

"Climate policy has almost nothing to do anymore with environmental protection... the next world climate summit in Cancun is actually an economy summit during which the distribution of the world's resources will be negotiated." -- Ottmar Edenhofer, IPCC  
-----

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Banks](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 21:05:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roger Blake wrote:

> On 2012-12-19, Walter Banks <walter@bytecraft.com> wrote:  
>> It is easy to say that there is too much spending or they are  
>> spending more than is being generated in taxes. It is a  
>> lot harder to identify how that should be changed.  
>  
> Phase out Social Security, Medicare, and all federal entitlement programs.  
>  
> Problem solved.

Social Security is essentially revenue neutral. Until the Iraq war it was funded by payroll deductions until Bush raided the Social Security piggy bank to pay for the war and offered tax cuts.

Medicare is essentially a medical insurance program that distributes risk but also is essentially revenue neutral.

Both Medicare and Social Security are forms of insurance. If either of these were replaced (Flack jacket on) living costs for individuals would go up.

W..

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 21:06:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> wrote  
> Walter Banks <walter@bytecrafter.com> wrote

>> It is easy to say that there is too much spending or they  
>> are spending more than is being generated in taxes. It is  
>> a lot harder to identify how that should be changed.

> Phase out Social Security, Medicare,

Those aren't spending more than what is generated in taxes.

> and all federal entitlement programs.

But the state ones are fine eh ?

> Problem solved.

Only in your pathetic little pig ignorant fantasyland.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 21:10:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote  
> Rod Speed wrote  
>> <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote

>>> The Democrats went and did their own thing.

>> And stupidly just made the insurance system compulsory.

>>> All the Republicans did in resposne was to jump up and  
>>> down and rant, not come up with a superior counter solution.

>> The superior counter solution is obvious, universal medicare.

> Of course it's obvious. But the right has been ranting so  
> much, and the insurance industry is so powerful, we can't  
> come up with enough pols who have the spine to do that.

Why would the right ranting get Obama to be that stupid ?

The insurance industry has no real power at all over Congress.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [D.J.](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 21:15:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Tue, 18 Dec 2012 13:22:54 -0800, Patrick Scheible <kkt@zipcon.net> wrote:

> JimP. <pongbill127@cableone.net> writes:

>

>> On Mon, 17 Dec 2012 19:48:27 +0000 (UTC), Alfred Falk

>> <falk@arc.REMOVE.ab.ca> wrote:

>>> Ahem A Rivet's Shot <steveo@eircom.net> wrote in

>>> news:20121215062624.a4f3a1b41c9d38a782332cb3@eircom.net:

>>>

>>>> On Fri, 14 Dec 2012 18:02:59 -0600

>>>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>> > But I think consumer taste may be different in Europe/North America,

>>>> > NA has favored big top loaders with a spin cycle and separate

>>>> > hot/cold water inputs (no built-in heater) that drain to a standpipe.

>>>> > From our last discussion on this topic, it seemed like that did

>>>> > not describe the typical Euro or Oz washer.

>>>>

>>>> Correct, European washers went front loader a long time ago

>>>> (1960s), hot and cold feed was normal up until a decade or so ago when

>>>> cold only became normal. They do have spin cycles and drain to a

>>>> standpipe.

>>>

>>> 25 years ago I had some British visitors that commented on the

>>> primitiveness of my top-loading washer. I subsequently saw theirs and

>>> understood.

>>>

>>> Top-loaders are approaching extinction in Canada. (Replaced old Kenmore

>>> [Whirlpool] model with Korean-made front-loader 5 years ago.) I just had

>>> a quick look at homedepot.com. There seems to be a lot higher proportion

>>> of top-loaders than on on homedepot.ca.

>>

>> I prefer top loaders and that is what I bought when I needed a clothes

>> washer. Dish washer, came with my place, is a front loader.

>

> I've never seen a top loader dishwasher :)

Come to think of it, I have seen one... a drain board next to the sink. But that means hand washing.

..

JimP.

--

Brushing aside the thorns so I can see the stars.

<http://www.linuxgazette.net/> Linux Gazette

<http://www.drivein-jim.net/> Drive-In movie theaters

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 21:18:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote  
> Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote

>>> Not really. Where do you think the National \*Socialist\* Party got many  
>>> of its ideas?

>> Not from Lenin.

>> The entire basis of each is different. Regardless of how similar  
>> they may have seemed in practice.

> Communist, Nazi, and Islamacist are all the same.

Nope, they are very different in detail.

> They are all systems of \_totalitarianism\_

So was britain when it wouldn't even allow catholicism...

> -- of a central government with high power

Islam isnt.

> that uses ruthless methods to enforce  
> compliance among the population.

Islam isnt.

> They often use the same methods,

Islam doesn't.

> sometimes copied from one another.

Just because they use the same methods doesn't make them all the same.

> The espoused ideology is essentially B/S with  
> enough logic holes to drive a truck through.

Sure, but that's just as true of christianity and capitalism too.

- > It's used to motivate the 'true believers' and keep them fired
- > up enough so that they'll do \_anything\_ to support the rulers.

Just as true of capitalism.

- > Thte rulers also make good use of thugs and lowlifes as the enforcers.

Just as true of the worst of capitalism.

- > There is also often a cult of personality for
- > a top leader, be he alive or deceased.

Just as true of the worst of capitalism.

- > For the everyday person, it's all b/s.

Nope.

- > They go through lip service,

Just as true of christianity and capitalism.

- > saying the right things and never saying the
- > wrong things, and to try to stay as far under
- > the radar as possible to avoid getting shot.

Just as true of the worst of capitalism.

And with the worst of christianity, its to avoid getting burnt at the stake, quite literally.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [D.J.](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 21:20:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:27:59 -0500, Dan Espen <[despen@verizon.net](mailto:despen@verizon.net)> wrote:

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

>

>> On Dec 19, 12:19 pm, Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Fl...@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com)> wrote:

>>

>>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
>>> trying to stir up class warfare, and now he's going to have to back out  
>>> of it.

>>

>> Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored to unite the  
>> country and political opposition. But the 'right' and the Republicans

>> consistently reject his offers.  
>>  
>> The 'right' has constantly portrays Obama as a leftist extremist all  
>> set to impose a communist doctrine upon the country--from massive tax  
>> hikes to government confiscation of all industries. But the record  
>> shows he has made no effort to do any of the horrible things they  
>> claim.  
>>  
>> Given all that, it sure seems it is the 'right' that is pushing class  
>> warfare, not Obama.  
>  
> Class warfare?  
>  
> Funny how they get away with equating a small adjustment in taxes to a  
> rate in effect 12 years ago as WAR.  
>  
> Seems like we're not dealing with adults.

Precisely.

..  
JimP.

--  
Brushing aside the thorns so I can see the stars.  
<http://www.linuxgazette.net/> Linux Gazette  
<http://www.drivein-jim.net/> Drive-In movie theaters  
<http://story.drivein-jim.net/> A story Feb, 2011

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 21:29:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> wrote  
> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote

>> Of course it's obvious.  
  
> What is "obvious" to anyone who understands the intent of  
> the Constitution and the principles of limited government is  
> that the feds have no authority to impose "universal medicare."

Just as true of a standing army. We have one anyway.

> In fact, judge Janet Rogers Brown was 100% in her statement  
> that it is not possible to fit Social Security and Medicare even  
> as we know them today in the framework of the Constitution.

Just as true of a standing army. We have them all anyway.

- > The federal government has no legitimate
- > business being involved in wealth redistribution.

Social Security and Medicare are nothing to do with wealth redistribution.

- > All federal entitlement programs should be ended.
- > Period.

Jawohl mein Kommandant

Sieg Heil.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 22:19:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> writes:

- > On 2012-12-19, Walter Banks <walter@bytcraft.com> wrote:
- >> It is easy to say that there is too much spending or they are
- >> spending more than is being generated in taxes. It is a
- >> lot harder to identify how that should be changed.
- >
- > Phase out Social Security, Medicare, and all federal entitlement programs.
- >
- > Problem solved.

You seriously don't think that would create new problems?  
Just because it happened a while ago doesn't mean we have to forget why those programs exist.

--  
Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 22:23:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> writes:

- > On 2012-12-19, Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:
- >> If you guys manage to get rid of your right-wingers, could you
- >> come up here and tell us how to do it?

- >
- > Ah, so you want a Soviet-style one-party system, eh Comrade?
- >
- > Actually what we need to do is get rid of all the left wingers. The
- > Republicans should probably just go home and let the squalling brats
- > bring the house down, letting said brats own the resulting mess.

Uh, no.

We need to listen to each other and think.

--

Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 22:32:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Dan Espen" <despen@verizon.net> wrote in message  
news:icvcbxu1lf.fsf@home.home...

- > Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> writes:
- >
- >> On 2012-12-19, Walter Banks <walter@bytecrafter.com> wrote:
- >>> It is easy to say that there is too much spending or they are
- >>> spending more than is being generated in taxes. It is a
- >>> lot harder to identify how that should be changed.
- >>
- >> Phase out Social Security, Medicare, and all federal entitlement
- >> programs.
- >>
- >> Problem solved.
- >
- > You seriously don't think that would create new problems?

He doesn't care. He stupidly believes that what the constitution specifically allows is all that should ever be done, but closes his eyes to the great raft of stuff like a standing army done anyway.

- > Just because it happened a while ago doesn't
- > mean we have to forget why those programs exist.

He doesn't care if they all die.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 22:34:21 GMT

---

"Dan Espen" <despen@verizon.net> wrote in message news:icr4mlu1e4.fsf@home.home...

> Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> writes:

>

>> On 2012-12-19, Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:

>>> If you guys manage to get rid of your right-wingers, could you

>>> come up here and tell us how to do it?

>>

>> Ah, so you want a Soviet-style one-party system, eh Comrade?

>>

>> Actually what we need to do is get rid of all the left wingers. The

>> Republicans should probably just go home and let the squalling brats

>> bring the house down, letting said brats own the resulting mess.

>

> Uh, no.

>

> We need to listen to each other and think.

Trouble is that most of them haven't got anything viable to think with and those that do like Barb, don't bother to think about the lies that the likes of Limbaugh spout.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 22:57:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 19/12/2012 20:30, Dan Espen wrote:

> Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> writes:

>

>> On 19/12/2012 14:56, Scott Lurndal wrote:

>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:

>>>

>>>> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular vote

>>>> was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast

>>>> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a sign

>>>> that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his

>>>> campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate

>>>> and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the

>>>> "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.

>>>

>>> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the

>>> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).

>>>

>>> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the first

>>> time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes) as a

>>> "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.  
>>>  
>>> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge margins,  
>>> the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll never be able  
>>> to leave.  
>>>  
>>  
>> 'tax the rich' is a code phrase that means introduce maximum  
>> exploitation of the workers in 2 years time.  
>>  
>> Obamacare will be as expensive as health care by insurance company,  
>> you will just have to pay for it via extra taxes.  
>  
> Yeah?  
>  
> Tell you what, I'd rather pay more taxes than loose my job and insurance  
> and face bankruptcy.  
>  
>  
Then make sure that both the extra taxes and Obamacare arrive. They are  
set to start after the next presidential election.

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Howard S Shubs](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 23:09:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <kargpa\$fgd\$1@dont-email.me>,  
Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

> On 12/18/2012 1:29 PM, Peter Flass wrote:  
>  
>> I have head it said several times by non-partisan sources that US  
>> business taxes are higher than most other developed countries.  
>  
> But US personal taxes are lower than most other developed countries.

Not for much longer, I think.

--

May joy be yours all the days of your life! - Phina  
We are but a moment's sunlight, fading in the grass. - The Youngbloods  
Those who eat natural foods die of natural causes. - Kperspective

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Banks](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 23:13:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Roger Blake wrote:

- > On 2012-12-19, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:
- >> Of course it's obvious.
- >
- > The federal government has no legitimate business being involved in
- > wealth redistribution. All federal entitlement programs should be ended.
- > Period.

The biggest problem is it will produce an economically unstable country with a GDP below its potential. Politically it will produce a society that will become unstable with a cycle every 3 or 4 generations.

A good reference point is to look at the many Mexican and Cuban revolutions as well as the fate of all the right wing countries in South America. I am not advocating the alternative either (look at the Soviet Union that spent the retained earnings of a millennia in less than a century).

A balanced policy that rewards value added economic behavior will have a lot of merit.

w..

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2012 23:15:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> writes:

- > Then make sure that both the extra taxes and Obamacare arrive. They
- > are set to start after the next presidential election.

as comptroller general commented ... the big problem with entitlements is medicare part-d that comes to be long-term, unfunded \$40T mandate (that comes to swamp all other budget items) that was passed shortly after congress allowed the fiscal responsibility act (required that spending match revenues) to expire in 2002 ... gift for the drug industry ... cbs 60mins did expose on how the bill snaked its way through the process and the 18 members&staff primary responsible (all belonging to the majority party at the time) ... including inserting the one liner at the last moment that precludes competitive bidding (apparently inflated drug costs by factor of three times ... at least

compared to identical drugs from VA that allows competitive bidding)  
.... also finding those 18 had resigned after the bill passes and are on  
the drug industry payroll.

there is something from today ... implying that the same party  
responsible for the medicare part-d (and non-compete provision) may be  
getting ready to gang up on the VA (possibly another drug industry gift  
.... eliminating competitive bidding there also?; if you eliminate VA  
competitive bidding ... then it eliminates the comparison how egregious  
the lack of competitive bidding in medicare part-d is).

misc. past posts mentioning medicare part-d:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010c.html#0> Oldest Instruction Set still in daily use?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010c.html#3> Oldest Instruction Set still in daily use?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010c.html#9> Oldest Instruction Set still in daily use?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010d.html#14> Happy DEC-10 Day

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010e.html#48> z9 / z10 instruction speed(s)

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010f.html#34> The 2010 Census

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010f.html#35> The 2010 Census

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010f.html#46> not even sort of about The 2010 Census

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010o.html#66> They always think we don't understand

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010o.html#75> origin of 'fields'?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010o.html#78> origin of 'fields'?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011g.html#72> 77,000 federal workers paid more than governors

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#14> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#20> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#28> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#29> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#33> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011i.html#37> Happy 100th Birthday, IBM!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011j.html#40> Advice from Richard P. Feynman

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011l.html#59> computer bootlaces

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011n.html#57> The Mortgage Crisis---Some Inside Views

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011o.html#42> Speed: Re: Soups

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011o.html#73> How Pursuit of Profits Kills Innovation and the U.S.  
Economy

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011p.html#136> Gingrich urged yes vote on controversial Medicare  
bill

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011p.html#137> The High Cost of Failing Artificial Hips

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012.html#6> We are on the brink of a historic decision [referring to  
defence cuts]

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012d.html#36> McCain calls for U.S.-led airstrikes in Syria

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#24> ExplicitTacit

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#40> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#61> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#68> Interesting News Article

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#0> Interesting News Article

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#41> Lawmakers reworked financial portfolios after talks

with Fed, Treasury officials

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#51> Is this Boyd's fundamental postulate, 'to improve our capacity for independent action'? thoughts please

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#63> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#81> Should the IBM approach be given a chance to fix the health care system?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#37> If all of the American earned dollars hidden in off shore accounts were uncovered and taxed do you think we would be able to close the deficit gap?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#74> Unthinkable, Predictable Disasters

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#79> Romney and Ryan's Phony Deficit-Reduction Plan

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#85> Singer Cartons of Punch Cards

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#2> Blades versus z was Re: Turn Off Another Light - Univ. of Tennessee

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#33> General Mills computer

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#38> General Mills computer

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#71> General Mills computer

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#0> General Mills computer

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#36> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#40> Stealth Target of Defense Spending Cuts: America's Highly Effective Socialized Medicine Provider, the VA System, and Military Benefits Generally

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#41> Search Google, 1960:s-style

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 00:14:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Andrew Swallow" <[am.swallow@btinternet.com](mailto:am.swallow@btinternet.com)> wrote in message news:IJCdnUwKJ-3e20\_NnZ2dnUVZ8tOdnZ2d@bt.com...

> On 19/12/2012 20:30, Dan Espen wrote:

>> Andrew Swallow <[am.swallow@btinternet.com](mailto:am.swallow@btinternet.com)> writes:

>>

>>> On 19/12/2012 14:56, Scott Lurndal wrote:

>>>> Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:

>>>>

>>>> > You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular

>>>> > vote

>>>> > was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast

>>>> > majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a

>>>> > sign

>>>> > that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his

>>>> > campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate

>>>> > and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the

>>>> > "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.

>>>>

>>>> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
>>>> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).  
>>>>  
>>>> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the  
>>>> first  
>>>> time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes) as a  
>>>> "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.  
>>>>  
>>>> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge  
>>>> margins,  
>>>> the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll never be able  
>>>> to leave.  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>> 'tax the rich' is a code phrase that means introduce maximum  
>>> exploitation of the workers in 2 years time.  
>>>  
>>> Obamacare will be as expensive as health care by insurance company,  
>>> you will just have to pay for it via extra taxes.  
>>  
>> Yeah?  
>>  
>> Tell you what, I'd rather pay more taxes than loose my job and insurance  
>> and face bankruptcy.

> Then make sure that both the extra taxes and Obamacare arrive.

That's a lousy way to ensure what he listed doesn't happen.

> They are set to start after the next presidential election.

And it remains to be seen if nothing changes before then.

Likely it wont given that the Obamacare haters lost politicians.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 00:16:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Howard S Shubs <howard@shubs.net> wrote  
> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote  
>> Peter Flass wrote

>>> I have head it said several times by non-partisan sources that US  
>>> business taxes are higher than most other developed countries.

>> But US personal taxes are lower than most other developed countries.

> Not for much longer, I think.

Bet they stay that way, particularly for the bottom HALF that pays no net federal income tax.

Nowhere else has anything like that in the modern first or second world.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 00:28:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Walter Banks <[walter@bytecraft.com](mailto:walter@bytecraft.com)> wrote

> Roger Blake wrote

>> The federal government has no legitimate business  
>> being involved in wealth redistribution. All federal  
>> entitlement programs should be ended.  
>> Period.

> The biggest problem is it will produce an economically  
> unstable country with a GDP below its potential.

That's very arguable. You can make a case that the reason we don't see the lots of full depressions we did see in the century before 1929 anymore has nothing to do with what he listed and is just the result of being able to control the state of the economy much better now, particularly with the interest rate mechanism etc.

Corse to be consistent, that should be scrapped too because there is no specific authorisation for that in the federal constitution either.

> Politically it will produce a society that will become  
> unstable with a cycle every 3 or 4 generations.

And that's even more arguable. Its very far from clear that its actually federal wealth redistribution that has stopped that effect in the great democracys now. Its actually MUCH more likely that its actually been due to the hell of an economic boom that the industrial revolution and then the computer revolution produced instead, and so removing what he wants to see would have no effect on that.

> A good reference point is to look at the many Mexican  
> and Cuban revolutions as well as the fate of all the right

> wing countries in South America.

But it isn't clear that those happened because a lack of federal wealth redistribution and they never did see the one hell of an economic boom the US and most of the rest of the modern first world got.

> I am not advocating the alternative either  
> (look at the Soviet Union that spent the retained  
> earnings of a millennia in less than a century).

Yes, but none of the great democracies were actually stupid enough to go that route.

> A balanced policy that rewards value added  
> economic behavior will have a lot of merit.

Sure, but it's far from clear that federal government wealth redistribution is essential for that.

The US is in fact one hell of an example of where tremendous differences in personal wealth does work economically very well indeed and has produced the place where more people do want to move to if they were allowed to do that than anywhere else.

Certainly some prefer the more 'equal' societies like seen in say Scandinavia etc but it is clear that a lot more prefer the result the US ended up with.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 01:06:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <ic623xx0t3.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

> greymausg@mail.com writes:

>

>> On 2012-12-19, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

>>> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> writes:

>>>

>>>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

>>>>

>>>> > How can something be Communist

>>>> > and Nazi at the same time?  
>>>>  
>>>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.  
>>>  
>>> Except that in the first case, there is a contradiction.  
>>>  
>> Not really. Just political rant.  
>  
> Yes really.  
>  
> In the first case it's political and economic system vs. political and  
> economic system.  
> In the second it's political and economic system vs. a religion.

Communism or more properly Marxist Leninism was very atheist. In Islamic societies religion and government are one.

I prefer Grauco Marxism and John Lennonism.

--  
This space unintentionally left blank.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 01:51:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/19/2012 3:10 PM, Rod Speed wrote:  
> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote  
>> Rod Speed wrote  
>>> <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote  
>  
>>>> The Democrats went and did their own thing.  
>  
>>> And stupidly just made the insurance system compulsory.  
>  
>>>> All the Republicans did in resposne was to jump up and down and  
>>>> rant, not come up with a superior counter solution.  
>  
>>> The superior counter solution is obvious, universal medicare.  
>  
>> Of course it's obvious. But the right has been ranting so much, and  
>> the insurance industry is so powerful, we can't come up with enough  
>> pols who have the spine to do that.  
>  
> Why would the right ranting get Obama to be that stupid ?

Obama wants to be the one who brings everyone together. He is willing

to make concessions to get the approval of others. The Republicans say "we want ponies". Obama offers them ponies. The Republicans say "the ponies have to have sparkles". Obama tries to find sparkles. Then the Republicans say "and rainbows! It doesn't count if the ponies don't have rainbows!"...

No, I don't understand why Obama doesn't realize that the Republicans will not join with him, no matter what he does, no matter how much he gives up, that anything short of unconditional surrender is unacceptable to them.

>  
> The insurance industry has no real power at all over Congress.

They (and the rest of the financial industry of which they are a part) control a great deal of money. Some of which goes to the campaign funds of politicians. Politics in the US is very expensive. Connect the dots.

The financial industry were also big contributors to Obama's campaigns, and many of his advisers are from Wall St. But I don't suppose that would color their, or his, views. Not a bit.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 02:15:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote  
> Rod Speed wrote  
>> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote  
>>> Rod Speed wrote  
>>>> <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote

>>>> > The Democrats went and did their own thing.

>>>> And stupidly just made the insurance system compulsory.

>>>> > All the Republicans did in resposne was to jump up and down  
>>>> > and rant, not come up with a superior counter solution.

>>>> The superior counter solution is obvious, universal medicare.

>>> Of course it's obvious. But the right has been ranting so  
>>> much, and the insurance industry is so powerful, we can't  
>>> come up with enough pols who have the spine to do that.

>> Why would the right ranting get Obama to be that stupid ?

> Obama wants to be the one who brings everyone together.

I don't buy that, I think he actually attempts to do things in a way that a Repug dominated Congress will buy, a different thing entirely.

> He is willing to make concessions to get the approval of others.

I don't believe that either, he does that to get something done instead, particularly with something as important to him as the medical system. He doesn't want to see a repeat of the result that was seen when Slick tried that.

> The Republicans say "we want ponies". Obama offers  
> them ponies. The Republicans say "the ponies have to  
> have sparkles". Obama tries to find sparkles. Then the  
> Republicans say "and rainbows! It doesn't count if the  
> ponies don't have rainbows!"...

But that's because Obama wants to get something done, and doesn't want the result that Slick got.

> No, I don't understand why Obama doesn't  
> realize that the Republicans will not join with  
> him, no matter what he does, no matter how  
> much he gives up, that anything short of  
> unconditional surrender is unacceptable to them.

I think he basically believes that they aren't all that mindlessly intransigent and it turns out he is right about that, he did get Obamacare, after all.

And while I believe that compulsory medical insurance is a completely stupid way to do things, it's a lot better than the result Slick got and I don't believe that universal Medicare had a hope in hell of ever getting thru the Congress he had to wear with the Tea Party rampant.

It isn't even clear that doing nothing about that in his first term would have seen the voters flush the tea party fools where they belong and deliver a Congress that would have bought a universal Medicare, essentially because it was never clear how the economy would do in his first term and if he ever would get a second.

Lets not forget what the voters did to the

shrub's dad when he couldn't deliver what the voters demanded economically.

>> The insurance industry has no real power at all over Congress.

> They (and the rest of the financial industry of which they are a part) control a great deal of money.

Nope, the financial industry does, and they aren't part of that.

> Some of which goes to the campaign funds of politicians.

But Obama did fine without that and got one hell of a result in the election he won first.

> Politics in the US is very expensive.

But Obama managed to make it work anyway.

> Connect the dots.

There are no dots to connect and the result Obama got twice now shows that they have in fact fuck all real power. They couldn't even get that evil socialist in their eyes kept out of office.

And I'm not convinced that they even wanted to do that given that he continued the bailout of the financial industry in the same way that the Shrub did.

> The financial industry were also big contributors to Obama's campaigns, and many of his advisers are from Wall St.

So the medical insurance industry has no real power at all.

> But I don't suppose that would color their, or his, views. Not a bit.

Sure, he bailed out GM for completely different reasons.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 02:28:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 19 Dec 2012, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> In <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212191051270.14656@darkstar.example.org>, on  
> 12/19/2012

> at 10:57 AM, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> said:  
>  
>> But someone pointed out the similarities between the nazis and the  
>> Russian communists, and I think that's fair.  
>  
> Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling case for  
> the fat right nuts and the far left nuts being brothers under the  
> skin.  
>  
I think I only started to read it, got distracted. I think I did read  
"Living and Working on the Waterfront".

Michael

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 02:52:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 19, 3:56 pm, Roger Blake <rogbl...@iname.invalid> wrote:

> What is "obvious" to anyone who understands the intent of the  
> Constitution

There was no single "intent" of the Constitution. The Constitution we have today was the result of a great many compromises during its original drafting and amendments and court decisions made along the way.

It's like the Bible. Dig deep enough (ie Federalist papers, other history) and you can find something to prove anything.

But I like your other idea of a Soviet state. As the Commisar of EAM equipment, I have bold plans. You people better study up on your plugboard wiring\*.

\*I'm sure I find can find something in Marxist-Lennist-Stalinist writing that says a utopian state uses punch cards and vacuum tubes. Indeed, I think, serously, Lenin did say to seize the radio stations for revolution; well, they had lots of radio tubes.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 03:17:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 19/12/2012 19:26, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> In <YOCdnSWNN-xbXEzNnZ2dnUVZ8tKdnZ2d@bt.com>, on 12/19/2012

> at 01:31 PM, Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> said:

>

>> No, it means that on a collective farm the workers are serfs and like

>> the land are owned by absentee landlords.

>

> Then a kibbutz is not a collective farm, because the workers are the

> owners.

>

An Israeli Kibbutz is not a USSR Collective Farm.

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 03:40:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote

> Walter Bushell <pr...@panix.com> wrote

>> Communism or more properly Marxist Leninism was very atheist.

Yes.

>> In Islamic societies religion and government are one.

Not all of them are, most obviously with the biggest, Indonesia.

> Marixst-Leninsim had a cult of personaltiy, with Lenin serving as the

> diety, along with Stalin. In some Islamic societies (and a few other

> religious groups) the high cleric (living or deceased) has a cult of

> personality.

Sure, but that's like saying both often have a police state.

That's how things are done, not what the basics are about.

> Both societies work the same way.

Like hell they do. Islam doesn't even have the equivalent of a pope or anything like one either.

> The clerics or supreme Soviet decide on the policy

> as being The Faith the 'true believers' follow.

There is no equivalent of the supreme soviet with Islam.

> This Faith includes all sorts of political and economic doctrine.

But it isnt decided by anything like the supreme soviet with Islam.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 03:41:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Andrew Swallow" <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote in message  
news:O-Kdnc6uF97THk\_NnZ2dnUVZ8gGdnZ2d@bt.com...

> On 19/12/2012 19:26, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>> In <YOCdnSWNN-xbXEzNnZ2dnUVZ8tKdnZ2d@bt.com>, on 12/19/2012

>> at 01:31 PM, Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> said:

>>

>>> No, it means that on a collective farm the workers are serfs and like  
>>> the land are owned by absentee landlords.

>>

>> Then a kibbutz is not a collective farm, because the workers are the  
>> owners.

>>

> An Israeli Kibbutz is not a USSR Collective Farm.

And nothing like one either.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 12:40:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <kat8e8\$ci0\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012

at 10:15 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:

> ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is  
> different

The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis. There were  
significant ideological differences among Italy, Germany and the USSR  
during WW II.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not

reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 12:47:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <icwqwdvimp.fsf@home.home>, on 12/19/2012  
at 03:21 PM, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> said:

> There not into dictatorships as much as they seek religious input  
> to their governance.

When the religious leaders control who is allowed to run for office,  
that's dictatorship. The mullahs in Iran have have more than mere  
"input".

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 12:49:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <icobhplvbk.fsf@home.home>, on 12/19/2012  
at 03:27 PM, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> said:

> Funny how they get away with equating a small adjustment in taxes  
> to a rate in effect 12 years ago as WAR.

While the "47%" remark is not class warfare.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hda](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:00:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 20 Dec 2012 07:40:16 -0500, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz  
<spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

> In <kat8e8\$ci0\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012  
> at 10:15 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:  
>  
>> ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is  
>> different  
>  
> The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis. There were  
> significant ideological differences among Italy, Germany and the USSR  
> during WW II.

but not \*significant\* diffs.

Mussolini: fascism is bundling of corporations & state government.

Stalin:

Schicklgruber:

Nazi's started as "blood and country" socialists and transformed to  
(killed of socialist branch Rohm) fascists, both authoritarian.

Fascism means coercive bundling and usury. Basically all  
authoritarians want to bundle.

Socialism, communism, fascism, they are all authoritarian types.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:00:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <\_vpAs.245227\$GT3.168360@fed03.iad>, on 12/19/2012  
at 08:32 PM, scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) said:

> Indeed. These are the same people who complain about the soi disant  
> "war on christmas".

The republicans complain about the puritans?

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to

domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:01:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <proto-E8FAAA.20065719122012@news.panix.com>, on 12/19/2012  
at 08:06 PM, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> said:

> Communism or more properly Marxist Leninism was very atheist.

There is no god and Marx is his prophet! Effectively it was a  
religion, and heretics were treated harshly.

BTW, what is the current consensus as to how Lenin died?

> I prefer Grauco Marxism

What do you have against Harpo Marxism? And shirley you mean Groucho  
Marxism.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:05:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <20121219203636@news.eternal-september.org>, on 12/19/2012  
at 08:37 PM, Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> said:

> Phase out Social Security, Medicare, and all federal entitlement  
> programs.

Phase out DOD instead of stealing the "benefits" that people already  
paid for.

> Problem solved.

There's more than one problem.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to [spamtrap@library.lspace.org](mailto:spamtrap@library.lspace.org)

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:07:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <20121219204556@news.eternal-september.org>, on 12/19/2012 at 08:47 PM, Roger Blake <[rogblake@iname.invalid](mailto:rogblake@iname.invalid)> said:

> Certainly when dealing with liberals we are not.

There are few if any liberals in the US any more; 3rd parties are moribund and the Democrats have been drifting to the right for decades.

> At least the adults are still in charge of the House,

ROTF,LMAO! You should work for Pravda.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to [spamtrap@library.lspace.org](mailto:spamtrap@library.lspace.org)

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:08:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/19/2012 10:57 AM, Michael Black wrote:

> I also

> remember reading, but can't remember if it was fiction or non-fiction,

> that it was relatively

> easy for gestapo types to find a place in the USSR, they just changed

> their label. Their "skillset" was desired, so the fact that they'd been

> nasty Germans before the war ended was overlooked.  
>

Sort of like rocket scientists. I think we also employed some of their spooks.

--  
Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:09:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <20121219204900@news.eternal-september.org>, on 12/19/2012  
at 08:52 PM, Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> said:

> Ah, so you want a Soviet-style one-party system, eh Comrade?

Ah, so you bugger your dog? That has as much to do with what you wrote  
as your statement has to do with what the OP wrote.

> The Republicans should probably just go home

Stay mot upon the order of thy deparcher.

--  
Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:10:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <O-Kdnc6uF97THk\_NnZ2dnUVZ8gGdnZ2d@bt.com>, on 12/20/2012  
at 03:17 AM, Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> said:

> An Israeli Kibbutz is not a USSR Collective Farm.

That was my point.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to [spamtrap@library.lspace.org](mailto:spamtrap@library.lspace.org)

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:17:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/19/2012 11:48 AM, [greymausg@mail.com](mailto:greymausg@mail.com) wrote:  
> On 2012-12-19, Shmuel Metz <[spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid](mailto:spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid)> wrote:  
>> In <[kaqtoh\\$cuv\\$1@dont-email.me](mailto:kaqtoh$cuv$1@dont-email.me)>, on 12/18/2012  
>> at 06:27 PM, Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> said:  
>>  
>>> It was better than what came before or after. There was peace,  
>>> mostly.  
>>  
>> FSVO peace. It depends on whose ox was gored.  
>>  
>  
> Quote:  
> We made a desert and called it peace (or similiar)  
> froget from whom.  
>

Tacitus, talking about the aftermath of the Boudiccan rebellion in Britain. The British weren't saints either, when they captured London they massacred all the inhabitants, including women and children, and burnt the place to the ground. To this day archeologists can date things based on the thick layer of ash and debris.

--

Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:26:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/19/2012 3:02 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
> In <[kassj8\\$tk8\\$1@dont-email.me](mailto:kassj8$tk8$1@dont-email.me)>, on 12/19/2012  
> at 12:19 PM, Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> said:

>  
>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
>> trying to stir up class warfare,  
>  
> More BS.  
>

Constantly harping about "the rich" or "the 1%" as if they were different from the rest of us. If someone worked hard or had an original idea and made money because of it, more power to him. I used to complain about athletes' salaries, but they're not getting it at gunpoint, obviously someone thinks they're worth it. (I still don't, I prefer minor league sports where the players are not making exorbitant salaries).

We're not rich or poor, we're Americans. Wealthy people give lots of money to charity.

--  
Pete

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:32:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <katqvo\$ts8\$1@dont-email.me>,  
Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

> No, I don't understand why Obama doesn't realize that the Republicans  
> will not join with him, no matter what he does, no matter how much he  
> gives up, that anything short of unconditional surrender is  
> unacceptable to them.

And the Republicans state outright they won't compromise. But Obama is just the "good" cop.

--  
This space unintentionally left blank.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 14:28:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Roger Blake" <rogblake@iname.invalid> wrote in message  
news:20121219205258@news.eternal-september.org...

> On 2012-12-19, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:  
>> Of course it's obvious.  
>  
> What is "obvious" to anyone who understands the intent of the  
> Constitution and the principles of limited government is that the  
> feds have no authority to impose "universal medicare." In fact,  
> judge Janet Rogers Brown was 100% in her statement that it is  
> not possible to fit Social Security and Medicare even as we know  
> them today in the framework of the Constitution.  
>  
> The federal government has no legitimate business being involved in  
> wealth redistribution. All federal entitlement programs should be ended.  
> Period.  
>

As a matter of fact, in my college US government class we learned:  
"Government decides who gets what of value in this county." They do this  
largely via taxation. Manipulating who pays the most tax... \*is\* a way of  
distributing wealth. Government \*is\* about wielding authority. In the US,  
we have "checks and balances" supposed to keep any one person from attaining  
too much power. And we have the right to petition government "for a redress  
of grievances".

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 14:41:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Michael Black" <et472@ncf.ca> wrote in message  
news:alpine.LNX.2.02.1212192127540.16284@darkstar.example.org...  
> On Wed, 19 Dec 2012, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>  
>> In <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212191051270.14656@darkstar.example.org>, on  
>> 12/19/2012  
>> at 10:57 AM, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> said:  
>>  
>>> But someone pointed out the similarities between the nazis and the  
>>> Russian communists, and I think that's fair.  
>>  
>> Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling case for  
>> the fat right nuts and the far left nuts being brothers under the  
>> skin.  
>>  
> I think I only started to read it, got distracted. I think I did read

> "Living and WOrking on the Waterfront".  
>

And of course read \_Tools for Conviviality\_.

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 14:59:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 20 Dec 2012, Charles Richmond wrote:

> "Michael Black" <et472@ncf.ca> wrote in message  
> news:alpine.LNX.2.02.1212192127540.16284@darkstar.example.org...  
>> On Wed, 19 Dec 2012, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>>  
>>> In <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212191051270.14656@darkstar.example.org>, on  
>>> 12/19/2012  
>>> at 10:57 AM, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> said:  
>>>  
>>>> But someone pointed out the similarities between the nazis and the  
>>>> Russian communists, and I think that's fair.  
>>>  
>>> Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling case for  
>>> the fat right nuts and the far left nuts being brothers under the  
>>> skin.  
>>>  
>> I think I only started to read it, got distracted. I think I did read  
>> "Living and WOrking on the Waterfront".  
>>  
>  
> And of course read \_Tools for Conviviality\_.  
>  
I have a copy, haven't gotten around to it. But I read "Deschooling  
Society" a long time ago, about five years after it was written but enough  
into the age of the home computer to realize his card catalog scheme would  
actually be a computer.

Michael

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

On Dec 20, 8:26 am, Peter Flass <Peter\_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

- > Constantly harping about "the rich" or "the 1%" as if they were
- > different from the rest of us. If someone worked hard or had an
- > original idea and made money because of it, more power to him. I used
- > to complain about athletes' salaries, but they're not getting it at
- > gunpoint, obviously someone thinks they're worth it. (I still don't, I
- > prefer minor league sports where the players are not making exorbitant
- > salaries).
- > We're not rich or poor, we're Americans. Wealthy people give lots of
- > money to charity.

The "1%" are different than the rest of us. The rest of us, especially with families, have to worry about money all the time.

For the middle class: Can we afford the house? It needs a new furnace The new car? The kids need braces. Daughter wants expensive clothes. Son wants a car. Can we afford a vacation? A fancy dinner?

For the lower class it's much harder, since food and rent are barely all they can afford, and only after careful shopping and taking from the bottom of the barrel to save money.

In essence, the 1% does not have to worry about the basic comforts. They know they will always have plenty of food, clothes, shelter and have thick cushions for trouble. The rest of us do not have the luxury to afford such safety cushions.

Having all that comforts does affect one's outlook on things. The 1% develops an attitude "well, we made it, so everyone should, too", failing to realize luck played a part, too, in addition to brains and hard work. The 1% can get pretty damned arrogant about it, actually. Further, many folks don't have much skills--should they have to worry about where their next rent is coming from? Shouldn't they have decent schools so their kids can move up?

The 1% may even pay less of a proportion of their income in taxes than the rest of us (as Warren Buffet explained). Capital gains are taxed at a lower rate than ordinary income and only when the stock is sold; lower income people can't safely afford to get involved in riskier investments and must make do with bank interest--all fully taxable every year even if the CD isn't touched.

As others have pointed out, the spread between the wealthiest and the

poorest has spread. Time reported that middle class shopping malls are hurting badly; the low-end malls (Walmart) are doing well, and the high-end malls (eg Bloomingdales) are doing well. CEO's (and their staffs) are making enormous salaries, even big payoffs when they screw up while their mere workers get kicked out onto the street with nothing.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:13:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 20, 8:32 am, Walter Bushell <pr...@panix.com> wrote:  
> In article <katqvo\$ts...@dont-email.me>,  
> Dave Garland <dave.garl...@wizinfo.com> wrote:  
>  
>> No, I don't understand why Obama doesn't realize that the Republicans  
>> will not join with him, no matter what he does, no matter how much he  
>> gives up, that anything short of unconditional surrender is  
>> unacceptable to them.  
>  
> And the Republicans state outright they won't compromise. But Obama is  
> just the "good" cop.

Actually, the Republicans have said their mission is to destroy Obama. Given recent dialogue, that still seems to be the case.

What is especially disturbing is the FLOOD of red-herrings and strawmen talk radio spews out. The lies of Obama's health care plan have been particularly virulent--claiming gross injustices to small business when the law hadn't even taken effect!

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:26:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Scott Lurndal wrote:  
> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>  
>>> The studies all confirmed, taxing the wealthy does not cause an  
>>> economic slow down, and can reduce deficits.  
>>  
>> But it is NOT going to reduce any deficit. The increased spending  
>> which Obama is insisting be included won't even get paid with his  
>> proposed tax increase.

>  
> I'm sorry, but your statement doesn't agree with the facts of the  
> matter.  
>  
> <http://www.politifact.com/new-hampshire/statements/2012/dec/16/kelly-ayotte/obamas-budget-was-flop-both-parties-says-kelly-ayo/>  
>  
> [http://nbcpolitics.nbcnews.com/\\_news/2012/12/03/15647710-income-tax-rates-just-one-piece-of-obama-proposal?lite](http://nbcpolitics.nbcnews.com/_news/2012/12/03/15647710-income-tax-rates-just-one-piece-of-obama-proposal?lite)  
>  
> Obama wants the top two marginal income tax rates to be 39.6  
> percent and 36 percent, instead of the current 35 percent  
> and 33 percent.  
>  
> Increase the tax rate from 15 percent to 20 percent on dividend  
> income and capital gains income for taxpayers whose income exceeds  
> the threshold amounts above.  
>  
> If Congress were to enact the Obama tax rate proposal, that is,  
> reinstate the 36 percent and 39.6 percent income tax rates for single  
> taxpayers making more than \$200,000 and for married couples making more  
> than \$250,000, the president's Office of Management and Budget says it  
> would reduce cumulative budget deficits over 10 years by nearly \$430  
billion

But it won't. He want to spend it 3 times over the first year.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:26:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Roger Blake wrote:

> On 2012-12-19, Dan Espen <[despen@verizon.net](mailto:despen@verizon.net)> wrote:  
>> Seems like we're not dealing with adults.  
>  
> Certainly when dealing with liberals we are not. At least the adults  
> are still in charge of the House, though unfortunately they seem to be  
> unable to stand up to the constant squalling of the Demobrats.  
>  
Those are pretty bad, too. They want to put females back into the chattel category.

/BAH

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:26:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dan Espen wrote:

> Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> writes:  
>  
>> On 19/12/2012 02:32, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>>> In <PM0004D120FF2DD9FE@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/18/2012  
>>> at 02:39 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:  
>>>  
>>>> Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).  
>>>  
>>> On a kibbutz the workers \*are\* the owners.  
>>>  
>>>> No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer  
>>>> hours than those who work under a collective org.  
>>>  
>>> A partnership is a collective; does that mean that the partners slack  
>>> off?  
>>  
>> No, it means that on a collective farm the workers are serfs and like  
>> the land are owned by absentee landlords.  
>  
> The failures of communism come from a failure to recognize human nature.  
>  
> I'd say that a Kibbutz with religious overtones and a justified siege  
> mentality is not quite the same. You just might convince workers to  
> work for the common good.  
>  
that only works for a while....not years and decades.

/BAH

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:26:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JimP. wrote:

> On Wed, 19 Dec 2012 15:27:59 -0500, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>  
> wrote:  
>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:  
>>  
>>> On Dec 19, 12:19 pm, Peter Flass <Peter\_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
>>>> trying to stir up class warfare, and now he's going to have to back out

>>>> of it.  
>>>  
>>> Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored to unite the  
>>> country and political opposition. But the 'right' and the Republicans  
>>> consistently reject his offers.  
>>>  
>>> The 'right' has constantly portrays Obama as a leftist extremist all  
>>> set to impose a communist doctrine upon the country--from massive tax  
>>> hikes to government confiscation of all industries. But the record  
>>> shows he has made no effort to do any of the horrible things they  
>>> claim.  
>>>  
>>> Given all that, it sure seems it is the 'right' that is pushing class  
>>> warfare, not Obama.  
>>  
>> Class warfare?  
>>  
>> Funny how they get away with equating a small adjustment in taxes to a  
>> rate in effect 12 years ago as WAR.  
>>  
>> Seems like we're not dealing with adults.  
>  
> Precisely.

Unfortunately, it's more serious than that. those people don't know  
how work gets done.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:26:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rod Speed wrote:

>  
>  
> "jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
> news:PM0004D135CF2A83D6@ac81665e.ipt.aol.com...  
>> Walter Bushell wrote:  
>>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>  
>>> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> How can something be Communist  
>>>> and Nazi at the same time?  
>>>  
>>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.  
>>>

>> <GRIN> And most of those governments got their initial training by the  
>> Nazis.  
>  
> Pigs arse they did.

Go read some history books but include reading comprehension when  
you do.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:26:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Patrick Scheible wrote:

> Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> writes:

>

>> On 19/12/2012 15:19, jmfbahciv wrote:

>>> Dave Garland wrote:

>>>> On 12/18/2012 1:06 PM, Peter Flass wrote:

>>>>

>>>> > In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.

>>>> > National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community -

>>>> > vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you

>>>> > could see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A

>>>> > few grew flowers and no one had a lawn.

>>>> >

>>>>

>>>> IIRC, lawns are imitations of the groundkeeping of English (or at

>>>> least European) estates. Wikipedia says they date from the 16th c.

>>>> Do other cultures independently have the concept of a lawn being

>>>> desirable?

>>>>

>>> I'm convinced that the lawn-mentality in the US is part of the

>>> middle class trying to prove they're rich. I think lawns are

>>> ugly because its a monoculture. I see all that work going into

>>> a useless area and wonder how the mentality got that way. I'm

>>> allowed to keep my leaves, hence my dirt. A "good" middle class

>>> person removes all leaves and keeps the grass green even in

>>> a drought.

>>>

>>> /BAH

>>>

>> The English have lawns, so the Middle Class are just pretending to be

>> British.

>

> Lots of nice games can be played on lawns. Frisbee, touch football,

> etc. Mowing is a simple and pleasant chore, unlike weeding a crop or  
> flower bed.

You need a big yard for those games. The areas here aren't that big.  
Instead parents allow their kids to play in the street.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:26:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 19, 12:19 pm, Peter Flass <Peter\_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
>> trying to stir up class warfare, and now he's going to have to back out  
>> of it.

>

> Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored to unite the  
> country and political opposition. But the 'right' and the Republicans  
> consistently reject his offers.

What world do you live on? Obama has continued his Presidential  
campaign flying here and there (spending millions of tax dollars) to  
repeat his "let's screw the rich" speech.

those "rich" happen to create wealth. That means jobs. Take away their  
incentive and/or ability to put money back into their businesses and  
you stop wealth creation.

JHFC!

>

> The 'right' has constantly portrays Obama as a leftist extremist all  
> set to impose a communist doctrine upon the country--from massive tax  
> hikes to government confiscation of all industries. But the record  
> shows he has made no effort to do any of the horrible things they  
> claim.

>

> Given all that, it sure seems it is the 'right' that is pushing class  
> warfare, not Obama.

This is unbelievable. No wonder you all aren't understanding what  
I write; you've got a 100% efficient reality filter. It's gotta

be the water.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:26:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Scott Lurndal wrote:

> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:  
>> In <kapnfn\$hno\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/18/2012  
>> at 07:33 AM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:  
>>  
>>> With Obamacare the government is making an attempt  
>>> to "tame" churches.  
>>  
>> Pravda.  
>>  
>  
> Westboro. Needs taming badly.

The bikers kept them out of town last summer when a funeral was going to happen. I'd like them to change their name. Westboro is town in Mass (which is south of Northboro) and I resent their obscending the name.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:26:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Andrew Swallow wrote:

> On 19/12/2012 14:56, Scott Lurndal wrote:  
>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular vote  
>>> was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
>>> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a sign  
>>> that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his  
>>> campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate  
>>> and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the  
>>> "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.  
>>  
>> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the

>> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).  
>>  
>> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the first  
>> time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes) as a  
>> "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.  
>>  
>> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge margins,  
>> the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll never be able  
>> to leave.  
>>  
>  
> 'tax the rich' is a code phrase that means introduce maximum  
> exploitation of the workers in 2 years time.

Yup.

>  
> Obamacare will be as expensive as health care by insurance company, you  
> will just have to pay for it via extra taxes.

Insurance rates are increasing in a couple of months.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:26:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 19, 1:13 pm, Andrew Swallow <am.swal...@btinternet.com> wrote:  
>  
>> Obamacare will be as expensive as health care by insurance company, you  
>> will just have to pay for it via extra taxes.  
>  
> The present US health insurance system is an utter failure. But the  
> Republicans consistently refused to do anything to fix it (eliminating  
> some of the massive wasteful bureaucratic overhead would be an easy  
> first step); they kept saying "let the marketplace work it out", but  
> the marketplace FAILED.  
>  
> The Democrats went and did their own thing. All the Republicans did  
> in resposne was to jump up and down and rant, not come up with a  
> superior counter solution.  
>

There were proposals. Democrat leadership never looked at them nor allowed debate.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:26:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dan Espen wrote:  
> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>  
>> But it is NOT going to reduce any deficit.  
>  
> Show us the math.  
>  
> Use the current budget as the starting point.  
>  
> No one is talking about eliminating the deficit.  
>  
EXACTLY! Any tax increase is going to be used  
to increase spending by (guesstimate) 5 times  
the new revenue.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:55:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

re:  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#21> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#25> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#27> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#29> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#30> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#31> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#32> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#34> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#35> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#36> Search Google, 1960:s-style

picture of last 30years:  
<http://johnhively.wordpress.com/2012/08/31/3107/>  
and  
<http://globalguerrillas.typepad.com/globalguerrillas/2011/10/journal-why-the-us-middle-class-is-broken.html>  
from here (now moved to archive?)

<http://www.nytimes.com/imagepages/2011/09/04/opinion/04reich-graphic.html>

part of this article

<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/09/04/opinion/sunday/jobs-will-follow-a-strengthening-of-the-middle-class.html>

other are Diamond's "Guns, Germs and Steel"

<http://www.amazon.com/Guns-Germs-Steel-Societies-ebook/dp/B000VDUWMC/>

and "Collapse: How Societies Choose to Fail or Succeed"

<http://www.amazon.com/Collapse-Societies-Succeed-Revised-ebook/dp/B004H0M8EA/>

along with

Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty

<http://www.amazon.com/Why-Nations-Fail-Prosperity-ebook/dp/B0058Z4NR8/>

has some compare&contrast w/Diamond's "Collapse: How Societies Choose to Fail or Succeed"

basically goes into more historical detail about inclusive versus exclusive ... usually translating to equality and inequality. misc.

recent posts

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012.html#1> The war on terabytes

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012.html#3> We are on the brink of a historic decision [referring to defence cuts]

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012.html#30> Age of Greed: The Triumph of Finance and the Decline of America, 1970 to the Present

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012.html#45> You may ask yourself, well, how did I get here?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012.html#87> The PC industry is heading for collapse

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012b.html#24> PC industry is heading for more change

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012b.html#77> The PC industry is heading for collapse

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012d.html#48> IBM cuts more than 1,000 U.S. workers

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#31> PC industry is heading for more change

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#34> The never-ending SCO lawsuit

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#35> The Dallas Fed Is Calling For The Immediate Breakup Of Large Banks

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#36> The never-ending SCO lawsuit

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#57> speculation

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#60> Candid Communications & Tweaking Curiosity, Tools to Consider

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#70> Disruptive Thinkers: Defining the Problem

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#2> Did they apply Boyd's concepts?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#32> Back to the future: convict labor returns to America

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#35> Inequality and Investment Bubbles: A Clearer Link Is Established

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#70> The Army and Special Forces: The Fantasy Continues

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#80> The Failure of Central Planning

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#84> How do you feel about the fact that India has more employees than US?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#80> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#3> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#10> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#15> Imbecilic Constitution  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#18> How do you feel about the fact that India has more employees than US?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#27> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#32> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#38> Other Than In Computers, Civilization Basically Stopped Progressing In The 1960s  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#44> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#46> How do you feel about the fact that today India has more IBM employees than US?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#1> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#10> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#48> Thousands Of IBM Employees Got A Nasty Surprise Yesterday: Here's The Email They Saw  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#85> Naked emperors, holy cows and Libor  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#81> GBP13tn: hoard hidden from taxman by global elite  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#7> Is there a connection between your strategic and tactical assertions?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#40> Core characteristics of resilience  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#42> The IBM "Open Door" policy  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#45> If all of the American earned dollars hidden in off shore accounts were uncovered and taxed do you think we would be able to close the deficit gap?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#13> Cultural attitudes towards failure  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#17> Cultural attitudes towards failure  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#53> CALCULATORS  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#85> Singer Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#29> Cultural attitudes towards failure  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#34> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#39> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#65> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#83> Protected: R.I.P. Containment  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012o.html#71> Is orientation always because what has been observed? What are your 'direct' experiences?

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Stan Dandy Liver](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 16:54:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:55:39 -0000, <[greymausg@mail.com](mailto:greymausg@mail.com)> wrote:

> On 2012-12-19, Charlie Gibbs <[cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid](mailto:cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid)> wrote:

>> In article <4AkAs.208320\$la6.193548@fed08.iad>, scott@slp53.sl.home  
>> (Scott Lurndal) writes:  
>>  
>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>  
>>>> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular  
>>>> vote was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
>>>> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a  
>>>> sign that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and  
>>>> his campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive  
>>>> candidate and the White House kept his campaign off balance all  
>>>> summer with the "BS of the week" rather than actually talking  
>>>> about any issues.  
>>>  
>>> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
>>> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).  
>>>  
>>> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the  
>>> first time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes)  
>>> as a "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.  
>>  
>> Heck, here in Canada, with our multi-party system, candidates  
>> routinely win (and claim a "mandate") with only 40% of the vote.  
>>  
>>> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge  
>>> margins, the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll  
>>> never be able to leave.  
>>  
>> If you guys manage to get rid of your right-wingers, could you  
>> come up here and tell us how to do it?  
>>  
>  
> There were elections in the UK recently for some sort  
> of police post, there were reports of some voting stations  
> with less than five voters.  
>  
>  
Locally "we" elected an ex-police chief. He immediately appointed a mate^w  
a retired policeman of any years experience as his deputy.

--

[dash dash space newline 4line sig]

Money/Life question

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 16:57:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article

<a71bf98f-fadf-4458-bde1-7bbcbaf9bdb6@p17g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>,  
hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com (hancock4) writes:

- > But I like your other idea of a Soviet state. As the Commisar of
- > EAM equipment, I have bold plans. You people better study up on
- > your plugboard wiring\*.

You can probably find a willing cadre right here in this newsfroup.

- > \*I'm sure I find can find something in Marxist-Lennist-Stalinist
- > writing that says a utopian state uses punch cards and vacuum tubes.
- > Indeed, I think, serously, Lenin did say to seize the radio stations
- > for revolution; well, they had lots of radio tubes.

Yes, but nowadays he'd have to worry about those upstart  
transistorized transmitters...

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:07:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <50d21b8a\$4\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,  
spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid (Seymour J.) writes:

- > In <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212191051270.14656@darkstar.example.org>, on
- > 12/19/2012 at 10:57 AM, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> said:
- >
- >> But someone pointed out the similarities between the nazis and the
- >> Russian communists, and I think that's fair.
- >
- > Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling case
- > for the fat right nuts and the far left nuts being brothers under
- > the skin.

I see the left-right spectrum not as a line but as part of a  
circle. The ends join around at the back side. Near there,

on the left side, are people like Mao and Stalin, while on the right side are McCarthy and Hitler. I can't figure out which side the Taliban are on, so they're probably right at the back, where extremism is at a maximum and personal freedom is at a minimum.

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:33:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <50d30c0455fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>, spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid (Seymour J.) writes:

> In <\_vpAs.245227\$GT3.168360@fed03.iad>, on 12/19/2012

> at 08:32 PM, scott@slp53.sl.home (Scott Lurndal) said:

>

>> Indeed. These are the same people who complain about the soi disant

>> "war on christmas".

>

> The republicans complain about the puritans?

Pretty darned close. The other day the Vancouver Sun ran their annual editorial exhorting us to go out and spend, spend, spend in the name of The Economy. This year they went so far as to compare those who don't go into a shopping frenzy to Oliver Cromwell, the original uber-Puritan Christmas humbug. (For some strange reason, my rebuttal didn't get printed.)

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:36:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <20121219204900@news.eternal-september.org>,

rogblake@iname.invalid (Roger Blake) writes:

> On 2012-12-19, Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:  
>  
>> If you guys manage to get rid of your right-wingers, could you  
>> come up here and tell us how to do it?  
>  
> Ah, so you want a Soviet-style one-party system, eh Comrade?

Here in Canada we already have it.

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:42:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote in message  
news:5b86043b-1110-4f11-b5d6-a9418d72d2de@a15g2000vbf.googlegroups.com...

> On Dec 20, 8:26 am, Peter Flass <Peter\_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:  
>

>> Constantly harping about "the rich" or "the 1%" as if they were  
>> different from the rest of us. If someone worked hard or had an  
>> original idea and made money because of it, more power to him. I used  
>> to complain about athletes' salaries, but they're not getting it at  
>> gunpoint, obviously someone thinks they're worth it. (I still don't, I  
>> prefer minor league sports where the players are not making exhorbitant  
>> salaries).  
>> We're not rich or poor, we're Americans. Wealthy people give lots of  
>> money to charity.

> The "1%" \_are\_ different than the rest of us.

Corse they are.

> The rest of us, especially with families, have to worry about money all  
> the time.

Nope, well paid professionals don't, particularly  
when there are two of them as the bread winners.

> For the middle class: Can we afford the house? It needs a new  
> furnace The new car? The kids need braces. Daughter wants expensive

> clothes. Son wants a car. Can we afford a vacation? A fancy dinner?

Most of that isn't worry, it's just considered, not worried about.

And even the 1% have to consider it with the houses too.

- > For the lower class it's much harder, since
- > food and rent are barely all they can afford,

Oh bullshit.

- > and only after careful shopping and taking
- > from the bottom of the barrel to save money.

More bullshit. The absolute vast bulk of the working class do nothing of the sort.

- > In essence, the 1% does not have to worry about the basic comforts.

Neither do middle class professionals.

- > They know they will always have plenty of food,
- > clothes, shelter and have thick cushions for trouble.

Same with middle class professionals.

- > The rest of us do not have the luxury to afford such safety cushions.

Oh bullshit. The only middle class professionals who don't are those who choose not to bother with those safety cushions, particularly insurance.

- > Having all that comforts does affect one's outlook on things.

Of course it does, but that isn't restricted to just the 1%

- > The 1% develops an attitude "well, we made it, so everyone should, too",
- > failing to realize luck played a part, too, in addition to brains and hard
- > work.

Luck almost never does with middle class professionals.

They don't even need the hard work if their brains are good enough and they essentially get very well paid for doing what they would happily do for free if they didn't need an income.

- > The 1% can get pretty damned arrogant about it, actually.

Some can, others like Gates and Buffet and Brin don't.

> Further, many folks don't have much skills

Yes, but that's mostly because they never bothered to acquire them.

> --should they have to worry about where their next rent is coming from?

Should those who don't bother to get any skills  
have that handed to them by the state regardless ?

Not when the unemployment rate has bottomed at 4.x% they shouldn't.

> Shouldn't they have decent schools so their kids can move up?

They have those everywhere. The problem  
is that so few of them bother to use them.

> The 1% may even pay less of a proportion of their income  
> in taxes than the rest of us (as Warren Buffet explained).

Yes, but they do pay a hell of a lot more in total than the  
rest of us with the exception of the most flagrant tax cheats.

> Capital gains are taxed at a lower rate than  
> ordinary income and only when the stock is sold;

But that's paid on the notional capital gain which much of  
the time is not necessarily even a gain in real terms at all.

That's why capital gains are taxed like that.

> lower income people can't safely afford  
> to get involved in riskier investments

Bullshit they can't. They can get 110% loans on real estate,  
with sucker interest rates that mean that you can use that  
10% for the first payments, flip the property before the  
sucker rate runs out and if that's not possible, just hand  
the keys back to the bank and carry on regardless.

> and must make do with bank interest

Bullshit.

> --all fully taxable every year even if the CD isn't touched.

More bullshit with the untaxed munis etc.

- > As others have pointed out, the spread between
- > the wealthiest and the poorest has spread.

Sure, but the real living standards of those at the bottom have increased substantially over the same time period too.

- > Time reported that middle class shopping malls are hurting badly;

Because the middle class has enough of a clue to have noticed that the clowns have been allowed to completely implode much of the world financial system, AGAIN, and they worry about how long they will have their current jobs and houses for, and so have slammed their pocket books shut and are only spending on what they consider are essentials, because that's the way the middle class works.

- > the low-end malls (Walmart) are doing well,

Because the clowns have been allowed to completely implode much of the world financial system, AGAIN, it makes a lot of sense to consider the price of what you do decide to spend money on.

- > and the high-end malls (eg Bloomingdales) are doing well.

Because the 1% were barely affected at all by the clowns being allowed to completely implode much of the world financial system, AGAIN. Essentially because we had enough of a clue to bail out the banks and operations like AIG very early this time around so that we would not get another great depression or worse, and that worked fine, with the downside that hardly any of the 1% were affected at all.

- > CEO's (and their staffs) are making enormous salaries,
- > even big payoffs when they screw up while their mere
- > workers get kicked out onto the street with nothing.

They never end up on the street with nothing. There is always govt welfare and usually retrenchment benefits too.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:45:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbaahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D149EEC86E2B@ac82fc10.ipt.aol.com...

> Scott Lurndal wrote:  
>> jmfba@civ <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>> Dan Espen wrote:  
>>  
>>>> The studies all confirmed, taxing the wealthy does not cause an  
>>>> economic slow down, and can reduce deficits.  
>>>  
>>> But it is NOT going to reduce any deficit. The increased spending  
>>> which Obama is insisting be included won't even get paid with his  
>>> proposed tax increase.  
>>  
>> I'm sorry, but your statement doesn't agree with the facts of the  
>> matter.  
>>  
>> [http://www.politifact.com/new-hampshire/statements/2012/dec/16/kelly-ayotte/  
> obamas-budget-was-flop-both-parties-says-kelly-ayo/](http://www.politifact.com/new-hampshire/statements/2012/dec/16/kelly-ayotte/obamas-budget-was-flop-both-parties-says-kelly-ayo/)  
>>  
>> [http://nbcpolitics.nbcnews.com/\\_news/2012/12/03/15647710-income-tax-rates-ju  
> st-one-piece-of-obama-proposal?lite](http://nbcpolitics.nbcnews.com/_news/2012/12/03/15647710-income-tax-rates-just-one-piece-of-obama-proposal?lite)  
>>  
>> Obama wants the top two marginal income tax rates to be 39.6  
>> percent and 36 percent, instead of the current 35 percent  
>> and 33 percent.  
>>  
>> Increase the tax rate from 15 percent to 20 percent on dividend  
>> income and capital gains income for taxpayers whose income exceeds  
>> the threshold amounts above.  
>>  
>> If Congress were to enact the Obama tax rate proposal, that is,  
>> reinstate the 36 percent and 39.6 percent income tax rates for single  
>> taxpayers making more than \$200,000 and for married couples making more  
>> than \$250,000, the president's Office of Management and Budget says it  
>> would reduce cumulative budget deficits over 10 years by nearly \$430  
>> billion

> But it won't. He want to spend it 3 times over the first year.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

If that was so, the OMB wouldn't have said what it did say.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:46:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/20/2012 7:26 AM, Peter Flass wrote:  
> On 12/19/2012 3:02 PM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>> In <kassj8\$tk8\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012  
>> at 12:19 PM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:  
>>  
>>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
>>> trying to stir up class warfare,  
>>  
>> More BS.  
>>  
>  
> Constantly harping about "the rich" or "the 1%" as if they were  
> different from the rest of us.

"The rich are different from you and me. " -F. Scott Fitzgerald  
"Yes, they have more money." - Ernest Hemingway

> We're not rich or poor, we're Americans.

Those qualities are independent of each other.

Wealthy people give lots of  
> money to charity.

As a percentage of income, they give less than poor people. They're  
just more conspicuous, poor people don't get buildings named after them.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:47:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D14A2C7F7F2D@ac82fc10.ipt.aol.com...  
> Roger Blake wrote:  
>> On 2012-12-19, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:  
>>> Seems like we're not dealing with adults.  
>>  
>> Certainly when dealing with liberals we are not. At least the adults  
>> are still in charge of the House, though unfortunately they seem to be  
>> unable to stand up to the constant squalling of the Demobrats.  
>>  
> Those are pretty bad, too. They want to put females back into the  
> chattel category.

Like hell they do, and whatever they want, it aint gunna happen anyway.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:48:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D149CEA53338@ac82fc10.ipt.aol.com...

> Dan Espen wrote:

>> Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> writes:

>>>

>>>> On 19/12/2012 02:32, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>>>>> In <PM0004D120FF2DD9FE@ac813e5e.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/18/2012

>>>>> at 02:39 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

>>>>>

>>>>> > Kibbutz (I couldn't remember the word yesterday).

>>>>>

>>>>> On a kibbutz the workers \*are\* the owners.

>>>>>

>>>>> > No, I'm saying that an owner has more incentive to work longer

>>>>> > hours than those who work under a collective org.

>>>>>

>>>>> A partnership is a collective; does that mean that the partners slack

>>>>> off?

>>>>

>>> No, it means that on a collective farm the workers are serfs and like

>>> the land are owned by absentee landlords.

>>

>> The failures of communism come from a failure to recognize human nature.

>>

>> I'd say that a Kibbutz with religious overtones and a justified siege

>> mentality is not quite the same. You just might convince workers to

>> work for the common good.

>>

> that only works for a while....not years and decades.

Its worked for centurys with self supporting monasteries.

And even the kibbutz do work for years, and sometimes decades too.

Even some of the hippy communes work for years, tho not often decades.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:51:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <50d30951\$4\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid>

wrote:

> In <icobhpvlbk.fsf@home.home>, on 12/19/2012  
> at 03:27 PM, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> said:  
>  
>> Funny how they get away with equating a small adjustment in taxes  
>> to a rate in effect 12 years ago as WAR.  
>  
> While the "47%" remark is not class warfare.

And IIRC Warren Buffet has stated that 'There is class warfare and the rest of us are losing it.'

--

This space unintentionally left blank.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:51:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D149C2A409B5@ac82fc10.ipt.aol.com...

> Rod Speed wrote:

>>

>>

>> "jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message

>> news:PM0004D135CF2A83D6@ac81665e.ipt.aol.com...

>>> Walter Bushell wrote:

>>>> In article <icobhrxlaw.fsf@home.home>, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>

>>>> wrote:

>>>>

>>>> > How can something be Communist

>>>> > and Nazi at the same time?

>>>>

>>>> The same way one can be a Communist and an Islamacist.

>>>>

>>> <GRIN> And most of those governments got their initial training by the

>>> Nazis.

>>

>> Pigs arse they did.

>

> Go read some history books

Been doing that since long before you ever did, thanks.

> but include reading comprehension when you do.

Any 2 year old could leave that for dead.

Fuck all of those govts ever got their initial training by the Nazis.

Have fun listing any that actually did.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:52:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/20/2012 7:01 AM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> What do you have against Harpo Marxism? And shirley you mean Groucho  
> Marxism.  
>

I prefer Gaucho Marxism myself.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:52:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <[See.above@aol.com](mailto:See.above@aol.com)> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D149CA3F865A@ac82fc10.ipt.aol.com...

> Patrick Scheible wrote:

>> Andrew Swallow <[am.swallow@btinternet.com](mailto:am.swallow@btinternet.com)> writes:

>>

>>> On 19/12/2012 15:19, jmfbahciv wrote:

>>>> Dave Garland wrote:

>>>> > On 12/18/2012 1:06 PM, Peter Flass wrote:

>>>> >

>>>> >> In his soul every Russian is a farmer, or something like that.

>>>> >> National Geographic recently had an article about a dacha community -

>>>> >> vacation homes of city people - north of Moscow. In the photos you

>>>> >> could see that the "back yards" were almost all vegetable plots. A

>>>> >> few grew flowers and no one had a lawn.

>>>> >>

>>>> >

>>>> > IIRC, lawns are imitations of the groundkeeping of English (or at

>>>> > least European) estates. Wikipedia says they date from the 16th c.

>>>> > Do other cultures independently have the concept of a lawn being

>>>> > desirable?

>>>> >

>>>> >> I'm convinced that the lawn-mentality in the US is part of the

>>>> >> middle class trying to prove they're rich. I think lawns are

>>>> >> ugly because its a monoculture. I see all that work going into

>>>> >> a useless area and wonder how the mentality got that way. I'm

>>>> allowed to keep my leaves, hence my dirt. A "good" middle class  
>>>> person removes all leaves and keeps the grass green even in  
>>>> a drought.  
>>>>  
>>>> /BAH  
>>>>  
>>> The English have lawns, so the Middle Class are just pretending to be  
>>> British.  
>>>  
>> Lots of nice games can be played on lawns. Frisbee, touch football,  
>> etc. Mowing is a simple and pleasant chore, unlike weeding a crop or  
>> flower bed.  
>>  
> You need a big yard for those games. The areas here aren't that big.  
> Instead parents allow their kids to play in the street.

Or the park.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:01:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"jmfbaiciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D14A277DEF63@ac82fc10.ipt.aol.com...  
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:  
>> On Dec 19, 12:19 pm, Peter Flass <Peter\_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
>>> trying to stir up class warfare, and now he's going to have to back out  
>>> of it.  
>>>  
>> Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored to unite the  
>> country and political opposition. But the 'right' and the Republicans  
>> consistently reject his offers.  
>>  
> What world do you live on? Obama has continued his Presidential  
> campaign flying here and there (spending millions of tax dollars) to  
> repeat his "let's screw the rich" speech.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

Those netting more than 250K aren't anything like 'the rich' with small  
business.

And he isnt proposing screwing even those, just increasing  
the tax rate paid on income over 250K by 3%. That's nothing  
even remotely resembling screwing even those. JUST restoring

what they had to pay previously.

> those "rich" happen to create wealth.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

An increase of 3% on whats earned OVER \$250K wont stop wealth creation.

> That means jobs.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

When everyone but the 1% have slammed their pocket books shut now that your fools allowed the clowns to completely implode much of the world financial system, and they are worried about how long they will have their current jobs and houses for, taking whats earned over \$250K by 3% more will have no effect what so ever on jobs, essentially because the govt will spend that money employing more people doing what the voters have decided they want govt to do, mostly in the military fighting the wars your fools were actually stupid enough to piss trillions of bucks against the wall on.

> Take away their incentive and/or ability to put money  
> back into their businesses and you stop wealth creation.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

An extra 3% on whats earned over \$250K wont stop wealth creation.

> JHFC!

JHUY!!!

>> The 'right' has constantly portrays Obama as a leftist extremist all  
>> set to impose a communist doctrine upon the country--from massive tax  
>> hikes to government confiscation of all industries. But the record shows  
>> he has made no effort to do any of the horrible things they claim.

>> Given all that, it sure seems it is the 'right' that is pushing class  
>> warfare, not Obama.

> This is unbelievable.

Nope.

> No wonder you all arent' understanding what  
> I write; youv'e got a 100% efficient reality filter.

Nope, you have. It was YOUR clown that raved on about the 47%

> It's gotta be the water.

In your case it's the ear to ear dog shit.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:07:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:

> In <icobhplbk.fsf@home.home>, on 12/19/2012  
> at 03:27 PM, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> said:  
>  
>> Funny how they get away with equating a small adjustment in taxes  
>> to a rate in effect 12 years ago as WAR.  
>  
> While the "47%" remark is not class warfare.

Neither is WAR. War kills and injures people.  
Words should be used to convey meaning, not incite.

--  
Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:07:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D149E303405F@ac82fc10.ipt.aol.com...  
> Scott Lurndal wrote:  
>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:  
>>> In <kapnfn\$hno\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/18/2012  
>>> at 07:33 AM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:  
>>>  
>>>> With Obamacare the government is making an attempt  
>>>> to "tame" churches.  
>>>  
>>> Pravda.  
>>>  
>>  
>> Westboro. Needs taming badly.

- >
- > The bikers kept them out of town last summer when a funeral
- > was going to happen. I'd like them to change their name.
- > Westboro is town in Mass (which is south of Northboro) and
- > I resent their obsconding the name.

No such word as obsconding and even absconding doesn't work there either.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:10:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:

- > In <icwqwdvImp.fsf@home.home>, on 12/19/2012
- > at 03:21 PM, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> said:
- >
- >> There not into dictatorships as much as they seek religious input
- >> to their governance.
- >
- > When the religous leaders control who is allowed to run for office,
- > that's dictatorship. The mullahs in Iran have have more than mere
- > "input".

Have you read the wikipedia page on Iran?

They appeared to have all kinds of checks and balances, some even leading into the clergy. It left my head spinning as to where the anti-democratic loop holes were.

Any time you have a country endorsing one religion over another, I think that's bad. Iran is no exception.

--  
Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:13:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbaahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D14A058BA074@ac82fc10.ipt.aol.com...

- > Andrew Swallow wrote:
- >> On 19/12/2012 14:56, Scott Lurndal wrote:
- >>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:
- >>>

>>>> You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular  
>>>> vote  
>>>> was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
>>>> majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a sign  
>>>> that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and his  
>>>> campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive candidate  
>>>> and the White House kept his campaign off balance all summer with the  
>>>> "BS of the week" rather than actually talking about any issues.  
>>>  
>>> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
>>> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).  
>>>  
>>> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the  
>>> first  
>>> time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes) as a  
>>> "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.  
>>>  
>>> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge margins,  
>>> the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll never be able  
>>> to leave.  
>>>  
>>  
>> 'tax the rich' is a code phrase that means introduce maximum  
>> exploitation of the workers in 2 years time.

> Yup.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

When the BOTTOM HALF of the US pays no net federal income tax, it aint gunna happen.

>> Obamacare will be as expensive as health care by insurance  
>> company, you will just have to pay for it via extra taxes.

> Insurance rates are increasing in a couple of months.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

Not because of Obamacare they arent.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:14:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbaahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D14A09A266C9@ac82fc10.ipt.aol.com...

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:  
>> On Dec 19, 1:13 pm, Andrew Swallow <am.swal...@btinternet.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>> Obamacare will be as expensive as health care by insurance company, you  
>>> will just have to pay for it via extra taxes.  
>>  
>> The present US health insurance system is an utter failure. But the  
>> Republicans consistently refused to do anything to fix it (eliminating  
>> some of the massive wasteful bureaucratic overhead would be an easy  
>> first step); they kept saying "let the marketplace work it out", but  
>> the marketplace FAILED.  
>>  
>> The Democrats went and did their own thing. All the Republicans did  
>> in resposne was to jump up and down and rant, not come up with a  
>> superior counter solution.

> There were proposals.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

> Democrat leadership never looked at them nor allowed debate.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

They never got to decide what was debated.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:15:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"jmfbahciv" <See.above@aol.com> wrote in message  
news:PM0004D149EA0E1EEB@ac82fc10.ipt.aol.com...

> Dan Espen wrote:  
>> jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>>  
>>> But it is NOT going to reduce any deficit.  
>>  
>> Show us the math.  
>>  
>> Use the current budget as the starting point.  
>>  
>> No one is talking about eliminating the deficit.  
>>  
> EXACTLY! Any tax increase is going to be used  
> to increase spending by (guesstimate) 5 times  
> the new revenue.

Another Limbaugh lie mindlessly respewed.

You can't even keep the multiplier straight.

That's the problem with lies, they are hard to remember the detail used.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:19:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message news:1149.772T2773T5476086@kltpzyxm.invalid...  
> In article <50d21b8a\$4\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,  
> spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid (Seymour J.) writes:  
>  
>> In <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212191051270.14656@darkstar.example.org>, on  
>> 12/19/2012 at 10:57 AM, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> said:  
>>  
>>> But someone pointed out the similarities between the nazis and the  
>>> Russian communists, and I think that's fair.  
>>  
>> Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling case  
>> for the fat right nuts and the far left nuts being brothers under  
>> the skin.  
>  
> I see the left-right spectrum not as a line but as part of a  
> circle. The ends join around at the back side. Near there,  
> on the left side, are people like Mao and Stalin, while on the  
> right side are McCarthy and Hitler. I can't figure out which  
> side the Taliban are on,

So your silly little analogy has blown up in your face and covered you with black stuff, again.

> so they're probably right at the back, where extremism  
> is at a maximum and personal freedom is at a minimum.

Even sillier.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:22:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message news:592.772T2648T5615781@kltpzyxm.invalid...

> In article <kav39b\$tjr\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com  
> (Peter Flass) writes:  
>  
>> Constantly harping about "the rich" or "the 1%" as if they were  
>> different from the rest of us.  
>  
> Some studies suggest that they are.  
>  
> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/44084236/ns/health-behavior/t/rich-are-different-not-good-way-studies-suggest/#.UNNIga12TGg>

Pity about Gates, Buffet, Brin, etc etc etc that are nothing like that.

Just another completely mindless wank.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:32:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> wrote in message  
news:kav7b8\$lud\$1@dont-email.me...  
> "Roger Blake" <rogblake@iname.invalid> wrote in message  
> news:20121219205258@news.eternal-september.org...  
>> On 2012-12-19, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:  
>>> Of course it's obvious.  
>>  
>> What is "obvious" to anyone who understands the intent of the  
>> Constitution and the principles of limited government is that the  
>> feds have no authority to impose "universal medicare." In fact,  
>> judge Janet Rogers Brown was 100% in her statement that it is  
>> not possible to fit Social Security and Medicare even as we know  
>> them today in the framework of the Constitution.  
>>  
>> The federal government has no legitimate business being involved in  
>> wealth redistribution. All federal entitlement programs should be ended.  
>> Period.  
  
> As a matter of fact, in my college US government class we learned:  
> "Government decides who gets what of value in this county."

Just because some fool claims something, doesn't make it gospel.

> They do this largely via taxation.

Not possible when the stinking rich can easily avoid that.

> Manipulating who pays the most tax... \*is\* a way of distributing wealth.

Yes, but it can never be the most important determiner of how wealth is distributed in an economy like the US where its actually economic success by the likes of Gates, Brin, Murdoch, the Waltons etc that actually determine how wealth is actually distributed.

> Government \*is\* about weilding authority.

Sure, but redistributing wealth via the taxation system works very badly indeed with the stinking rich who find it so easy to avoid any taxation you try to impose on them.

> In the US, we have "checks and balances" supposed to keep any one person  
> from attaining too much power.

And that works very well indeed and even avoids some arsehole like Putin driving an entire aircraft carrier battle group thru the checks and balances.

> And we have the right to petition government "for a redress of  
> grievances".

And that normally gets filed in the round filing cabinet under some paper shuffler's desk.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:32:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Walter Bushell" <proto@panix.com> wrote in message news:proto-9A1506.08320220122012@news.panix.com...

> In article <katqvo\$ts8\$1@dont-email.me>,

> Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>

>> No, I don't understand why Obama doesn't realize that the Republicans  
>> will not join with him, no matter what he does, no matter how much he  
>> gives up, that anything short of unconditional surrender is  
>> unacceptable to them.

> And the Republicans state outright they won't  
> compromise. But Obama is just the "good" cop.

Just another utterly mindless conspiracy theory.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Banks](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:37:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Charlie Gibbs wrote:

> In article ?20121219204900@news.eternal-september.org?,  
> rogblake@iname.invalid (Roger Blake) writes:  
>  
> ? On 2012-12-19, Charlie Gibbs ?cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid? wrote:  
> ?  
> ?? If you guys manage to get rid of your right-wingers, could you  
> ?? come up here and tell us how to do it?  
> ?  
> ? Ah, so you want a Soviet-style one-party system, eh Comrade?  
>  
> Here in Canada we already have it.

For the Americans it is a conservative one party system...

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:40:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote  
> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote  
>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote

>>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS.  
>>> He spent the election trying to stir up class warfare,

Corse the mormon bishop did nothing like that with his 47% claim, eh ?

>> More BS.

> Constantly harping about "the rich" or "the 1%"  
> as if they were different from the rest of us.

Corse they are on

> If someone worked hard or had an original idea  
> and made money because of it, more power to him.

When the BOTTOM HALF of the US pay no net federal  
income tax, SOMEONE has to pay for what the voters  
have decided they want govt to do.

Why should it only be the salaried middle class and the better paid wage earners that pay for that stuff ?

- > I used to complain about athletes' salaries,
- > but they're not getting it at gunpoint,

Neither is the govt. Its just deducted from your pay packet before you even see it.

- > obviously someone thinks they're worth it.

Someone obviously thinks the CEOs paid utterly obscene money to drive their operation into the ground obviously thinks they are worth it too.

They aint.

- > (I still don't, I prefer minor league sports where
- > the players are not making exhorbitant salaries).

I prefer those who provide something useful to society instead, even if that's just cleaning the toilets and moving the trash and wiping little kids arses down the preschool etc.

- > We're not rich or poor, we're Americans.

You're all three of those, and comfortably off too.

- > Wealthy people give lots of money to charity.

Much less as a percentage of their money than poor people do.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:43:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Shmuel (Seymour J.)Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote  
> Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> wrote

>> Certainly when dealing with liberals we are not.

- > There are few if any liberals in the US any more;

Oh bullshit. They just don't have any real political power.

There are still fools like Chomsky around.

- > 3rd parties are moribund and the Democrats
- > have been drifting to the right for decades.

ALL of politics has been drifting to the right for decades, largely because the extreme left of politics has been shown to work much less well than the center of politics does.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:45:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Shmuel (Seymour J.)Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote  
> Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> wrote

>> Communism or more properly Marxist Leninism was very atheist.

> There is no god and Marx is his prophet! Effectively it was a religion,

Pigs arse it was. We have different words for a reason.

> and heretics were treated harshly.

Doesn't just happen with religions and plenty of religions don't treat their heretics harshly or even have heretics at all.

> BTW, what is the current consensus as to how Lenin died?

Who cares ? Current consensus is completely irrelevant.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:49:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> wrote  
> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz<spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote  
>> Charles Richmond <numerist@aquaporin4.com> wrote

>>> ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism)

>>> and Communism is different

>> The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis.

>> There were significant ideological differences among

>> Italy, Germany and the USSR during WW II.

> but not \*significant\* diffs.

Yes, VERY significant diffs.

- > Mussolini: fascism is bundling of corporations & state government.
- > Stalin:
- > Schicklgruber:
  
- > Nazi's started as "blood and country" socialists and transformed
- > to (killed of socialist branch Rohm) fascists, both authoritarian.

And that's nothing like russia.

- > Fascism means coersive bundling and usury.

Its about a hell of a lot more than just that.

- > Basically all authoritarians want to bundle.
  
- > Socialism, communism, fascism,
- > they are all authoritarian types.

But are radically different in other areas.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Stan Dandy Liver](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:49:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 20 Dec 2012 16:54:15 -0000, Stanley Daniel de Liver  
<notagoodone@invalid.org.invalid> wrote:

- > On Wed, 19 Dec 2012 19:55:39 -0000, <greymausg@mail.com> wrote:  
>  
>> On 2012-12-19, Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:  
>>> In article <4AkAs.208320\$la6.193548@fed08.iad>, scott@slp53.sl.home  
>>> (Scott Lurndal) writes:  
>>>  
>>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>>  
>>>> > You're peddling the Obama line of BS. From what I read the popular  
>>>> > vote was something like 51 percent to 47. This isn't exactly a "vast  
>>>> > majority" or a "mandate" that the O-man is trying to claim, it's a  
>>>> > sign that he'd better tread pretty carefully. I blame Romney, and  
>>>> > his campaign staff for the result. He was a fairly unattractive  
>>>> > candidate and the White House kept his campaign off balance all  
>>>> > summer with the "BS of the week" rather than actually talking  
>>>> > about any issues.  
>>>>

>>>> The republicans lost seats in the house, the senate, they lost the  
>>>> presidency and quite a few state offices (particularly in california).  
>>>>  
>>>> If bush can refer to both of his much closer victories (in fact the  
>>>> first time, he technically lost on both electoral and popular votes)  
>>>> as a "mandate", then obama should be granted the same ability.  
>>>  
>>> Heck, here in Canada, with our multi-party system, candidates  
>>> routinely win (and claim a "mandate") with only 40% of the vote.  
>>>  
>>>> Since polls routinely favor higher taxes on the wealthy by huge  
>>>> margins, the republicans are building themselves a bunker they'll  
>>>> never be able to leave.  
>>>  
>>> If you guys manage to get rid of your right-wingers, could you  
>>> come up here and tell us how to do it?  
>>>  
>>  
>> There were elections in the UK recently for some sort  
>> of police post, there were reports of some voting stations  
>> with less than five voters.  
>>  
>>  
> Locally "we" elected an ex-police chief. He immediately appointed a  
> mate^w a retired policeman of any years experience as his deputy.  
-----^many  
>

--

[dash dash space newline 4line sig]

Money/Life question

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:50:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Shmuel (Seymour J.)Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote  
> Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote

>> There not into dictatorships as much as they  
>> seek religious input to their governance.

> When the religous leaders control who is  
> allowed to run for office, that's dictatorship.

Nope, just no separation between church and state.

> The mullahs in Iran have have more than mere "input".

Sure, but its still just no separation between church and state, nothing like dictatorship. We have different words for a reason.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:51:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Walter Banks" <[walter@bytecrafter.com](mailto:walter@bytecrafter.com)> wrote in message  
news:50D35AF9.6BAC28C9@bytecrafter.com...

>  
>  
> Charlie Gibbs wrote:  
>  
>> In article ?20121219204900@news.eternal-september.org?,  
>> [rogblake@iname.invalid](mailto:rogblake@iname.invalid) (Roger Blake) writes:  
>>  
>> ? On 2012-12-19, Charlie Gibbs ?[cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid](mailto:cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid)? wrote:  
>> ?  
>> ?? If you guys manage to get rid of your right-wingers, could you  
>> ?? come up here and tell us how to do it?  
>> ?  
>> ? Ah, so you want a Soviet-style one-party system, eh Comrade?  
>>  
>> Here in Canada we already have it.  
>  
> For the Americans it is a conservative one party system...

Much more so than with Canada.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Banks](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:54:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[jmfbaheiv](#) wrote:

> What world do you live on? Obama has continued his Presidential  
> campaign flying here and there (spending millions of tax dollars) to  
> repeat his "let's screw the rich" speech.  
>  
> those "rich" happen to create wealth. That means jobs. Take away their  
> incentive and/or ability to put money back into their businesses and

> you stop wealth creation.

They have had a tremendous tax advantage to create jobs for a dozen years. Where are the jobs that they have created? The promise didn't work they consume far more of the federal spending and the average guy is paying for it.

A farmer in TN or CT or NH doesn't care if a \$4B airport that will jet him to Europe exists or if there is even a road connecting the states. (The NH guy already knows the world doesn't need roads) But these are the guys that are paying for these things. Who needs ATC and GPS. Let these folks pay for these things.

> Take away their incentive and/or ability to put money back  
> into their businesses and you stop wealth creation.

They still have lots of incentives to create or not jobs. It isn't about taxes. They have had concessions with a promise to create jobs on mineral extraction, oil, transportation costs, and taxes and they have not done their part. How long must the Bush tax experiment go on. The theory doesn't work.

Make it simple bring the taxes up to the 1970 levels and give the same tax breaks for real business costs that exists then. At that point most people did have a reasonable living.

/rant

w..

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:55:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-20, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

> In <kat8e8\$ci0\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012  
> at 10:15 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:

>  
>> ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is  
>> different

>  
> The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis. There were  
> significant ideological differences among Italy, Germany and the USSR  
> during WW II.

>

Main difference was that Communism was/is not racist.

--  
maus  
. . . .

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:05:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 20/12/2012 18:55, greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
> On 2012-12-20, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:  
>> In <kat8e8\$ci0\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012  
>> at 10:15 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:  
>>  
>>> ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is  
>>> different  
>>  
>> The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis. There were  
>> significant ideological differences among Italy, Germany and the USSR  
>> during WW II.  
>>  
>  
> Main difference was that Communism was/is not racist.  
>  
>  
> Black that have been to Russia report heavy discrimination.

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:21:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/20/2012 8:01 AM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
> In <proto-E8FAAA.20065719122012@news.panix.com>, on 12/19/2012  
> at 08:06 PM, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> said:  
>  
>> Communism or more properly Marxist Leninism was very atheist.  
>  
> There is no god and Marx is his prophet! Effectively it was a  
> religion, and heretics were treated harshly.

>  
> BTW, what is the current consensus as to how Lenin died?

Not soon enough.

--  
Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:21:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 20/12/2012 17:07, Charlie Gibbs wrote:

> In article <50d21b8a\$4\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,  
> spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid (Seymour J.) writes:  
>  
>> In <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212191051270.14656@darkstar.example.org>, on  
>> 12/19/2012 at 10:57 AM, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> said:  
>>  
>>> But someone pointed out the similarities between the nazis and the  
>>> Russian communists, and I think that's fair.  
>>  
>> Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling case  
>> for the fat right nuts and the far left nuts being brothers under  
>> the skin.  
>  
> I see the left-right spectrum not as a line but as part of a  
> circle. The ends join around at the back side. Near there,  
> on the left side, are people like Mao and Stalin, while on the  
> right side are McCarthy and Hitler. I can't figure out which  
> side the Taliban are on, so they're probably right at the back,  
> where extremism is at a maximum and personal freedom is at a  
> minimum.  
>

Right wing / left wing is a secular system. The Taliban are a religious group so they are on a different system. Try medieval aristocracy for villages and small towns.

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:25:21 GMT

---

"Walter Banks" <walter@bytecraft.com> wrote in message news:50D35EF9.56592A33@bytecraft.com...

>  
>  
> jmfahciv wrote:  
>  
>> What world do you live on? Obama has continued his Presidential  
>> campaign flying here and there (spending millions of tax dollars) to  
>> repeat his "let's screw the rich" speech.  
>>  
>> those "rich" happen to create wealth. That means jobs. Take away their  
>> incentive and/or ability to put money back into their businesses and  
>> you stop wealth creation.  
>  
> They have had a tremendous tax advantage to create jobs for a  
> dozen years. Where are the jobs that they have created? The  
> promise didn't work they consume far more of the federal spending  
> and the average guy is paying for it.  
>  
> A farmer in TN or CT or NH doesn't care if a \$4B airport that  
> will jet him to Europe exists or if there is even a road connecting  
> the states. (The NH guy already knows the world doesn't need  
> roads) But these are the guys that are paying for these things.  
> Who needs ATC and GPS. Let these folks pay for these things.  
>  
>  
>> Take away their incentive and/or ability to put money back  
>> into their businesses and you stop wealth creation.  
>  
> They still have lots of incentives to create or not jobs. It isn't  
> about taxes. They have had concessions with a promise to  
> create jobs on mineral extraction, oil, transportation costs,  
> and taxes and they have not done their part. How long must  
> the Bush tax experiment go on. The theory doesn't work.  
>  
> Make it simple bring the taxes up to the 1970 levels and  
> give the same tax breaks for real business costs that exists  
> then. At that point most people did have a reasonable living.

But they aren't paying any more federal taxes than they did then, so the lack of what you believe is a reasonable living must be due to something else.

And professionals employed in the medical industry have just as reasonable a living now as they did in the 70s anyway.

Rather better in fact when there are two professional incomes

involved now. That was rather less common in the 70s.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:26:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<greymausg@mail.com> wrote in message  
news:slrnkd6k9t.1vf.greymausg@gmaus.org...  
> On 2012-12-20, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:  
>> In <kat8e8\$ci0\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012  
>> at 10:15 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:  
>>  
>>> ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is  
>>> different  
>>  
>> The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis. There were  
>> significant ideological differentces among Italy, Germany and the USSR  
>> during WW II.  
>>  
>  
> Main difference was that Communism was/is not racist.

It is now, particularly in china and north korea and vietnam.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:27:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Andrew Swallow" <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote in message  
news:8qqdnb1Q2JHu\_E7NnZ2dnUVZ8uqdnZ2d@bt.com...  
> On 20/12/2012 18:55, greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
>> On 2012-12-20, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:  
>>> In <kat8e8\$ci0\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012  
>>> at 10:15 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:  
>>>  
>>>> ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is  
>>>> different  
>>>  
>>> The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis. There were  
>>> significant ideological differentces among Italy, Germany and the USSR  
>>> during WW II.  
>>>  
>>  
>> Main difference was that Communism was/is not racist.  
>>

>>

> Black that have been to Russia report heavy discrimination.

And that's what happened when the chinese were stupid enough to go for communism too.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:40:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 20, 10:26 am, jmfahciv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote:

>> Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored to unite the  
>> country and political opposition. But the 'right' and the Republicans  
>> consistently reject his offers.

>

> What world do you live on? Obama has continued his Presidential  
> campaign flying here and there (spending millions of tax dollars) to  
> repeat his "let's screw the rich" speech.

Obama never made a "screw the rich" speech.

> those "rich" happen to create wealth. That means jobs.

Actually, a big problem today is that they have NOT been creating wealth. The recent bust of the financial market was the result of trading securities in a 1929 style balloon---it was NOT investing in new businesses in the US. (The NYT did a good piece on GE taking tax breaks and investing outside the US).

So, jobs were not created.

Indeed, if anything, the rich got richer with a deep sense of entitlement. They cut back on compensation and jobs, forcing workers to do more for less in the interest of "competition", but it was really to line their pockets. Worker's real income has fallen while the very wealthy have increased their share.

> Take away their  
> incentive and/or ability to put money back into their businesses and  
> you stop wealth creation.

Nobody is taking away any incentive. That's a red herring strawman. Invest your money, you will reap rewards and keep them.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:48:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 20, 11:57 am, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgi...@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:

>> But I like your other idea of a Soviet state. As the Commisar of  
>> EAM equipment, I have bold plans. You people better study up on  
>> your plugboard wiring\*.

> You can probably find a willing cadre right here in this newsfroup.

I think the folks here are into much more modern technology. Maybe Z-80s.

>> \*I'm sure I find can find something in Marxist-Lennist-Stalinist  
>> writing that says a utopian state uses punch cards and vacuum tubes.  
>> Indeed, I think, serously, Lenin did say to seize the radio stations  
>> for revolution; well, they had lots of radio tubes.  
>  
> Yes, but nowadays he'd have to worry about those upstart  
> transistorized transmitters...

I have an asnwer for that: The IBM history (seriously) says that early transistors were made with arsenic. So, no one will want that when the word gets out.

Somewhere I read recently that they've developed microscopic vacuum tubes and molecular 'punch cards'. So, we'll just shrink down our 407's and 604's and roll with it.

"Nature describes an atomic-force microscope used for data storage by "punching" molecular bits into a plastic substrate."

"These new nano vacuum tubes have a filament and a plate that are separated by just 150 nanometers, which is a small enough distance that the odds of an electron colliding with an air molecule is pretty much zero, even without an actual vacuum going on."

<http://science.slashdot.org/story/00/11/15/152242/molecular-punchcards>

<http://dvice.com/archives/2012/05/vacuum-tubes-co.php>

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:50:42 GMT

---

On Dec 20, 12:07 pm, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgi...@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:

> I see the left-right spectrum not as a line but as part of a  
> circle. The ends join around at the back side. Near there,  
> on the left side, are people like Mao and Stalin, while on the  
> right side are McCarthy and Hitler. I can't figure out which  
> side the Taliban are on, so they're probably right at the back,  
> where extremism is at a maximum and personal freedom is at a  
> minimum.

From the point of view of the masses, none of it matters. Someone has a gun to their head at all times to behave per the 'party line'. the party line itself is always evolving, and one better keep up with it. There is intrigue and fighting at the upper levels of the party for favoritism of the supreme ruler.

The "mob" works pretty much the same way.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Michael Black](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:56:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 20 Dec 2012, Andrew Swallow wrote:

> On 20/12/2012 18:55, greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
>> On 2012-12-20, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:  
>>> In <kat8e8\$ci0\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012  
>>> at 10:15 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:  
>>>> ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is  
>>>> different  
>>>>  
>>> The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis. There were  
>>> significant ideological differences among Italy, Germany and the USSR  
>>> during WW II.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>> Main difference was that Communism was/is not racist.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
> Black that have been to Russia report heavy discrimination.  
>  
But that's complicated, since not many black people went. Some of the issue had to be lack of familiarity. I doubt there were rules against blacks, if for no other reason than there weren't enough black people in

the USSR to fuss over.

I'm sure some black radicals went over in the early days, just like at the time the Revolution was seen as something important. But a lot of them were disillusioned, some left, some didn't have much elsewhere to go. I don't know who, if any, were black.

There was that period in the thirties when US citizens went over to help, either because there was work or in effect going over as employees of US firms doing business there (since Russia was behind the curve, they needed help to ramp things up). But I don't know if they included black people.

And then the last obvious case was US deserters, I think a few went to the USSR during the Korean war, and maybe during Viet Nam. Again I don't know if any were black.

Michael

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Stan Dandy Liver](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 20:06:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:48:10 -0000, <[hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com](mailto:hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com)> wrote:

> On Dec 20, 11:57 am, "Charlie Gibbs" <[cgi...@kltpzyxm.invalid](mailto:cgi...@kltpzyxm.invalid)> wrote:

>

>>> But I like your other idea of a Soviet state. As the Commisar of  
>>> EAM equipment, I have bold plans. You people better study up on  
>>> your plugboard wiring\*.

>

>> You can probably find a willing cadre right here in this newsfroup.

>

> I think the folks here are into much more modern technology. Maybe  
> Z-80s.

>

>

>

>>> \*I'm sure I find can find something in Marxist-Lennist-Stalinist  
>>> writing that says a utopian state uses punch cards and vacuum tubes.  
>>> Indeed, I think, serously, Lenin did say to seize the radio stations  
>>> for revolution; well, they had lots of radio tubes.

>>

>> Yes, but nowadays he'd have to worry about those upstart  
>> transistorized transmitters...

>

> I have an asnwer for that: The IBM history (seriously) says that  
> early transistors were made with arsenic. So, no one will want that

> when the word gets out.  
>  
> Somewhere I read recently that they've developed microscopic vacuum  
> tubes and molecular 'punch cards'. So, we'll just shrink down our  
> 407's and 604's and roll with it.  
>  
> "Nature describes an atomic-force microscope used for data storage  
> by "punching" molecular bits into a plastic substrate."  
> "These new nano vacuum tubes have a filament and a plate that are  
> separated by just 150 nanometers, which is a small enough distance  
> that the odds of an electron colliding with an air molecule is pretty  
> much zero, even without an actual vacuum going on."  
>  
>  
> <http://science.slashdot.org/story/00/11/15/152242/molecular-punchcards>  
>

Pah, that technology is 12 years old. Ah, right "On Topic" then!

> <http://dvice.com/archives/2012/05/vacuum-tubes-co.php>  
>

--

[dash dash space newline 4line sig]

Money/Life question

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 20:14:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote  
> jmfbaiv <See.ab...@aol.com> wrote

>>> Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored  
>>> to unite the country and political opposition. But the 'right'  
>>> and the Republicans consistently reject his offers.

>> What world do you live on? Obama has continued his  
>> Presidential campaign flying here and there (spending  
>> millions of tax dollars) to repeat his "let's screw the rich" speech.

> Obama never made a "screw the rich" speech.

True.

>> those "rich" happen to create wealth. That means jobs.

> Actually, a big problem today is that they have NOT been creating wealth.

Yes.

> The recent bust of the financial market was the  
> result of trading securities in a 1929 style balloon

Nope, that was the result of the clowns being allowed to completely implode much of the world financial system, again.

> ---it was NOT investing in new businesses in the US.

That's not right, most obviously with google, facebook etc.

> (The NYT did a good piece on GE taking tax  
> breaks and investing outside the US).

But plenty others didn't do it like that.

> So, jobs were not created.

Plenty were with facebook, google etc.

Corse increasing the tax paid by those netting more than \$250K by just 3% would have had no impact on those jobs that were created.

> Indeed, if anything, the rich got richer

Yes, but so did the poor.

> with a deep sense of entitlement.

True of the poor too. And the middle class in spades.

> They cut back on compensation and jobs,

Some did, plenty didn't.

> forcing workers to do more for less in the interest of "competition",

Some did, plenty didn't.

> but it was really to line their pockets.

Nope, it was mostly to avoid going bust when the clowns had been allowed to completely implode

much of the world financial system, AGAIN.

> Worker's real income has falled

That's only true of SOME of the workers.

It isnt true of those who work for google, facebook, ebay,  
or the medical industry or the education industry etc etc etc.

> while the very wealthy have increased thier share.

So has the middle class that have not lost their jobs or houses too.

>> Take away their incentive and/or ability to put money  
>> back into their businesses and you stop wealth creation.

> Nobody is taking away any incentive.

They are proposing to take away just  
3% more of the money over \$250K tho.

> That's a red herring strawman.

Nope, just mangling the story and lying.

> Invest your money, you will reap rewards and keep them.

Try telling that to those who did that with the facebook IPO.

Don't be too surprised when they just laugh in your face.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 20:24:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote in message  
news:6fbbe6a0-462f-4011-b245-d84c82fdfa78@eo2g2000vbb.googlegroups.com...

> On Dec 20, 12:07 pm, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgi...@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:

>

>> I see the left-right spectrum not as a line but as part of a  
>> circle. The ends join around at the back side. Near there,  
>> on the left side, are people like Mao and Stalin, while on the  
>> right side are McCarthy and Hitler. I can't figure out which  
>> side the Taliban are on, so they're probably right at the back,  
>> where extremism is at a maximum and personal freedom is at a  
>> minimum.

> From the point of view of the masses, none of it matters.

Wrong. The detail ALWAYS matters.

> Someone has a gun to their head at all  
> times to behave per the 'party line'.

There just aren't enough guns for that to work.

> the party line itself is always evolving,

It doesn't with the Taliban, they haven't even managed to work out what the separation of church and state is about.

> and one better keep up with it.

Even sillier.

> There is intrigue and fighting at the upper levels  
> of the party for favoritism of the supreme ruler.

There is no supreme ruler with the Taliban.

> The "mob" works pretty much the same way.

Nope, and it never gets to run an entire country anyway.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 20:25:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<greymausg@mail.com> wrote in message  
news:slrnkd6pek.1vf.greymausg@gmaus.org...  
> On 2012-12-20, Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltptyxm.invalid> wrote:  
>> In article <50d21b8a\$4\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,  
>> spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid (Seymour J.) writes:  
>>  
>>> In <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212191051270.14656@darkstar.example.org>, on  
>>> 12/19/2012 at 10:57 AM, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> said:  
>>>  
>>>> But someone pointed out the similarities between the nazis and the  
>>>> Russian communists, and I think that's fair.  
>>>  
>>> Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling case  
>>> for the fat right nuts and the far left nuts being brothers under  
>>> the skin.

>>  
>> I see the left-right spectrum not as a line but as part of a  
>> circle. The ends join around at the back side. Near there,  
>> on the left side, are people like Mao and Stalin, while on the  
>> right side are McCarthy and Hitler. I can't figure out which  
>> side the Taliban are on, so they're probably right at the back,  
>> where extremism is at a maximum and personal freedom is at a  
>> minimum.  
>>  
>  
> French national assembly, not sure when, 270 degree in front of  
> the [whatever][speaker?], as in many of the discussions, the real  
> divide is between those who let people get on with it, as in those  
> who interfere. Lenin was smart, Stalin was in the tradition of  
> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen  
> stopped Hitler.

Nope, it was assistance from the West that did that.

> [1] Well, the golden horde, anyway.

Even sillier.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 20:27:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:

> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of  
> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen  
> stopped Hitler.

IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives through his many choices and incompetence:

--Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them with inexperienced juniors.

--Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party interference was enormously wasteful.

--Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel even after attacked.

--Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own people.

--Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.

ref:

"I Chose Freedom" by Victor Kravchenko

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 20:28:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Andrew Swallow" <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote in message news:8qqdnbxQ2JHZ-E7NnZ2dnUVZ8uqdnZ2d@bt.com...

> On 20/12/2012 17:07, Charlie Gibbs wrote:

>> In article <50d21b8a\$4\$fuzhry+tra\$mr2ice@news.patriot.net>,

>> spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid (Seymour J.) writes:

>>

>>> In <alpine.LNX.2.02.1212191051270.14656@darkstar.example.org>, on

>>> 12/19/2012 at 10:57 AM, Michael Black <et472@ncf.ca> said:

>>>

>>>> But someone pointed out the similarities between the nazis and the

>>>> Russian communists, and I think that's fair.

>>>

>>> Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling case

>>> for the fat right nuts and the far left nuts being brothers under

>>> the skin.

>>

>> I see the left-right spectrum not as a line but as part of a

>> circle. The ends join around at the back side. Near there,

>> on the left side, are people like Mao and Stalin, while on the

>> right side are McCarthy and Hitler. I can't figure out which

>> side the Taliban are on, so they're probably right at the back,

>> where extremism is at a maximum and personal freedom is at a

>> minimum.

> Right wing / left wing is a secular system.

Not so much in the US with the rabid right.

> The Taliban are a religious group so they are on a different system.

Yes.

> Try medieval aristocracy for villages and small towns.

Nothing like it in fact on inheritance alone.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 20:32:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote  
> greyma...@mail.com wrote

>> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of Russian rulers from  
>> antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen stopped Hitler.

> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of  
> Russian lives through his many choices and incompetence:

Sure, but so did Hitler, Mao, Pol Pot and even Churchill too.

> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders,  
> replacing them with inexperienced juniors.

> --Installing party cadres into the military, who  
> constantly second-guessed the professionals.

That didn't work out too badly for Hitler, at least initially.

> Further the professionals were constantly in  
> fear they would be purged by the party cadre.  
> The party interference was enormously wasteful.

But wasn't for Hitler, at least initially.

> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans  
> were about to attack Russia; indeed, continuing to  
> supply Germany with war materiel even after attacked.

That last wasn't enough to matter a damn.

> --Ordering premature counter-offenses  
> that merely killed his own people.

Churchill did plenty of that too.

> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.

Churchill did plenty of that too.

> ref:

> "I Chose Freedom" by Victor Kravchenko

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 20:42:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

> On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:  
>  
>> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of  
>> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen  
>> stopped Hitler.  
>  
> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives  
> through his many choices and incompetence:  
>  
> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them  
> with inexperienced juniors.  
>  
> --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-  
> guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly  
> in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party  
> interference was enormously wasteful.  
>  
> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to  
> attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel  
> even after attacked.  
>  
> --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own  
> people.  
>  
> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.

Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.  
Leading directly to WWII.

Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,  
but give Russia a free pass.

--  
Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hda](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 21:30:06 GMT

---

On Thu, 20 Dec 2012 15:42:55 -0500, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

>

>> On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:

>>

>>> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of

>>> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen

>>> stopped Hitler.

>>

>> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives

>> through his many choices and incompetence:

>>

>> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them

>> with inexperienced juniors.

>>

>> --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-

>> guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly

>> in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party

>> interference was enormously wasteful.

>>

>> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to

>> attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel

>> even after attacked.

>>

>> --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own

>> people.

>>

>> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.

>

> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.

> Leading directly to WWII.

>

> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,

> but give Russia a free pass.

Divide and conquer ?. Consolidated Silesian Steel Corporation ?

Europe's (Italy, France, England/USA) continuous struggle is to contain the Germans ? Cause they are headstrong & feared having not been beaten by the Romans in those other days ?

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by  [Hancock4](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 21:30:40 GMT

On Dec 20, 3:42 pm, Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote:

- > Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.
- > Leading directly to WWII.
- >
- > Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,
- > but give Russia a free pass.

Good question. I suspect it was because they felt Germany was a country the Allies could defeat, while going to war against the Soviet Union would be a much tougher prospect.

As an aside, IMHO the events of the 1930s deserve much more study than they get. Lots of people decry the use of atomic weapons against Japan or the horrible firebombing of Germany and Japan. But those events were a 'done deal' by the time they happened as a result of prior experiences of the war. What is more significant are the diplomatic and military events of the 1930s that eventually greased the skids for WW II to happen. The issue of appeasement--including the domestic politics of it--needs to be further addressed. That is, if Chamberlain put his foot down early, would the people of Great Britain and France supported him to go to war against Germany?

Likewise with the US and Japan. With hindsight being 20/20, we should look at events and decisions of 1940-1941--should the US have drawn a hard line against Japan early on?

One sad mark in all this was Thomas J. Watson Sr's acceptance of a medal from Hitler. Although Watson eventually returned the medal, it remains a black mark on his record and IBM. While Watson may have truly personally felt world trade would equal world peace, it was also quite clear that Hitler was nuts and very militaristic, and just because he was trading with you wouldn't prevent him from going to war against you.

When Tom Watson Jr was in the air corp, he had the chance to visit Europe. He took the opportunity to check on IBM operations in other countries (per his memoir).

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dave Garland](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 22:16:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/20/2012 12:55 PM, greymausg@mail.com wrote:

- > On 2012-12-20, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

>> In <kat8e8\$ci0\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012  
>> at 10:15 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:  
>>  
>>> ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is  
>>> different  
>>  
>> The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis. There were  
>> significant ideological differentces among Italy, Germany and the USSR  
>> during WW II.  
>>  
>  
> Main difference was that Communism was/is not racist.  
>

Neither Japan nor Italy had any particular objection to Jews  
(Mussolini dragged his feet on rounding up Jews, and Japan was  
actually instrumental in saving the lives of a fair number by issuing  
them visas... something the USA was not willing to do). But let's  
face it, the USA of 1941 was a pretty racist place, too.

The Communist ideology isn't racist. But that's not to say that the  
various national groups living under it aren't.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Bill Marcum](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 22:29:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2012 02:37 PM, Charles Richmond wrote:  
> "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message  
> news:796.766T2447T6165372@kltpzyxm.invalid...  
>> In article <s0qlc8d9ejbk8dlgbitib0m6jnb5oq8hv8t@4ax.com>, spalding@iol.ie  
>> (Nick Spalding) writes:  
>>  
>>> Charles Richmond wrote, in <kads1o\$bc1\$1@dont-email.me>  
>>> on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 18:31:48 -0600:  
>>>  
>>>> In WWII, frequency hopping radio had been invented. It was invented  
>>>> by the very beautiful actress Hedy Lamarr, along with composer  
>>>> George Antheil. BAH, Hedy Lamarr was \*no\* dummy... However she  
>>>> was perceived, her intelligence is \*not\* in doubt. (Not by me,  
>>>> anyway.)  
>>>  
>>> Only one d in Hedy.  
>>  
>> "That's \_Hedley\_." -- Blazing Saddles  
>>  
>

> It says on the IMDb website... that Hedy Lamarr \*sued\* Mel Brooks over  
> the use of that name in the movie Blazing Saddles. They settled out of  
> court.

>

If that's true, it's ironic, because there's a line in the movie that says "She hasn't been born yet. You'll be able to sue her!" or something like that.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 22:42:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <ic8v8stx02.fsf@home.home>, on 12/20/2012  
at 01:10 PM, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> said:

> Have you read the wikipedia page on Iran?

"The heads of the judiciary, state radio and television networks, the commanders of the police and military forces and six of the twelve members of the Guardian Council are appointed by the Supreme Leader."

"Presidential candidates must be approved by the Guardian Council prior to running in order to ensure their allegiance to the ideals of the Islamic revolution."

"All Majlis candidates and all legislation from the assembly must be approved by the Guardian Council."

All of these support my characterization of the Iranian theocracy.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 22:46:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <slrnkd6k9t.1vf.greymausg@gmaus.org>, on 12/20/2012  
at 06:55 PM, greymausg@mail.com said:

> Main difference was that Communism was/is not racist.

Soviet ideology may not have been racist, but Stalin certainly was.  
Later, there were reports from African exchange students in the USSR  
of racism.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 22:47:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <kavo2a\$5mm\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/20/2012  
at 02:21 PM, Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> said:

> On 12/20/2012 8:01 AM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:  
>> In <proto-E8FAAA.20065719122012@news.panix.com>, on 12/19/2012  
>> at 08:06 PM, Walter Bushell <[proto@panix.com](mailto:proto@panix.com)> said:  
>>  
>>> Communism or more properly Marxist Leninism was very atheist.  
>>  
>> There is no god and Marx is his prophet! Effectively it was a  
>> religion, and heretics were treated harshly.  
>>  
>> BTW, what is the current consensus as to how Lenin died?

> Not soon enough.

Stalin was worse.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the  
right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to  
domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

---

Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 22:55:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-20, Andrew Swallow <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote:

> On 20/12/2012 18:55, greymausg@mail.com wrote:

>> On 2012-12-20, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

>>> In <kat8e8\$ci0\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012

>>> at 10:15 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:

>>>

>>>> ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is

>>>> different

>>>

>>> The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis. There were

>>> significant ideological differences among Italy, Germany and the USSR

>>> during WW II.

>>>

>>

>> Main difference was that Communism was/is not racist.

>>

>>

> Black that have been to Russia report heavy discrimination.

>

> Andrew Swallow

Russian thing.

--

maus

.

.

....

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 22:55:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-20, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/20/2012 8:01 AM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>> In <proto-E8FAAA.20065719122012@news.panix.com>, on 12/19/2012

>> at 08:06 PM, Walter Bushell <proto@panix.com> said:

>>

>>> Communism or more properly Marxist Leninism was very atheist.

>>

>> There is no god and Marx is his prophet! Effectively it was a

>> religion, and heretics were treated harshly.

>>

>> BTW, what is the current consensus as to how Lenin died?  
>  
> Not soon enough.

According to Churchill, the tragedy of his birth was surpassed by the tragedy of his death. People suspect Stalin. Wonder if Polonium was available.

--  
maus  
.  
.  
....

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 22:58:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Dan Espen" <despen@verizon.net> wrote in message  
news:icwqwcsbe8.fsf@home.home...  
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:  
>  
>> On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:  
>>  
>>> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of  
>>> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen  
>>> stopped Hitler.  
>>  
>> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives  
>> through his many choices and incompetence:  
>>  
>> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them  
>> with inexperienced juniors.  
>>  
>> --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-  
>> guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly  
>> in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party  
>> interference was enormously wasteful.  
>>  
>> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to  
>> attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel  
>> even after attacked.  
>>  
>> --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own  
>> people.  
>>  
>> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.

> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.

Yes.

> Leading directly to WWII.

Nope. That was already well in progress by then.

> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies  
> declare war on Germany, but give Russia a free pass.

Essentially because germany later invaded russia and the enemy of your enemy becomes your friend, particularly when it makes a lot of sense to assist the russians to withstand the germans instead of trying to attack germany in the west at that time.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 23:02:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <op.wpmstnl15cosae@dell3100>, on 12/20/2012  
at 08:06 PM, "Stanley Daniel de Liver"  
<notagoodone@invalid.org.invalid> said:

> On Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:48:10 -0000, <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote:

>> Somewhere I read recently that they've developed microscopic vacuum  
>> tubes

I vaguely recall reading a paper on vacuum tube elements in integrated circuits, sometime in the mid 1960's. Presumably he's referring to a much denser version.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Howard Katz](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 23:07:55 GMT

"Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:

- > Yes.
- >
- >> Leading directly to WWII.
- >
- > Nope. That was already well in progress by then.
- >
- >> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies
- >> declare war on Germany, but give Russia a free pass.
- >
- > Essentially because germany later invaded russia and the
- > enemy of your enemy becomes your friend, particularly
- > when it makes a lot of sense to assist the russians to
- > withstand the germans instead of trying to attack
- > germany in the west at that time.

Hey Sod!

Go sodomize your dog, LOL!

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 23:08:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote

> Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote

- >> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany
- >> to divide Poland. Leading directly to WWII.
  
- >> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies
- >> declare war on Germany, but give Russia a free pass.
  
- > Good question. I suspect it was because they felt
- > Germany was a country the Allies could defeat,
- > while going to war against the Soviet Union
- > would be a much tougher prospect.

Nope, it was because it was clear that germany would be attacking russia pretty soon. They had already warned Stalin that that was going to happen.

- > As an aside, IMHO the events of the 1930s deserve much more
- > study than they get. Lots of people decry the use of atomic

- > weapons against Japan or the horrible firebombing of Germany
- > and Japan. But those events were a 'done deal' by the time
- > they happened as a result of prior experiences of the war.

That's very arguable with firestorm bombing of civilian cities.

- > What is more significant are the diplomatic and military events of
- > the 1930s that eventually greased the skids for WW II to happen.

Its easy to say now, once it became clear what Hitler was up to.

- > The issue of appeasement--including the domestic politics
- > of it--needs to be further addressed. That is, if Chamberlain
- > put his foot down early, would the people of Great Britain
- > and France supported him to go to war against Germany?

Nope, not when the only thing germany had done was kick foreign troops out of the Rhineland, and then return germans outside germany to the Reich. They weren't about to die for that.

- > Likewise with the US and Japan. With hindsight being 20/20,
- > we should look at events and decisions of 1940-1941--should
- > the US have drawn a hard line against Japan early on?

The US did, particularly about the rape of Nanking, and imposed an oil embargo on Japan at a time when the US was the main source of oil for Japan, and ensured that Japan would grab the Indonesian oilfields so that they had a source of oil again.

There is a real sense in which the oil embargo produced the war in the pacific. Japan might have been happy with china without that. The US was never in any position to stop the Japanese invasion of Manchuria and neither was anyone else.

- > One sad mark in all this was Thomas J. Watson Sr's acceptance
- > of a medal from Hitler. Although Watson eventually returned
- > the medal, it remains a black mark on his record and IBM. While
- > Watson may have truly personally felt world trade would equal
- > world peace, it was also quite clear that Hitler was nuts and very
- > militaristic, and just because he was trading with you wouldn't
- > prevent him from going to war against you.

- > When Tom Watson Jr was in the air corp, he had the
- > chance to visit Europe. He took the opportunity to check
- > on IBM operations in other countries (per his memoir).

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 23:55:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-20, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

>

>> On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:

>>

>>> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of

>>> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen

>>> stopped Hitler.

>>

>> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives

>> through his many choices and incompetence:

>>

>> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them

>> with inexperienced juniors.

>>

>> --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-

>> guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly

>> in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party

>> interference was enormously wasteful.

>>

>> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to

>> attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel

>> even after attacked.

>>

>> --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own

>> people.

>>

>> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.

>

making war is always wasteful. Even in the US civil war, more died through disease than fighting. During the Czars time, one Russian army had been reduced so much by hunger/disease that they just went home before staging a battle against the Turks in the Ukraine. All the Russians really had in WWII was men, (and Women, whole female units). The Germans mistake was the brutality that they used on the Ukranians, which turned them from Ant-Communists to pro-Russian. The leaders called it the `Great Patriotic War' while they needed the suport of the great masses.

Look at the map of the front German line in December 1941, forgetting the Baltics, Byelorus, Ukraine, they had hardle penetrated into Russia proper.

> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.

> Leading directly to WWII.

- >
- > Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,
- > but give Russia a free pass.
- >

The British aim in WWII was to keep the Empire. Germany was a bigger threat than USSR. Once the war was over, and Britain effectively bankrupted, they lost India anyway. The US won, with relatively little effort, among other things taking over Saudi Arabia, without worrying about Britains position there.

--  
maus

.  
.  
....

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 23:55:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-20, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote:

- > On Dec 20, 3:42 pm, Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote:
- >
- >> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.
- >> Leading directly to WWII.
- >>
- >> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,
- >> but give Russia a free pass.
- >
- > Good question. I suspect it was because they felt Germany was a
- > country the Allies could defeat, while going to war against the Soviet
- > Union would be a much tougher prospect.
- >
- > As an aside, IMHO the events of the 1930s deserve much more study than
- > they get. Lots of people decry the use of atomic weapons against
- > Japan or the horrible firebombing of Germany and Japan. But those
- > events were a 'done deal' by the time they happened as a result of
- > prior experiences of the war. What is more significant are the
- > diplomatic and military events of the 1930s that eventually greased
- > the skids for WW II to happen. The issue of appeasement--including
- > the domestic politics of it--needs to be further addressed. That is,
- > if Chamberlain put his foot down early, would the people of Great
- > Britain and France supported him to go to war against Germany?
- >
- > Likewise with the US and Japan. With hindsight being 20/20, we should
- > look at events and decisions of 1940-1941--should the US have drawn a

> hard line against Japan early on?

the embargo on scrap steel meant that Japan \_had\_ to attack the US, with their expanding war effort stymied, they \_had\_ to start the war soon, and the US authorities knew that. Probably they regarded the Japanese as a lesser race that were incapable of doing the damage they did.

(Also, The Germans were aware that expanding USSR war preparation would make it impossible to defeat USSR after 1943, (Which happened anyway. Funny, we seldom see anything about Operation Bagration on the history channels))

>  
> One sad mark in all this was Thomas J. Watson Sr's acceptance of a  
> medal from Hitler. Although Watson eventually returned the medal, it  
> remains a black mark on his record and IBM. While Watson may have  
> truly personally felt world trade would equal world peace, it was also  
> quite clear that Hitler was nuts and very militaristic, and just  
> because he was trading with you wouldn't prevent him from going to war  
> against you.

Basically, even still, very evil regimes will purchase modern equipment if they need it. According to some accounts, the Gestapo used IBM equipment to collate racial information. During the Hungarian uprising, 1956?, the people who broke into the Hungarian Secret Service building found very up-to-date data recording and collating equipment. From western countries, no names reported.

>  
> When Tom Watson Jr was in the air corp, he had the chance to visit  
> Europe. He took the opportunity to check on IBM operations in other  
> countries (per his memoir).  
>  
>

Smart man, don't ask, don't tell.

--  
maus  
.  
.  
....

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 00:56:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/20/2012 12:52 PM, Dave Garland wrote:

> On 12/20/2012 7:01 AM, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

>  
>> What do you have against Harpo Marxism? And shirley you mean Groucho  
>> Marxism.

>>

>

> I prefer Gaucho Marxism myself.

This thread is starting to intrude some very odd images into my mind.

--

Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Bernd Felsche](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 00:56:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Andrew Swallow wrote:

> Charlie Gibbs wrote:

>> (Seymour J.) writes:

>>> Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling  
>>> case for the fat right nuts and the far left nuts being brothers  
>>> under the skin.

>> I see the left-right spectrum not as a line but as part of a  
>> circle. The ends join around at the back side. Near there,  
>> on the left side, are people like Mao and Stalin, while on the  
>> right side are McCarthy and Hitler. I can't figure out which  
>> side the Taliban are on, so they're probably right at the back,  
>> where extremism is at a maximum and personal freedom is at a  
>> minimum.

> Right wing / left wing is a secular system. The Taliban are a  
> religious group so they are on a different system. Try medieval  
> aristocracy for villages and small towns.

FWIW: Early Saxon "Kings" were elected. Democracy appears innate.

OTOH: "Big government" seems more an artifact of megalomania on the  
part of those who think they can run other people's lives better  
than the individual.

--

/\" Bernd Felsche - Somewhere in Western Australia  
\\ / ASCII ribbon campaign | For every complex problem there is an  
X against HTML mail | answer that is clear, simple, and wrong.  
/\" and postings | --HL Mencken

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 01:17:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/20/2012 3:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:  
>  
>> On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:  
>>  
>>> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of  
>>> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen  
>>> stopped Hitler.  
>>  
>> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives  
>> through his many choices and incompetence:  
>>  
>> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them  
>> with inexperienced juniors.  
>>  
>> --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-  
>> guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly  
>> in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party  
>> interference was enormously wasteful.  
>>  
>> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to  
>> attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel  
>> even after attacked.  
>>  
>> --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own  
>> people.  
>>  
>> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.  
>  
> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.  
> Leading directly to WWII.  
>  
> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,  
> but give Russia a free pass.  
>

Because of all the left-wing writers, artists, actors, etc. who were duped by the CPUSA, went to the USSR, and came back raving about "Uncle

Joe" and the "workers' paradise."

--

Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charles Richmond](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 01:18:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote in message  
news:592.772T2648T5615781@kltpzyxm.invalid...

> In article <kav39b\$tjr\$1@dont-email.me>, Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com

> (Peter Flass) writes:

>

>> Constantly harping about "the rich" or "the 1%" as if they were

>> different from the rest of us.

>

> Some studies suggest that they are.

>

> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/44084236/ns/health-behavior/t/rich-are-different->

> [not-good-way-studies-suggest/#.UNNIga12TGg](#)

>

Announcer: "Has all your wealth changed you???"

The Beatles: "Yes, it's made us rich!!!"

--

numerist at aquaporin4 dot com

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 01:25:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

> On Dec 20, 3:42 pm, Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote:

>

>> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.

>> Leading directly to WWII.

>>

>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,

>> but give Russia a free pass.

>

- > Good question. I suspect it was because they felt Germany was a
- > country the Allies could defeat, while going to war against the Soviet
- > Union would be a much tougher prospect.
- >
- > As an aside, IMHO the events of the 1930s deserve much more study than
- > they get. Lots of people decry the use of atomic weapons against
- > Japan or the horrible firebombing of Germany and Japan. But those
- > events were a 'done deal' by the time they happened as a result of
- > prior experiences of the war. What is more significant are the
- > diplomatic and military events of the 1930s that eventually greased
- > the skids for WW II to happen. The issue of appeasement--including
- > the domestic politics of it--needs to be further addressed. That is,
- > if Chamberlain put his foot down early, would the people of Great
- > Britain and France supported him to go to war against Germany?
- >
- > Likewise with the US and Japan.

We had a Democratic president in the lead up to the war.  
I know FDR and congress fought a lot but lack details.  
I do remember looking, but not finding much online.

- > One sad mark in all this was Thomas J. Watson Sr's acceptance of a
- > medal from Hitler. Although Watson eventually returned the medal, it
- > remains a black mark on his record and IBM. While Watson may have
- > truly personally felt world trade would equal world peace, it was also
- > quite clear that Hitler was nuts and very militaristic, and just
- > because he was trading with you wouldn't prevent him from going to war
- > against you.

There were a lot of German sympathizers.  
They'd never admit it after the war.

--

Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 01:32:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:

- > On 12/20/2012 3:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:
- >> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:
- >>
- >>> On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:
- >>>
- >>>> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of

>>>> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen  
>>>> stopped Hitler.  
>>>  
>>> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives  
>>> through his many choices and incompetence:  
>>>  
>>> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them  
>>> with inexperienced juniors.  
>>>  
>>> --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-  
>>> guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly  
>>> in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party  
>>> interference was enormously wasteful.  
>>>  
>>> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to  
>>> attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel  
>>> even after attacked.  
>>>  
>>> --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own  
>>> people.  
>>>  
>>> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.  
>>  
>> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.  
>> Leading directly to WWII.  
>>  
>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,  
>> but give Russia a free pass.  
>  
> Because of all the left-wing writers, artists, actors, etc. who were  
> duped by the CPUSA, went to the USSR, and came back raving about  
> "Uncle Joe" and the "workers' paradise."

LOL!

CPUSA?

Actually Britain and France declared war on Germany after the invasion of Poland. In the US there were a lot of isolationists.

--  
Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 02:50:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 20, 7:56 pm, Bernd Felsche <ber...@innovative.iinet.net.au> wrote:

- > OTOH: "Big government" seems more an artifact of megalomania on the
- > part of those who think they can run other people's lives better
- > than the individual.

When individuals are desperate for food and shelter and unable to find any despite trying very hard, they will think the 'big government' sure an run things better than a single individual can.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 04:35:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote  
> Bernd Felsche <ber...@innovative.iinet.net.au> wrote

- >> OTOH: "Big government" seems more an artifact
- >> of megalomania on the part of those who think they
- >> can run other people's lives better than the individual.

- > When individuals are desperate for food and shelter
- > and unable to find any despite trying very hard,

We didn't see much at all of that even during the great depression.

- > they will think the 'big government' sure an
- > run things better than a single individual can.

Nope, they don't, even then. They just beg for charity at most.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 04:50:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 20/12/2012 19:56, Michael Black wrote:

- > On Thu, 20 Dec 2012, Andrew Swallow wrote:
- >
- >> On 20/12/2012 18:55, greymausg@mail.com wrote:
- >>> On 2012-12-20, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:
- >>>> In <kat8e8\$ci0\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012
- >>>> at 10:15 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:
- >>>>

>>>> > ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is  
>>>> > different  
>>>>  
>>>> The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis. There were  
>>>> significant ideological differences among Italy, Germany and the USSR  
>>>> during WW II.  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>> Main difference was that Communism was/is not racist.  
>>>  
>>>  
>> Black that have been to Russia report heavy discrimination.  
>>  
> But that's complicated, since not many black people went. Some of the  
> issue had to be lack of familiarity. I doubt there were rules against  
> blacks, if for no other reason than there weren't enough black people in  
> the USSR to fuss over.  
>  
> I'm sure some black radicals went over in the early days, just like at  
> the time the Revolution was seen as something important. But a lot of  
> them were disillusioned, some left, some didn't have much elsewhere to  
> go. I don't know who, if any, were black.  
>  
> There was that period in the thirties when US citizens went over to  
> help, either because there was work or in effect going over as employees  
> of US firms doing business there (since Russia was behind the curve,  
> they needed help to ramp things up). But I don't know if they included  
> black people.  
>  
> And then the last obvious case was US deserters, I think a few went to  
> the USSR during the Korean war, and maybe during Viet Nam. Again I  
> don't know if any were black.  
>  
> Michael  
>

Africans went to the USSR in the 60s and 70s.

Andrew Swallow

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Andrew Swallow](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 05:05:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 20/12/2012 20:42, Dan Espen wrote:  
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:  
>

>> On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:  
>>  
>>> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of  
>>> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen  
>>> stopped Hitler.  
>>  
>> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives  
>> through his many choices and incompetence:  
>>  
>> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them  
>> with inexperienced juniors.  
>>  
>> --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-  
>> guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly  
>> in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party  
>> interference was enormously wasteful.  
>>  
>> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to  
>> attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel  
>> even after attacked.  
>>  
>> --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own  
>> people.  
>>  
>> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.  
>  
> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.  
> Leading directly to WWII.  
>  
> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,  
> but give Russia a free pass.  
>

Two reasons - first Russia was not involved in the invasions of Czechoslovakia. Second to win fight your enemies one at a time, Italy and Japan needed defeating before we fought the Russians.

Andrew Swallow

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 05:34:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Andrew Swallow" <am.swallow@btinternet.com> wrote in message news:XZ-dnWeDgMaGc07NnZ2dnUVZ8m-dnZ2d@bt.com...  
> On 20/12/2012 20:42, Dan Espen wrote:  
>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

>>  
>>> On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of  
>>>> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen  
>>>> stopped Hitler.  
>>>  
>>> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives  
>>> through his many choices and incompetence:  
>>>  
>>> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them  
>>> with inexperienced juniors.  
>>>  
>>> --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-  
>>> guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly  
>>> in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party  
>>> interference was enormously wasteful.  
>>>  
>>> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to  
>>> attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel  
>>> even after attacked.  
>>>  
>>> --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own  
>>> people.  
>>>  
>>> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.  
>>  
>> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.  
>> Leading directly to WWII.  
>>  
>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,  
>> but give Russia a free pass.

> Two reasons - first Russia was not involved in the invasions of  
> Czechoslovakia.

That wasn't an invasion in the same way that Poland was.

> Second to win fight your enemies one at a time,

Russia wasn't the enemy at that time.

> Italy and Japan needed defeating before we fought the Russians.

Even sillier. Japan wasn't even at war with the west at that time.

Neither was Italy either.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 12:32:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

re:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#44> Search Goole, 1960:s-style

gets to little more computer related

Glenn Hubbard, Leading Academic and Mitt Romney Advisor, Took \$1200 an Hour to Be Countrywide's Expert Witness

<http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/blogs/taibblog/glenn-hubbard-leading-academic-and-mitt-romney-advisor-took-1200-an-hour-to-be-countrywides-expert-witness-20121220>

from above:

In other words, the Dean of the Columbia University business school testified that the fact that Countrywide claimed to have conducted thorough due diligence when in fact it was pressuring underwriters to approve 60 to 70 mortgage applications a day and failing to verify any income levels or other key information (to say nothing of the outright falsification of such data, which also went on on a mass scale) -- he testified that these issues were irrelevant.

.... snip ...

CEO of countrywide #1 on times list of those responsible for the financial mess

[http://www.time.com/time/specials/packages/article/0,28804,1877351\\_1877350\\_1877339,00.html](http://www.time.com/time/specials/packages/article/0,28804,1877351_1877350_1877339,00.html)

60mins did a story about consultants ... as the economy was crashing some consultant advised wallstreet to tieup as many economic & business experts as possible ... either directly with retainers or indirectly with grants/contracts with their organizations/institutions

more computer related ... referencing:

<http://www.amazon.com/The-Signal-Noise-Predictions-ebook/dp/B007V65R54/>

Why Nate Silver is Not Just Wrong, but Maliciously Wrong

<http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2012/12/cathy-oneil-why-nate-silver-is-not-just-wrong-but-maliciously-wrong.html>

from above:

What is not reasonable, however, is for Silver to claim to understand how the financial crisis was a result of a few inaccurate models, and how medical research need only switch from being frequentist to being

Bayesian to become more accurate.

.... snip ...

As the financial crisis was unfolding, there were dueling business articles ... those that blamed the crisis on bad models and those that said that the business managers had forced the risk departments to fiddle the inputs until the desired results were obtained. There were then articles about how difficult was to calculate value on large numbers of mortgages packaged in CDOs ... followed by testimony at the congressional hearings into the role that the rating agencies played .... that the sellers were paying the rating agencies for triple-A when both the sellers and the rating agencies knew that the toxic CDOs weren't worth triple-A

In the late 90s, we were asked to look at improving the integrity of the supporting documents in securitized mortgages (toxic CDOs), however paying for triple-A trumps supporting documents ... allowing loan originators to even move to no-documentation loans.

one of the fiddling inputs to obtain desired business results

How Wall Street Lied to Its Computers

[http://bits.blogs.nytimes.com/2008/09/18/how-wall-streets-quants-lied-to-their-computers](http://bits.blogs.nytimes.com/2008/09/18/how-wall-streets-quants-lied-to-their-computers/)

accompanying the above ... were a series of articles about giving risk managers much more corporate power to resist the enormous influence exerted by the business people to subvert the models.

aka lots of the articles about problems with the models were obfuscation and misdirection

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 12:38:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/20/2012 8:25 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:

>

>> On Dec 20, 3:42 pm, Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote:

>>

>>> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.

>>> Leading directly to WWII.

>>>

>>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,  
>>> but give Russia a free pass.

>>

>> Good question. I suspect it was because they felt Germany was a  
>> country the Allies could defeat, while going to war against the Soviet  
>> Union would be a much tougher prospect.

>>

>> As an aside, IMHO the events of the 1930s deserve much more study than  
>> they get. Lots of people decry the use of atomic weapons against  
>> Japan or the horrible firebombing of Germany and Japan. But those  
>> events were a 'done deal' by the time they happened as a result of  
>> prior experiences of the war. What is more significant are the  
>> diplomatic and military events of the 1930s that eventually greased  
>> the skids for WW II to happen. The issue of appeasement--including  
>> the domestic politics of it--needs to be further addressed. That is,  
>> if Chamberlain put his foot down early, would the people of Great  
>> Britain and France supported him to go to war against Germany?

>>

>> Likewise with the US and Japan.

>

> We had a Democratic president in the lead up to the war.

> I know FDR and congress fought a lot but lack details.

> I do remember looking, but not finding much online.

>

>> One sad mark in all this was Thomas J. Watson Sr's acceptance of a  
>> medal from Hitler. Although Watson eventually returned the medal, it  
>> remains a black mark on his record and IBM. While Watson may have  
>> truly personally felt world trade would equal world peace, it was also  
>> quite clear that Hitler was nuts and very militaristic, and just  
>> because he was trading with you wouldn't prevent him from going to war  
>> against you.

>

> There were a lot of German sympathizers.

> They'd never admit it after the war.

>

Charles Lindburgh

Henry Ford

Joe Kennedy

There was probably a lot of thinking that they'd calm down in time, and they probably would eventually. Look at what happened to the Soviet Union, who collapsed of their own weight without a war. I never looked at it this way, but how many people were killed by the war in Europe vs. how many that would have been murdered if the Nazis hadn't been stopped.

I'm not sure we came out ahead on the deal.

--

Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 12:42:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/20/2012 8:32 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

> Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:

>

>> On 12/20/2012 3:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>> [hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com](mailto:hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com) writes:

>>>>

>>>> On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>> > . . . Stalin was in the tradition of

>>>>> > Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen

>>>>> > stopped Hitler.

>>>>>

>>>>> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives

>>>>> through his many choices and incompetence:

>>>>>

>>>>> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them

>>>>> with inexperienced juniors.

>>>>>

>>>>> --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-

>>>>> guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly

>>>>> in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party

>>>>> interference was enormously wasteful.

>>>>>

>>>>> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to

>>>>> attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel

>>>>> even after attacked.

>>>>>

>>>>> --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own

>>>>> people.

>>>>>

>>>>> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.

>>>>

>>> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.

>>> Leading directly to WWII.

>>>

>>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,

>>> but give Russia a free pass.

>>

>> Because of all the left-wing writers, artists, actors, etc. who were

>> duped by the CPUSA, went to the USSR, and came back raving about

>> "Uncle Joe" and the "workers' paradise."

>  
> LOL!  
>  
> CPUSA?

Communist party of the USA. During the 30s the depression encouraged a lot of people to join, not realizing what they were getting into. Like Scientology today the rich and famous were pampered and petted, and became the front for the party. In some respects McCarthy was right - there \*were\* a lot of Communist sympathizers. What he got wrong was that they were not committed Communists, but casual or social members, or people who joined because it was fashionable. These were the people who got themselves slaughtered in Spain.

>  
> Actually Britain and France declared war on Germany after the invasion  
> of Poland. In the US there were a lot of isolationists.  
>

--  
Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 12:43:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/20/2012 9:50 PM, hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:  
> On Dec 20, 7:56 pm, Bernd Felsche <ber...@innovative.iinet.net.au>  
> wrote:  
>  
>> OTOH: "Big government" seems more an artifact of megalomania on the  
>> part of those who think they can run other people's lives better  
>> than the individual.  
>  
>  
> When individuals are desperate for food and shelter and unable to find  
> any despite trying very hard, they \_will\_ think the 'big government'  
> sure can run things better than a single individual can.  
>

How things look depend on where you're standing.

--  
Pete

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 12:55:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/20/2012 11:50 PM, Andrew Swallow wrote:

> On 20/12/2012 19:56, Michael Black wrote:

>> On Thu, 20 Dec 2012, Andrew Swallow wrote:

>>

>>> On 20/12/2012 18:55, greymausg@mail.com wrote:

>>>> On 2012-12-20, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

>>>> > In <kat8e8\$ci0\$2@dont-email.me>, on 12/19/2012

>>>> > at 10:15 AM, "Charles Richmond" <numerist@aquaporin4.com> said:

>>>> >

>>>> >> ISTM that the \*theory\* for Fascism (Nazism) and Communism is

>>>> >> different

>>>> >

>>>> > The nazi's were fascists, but not all fascists were nazis. There were

>>>> > significant ideological differences among Italy, Germany and the USSR

>>>> > during WW II.

>>>> >

>>>>

>>>> Main difference was that Communism was/is not racist.

>>>>

>>>>

>>> Black that have been to Russia report heavy discrimination.

>>>

>> But that's complicated, since not many black people went. Some of the

>> issue had to be lack of familiarity. I doubt there were rules against

>> blacks, if for no other reason than there weren't enough black people in

>> the USSR to fuss over.

>>

>> I'm sure some black radicals went over in the early days, just like at

>> the time the Revolution was seen as something important. But a lot of

>> them were disillusioned, some left, some didn't have much elsewhere to

>> go. I don't know who, if any, were black.

>>

>> There was that period in the thirties when US citizens went over to

>> help, either because there was work or in effect going over as employees

>> of US firms doing business there (since Russia was behind the curve,

>> they needed help to ramp things up). But I don't know if they included

>> black people.

>>

>> And then the last obvious case was US deserters, I think a few went to

>> the USSR during the Korean war, and maybe during Viet Nam. Again I

>> don't know if any were black.

>>

>> Michael

>>

>

> Africans went to the USSR in the 60s and 70s.

>

The commies recruited them, expecting that they'd then go back home and take over. They had a special college for them "Patrice Lumumba University" where they taught more communism and revolution than real subjects. I think they'd often recruit girls to "date" them, and also keep an eye on them. Outside their own circle, however, I heard they weren't particularly well treated as the average Russian was/is pretty prejudiced. They would probably have been better off to come to the US and attend Ole' Miss.

When I was in college in the 60's the University of Rochester had "a lot" of African students. They mostly kept to themselves - ate at their own tables, had their own organizations and parties, etc. I seem to recall a couple who were pretty sociable guys and came to the frat parties, etc. I sometimes think about those guys because they would have gone home and become the elite in their own countries. They're probably all rich now, and running the governments.

--

Pete

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [lbmekon](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 13:12:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Four years after Banks destroyed the Irish economy - no-one has been convicted of anything - and we find the boards were stuffed with "public interest" members of the "ruling class".

[http://www.irishtimes.com/newspaper/ireland/2012/1221/122432\\_8082658.html?via=rel](http://www.irishtimes.com/newspaper/ireland/2012/1221/122432_8082658.html?via=rel)

The Irish Times - Friday, December 21, 2012

The two Government-appointed directors at Bank of Ireland have had no formal contact with the Minister for Finance, his department or the Central Bank since taking up their positions on the board nearly four years ago, an Oireachtas committee heard yesterday.

.....<redacted>.....

was in receipt of a bailout.

2012.

Both men are among the highest paid public interest directors in the Irish banking system.

Public interest directors. Who they are... and what they earn:

- Declan Collier. The former chief executive of the Dublin Airport Authority was a director

IBRC - Alan Dukes. The former Fine Gael minister for finance is in a slightly different position as he is chairman of the former Anglo Irish Bank and has played an executive role. He was paid

fee agreed by the board.

EBS - Anthony Spollen. A former head of group audit at AIB who now works as an internal audit

- Ann Riordan. The founding head of Microsoft Ireland in 1990 before retiring in 2001. Over the

- Tom Considine. He is a former secretary general of the Department of Finance. He was paid a

PERMANENT TSB - Margaret Hayes. Former secretary general of the Department of Tourism

2009 and 2011.

Carl Goldsworthy

--

-----  
Posted with NewsLeecher v5.0 Beta 3  
Web @ <http://www.newsleecher.com/?usenet>  
-----

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 13:22:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

lbmekon <[lbmekon@disneyland.com](mailto:lbmekon@disneyland.com)> writes:

- > Four years after Banks destroyed the Irish economy - no-one has been
- > convicted of anything - and we find the boards were stuffed with
- > "public interest" members of the "ruling class".
- >
- > [http://www.irishtimes.com/newspaper/ireland/2012/1221/122432\\_8082658.html?via=rel](http://www.irishtimes.com/newspaper/ireland/2012/1221/122432_8082658.html?via=rel)

DOJ Refuses to Indict HSBC For Money Laundering Explicitly Because It is Too Big To Fail

<http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2012/12/doj-refuses-to-indict-hsbc-for-money-laundering-explicitly-because-it-is-too-big-to-fail.html>  
HSBC's Settlement Leaves Us In A Scary Place  
<http://compliancex.com/hsbcs-settlement-leaves-us-in-a-scary-place/>

Stories dating back a couple years referencing how TBTF drug cartel money laundering is turning Mexico into Columbia: GLBA -> repeal glass-steagall -> TBTF -> too-big-to-jail -> big upswing in drug cartel money laundering -> big upswing in cartel drug violence

Neil Barofsky: Too Big to Jail -- Our Banking System's Latest Disgrace

<http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2012/12/neil-barofsky-too-big-to-jail-our-banking-systems-latest-disgrace.html>

Outrageous HSBC Settlement Proves the Drug War is a Joke

<http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/blogs/taibblog/outrageous-hsbc-settlement-proves-the-drug-war-is-a-joke-20121213>

UBS Faces Potential LIBOR Fine Of \$1 Billion -- Twice What Barclays Paid

<http://compliancex.com/ubs-faces-potential-libor-fine-of-1-billion-twice-what-barclays-paid/>  
UBS fined \$1.5 billion in growing Libor scandal  
<http://www.newsdaily.com/stories/bre8bi000-us-ubs-libor/>

still no TBTF executives going to jail ... behind the too-big-to-jail

.... reference that TBTF & TBTJ are "officially" above the law  
<http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2012/12/where-is-judiciary-chairman-patrick-leahy-on-big-bank-crimes-and-obama.html>

another on above the law and too-big-to-jail

The Geithner Doctrine Not Only Puts Banks Above the Law, It Also Serves to Excuse Their Bad Behavior

<http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2012/12/quelle-surprise-the-geithner-doctrine-not-only-puts-banks-above-the-law-it-also-serves-to-excuse-their-bad-behavior.html>

OCC Confirms that Big Banks are Badly Managed, Lack Adequate Risk

## Management Controls

<http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2012/12/quelle-surprise-occ-confirms-that-big-banks-are-badly-managed-lack-adequate-risk-management-controls.html>

from above:

Recall that this blog has inveighed repeatedly that the officialdom had a clear and easy path to prosecuting bank executives by using Sarbanes Oxley.

.... snip ...

## A Straightforward Criminal Case Against Wall Street CEOs and Senior Executives

<http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2011/03/a-straightforward-criminal-case-against-wall-street-ceos-and-senior-executives.html>

Note with regard to fraudulent financial filings, possibly because GAO didn't believe SEC was doing anything, it started doing reports on public company fraudulent financial filings ... even showing an uptick after sarbanes-oxley (aka chose 1) SOX had no effect on fraudulent filings, 2) SOX encouraged fraudulent filings, 3) if it hadn't been for SOX, all filings would now be fraudulent).

<http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-03-395R>

<http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-06-678>

<http://www.gao.gov/special.pubs/gao-06-1079sp>

in theory under SOX all the executives and auditors would be doing jail time

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 15:05:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rod Speed wrote:

>

>

> "jmfbahciv" <[See.above@aol.com](mailto:See.above@aol.com)> wrote in message

> news:PM0004D149E303405F@ac82fc10.ipt.aol.com...

>> Scott Lurndal wrote:

>>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <[spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid](mailto:spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid)> writes:

>>>> In <[kapnfn\\$hno\\$1@dont-email.me](mailto:kapnfn$hno$1@dont-email.me)>, on 12/18/2012

>>>> at 07:33 AM, Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> said:

>>>>  
>>>> >With Obamacare the government is making an attempt  
>>>> >to "tame" churches.  
>>>>  
>>>> Pravda.  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>> Westboro. Needs taming badly.  
>>  
>> The bikers kept them out of town last summer when a funeral  
>> was going to happen. I'd like them to change their name.  
>> Westboro is town in Mass (which is south of Northboro) and  
>> I resent their obsconding the name.  
>  
> No such word as obsconding and even absconding doesn't work there either.  
>  
You are not very helpful.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 15:05:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Charles Richmond wrote:

> "Roger Blake" <rogblake@iname.invalid> wrote in message  
> news:20121219205258@news.eternal-september.org...  
>> On 2012-12-19, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:  
>>> Of course it's obvious.  
>>  
>> What is "obvious" to anyone who understands the intent of the  
>> Constitution and the principles of limited government is that the  
>> feds have no authority to impose "universal medicare." In fact,  
>> judge Janet Rogers Brown was 100% in her statement that it is  
>> not possible to fit Social Security and Medicare even as we know  
>> them today in the framework of the Constitution.  
>>  
>> The federal government has no legitimate business being involved in  
>> wealth redistribution. All federal entitlement programs should be ended.  
>> Period.  
>>  
>  
> As a matter of fact, in my college US government class we learned:  
> "Government decides who gets what of value in this county." They do this  
> largely via taxation. Manipulating who pays the most tax... \*is\* a way of  
> distributing wealth. Government \*is\* about wielding authority. In the US,  
> we have "checks and balances" supposed to keep any one person from attaining

> too much power. And we have the right to petition government "for a redress  
> of grievances".

Sigh! And those governments are supposed to be the states, not the  
Fed. That's what the constitution was all about.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 15:05:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz wrote:

> In <icobhpvlbk.fsf@home.home>, on 12/19/2012  
> at 03:27 PM, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> said:  
>  
>> Funny how they get away with equating a small adjustment in taxes  
>> to a rate in effect 12 years ago as WAR.  
>  
> While the "47%" remark is not class warfare.

>  
It's a comment on how bad we've become a welfare economy but  
nobody seemed to understand that. IOW, those who pay FICA  
are the slaves of almost half the population.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 15:17:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article

<6fbbe6a0-462f-4011-b245-d84c82fdfa78@eo2g2000vbb.googlegroups.com>,  
hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com (hancock4) writes:

>  
>> I see the left-right spectrum not as a line but as part of a  
  
>> on the left side, are people like Mao and Stalin, while on the  
  
>> side the Taliban are on, so they're probably right at the back,  
>> where extremism is at a maximum and personal freedom is at a  
>> minimum.  
>

- > From the point of view of the masses, none of it matters. Someone has
- > a gun to their head at all times to behave per the 'party line'. the
- > party line itself is always evolving, and one better keep up with it.
- > There is intrigue and fighting at the upper levels of the party for
- > favortism of the supreme ruler.
- >
- > The "mob" works pretty much the same way.

Exactly. It's not left or right that matters, it's that line that runs across the circle at right angles to the left-right line.

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 15:18:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <50D35AF9.6BAC28C9@bytextcraft.com>, walter@bytextcraft.com  
(Walter Banks) writes:

> Charlie Gibbs wrote:

>

>> In article <20121219204900@news.eternal-september.org>,

>> rogblake@iname.invalid (Roger Blake) writes:

>>

>>> On 2012-12-19, Charlie Gibbs <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid> wrote:

>>>

>>>> If you guys manage to get rid of your right-wingers, could you

>>>> come up here and tell us how to do it?

>>>

>>> Ah, so you want a Soviet-style one-party system, eh Comrade?

>>

>> Here in Canada we already have it.

>

> For the Americans it is a conservative one party system...

It is for Canada too.

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 15:50:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:

> On 12/20/2012 8:32 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>> Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:  
>>  
>>> On 12/20/2012 3:42 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>>> [hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com](mailto:hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com) writes:  
>>>>  
>>>> > On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:  
>>>> >  
>>>> >> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of  
>>>> >> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen  
>>>> >> stopped Hitler.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives  
>>>> > through his many choices and incompetence:  
>>>> >  
>>>> > --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them  
>>>> > with inexperienced juniors.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-  
>>>> > guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly  
>>>> > in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party  
>>>> > interference was enormously wasteful.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to  
>>>> > attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel  
>>>> > even after attacked.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own  
>>>> > people.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.  
>>>>  
>>>> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.  
>>>> Leading directly to WWII.  
>>>>  
>>>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,  
>>>> but give Russia a free pass.  
>>>>  
>>> Because of all the left-wing writers, artists, actors, etc. who were  
>>> duped by the CPUSA, went to the USSR, and came back raving about  
>>> "Uncle Joe" and the "workers' paradise."  
>>  
>> LOL!

>>  
>> CPUSA?  
>  
> Communist party of the USA.

Yeah, I know.

But it was Britain and France.  
If there were any communists causing them to overlook Russia's transgressions, they weren't from the CPUSA.

Other than that, your instinct to blame the leftists made me laugh.

--  
Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 17:30:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/21/2012 10:05 AM, jmfba@civ wrote:

> Walter Banks wrote:

>>

>>

>> jmfba@civ wrote:

>>

>>> What world do you live on? Obama has continued his Presidential  
>>> campaign flying here and there (spending millions of tax dollars) to  
>>> repeat his "let's screw the rich" speech.

>>>

>>> those "rich" happen to create wealth. That means jobs. Take away their  
>>> incentive and/or ability to put money back into their businesses and  
>>> you stop wealth creation.

>>

>> They have had a tremendous tax advantage to create jobs for a  
>> dozen years. Where are the jobs that they have created? The  
>> promise didn't work they consume far more of the federal spending  
>> and the average guy is paying for it.

>>

>> A farmer in TN or CT or NH doesn't care if a \$4B airport that  
>> will jet him to Europe exists or if there is even a road connecting  
>> the states.

>

> He sure as hell does care. What he sells has to be shipped.

>

>> (The NH guy already knows the world doesn't need  
>> roads) But these are the guys that are paying for these things.

>> Who needs ATC and GPS. Let these folks pay for these things.  
>  
> Farmers use, and have been using, GPS for years.  
>

I think I read somewhere that the large combines, ploughs, etc. use GPS to automate the whole process. The driver just sits in the cab and looks out for trouble. I guess when you're dealing in large, flat fields like in the midwest, a few yards of accuracy is close enough.

--  
Pete

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 19:55:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-21, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:  
> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>  
>> On 12/20/2012 8:32 PM, Dan Espen wrote:  
>>> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> writes:  
>>>  
>>>> Because of all the left-wing writers, artists, actors, etc. who were  
>>>> duped by the CPUSA, went to the USSR, and came back raving about  
>>>> "Uncle Joe" and the "workers' paradise."  
>>>  
>>> LOL!  
>>>  
>>> CPUSA?  
>>  
>> Communist party of the USA.  
>  
> Yeah, I know.  
>  
> But it was Britain and France.  
> If there were any communists causing them to overlook Russia's  
> transgressions, they weren't from the CPUSA.  
>  
> Other than that, your instinct to blame the leftists made me laugh.  
>

The French Communists were in a class of their own, August39-June41, they were actually Allies of Germany, and reportably acted that way, the Italians were foremost Italians, still are.

--  
maus  
. . .  
....

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 19:55:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-21, Charlie Gibbs <[cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid](mailto:cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid)> wrote:  
> In article  
> <[6fbbe6a0-462f-4011-b245-d84c82fdfa78@eo2g2000vbb.googlegroups.com](mailto:6fbbe6a0-462f-4011-b245-d84c82fdfa78@eo2g2000vbb.googlegroups.com)>,  
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com (hancock4) writes:  
>  
>> On Dec 20, 12:07 pm, "Charlie Gibbs" <[cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid](mailto:cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid)> wrote:  
>>  
>>> I see the left-right spectrum not as a line but as part of a  
>>> circle. The ends join around at the back side. Near there,  
>>> on the left side, are people like Mao and Stalin, while on the  
>>> right side are McCarthy and Hitler. I can't figure out which  
>>> side the Taliban are on, so they're probably right at the back,  
>>> where extremism is at a maximum and personal freedom is at a  
>>> minimum.  
>>  
>> From the point of view of the masses, none of it matters. Someone has  
>> a gun to their head at all times to behave per the 'party line'. the  
>> party line itself is always evolving, and one better keep up with it.  
>> There is intrigue and fighting at the upper levels of the party for  
>> favortism of the supreme ruler.  
>>  
>> The "mob" works pretty much the same way.  
>  
> Exactly. It's not left or right that matters, it's that line that  
> runs across the circle at right angles to the left-right line.  
>

maybe a bend sinister? (sp)

--  
maus  
. . .  
....

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 20:55:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-21, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 12/21/2012 10:05 AM, jmfbahciv wrote:

>> Walter Banks wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>> jmfbahciv wrote:

>>>

>>>> What world do you live on? Obama has continued his Presidential  
>>>> campaign flying here and there (spending millions of tax dollars) to  
>>>> repeat his "let's screw the rich" speech.

>>>>

>>>> those "rich" happen to create wealth. That means jobs. Take away their  
>>>> incentive and/or ability to put money back into their businesses and  
>>>> you stop wealth creation.

>>>

>>> They have had a tremendous tax advantage to create jobs for a  
>>> dozen years. Where are the jobs that they have created? The  
>>> promise didn't work they consume far more of the federal spending  
>>> and the average guy is paying for it.

>>>

>>> A farmer in TN or CT or NH doesn't care if a \$4B airport that  
>>> will jet him to Europe exists or if there is even a road connecting  
>>> the states.

>>

>> He sure as hell does care. What he sells has to be shipped.

>>

>>> (The NH guy already knows the world doesn't need  
>>> roads) But these are the guys that are paying for these things.  
>>> Who needs ATC and GPS. Let these folks pay for these things.

>>

>> Farmers use, and have been using, GPS for years.

>>

>

> I think I read somewhere that the large combines, ploughs, etc. use GPS  
> to automate the whole process. The driver just sits in the cab and  
> looks out for trouble. I guess when you're dealing in large, flat  
> fields like in the midwest, a few yards of accuracy is close enough.

>

>

The process started with `tramlines', unplanted rows that the tractor driver just follows, turning off the machines (sprayer, manure spreaders, etc) as needed. There is at least one group in Germany working on the idea, (Mercedes is reported to have a self-driving car ready), but insurance is a problem, the most likely accident is a stone flying from wheels, implement,

hitting a front wheel and bouncing back through a window into the cab.

AFAIK, there are two grades of GPS, one more expensive than the other.

One example of what it might mean is that farm machines could be far smaller, without the need of a human controller, and a robotization of the supply process, (loading seed, manure, whatever).. (This already solved in truck logistics), and less individual weight to move around.. hazardous materials (anhydrous ammonia (sp), a cheap manure, widely use in the US, considered too dangerous for Europe) would be more possible.

--  
maus  
.  
.  
....

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 21:25:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<greymausg@mail.com> wrote in message  
news:slrnkd9gu5.2v1.greymausg@gmaus.org...  
> On 2012-12-21, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:  
>> On 12/21/2012 10:05 AM, jmfbahciv wrote:  
>>> Walter Banks wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> jmfbahciv wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>> > What world do you live on? Obama has continued his Presidential  
>>>> > campaign flying here and there (spending millions of tax dollars) to  
>>>> > repeat his "let's screw the rich" speech.  
>>>> >  
>>>> > those "rich" happen to create wealth. That means jobs. Take away  
>>>> > their  
>>>> > incentive and/or ability to put money back into their businesses and  
>>>> > you stop wealth creation.  
>>>>  
>>>> They have had a tremendous tax advantage to create jobs for a  
>>>> dozen years. Where are the jobs that they have created? The  
>>>> promise didn't work they consume far more of the federal spending  
>>>> and the average guy is paying for it.  
>>>>  
>>>> A farmer in TN or CT or NH doesn't care if a \$4B airport that  
>>>> will jet him to Europe exists or if there is even a road connecting

>>>> the states.  
>>>  
>>> He sure as hell does care. What he sells has to be shipped.  
>>>  
>>>> (The NH guy already knows the world doesn't need  
>>>> roads) But these are the guys that are paying for these things.  
>>>> Who needs ATC and GPS. Let these folks pay for these things.  
>>>  
>>> Farmers use, and have been using, GPS for years.  
>>>  
>>  
>> I think I read somewhere that the large combines, ploughs, etc. use GPS  
>> to automate the whole process. The driver just sits in the cab and  
>> looks out for trouble. I guess when you're dealing in large, flat  
>> fields like in the midwest, a few yards of accuracy is close enough.  
>>  
>>  
>  
> The process started with `tramlines', unplanted rows that the tractor  
> driver just follows, turning off the machines (sprayer, manure spreaders,  
> etc) as needed. There is at least one group in Germany working on the  
> idea,  
> (Mercedes is reported to have a self-driving car ready), but insurance is  
> a  
> problem, the most likely accident is a stone flying from wheels,  
> implement,  
> hitting a front wheel and bouncing back through a window into the cab.  
>  
> AFAIK, there are two grades of GPS, one more expensive than the other.  
>  
> One example of what it might mean is that farm machines could be far  
> smaller  
> , without the need of a human controller, and a robotization of the supply  
> process, (loading seed, manure, whatever).. (This already solved in truck  
> logistics), and less individual weight to move around.. hazerdous materials  
> (anhydrous ammonia (sp), a cheap manure, widely use in the US, considered  
> too dangerous for Europe) would be more possible.

But doesn't work anything like as well with the harvest side of the operation, because there is a lot more stuff to move and so you have the much harder problem of removing it without stopping etc.

That wont be human free any time soon.

We can't even work out how to shear sheep without humans, even tho some iron ore mines and some docks are almost completely automated now. We are about to completely automate by far the biggest rail operations in the entire

world, the Pilbara iron ore trains that are quite literally miles long.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [D.J.](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 21:37:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:30:40 -0800 (PST), hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> On Dec 20, 3:42 pm, Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote:

>

>> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.

>> Leading directly to WWII.

>>

>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,

>> but give Russia a free pass.

>

> Good question. I suspect it was because they felt Germany was a  
> country the Allies could defeat, while going to war against the Soviet  
> Union would be a much tougher prospect.

>

> As an aside, IMHO the events of the 1930s deserve much more study than  
> they get. Lots of people decry the use of atomic weapons against  
> Japan or the horrible firebombing of Germany and Japan. But those  
> events were a 'done deal' by the time they happened as a result of  
> prior experiences of the war. What is more significant are the  
> diplomatic and military events of the 1930s that eventually greased  
> the skids for WW II to happen. The issue of appeasement--including  
> the domestic politics of it--needs to be further addressed. That is,  
> if Chamberlain put his foot down early, would the people of Great  
> Britain and France supported him to go to war against Germany?

According to the people living near my grandmother, all of whom went  
through WW2, the atomic bombs were payback for Pearl Harbor.

..  
JimP.

--

Brushing aside the thorns so I can see the stars.

<http://www.linuxgazette.net/> Linux Gazette

<http://www.drivein-jim.net/> Drive-In movie theaters

<http://story.drivein-jim.net/> A story Feb, 2011

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 21:40:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <kb02o4\$ddq\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/20/2012

at 04:16 PM, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> said:

> Neither Japan nor Italy had any particular objection to Jews

Japan was racist, although it did not single out Jews. Consider the treatment of the Ainu and Koreans, or the children of GI's after WW II.

> But let's face it, the USA of 1941 was a pretty racist place, too.

And in 1951, ...

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 21:42:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"JimP." <pongbill127@cableone.net> wrote in message  
news:fhl9d8p0k0g92lclbvgkqvkk9k908nbh8@4ax.com...

> On Thu, 20 Dec 2012 13:30:40 -0800 (PST), hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

>> On Dec 20, 3:42 pm, Dan Espen <des...@verizon.net> wrote:

>>

>>> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.

>>> Leading directly to WWII.

>>>

>>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,  
>>> but give Russia a free pass.

>>

>> Good question. I suspect it was because they felt Germany was a  
>> country the Allies could defeat, while going to war against the Soviet  
>> Union would be a much tougher prospect.

>>

>> As an aside, IMHO the events of the 1930s deserve much more study than  
>> they get. Lots of people decry the use of atomic weapons against  
>> Japan or the horrible firebombing of Germany and Japan. But those  
>> events were a 'done deal' by the time they happened as a result of  
>> prior experiences of the war. What is more significant are the  
>> diplomatic and military events of the 1930s that eventually greased  
>> the skids for WW II to happen. The issue of appeasement--including  
>> the domestic politics of it--needs to be further addressed. That is,

>> if Chamberlain put his foot down early, would the people of Great  
>> Britain and France supported him to go to war against Germany?

> According to the people living near my grandmother, all of whom went  
> through WW2, the atomic bombs were payback for Pearl Harbor.

Doesn't mean that that claim is accurate tho.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Fri, 21 Dec 2012 23:35:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 20, 5:47 pm, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz  
<spamt...@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

>>> BTW, what is the current consensus as to how Lenin died?  
>> Not soon enough.  
>  
> Stalin was worse.

Amazingly, some elements celebrated Stalin's birthday.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 00:12:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote in message  
news:605928f9-4dd2-4326-b686-cbcfba74d411 @x20g2000vbf.googlegroups.com...

> On Dec 20, 5:47 pm, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz  
> <spamt...@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:  
>  
>>>> BTW, what is the current consensus as to how Lenin died?  
>>> Not soon enough.  
>>  
>> Stalin was worse.  
>  
> Amazingly, some elements celebrated Stalin's birthday.

And plenty were stupid enough to start bawling when he died too.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Bushell](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 00:15:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <kb1I37\$1ov\$1@dont-email.me>,  
Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>  
> Communist party of the USA. During the 30s the depression encouraged a  
> lot of people to join, not realizing what they were getting into. Like  
> Scientology today the rich and famous were pampered and petted, and  
> became the front for the party In some respects McCarthy was right -  
> there \*were\* a lot of Communist sympathizers. What he got wrong was  
> that they were not committed Communists, but casual or social members,  
> or people who joined because it was fashionable. These were the  
> people who got themselves slaughtered in Spain.

Eric, you have black hair, why do they call you Eric the Red?

The was this chick see. Attend one party meeting and you're branded  
for life.

--

This space unintentionally left blank.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 03:20:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:

> In <ic8v8stx02.fsf@home.home>, on 12/20/2012  
> at 01:10 PM, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> said:  
>  
>> Have you read the wikipedia page on Iran?  
>  
> "The heads of the judiciary, state radio and television networks, the  
> commanders of the police and military forces and six of the twelve  
> members of the Guardian Council are appointed by the Supreme Leader."  
>  
> "Presidential candidates must be approved by the Guardian Council  
> prior to running in order to ensure their allegiance to the ideals of  
> the Islamic revolution."  
>  
> "All Majlis candidates and all legislation from the assembly must be  
> approved by the Guardian Council."  
>  
> All of these support my characterization of the Iranian theocracy.

It's a theocracy all right.

The Supreme Leader is chosen by Assembly of Experts.

The Assembly of Experts, which meets for at least two days, twice annually, comprises 86 "virtuous and learned" clerics elected by adult suffrage for eight-year terms. Based on the laws approved by the first Assembly, the Council of Guardians has to determine candidates' eligibility using a written examination. The Assembly elects the Supreme Leader and has the constitutional authority to remove the Supreme Leader from power at any time. As all of their meetings and notes are strictly confidential, the Assembly has never been known to challenge any of the Supreme Leader's decisions.

The Assembly is pretty powerful (or theoretically could be) and they're elected.

--

Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 12:35:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/21/2012 10:20 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>

> The Supreme Leader is chosen by Assembly of Experts.

Sounds good. Do these "experts" have someone to help tie their shoes?  
What are they "experts" in?

--

Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Niklas Karlsson](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 13:35:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-20, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:  
[Jews in WWII]

> Japan was actually instrumental in saving the lives of a fair number  
> by issuing them visas... something the USA was not willing to do).

Some US interests made arrangements by proxy, though. Look up Raoul Wallenberg, whose efforts appear to have had some connection with the OSS.

Niklas

--

[...] the periodic and predictable system failures were a result of one part of the system expecting the clock to count 1024 ticks per unit time, while the other part thought the clock was counting 1000 ticks per unit time.

-- MikeA in asr, on the Patriot missile system

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [John Hollande](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 13:44:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> wrote:

> On 12/21/2012 10:20 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>

>> The Supreme Leader is chosen by Assembly of Experts.

>

> Sounds good. Do these "experts" have someone to help tie their shoes?

> What are they "experts" in?

They're expert at fucking your mother.

Then again, many are.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 15:22:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> writes:

> On 12/21/2012 10:20 PM, Dan Espen wrote:

>>

>> The Supreme Leader is chosen by Assembly of Experts.

>

> Sounds good. Do these "experts" have someone to help tie their shoes?

> What are they "experts" in?

I have no idea. I get the impression that all their society really values is the ability to appear religious.

All I'm saying is that they have a system that has the semblance of a democracy.

I wonder if they elect all their televangelists to office.

---

--  
Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Stan Barr](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 16:39:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 20 Dec 2012 18:02:20 -0500, Shmuel Metz  
<spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:  
> In <op.wpmstnl15cosae@dell3100>, on 12/20/2012  
> at 08:06 PM, "Stanley Daniel de Liver"  
> <notagoodone@invalid.org.invalid> said:  
>  
>> On Thu, 20 Dec 2012 19:48:10 -0000, <hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com> wrote:  
>  
>>> Somewhere I read recently that they've developed microscopic vacuum  
>>> tubes  
>  
> I vaguely recall reading a paper on vacuum tube elements in integrated  
> circuits, sometime in the mid 1960's. Presumably he's referring to a  
> much denser version.  
>

There have been several projects along these lines over the years,  
none have come to much. Last one I recall was in the mid-90s with  
large arrays of tubes (valves...).

--  
Cheers,  
Stan Barr plan.b .at. dsl .dot. pipex .dot. com

The future was never like this!

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Stan Barr](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 16:39:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 21 Dec 2012 20:55:40 GMT, greymausg@mail.com <greymausg@mail.com> wrote:  
>  
> AFAIK, there are two grades of GPS, one more expensive than the other.  
>

Yes. GPS, accurate to a few metres and Differential GPS (partially  
ground-based) which is accurate to about 10cm (4 inches) in places  
with good reception.

--

Cheers,  
Stan Barr plan.b .at. dsl .dot. pipex .dot. com

The future was never like this!

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 18:41:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote  
> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote  
>> Dan Espen wrote

>>> The Supreme Leader is chosen by Assembly of Experts.

>> Sounds good. Do these "experts" have someone  
>> to help tie their shoes? What are they "experts" in?

> I have no idea. I get the impression that all their  
> society really values is the ability to appear religious.

Hordes of them don't.

> All I'm saying is that they have a system  
> that has the semblance of a democracy.

Nope, because fuck all vote. Its not even  
as democratic as the Vatican, stupid.

> I wonder if they elect all their televangelists to office.

They don't have any. They don't even elect their imams.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 22:46:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <slrnkd76u7.3cl.greymausg@gmaus.org>, on 12/20/2012  
at 11:55 PM, greymausg@mail.com said:

> the embargo on scrap steel meant that Japan had to attack the  
> US, with their eapanding war effort stymied, they had to start  
> the war soon,

That's not how Yamamoto saw it. He considered Pearl Harbor to be a major blunder.

> and the US authorities knew that. Probably they regarded the  
> Japanese as a lesser race that were incapable of doing the damage  
> they did.

That's plausible, but it's also possible that they considered it to be politically impossible to initiate war with Germany and expected war with Japan to lead to a German declaration of war; if so, they were correct.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 22:54:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <kb1I37\$1ov\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/21/2012  
at 07:42 AM, Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Flass@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Flass@Yahoo.com)> said:

> Communist party of the USA. During the 30s the depression  
> encouraged a lot of people to join, not realizing what they were  
> getting into. Like Scientology today the rich and famous were  
> pampered and petted, and became the front for the party In some  
> respects McCarthy was right - there \*were\* a lot of Communist  
> sympathizers.

It's too bad that a lot of the people that he accused weren't communist sympathizers, a fact that he didn't care about.

> What he got wrong was that they were not committed Communists,  
> but casual or social members, or people who joined because it was  
> fashionable.

What he got wrong was that while it was safe to lie about Hollywood and State Department employees, it was not safe to lie about Army officers.

> These were the people who got themselves slaughtered in Spain.

A lot of people who fought in Spain were simply fighting fascism and had no sympathy for the CP.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 23:05:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <PM0004D15DD84380B2@aca2063e.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/21/2012 at 03:05 PM, jmfbahciv <[See.above@aol.com](mailto:See.above@aol.com)> said:

> It's a comment on how bad we've become a welfare economy

You're inciting class warfare.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Sat, 22 Dec 2012 23:27:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <icmwx6ai2m.fsf@home.home>, on 12/21/2012 at 10:20 PM, Dan Espen <[despen@verizon.net](mailto:despen@verizon.net)> said:

> The Assembly is pretty powerful (or theoretically could be) and  
> they're elected.

FSVO elected. Are you allowed to run if you're not a Shi'ite of the same flavor as the establishment?

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to [spamtrap@library.lspace.org](mailto:spamtrap@library.lspace.org)

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 00:56:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Roger Blake <[rogblake@iname.invalid](mailto:rogblake@iname.invalid)> writes:

> On 2012-12-19, Dave Garland <[dave.garland@wizinfo.com](mailto:dave.garland@wizinfo.com)> wrote:  
>> Of course it's obvious.  
>  
> What is "obvious" to anyone who understands the intent of the  
> Constitution and the principles of limited government is that the  
> feds have no authority to impose "universal medicare." In fact,  
> judge Janet Rogers Brown was 100% in her statement that it is  
> not possible to fit Social Security and Medicare even as we know  
> them today in the framework of the Constitution.  
>  
> The federal government has no legitimate business being involved in  
> wealth redistribution. All federal entitlement programs should be ended.  
> Period.

How do you feel about the Louisiana Purchase?

-- Patrick

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 06:26:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[jmfbahciv](#) <[See.above@aol.com](mailto:See.above@aol.com)> writes:

> [hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com](mailto:hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com) wrote:  
>> On Dec 19, 12:19 pm, Peter Flass <[Peter\\_Fl...@Yahoo.com](mailto:Peter_Fl...@Yahoo.com)> wrote:  
>>>  
>>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
>>> trying to stir up class warfare, and now he's going to have to back out  
>>> of it.  
>>  
>> Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored to unite the

>> country and political opposition. But the 'right' and the Republicans  
>> consistently reject his offers.  
>  
> What world do you live on? Obama has continued his Presidential  
> campaign flying here and there (spending millions of tax dollars) to  
> repeat his "let's screw the rich" speech.  
>  
>  
> those "rich" happen to create wealth. That means jobs. Take away their  
> incentive and/or ability to put money back into their businesses and  
> you stop wealth creation.  
>  
> JHFC!

Is that why the economy was so much worse in the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s  
than it is today?

-- Patrick

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 07:05:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> writes:

> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:  
>  
>> On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:  
>>  
>>> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of  
>>> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen  
>>> stopped Hitler.  
>>  
>> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives  
>> through his many choices and incompetence:  
>>  
>> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them  
>> with inexperienced juniors.  
>>  
>> --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-  
>> guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly  
>> in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party  
>> interference was enormously wasteful.  
>>  
>> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to  
>> attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel  
>> even after attacked.

>>  
>> --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own  
>> people.  
>>  
>> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.  
>  
> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.  
> Leading directly to WWII.  
>  
> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,  
> but give Russia a free pass.

Because the allies couldn't fight both Germany and Russia, and figured correctly that Germany would eventually attack Russia.

-- Patrick

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 08:33:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Patrick Scheible" <kkt@zipcon.net> wrote in message  
news:86txrdthap.fsf@chai.my.domain...  
> jmfbaahciv <See.above@aol.com> writes:  
>  
>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:  
>>> On Dec 19, 12:19 pm, Peter Flass <Peter\_Fl...@Yahoo.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>> That goes along with the rest of obama's BS. He spent the election  
>>>>> trying to stir up class warfare, and now he's going to have to back out  
>>>>> of it.  
>>>>  
>>>> Ever since his election Obama has repeatedly endeavored to unite the  
>>>> country and political opposition. But the 'right' and the Republicans  
>>>> consistently reject his offers.  
>>>>  
>>>> What world do you live on? Obama has continued his Presidential  
>>>> campaign flying here and there (spending millions of tax dollars) to  
>>>> repeat his "let's screw the rich" speech.  
>>>>  
>>>>> those "rich" happen to create wealth. That means jobs. Take away their  
>>>>> incentive and/or ability to put money back into their businesses and  
>>>>> you stop wealth creation.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> JHFC!  
>>>>>  
>>>>>

> Is that why the economy was so much worse in the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s  
> than it is today?

Nope, that's because the clowns were allowed to completely  
implode much of the world financial system, AGAIN.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 08:55:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-22, Shmuel Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

> In <PM0004D15DD84380B2@aca2063e.ipt.aol.com>, on 12/21/2012  
> at 03:05 PM, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> said:

>  
>> It's a comment on how bad we've become a welfare economy

>  
> You're inciting class warfare.

>

I have my hayfork and burning brand ready, lets head for the Schloss..  
Oh, uh, the bank has foreclosed on it already..

--  
maus

.  
.  
....

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Geoff Huevos](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 11:40:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Patrick Scheible <kkt@zipcon.net> wrote:

> Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> writes:

>

>> On 2012-12-19, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:

>>> Of course it's obvious.

>>

>> What is "obvious" to anyone who understands the intent of the  
>> Constitution and the principles of limited government is that the  
>> feds have no authority to impose "universal medicare." In fact,  
>> judge Janet Rogers Brown was 100% in her statement that it is  
>> not possible to fit Social Security and Medicare even as we know

>> them today in the framework of the Constitution.  
>>  
>> The federal government has no legitimate business being involved in  
>> wealth redistribution. All federal entitlement programs should be ended.  
>> Period.  
>  
> How do you feel about the Louisiana Purchase?

I purchase Louisiana! Not you! You fuck off!

How much is the Louisiana?

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 13:08:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/22/2012 7:56 PM, Patrick Scheible wrote:  
> Roger Blake <rogblake@iname.invalid> writes:  
>  
>> On 2012-12-19, Dave Garland <dave.garland@wizinfo.com> wrote:  
>>> Of course it's obvious.  
>>  
>> What is "obvious" to anyone who understands the intent of the  
>> Constitution and the principles of limited government is that the  
>> feds have no authority to impose "universal medicare." In fact,  
>> judge Janet Rogers Brown was 100% in her statement that it is  
>> not possible to fit Social Security and Medicare even as we know  
>> them today in the framework of the Constitution.  
>>  
>> The federal government has no legitimate business being involved in  
>> wealth redistribution. All federal entitlement programs should be ended.  
>> Period.  
>  
> How do you feel about the Louisiana Purchase?  
>

Well, it gave us James Carville...

--  
Pete

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 14:30:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:

> In <icmwx6ai2m.fsf@home.home>, on 12/21/2012  
> at 10:20 PM, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> said:  
>  
>> The Assembly is pretty powerful (or theoretically could be) and  
>> they're elected.  
>  
> FSVO elected. Are you allowed to run if you're not a Shi'ite of the  
> same flavor as the establishment?

Don't know.

As I said, the whole society is focused on religion.  
They're unlikely to be voting in any free thinkers.

So, like the recent Islamic constitution in Egypt we have  
the democratic tyranny of the majority at work.

Same thing happens in lots of places. Like California and  
prop 8.

--  
Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 14:35:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Patrick Scheible <kkt@zipcon.net> writes:

> Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> writes:  
>  
>> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com writes:  
>>  
>>> On Dec 20, 2:55 pm, greyma...@mail.com wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> . . . Stalin was in the tradition of  
>>>> Russian rulers from antiquity[1], brutal. Still, he and his countrymen  
>>>> stopped Hitler.  
>>>  
>>> IMHO, Stalin unnecessarily wasted a huge number of Russian lives  
>>> through his many choices and incompetence:  
>>>  
>>> --Purging many of the military's most able leaders, replacing them  
>>> with inexperienced juniors.  
>>>

>>> --Installing party cadres into the military, who constantly second-guessed the professionals. Further the professionals were constantly in fear they would be purged by the party cadre. The party interference was enormously wasteful.

>>> --Failing to heed repeated warnings that the Germans were about to attack Russia; indeed, continuing to supply Germany with war materiel even after attacked.

>>> --Ordering premature counter-offenses that merely killed his own people.

>>> --Ordered WW I style frontal assaults at a tremendous cost in lives.

>> Not to forget he made the deal with Germany to divide Poland.  
>> Leading directly to WWII.

>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany, but give Russia a free pass.

>  
> Because the allies couldn't fight both Germany and Russia, and figured correctly that Germany would eventually attack Russia.

Very true.

The time to settle up was after US and Britain had nukes and Russia didn't and we were holding the trials in Nuremberg.

--  
Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 15:40:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Patrick Scheible <kkt@zipcon.net> writes:  
> Is that why the economy was so much worse in the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s  
> than it is today?

The Unequal State of America: a Reuters series  
<http://www.reuters.com/subjects/income-inequality>

has an inequality graphic by year & state "See inequality grow"

one of several articles in the series

The Decline of the "Great Equalizer" ; Massachusetts, home to America's

best schools and best-educated workforce, has seen income inequality soar. Why? The poor are losing an academic arms race with the rich.  
<http://www.reuters.com/subjects/income-inequality/massachusetts>

Redistributing Up ; The federal government has emerged as one of the most potent factors driving income inequality in the United States - especially in the nation's capital.  
<http://www.reuters.com/subjects/income-inequality/washington>

from above:

The top 5 percent of households in Washington, D.C., made more than \$500,000 on average last year, while the bottom 20 percent earned less than \$9,500 - a ratio of 54 to 1. That gap is up from 39 to 1 two decades ago. It's wider than in any of the 50 states and all but two major cities. This at a time when income inequality in the United States as a whole has risen to levels last seen in the years before the Great Depression.

.... snip ...

past posts in thread:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#21> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#25> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#27> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#29> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#30> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#31> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#32> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#34> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#35> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#36> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#41> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#43> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#44> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#47> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#48> Search Google, 1960:s-style

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 16:29:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

.... for a little topic drift ... during the last decade ... there was push in washington to "privatize social security" ... basically a big

gift to wallstreet ... similarly to the way that mortgages were packaged so they could be sold through wallstreet ... with wallstreet skimming big percentage ... and lots of retirement plans morphed into 401k (study that wallstreet was able to take bigger percentage of individual plans compared to what they were able to skim off when dealing with large institutional funds).

recent blog entry on the state of social security fund  
<http://johnhively.wordpress.com/2012/12/22/it-makes-no-sense-to-reduce-social-security-benefits-heres-why/>

from above:

Cuts in social security benefits are on the table in the fiscal cliff negotiations. Why cut social security benefits when it has a \$2.5 trillion dollar surplus that collects \$118 billion a year in interest?

.... snip ...

the above then slides into:

Breakdown of the \$26 Trillion the Federal Reserve Handed Out to Save Incompetent, but Rich Investors  
<http://johnhively.wordpress.com/2011/12/05/breakdown-of-the-26-trillion-the-federal-reserve-handed-out-to-save-rich-incompetent-investors-but-who-purchase-political-power/>

from above:

The folks at  
<http://criminal.laws.com/rico>

define racketeering this way, Racketeering is classified as a crime that takes place through or while undertaking an illegal business or commercial venture. The activity of Racketeering is neither specific to solely illegal nor legal business operations. A wide array of the types of Racketeering exists.

.... snip ...

which is funny since several times during the last decade, I would ask why hadn't the gov "rico'ed" lots of parties involved in the financial mess

a few past posts mentioning rico  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2008q.html#12> Blinkenlights  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2008q.html#58> Obama, ACORN, subprimes (Re: Spiders)  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2008q.html#66> Blinkenlights

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010q.html#58> Programmer Charged with theft (maybe off topic)  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011b.html#27> The Zippo Lighter theory of the financial crisis (or, who do we want to blame?)  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011k.html#22> Slouching toward Weimar  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011k.html#44> New Scandal at DoJ as Illegal Guitars End Up In Hands of Mexican Drug Lords  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011k.html#53> 50th anniversary of BASIC, COBOL?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011k.html#60> 50th anniversary of BASIC, COBOL?

there has been claim that last decade, financial services sector grew by a factor of three times (as percentage of GDP). this has there was \$27 Trillion in CDOs done during the period

<http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&refer=home&sid=a0jln3.CSS6c>

redirecting mortgages into triple-A rated toxic CDOs and flowing them through wallstreet potentially is \$4T-\$5T of new revenue that wallstreet skims off the \$27T ... and goes a long way to accounting for the claim that financial services grew by factor of three times during the period.

to futher increase their take ... they purposefully package the triple-A rated toxic CDOs to fail ... and then make CDS bets that the triple-A rated toxic CDOs they sold their customers, would fail. This gets into the "AIG bailout" ... AIG was negotiating payout of 50cents on the dollar on these CDS bets, when the sec. of treasury steps in and declairs that it is illegal for AIG to pay less than face value and forces AIG to sign a document that it would take the bailout, pay off the CDS bets at face value, and not be able to sue those placing the CDS bets. The biggest recipient of AIG payout happened to also be an institution that the sec. of treasury had previously been CEO.

recent posts referencing \$27T in triple-A rated toxic CDOs done during the last decade:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012.html#32> Wall Street Bonuses May Reach Lowest Level in 3 Years  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012b.html#19> "Buffett Tax" and truth in numbers  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012b.html#65> Why Wall Street Should Stop Whining  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012b.html#95> Bank of America Fined \$1 Billion for Mortgage Fraud  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012c.html#30> US real-estate has lost \$7T in value  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012c.html#45> Fannie, Freddie Charge Taxpayers For Legal Bills  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012c.html#46> PC industry is heading for more change  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012c.html#54> PC industry is heading for more change  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012d.html#32> PC industry is heading for more change  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012d.html#42> China's J-20 Stealth Fighter Is Already Doing A Whole Lot More Than Anyone Expected  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#23> Are mothers naturally better at OODA because they always have the Win in mind?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#40> Who Increased the Debt?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#58> Word Length

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#31> Rome speaks to us. Their example can inspire us to avoid their fate  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#63> One maths formula and the financial crash  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#66> Predator GE: We Bring Bad Things to Life  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#69> Freefall: America, Free Markets, and the Sinking of the World Economy  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#75> Fed Report: Mortgage Mess NOT an Inside Job  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#80> The Failure of Central Planning  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#87> How do you feel about the fact that India has more employees than US?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#6> Adult Supervision  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#20> Psychology Of Fraud: Why Good People Do Bad Things  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#28> REPEAL OF GLASS-STEAGALL DID NOT CAUSE THE FINANCIAL CRISIS - WHAT DO YOU THINK?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#71> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#76> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#26> US economic update. Everything that follows is a result of what you see here  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#32> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#75> Interesting News Article  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#14> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#28> Why Asian companies struggle to manage global workers  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#65> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#56> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#12> Why Auditors Fail To Detect Frauds?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012o.html#7> Beyond the 10,000 Hour Rule  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012o.html#69> Can Open Source Ratings Break the Ratings Agency Oligopoly?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012o.html#73> These Two Charts Show How The Priorities Of US Companies Have Gotten Screwed Up

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Francisco Larraz](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 17:03:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Anne & Lynn Wheeler <[lynn@garlic.com](mailto:lynn@garlic.com)> wrote:

> <boring part snipped>

Man, don't take it personally, but you really should try to be more concise. No offense meant, but ALL your posts are way too fucking long (excuse my language).

I want you to work on a little thing called BREVITY. And I expect to see improvements very soon, OK?

No hard feelings, buddy. I'm just trying to help you.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 17:19:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <kav39b\$tjr\$1@dont-email.me>, on 12/20/2012  
at 08:26 AM, Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> said:

> Constantly harping about "the rich" or "the 1%" as if they were  
> different from the rest of us. If someone worked hard or had an  
> original idea and made money because of it, more power to him.

It's not the people who work hard or have original ideas that typically get rich.

> I used to complain about athletes' salaries,

Peanuts compared to what the teams, stadia and networks make off of them.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 17:24:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <i226d893uqn638v41e78kk9qcc85oso05o@4ax.com>, on 12/20/2012  
at 02:00 PM, hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> said:

> but not \*significant\* diffs.

The racism was a \*major\* difference. Mussolini thought that hitler's racial policies were insane.

> Socialism, communism, fascism, they are all authoritarian types.

No; you're confusing socialism with what the soviets did.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 18:39:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Anne & Lynn Wheeler <[lynn@garlic.com](mailto:lynn@garlic.com)> wrote

> Patrick Scheible <[kkt@zipcon.net](mailto:kkt@zipcon.net)> wrote

>> Is that why the economy was so much worse in  
>> the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s than it is today?

> The Unequal State of America: a Reuters series  
> <http://www.reuters.com/subjects/income-inequality>

That actually shows that the economy is  
better than in the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s

> has an inequality graphic by year & state "See inequality grow"

> one of several articles in the series

> The Decline of the "Great Equalizer" ; Massachusetts, home to America's  
> best schools and best-educated workforce, has seen income inequality  
> soar. Why? The poor are losing an academic arms race with the rich.  
> <http://www.reuters.com/subjects/income-inequality/massachusetts>

> Redistributing Up ; The federal government has emerged as one of the  
> most potent factors driving income inequality in the United States -  
> especially in the nation's capital.  
> <http://www.reuters.com/subjects/income-inequality/washington>

> from above:

> The top 5 percent of households in Washington, D.C., made more than  
> \$500,000 on average last year, while the bottom 20 percent earned less  
> than \$9,500 - a ratio of 54 to 1. That gap is up from 39 to 1 two decades

- > ago. It's wider than in any of the 50 states and all but two major cities.
- > This
- > at a time when income inequality in the United States as a whole has risen
- > to levels last seen in the years before the Great Depression.

And that is for the same reason, too.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 18:41:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Francisco Larraz <flares@mailinator.com> writes:

- > Anne & Lynn Wheeler <lynn@garlic.com> wrote:
- >
- >> <boring part snipped>
- >
- > Man, don't take it personally, but you really should try to be more
- > concise. No offense meant, but ALL your posts are way too fucking long
- > (excuse my language).
- >
- > I want you to work on a little thing called BREVITY. And I expect to see
- > improvements very soon, OK?
- >
- > No hard feelings, buddy. I'm just trying to help you.

Not to mention the transparent attempt to drive up his Google ratings by constantly posting links to his own mostly irrelevant email archive.

--  
Dan Espen

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 18:44:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anne & Lynn Wheeler <lynn@garlic.com> wrote

- > ... for a little topic drift ...

How dare you ? Have you no sense of common decency and decorum what so ever ?

- > during the last decade ... there was push in washington to
- > "privatize social security" ... basically a big gift to wallstreet ...

- > similarly to the way that mortgages were packaged so they
- > could be sold through wallstreet ... with wallstreet skimming
- > big percentage ... and lots of retirement plans morphed into
- > 401k (study that wallstreet was able to take bigger percentage
- > of individual plans compared to what they were able to skim
- > off when dealing with large institutional funds).

- > recent blog entry on the state of social security fund
- > <http://johnhively.wordpress.com/2012/12/22/it-makes-no-sense-to-reduce-social-security-benefits-heres-why/>

> from above:

- > Cuts in social security benefits are on the table in the fiscal cliff
- > negotiations. Why cut social security benefits when it has a \$2.5
- > trillion dollar surplus that collects \$118 billion a year in interest?

Indeed.

> ... snip ...

>

> the above then slides into:

- > Breakdown of the \$26 Trillion the Federal Reserve
- > Handed Out to Save Incompetent, but Rich Investors
- > <http://johnhively.wordpress.com/2011/12/05/breakdown-of-the-26-trillion-the-federal-reserve-handed-out-to-save-rich-incompetent-investors-but-who-purchase-political-power/>

More to save everyone from another great depression or worse.

That did work pretty well, the unemployment rate only ended up in double digits for a couple of quarters and then headed back down again.

> from above:

> The folks at

> <http://criminal.laws.com/rico>

- > define racketeering this way, Racketeering is classified
- > as a crime that takes place through or while undertaking
- > an illegal business or commercial venture.

Nothing that was done was illegal.

- > The activity of Racketeering is neither specific to
- > solely illegal nor legal business operations. A wide

- > array of the types of Racketeering exists.
- > ... snip ...
- > which is funny since several times during the last decade,
- > I would ask why hadn't the gov "rico'ed" lots of parties
- > involved in the financial mess

Because what they did was not illegal.

- > a few past posts mentioning rico
- > <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2008q.html#12> Blinkenlights
- > <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2008q.html#58> Obama, ACORN, subprimes (Re: Spiders)
- > <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2008q.html#66> Blinkenlights
- > <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2010q.html#58> Programmer Charged with theft (maybe off topic)
- > <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011b.html#27> The Zippo Lighter theory of the financial crisis (or, who do we want to blame?)
- > <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011k.html#22> Slouching toward Weimar
- > <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011k.html#44> New Scandal at DoJ as Illegal Guitars End Up In Hands of Mexican Drug Lords
- > <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011k.html#53> 50th anniversary of BASIC, COBOL?
- > <http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011k.html#60> 50th anniversary of BASIC, COBOL?
- >
- > there has been claim that last decade, financial services sector grew by a factor of three times (as percentage of GDP). this has there was \$27 Trillion in CDOs done during the period
- > <http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&refer=home&sid=a0jln3.CSS6c>
- >
- > redirecting mortgages into triple-A rated toxic CDOs and flowing them through wallstreet potentially is \$4T-\$5T of new revenue that wallstreet skims off the \$27T ... and goes a long way to accounting for the claim that financial services grew by factor of three times during the period.
- >
- > to futher increase their take ... they purposefully package the triple-A rated toxic CDOs to fail ... and then make CDS bets that the triple-A rated toxic CDOs they sold their customers, would fail. This gets into the "AIG bailout" ... AIG was negotiating payout of 50cents on the dollar on these CDS bets, when the sec. of treasury steps in and declairs that it is illegal for AIG to pay less than face value and forces AIG to sign a document that it would take the bailout, pay off the CDS bets at face value, and not be able to sue those placing the CDS bets. The biggest recipient of AIG payout happened to also be an institution that the sec. of treasury had previously been CEO.

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 18:49:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Shmuel (Seymour J.)Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote  
> Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote

>> Constantly harping about "the rich" or "the 1%" as if they were  
>> different from the rest of us. If someone worked hard or had an  
>> original idea and made money because of it, more power to him.

> It's not the people who work hard or have  
> original ideas that typically get rich.

Its what happened with Brin, Zuckerberg etc.

Even Murdoch on the first.

>> I used to complain about athletes' salaries,

> Peanuts compared to what the teams,  
> stadia and networks make off of them.

True of CEO salaries too.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sun, 23 Dec 2012 18:51:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Shmuel (Seymour J.)Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote  
> hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> wrote

>> but not \*significant\* diffs.

> The racism was a \*major\* difference.

Yes.

> Mussolini thought that hitler's racial policies were insane.

But had his own, particularly about north africans.

>> Socialism, communism, fascism, they are all authoritarian types.

> No; you're confusing socialism with what the soviets did.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Gene Wirchenko](#) on Mon, 24 Dec 2012 07:03:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 09:56:11 -0800 (PST), hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

[snip]

> What does a computer do in a toaster?

>

> I thought the 'logic' of a toaster was reasonably simple--a sliding

> thermostat based on user selection combined with existing heat. That

> is, the toaster knows to work longer for its first piece of bread than

> later pieces since it's already warmed up.

Well, that all depends on your approach:

[http://www.ridgenet.net/~do\\_while/toaster.htm](http://www.ridgenet.net/~do_while/toaster.htm)

The Breakfast Food Cooker

[snip]

Sincerely,

Gene Wirchenko

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Gene Wirchenko](#) on Mon, 24 Dec 2012 07:08:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 16 Dec 12 06:08:05 -0800, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid>  
wrote:

[snip]

> My wife's laptop came with Win7. I spent three days trying to

> set it up on our LAN, then finally gave a scream of frustration

> and wiped the hard disk. I tried to install XP, but the install

> CD blue-screened while booting. It installs and runs just fine

> in VirtualBox under Ubuntu, though.

I still use some 16-bit utilities I wrote. They run fine under XP. Under 7, I have to use the XP Mode. That is fine for my desktop7 system, but not for my laptop which has Home Premium (so will not run XP Mode). I have to use an emulator.

One of utilities has typical run on 13 seconds on my XP system and about 3 1/2 minutes on my 7 laptop. The laptop is the more

powerful system, but I use this utility heavily with some of my programming.

Sincerely,

Gene Wirchenko

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [kenney](#) on Mon, 24 Dec 2012 09:20:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <icwqwcsbe8.fsf@home.home>, [despen@verizon.net](mailto:despen@verizon.net) (Dan Espen) wrote:

> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,  
> but give Russia a free pass.

Because the Polish government never declared war on the USSR. Probably on the principle of one war at a time.

Ken Young

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Mon, 24 Dec 2012 09:59:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<[kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk](mailto:kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk)> wrote  
> [despen@verizon.net](mailto:despen@verizon.net) (Dan Espen) wrote

>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies  
>> declare war on Germany, but give Russia a free pass.

> Because the Polish government never declared war on the USSR.

That mangles the real story utterly.

> Probably on the principle of one war at a time.

And that does in spades.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Mon, 24 Dec 2012 12:31:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-24, kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk <kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk> wrote:  
> In article <icwqwcsbe8.fsf@home.home>, despen@verizon.net (Dan Espen)  
> wrote:  
>  
>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,  
>> but give Russia a free pass.  
>  
> Because the Polish government never declared war on the USSR. Probably  
> on the principle of one war at a time.  
>  
> Ken Young

Got confused when USSr attacked Finland, there was a plan in the UK to `occupy' northern Norway and thereby (forgetting Sveden) get troops to Finland, AsFarAsI read, the ships were already assigned when Germany occupied Norway instead.

Its only a few years since the Russians admitted (to their own people) that it was USSR that attacked Finland and not Finland attacking USSR.

--  
maus  
.  
.  
....

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Mon, 24 Dec 2012 14:36:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Francisco Larraz wrote:  
> Anne & Lynn Wheeler <lynn@garlic.com> wrote:  
>  
>> <boring part snipped>  
>  
> Man, don't take it personally, but you really should try to be more  
> concise. No offense meant, but ALL your posts are way too fucking long  
> (excuse my language).  
>  
> I want you to work on a little thing called BREVITY. And I expect to see  
> improvements very soon, OK?  
>  
> No hard feelings, buddy. I'm just trying to help you.  
>

Either fuck off or read what is offered--you might even learn something which, obviously, isn't your goal here.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Mark Bruford](#) on Mon, 24 Dec 2012 14:49:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

jmfahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

> Either fuck off or read what is offered

Hey, relax! You always sound like you haven't been getting any for decades...

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hancock4](#) on Mon, 24 Dec 2012 14:51:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Dec 23, 11:29 am, Anne & Lynn Wheeler <l...@garlic.com> wrote:

> ... for a little topic drift ... during the last decade ... there was  
> push in washington to "privatize social security" ... basically a big  
> gift to wallstreet ... similarly to the way that mortgages were packaged  
> so they could be sold through wallstreet ... with wallstreet skimming  
> big percentage ... and lots of retirement plans morphed into 401k (study  
> that wallstreet was able to take bigger percentage of individual plans  
> compared to what they were able to skim off when dealing with large  
> institutional funds).

Thanks for pointing this out.

Many companies have cut their benefit plans, dumping the costs on employees. This very effectively has a wage loss, but since it's hidden, it's not as apparent. Over time, the dollar amount really adds up.

Companies use the excuse "the need to maintain competitiveness". This would make sense if a business was truly struggling with unsold goods and had to lower prices to stay in the market. But many very profitable healthy companies have cut their wages, too, and merely pocketing the profits for high officers or premium stakeholders. The NYT did a series on this.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Charlie Gibbs](#) on Mon, 24 Dec 2012 17:53:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <rfvfd8pctt62lntnof6tv2ce23ajdq5djf@4ax.com>, genew@telus.net (Gene Wirchenko) writes:

> On Thu, 13 Dec 2012 09:56:11 -0800 (PST), hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com wrote:

> [snip]

>

>> What does a computer do in a toaster?

>>

>> I thought the 'logic' of a toaster was reasonably simple--a sliding thermostat based on user selection combined with existing heat.

>> That is, the toaster knows to work longer for its first piece of bread than later pieces since it's already warmed up.

>

> Well, that all depends on your approach:

> [http://www.ridgenet.net/~do\\_while/toaster.htm](http://www.ridgenet.net/~do_while/toaster.htm)

> The Breakfast Food Cooker

Thanks for the link. I knew I had read that story before (in rec.humor.funny, IIRC) and wanted to post a copy, but I couldn't find it in my archives.

--

/~\ cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid (Charlie Gibbs)

\ / I'm really at ac.dekanfrus if you read it the right way.

X Top-posted messages will probably be ignored. See RFC1855.

/\ HTML will DEFINITELY be ignored. Join the ASCII ribbon campaign!

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [GreyMaus](#) on Mon, 24 Dec 2012 17:55:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2012-12-24, jmfbahev <See.above@aol.com> wrote:

> Francisco Larraz wrote:

>> Anne & Lynn Wheeler <lynn@garlic.com> wrote:

>>

> Either fuck off or read what is offered--you might even learn something which, obviously, isn't your goal here.

>

> /BAH

Happy Christmas, /BAH, Lynn , and all, and a peaceful New Year for us all.

--  
maus  
. .  
....

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [kenney](#) on Tue, 25 Dec 2012 08:40:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <ajqnddFgkqcU1@mid.individual.net>, rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com  
(Rod Speed) wrote:

> That mangles the real story utterly.

Well can you come up to a reference to the Polish government declaring  
war on the Soviets?

> And that does in spades.

Pardon?

Ken Young

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [kenney](#) on Tue, 25 Dec 2012 08:40:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <slrnkdgh30.203.greymausg@gmaus.org>, greymausg@mail.com ()  
wrote:

> there was a plan in  
> the UK to `occupy' northern Norway

It was to lay mines in Norwegian territorial waters to prevent  
shipments of iron ore in the winter and originated after the war  
started. Help to Finland during the Winter War was based on requests for  
transport rights through Norway and Sweden or at least permission to  
overfly.

Ken Young

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 25 Dec 2012 09:38:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk> wrote  
> rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com (Rod Speed) wrote  
>> <kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk> wrote  
>>> despen@verizon.net (Dan Espen) wrote

>>>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies  
>>>> declare war on Germany, but give Russia a free pass.

>>> Because the Polish government never declared war on the USSR.

>> That mangles the real story utterly.

> Well can you come up to a reference to the Polish  
> government declaring war on the Soviets?

I meant that your BECAUSE mangles the real story utterly.

>>> Probably on the principle of one war at a time.

>> And that does in spades.

> Pardon?

The Allies were never going to go to war with Russia over that at that time.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [jmfbahciv](#) on Tue, 25 Dec 2012 13:03:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

greymausg@mail.com wrote:  
> On 2012-12-24, jmfbahciv <See.above@aol.com> wrote:  
>> Francisco Larraz wrote:  
>>> Anne & Lynn Wheeler <lynn@garlic.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>> Either fuck off or read what is offered--you might even learn something  
>> which, obviously, isn't your goal here.  
>>  
>> /BAH  
>  
> Happy Christmas, /BAH, Lynn , and all, and a peaceful New Year for  
> us all.

Ditto.

/BAH

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Ahem A Rivet's Shot](#) on Tue, 25 Dec 2012 13:20:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Tue, 25 Dec 2012 02:40:00 -0600  
kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk wrote:

> In article <ajqnddFgkqcU1@mid.individual.net>, rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com  
> (Rod Speed) wrote:  
>  
>> That mangles the real story utterly.  
>  
> Well can you come up to a reference to the Polish government declaring  
> war on the Soviets?  
>  
>> And that does in spades.

Ken, meet Speedo resident troll in a.f.c.

--

Steve O'Hara-Smith | Directable Mirror Arrays  
C:>WIN | A better way to focus the sun  
The computer obeys and wins. | licences available see  
You lose and Bill collects. | <http://www.sohara.org/>

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Kevin Blumfeld](#) on Tue, 25 Dec 2012 15:30:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:

> <kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk> wrote  
>> despen@verizon.net (Dan Espen) wrote  
>  
>>> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies  
>>> declare war on Germany, but give Russia a free pass.  
>  
>> Because the Polish government never declared war on the USSR.  
>  
> That mangles the real story utterly.

No!

>> Probably on the principle of one war at a time.  
>  
> And that does in spades.

Not!

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Lars Ulrichsson](#) on Tue, 25 Dec 2012 15:32:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Rod Speed" <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote:

> I meant that your BECAUSE mangles the real story utterly.  
  
Your THAT doesn't do the real story any favors either.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Tue, 25 Dec 2012 16:57:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lars Ulrichsson <ulrlrs@xyz.com> wrote  
> Rod Speed <rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com> wrote

>> I meant that your BECAUSE mangles the real story utterly.  
  
> Your THAT doesn't do the real story any favors either.

Pity about my other post on that which did.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Gene Wirchenko](#) on Tue, 25 Dec 2012 18:41:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 20 Dec 12 08:57:34 -0800, "Charlie Gibbs" <cgibbs@kltpzyxm.invalid>  
wrote:

> In article  
> <a71bf98f-fadf-4458-bde1-7bbcbaf9bdb6@p17g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>,  
> hancock4@bbs.cpcn.com (hancock4) writes:  
>  
>> But I like your other idea of a Soviet state. As the Commisar of  
>> EAM equipment, I have bold plans. You people better study up on  
>> your plugboard wiring\*.





domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not  
reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hda](#) on Wed, 26 Dec 2012 13:52:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 08:42:52 -0500, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz  
<spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

> In <9i9kd8tb51lla0gkqqv41p7h2fioubnibj@4ax.com>, on 12/25/2012  
> at 02:19 PM, Gene Wirchenko <genew@telus.net> said:  
>  
>> I found "checkrein".  
>  
> Neither "check rein" nor "rain check" makes sense in context. Meither  
> means gtanted or permitted.

"rein check" is a contamination in the language.  
"rein" itself is a verb or a noun.

<http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/rein>

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Wed, 26 Dec 2012 17:09:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

tv playing in the background, I'm not paying any attention ... am using  
my computer doing other stuff. Something catches my ear and turn around  
to check. Leverage (season finale) is playing ... and there are these  
(false) flashbacks ... staged events to look like something else, all  
obfuscation and misdirection. They are after the world LEO agencies'  
file on the investigation of the crimes in the financial mess where 1000  
make off with 1/3rd of the world's wealth. It all leads up to the team  
walking off with the physical disk containing the file (the world LEO  
agencies decided to deep six the investigations and not prosecute).

Number one on times list of those responsible:

[http://www.time.com/time/specials/packages/article/0,28804,1877351\\_1877350\\_1877339,00.html](http://www.time.com/time/specials/packages/article/0,28804,1877351_1877350_1877339,00.html)

Wharton article (behind paywall, but lives free at wayback machine)

estimating 1000 responsible for majority of the mess:

[http://web.archive.org/web/20080606084328/http://knowledge.w  
harton.upenn.edu/article.cfm?articleid=1933](http://web.archive.org/web/20080606084328/http://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/article.cfm?articleid=1933)

however, it pretty much ignores that the fact that many regulators (and LEOs) just watched as it all was happening.

some recent references to death penalty for some of the crimes

<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-12-23/ubs-libor-manipulation-deserves-the-death-penalty.html> .

<http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2012-12-24/does-libor-manipulation-deserve-death-penalty> .

<http://rt.com/programs/keiser-report/episode-379-max-keiser/> .

recent posts in thread:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#21> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#25> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#27> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#29> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#30> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#31> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#32> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#34> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#35> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#36> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#41> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#43> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#44> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#47> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#48> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#50> Search Google, 1960:s-style

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#51> Search Google, 1960:s-style

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 26 Dec 2012 18:15:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <240md8d7m6cu2pn99gqpi81cjcfnod793e@4ax.com>, on 12/26/2012 at 02:52 PM, hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> said:

> "rein check" is a contamination in the language.

Water is wet.

> "rein" itself is a verb or a noun.

So? What is in dispute is a sentence[1] containing "rein check", and that doesn't make sense in context whether you use the noun or the verb. For that matter, if you removed "checked" from the sentence it

still doesn't make sense.

[1] "He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by Congress."

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to [spamtrap@library.lspace.org](mailto:spamtrap@library.lspace.org)

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Gene Wirchenko](#) on Wed, 26 Dec 2012 18:32:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Tue, 11 Dec 2012 12:17:44 -0700, Joe Pfeiffer  
<[pfeiffer@cs.nmsu.edu](mailto:pfeiffer@cs.nmsu.edu)> wrote:

> [cb@mer.df.lth.se](mailto:cb@mer.df.lth.se) (Christian Brunschen) writes:  
>  
>> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just  
>> leave this here anyway:  
>>  
>> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>  
>>  
>> // Christian  
>  
> This is beautiful.

I like the touch that the letters are not always lined up quite right.

Sincerely,

Gene Wirchenko

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hda](#) on Wed, 26 Dec 2012 19:03:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 13:15:57 -0500, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz  
<[spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid](mailto:spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid)> wrote:

> In <240md8d7m6cu2pn99gppi81cjcfnod793e@4ax.com>, on 12/26/2012  
> at 02:52 PM, hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> said:  
>  
>> "rein check" is a contamination in the language.  
>  
> Water is wet.

Not what i meant. But rein has already check in its meaning.

>  
>> "rein" itself is a verb or a noun.  
>  
> So? What is in dispute is a sentence[1] containing "rein check", and  
> that doesn't make sense in context whether you use the noun or the  
> verb. For that matter, if you removed "checked" from the sentence it  
> still doesn't make sense.  
>

Not sure:

"He's trying to get a power which isn't reined (or checked) by  
Congress."

"He's trying to get a power which hasn't a rein (or check) by  
Congress."

You snipped the url which led to more explanation on the meaning of  
rein.

> [1] "He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by  
> Congress."

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Shmuel \(Seymour J.\) M](#) on Wed, 26 Dec 2012 20:24:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In <h0imd81sgevmslf7j6cmegm9m4o4p2tobp@4ax.com>, on 12/26/2012  
at 08:03 PM, hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> said:

> Not sure:  
> "He's trying to get a power which isn't reined (or checked) by  
> Congress."  
> "He's trying to get a power which hasn't a rein (or check) by  
> Congress."

Those may be what he meant, but the sentence he wrote doesn't say

either.

> You snipped the url which led to more explanation on the meaning of  
> rein.

None of which makes sense in the sentence as written.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@library.lspace.org

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [D.J.](#) on Wed, 26 Dec 2012 21:36:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 20:03:34 +0100, hda <[agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid](mailto:agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid)> wrote:

> On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 13:15:57 -0500, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz  
> <[spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid](mailto:spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid)> wrote:

>  
>> In <[240md8d7m6cu2pn99gqpi81cjcfnod793e@4ax.com](mailto:240md8d7m6cu2pn99gqpi81cjcfnod793e@4ax.com)>, on 12/26/2012  
>> at 02:52 PM, hda <[agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid](mailto:agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid)> said:

>>  
>>> "rein check" is a contamination in the language.

>>  
>> Water is wet.

>  
> Not what i meant. But rein has already check in its meaning.

Then you mean reign. Sheesh.

..

JimP.

--

Brushing aside the thorns so I can see the stars.  
<http://www.linuxgazette.net/> Linux Gazette  
<http://www.drivein-jim.net/> Drive-In movie theaters  
<http://story.drivein-jim.net/> A story Feb, 2011

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hda](#) on Wed, 26 Dec 2012 21:41:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 14:23:51 -0500, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net> wrote:

> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:  
>  
>> In <240md8d7m6cu2pn99gqpi81cjcfnod793e@4ax.com>, on 12/26/2012  
>> at 02:52 PM, hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> said:  
>>  
>>> "rein check" is a contamination in the language.  
>>  
>> Water is wet.  
>>  
>>> "rein" itself is a verb or a noun.  
>>  
>> So? What is in dispute is a sentence[1] containing "rein check", and  
>> that doesn't make sense in context whether you use the noun or the  
>> verb. For that matter, if you removed "checked" from the sentence it  
>> still doesn't make sense.  
>>  
>> [1] "He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by  
>> Congress."  
>  
> BAH says so many crazy things.  
>  
> If she reports that this was common usage where she lived,  
> I'm going to try to believe her until she retracts it.  
>  
> Of course, Obama isn't trying to "get a power".  
> He's just trying to save the country from another credit rating  
> downgrade over unnecessary theatrics.  
>  
> So whether she made a mistake with her phrasing and doesn't want to  
> admit it, or she really has grown up with the term doesn't really  
> matter to me.  
>  
> Misunderstanding what's going on with these budget theatrics is the real  
> issue.  
>  
> Of course we could run it by our alt.english.usage visitors...

Reindeer in the spotlight.

I was not into the politics yet but since you are, on Usenet:

You mean Congress trying to avoid their responsibility for the budget  
and not steer Mr. B.O.S. with a leash ?

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Dan Espen](#) on Wed, 26 Dec 2012 22:10:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> writes:

> On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 14:23:51 -0500, Dan Espen <despen@verizon.net>  
> wrote:  
>  
>> Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> writes:  
>>  
>>> In <240md8d7m6cu2pn99gppi81cjcfnod793e@4ax.com>, on 12/26/2012  
>>> at 02:52 PM, hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> said:  
>>>  
>>>> "rein check" is a contamination in the language.  
>>>  
>>> Water is wet.  
>>>  
>>>> "rein" itself is a verb or a noun.  
>>>  
>>> So? What is in dispute is a sentence[1] containing "rein check", and  
>>> that doesn't make sense in context whether you use the noun or the  
>>> verb. For that matter, if you removed "checked" from the sentence it  
>>> still doesn't make sense.  
>>>  
>>> [1] "He's trying to get a power which isn't rein checked by  
>>> Congress."  
>>>  
>> BAH says so many crazy things.  
>>  
>> If she reports that this was common usage where she lived,  
>> I'm going to try to believe her until she retracts it.  
>>  
>> Of course, Obama isn't trying to "get a power".  
>> He's just trying to save the country from another credit rating  
>> downgrade over unnecessary theatrics.  
>>  
>> So whether she made a mistake with her phrasing and doesn't want to  
>> admit it, or she really has grown up with the term doesn't really  
>> matter to me.  
>>  
>> Misunderstanding what's going on with these budget theatrics is the real  
>> issue.  
>>  
>> Of course we could run it by our alt.english.usage visitors...  
>  
> Reindeer in the spotlight.  
>  
> I was not into the politics yet but since you are, on Usenet:

>  
> You mean Congress trying to avoid their responsibility for the budget  
> and not steer Mr. B.O.S. with a leash ?

Yep.

Do forever :  
Pass spending resolutions  
If over limit :  
Fight over allowing spending to be paid for  
Increase limit

The only thing that ever happens is  
spending goes on,  
the limit goes up,  
the fighting never stops.

--  
Dan Espen

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Peter Flass](#) on Wed, 26 Dec 2012 23:51:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/25/2012 8:20 AM, Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote:

> On Tue, 25 Dec 2012 02:40:00 -0600  
> kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk wrote:  
>  
>> In article <ajqnddFgkqcU1@mid.individual.net>, rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com  
>> (Rod Speed) wrote:  
>>  
>>> That mangles the real story utterly.  
>>  
>> Well can you come up to a reference to the Polish government declaring  
>> war on the Soviets?  
>>  
>>> And that does in spades.  
>  
> Ken, meet Speedo resident troll in a.f.c.  
>

The Poles whipped the h@ll out of the Soviets after WW I. Acxually,  
I'm surprised they didn't declare war on the USSR when the Russians  
invaded invaded at the start of WW II.

--  
Pete

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 26 Dec 2012 23:53:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Peter Flass <Peter\_Flass@Yahoo.com> wrote  
> Ahem A Rivet's Shot wrote  
>> kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk wrote  
>>> rod.speed.aaa@gmail.com (Rod Speed) wrote

>>>> That mangles the real story utterly.

>>> Well can you come up to a reference to the Polish  
>>> government declaring war on the Soviets?

>>>> And that does in spades.

> The Poles whipped the h@ll out of the Soviets after WW I.

Hardly surprising given that they had just lost that war.

And the Allies didn't when they tried to stop the bolsheviks.

> Acxually, I'm surprised they didn't declare war on the USSR  
> when the Russians invaded at the start of WW II.

I'm not. They weren't actually that stupid. They knew that Hitler would be at war with russia soon and warned Stalin about that.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [hda](#) on Thu, 27 Dec 2012 09:25:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 15:36:27 -0600, JimP. <pongbill127@cableone.net> wrote:

> On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 20:03:34 +0100, hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid>  
> wrote:

>> On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 13:15:57 -0500, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz  
>> <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:

>>>

>>>> In <240md8d7m6cu2pn99gqpi81cjcfnod793e@4ax.com>, on 12/26/2012  
>>>> at 02:52 PM, hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> said:

>>>>>

>>>>>> "rein check" is a contamination in the language.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Water is wet.

>>>>>>>>

>> Not what i meant. But rein has already check in its meaning.

>

> Then you mean reign. Sheesh.

>

Nope. (Just have laugh at it ;-))

[http://homepage.smc.edu/quizzes/cheney\\_joyce/rainreignrein.html](http://homepage.smc.edu/quizzes/cheney_joyce/rainreignrein.html)

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Walter Banks](#) on Thu, 27 Dec 2012 13:22:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Joe Pfeiffer wrote:

> [cb@mer.df.lth.se](mailto:cb@mer.df.lth.se) (Christian Brunschen) writes:

>

>> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just

>> leave this here anyway:

>>

>> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>

>>

>> // Christian

>

> This is beautiful.

Hard to believe our human engineering was that bad, sense switches and all.

Thanks for posting the link. Wakes up a lot of old memories

w..

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Mike Spencer](#) on Thu, 27 Dec 2012 20:13:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[cb@mer.df.lth.se](mailto:cb@mer.df.lth.se) (Christian Brunschen) writes:

> Yes, accuracy in emulation leaves a lot to be desired - but I'll just

> leave this here anyway:

>

> <http://www.masswerk.at/google60/>

Hm... How retro can it be if it requires HTML-5 to enjoy it? Feh.

My own, personal 60s retro compiles and runs in DOS5 or GNU/Linux/X,

displays a (drawn, not photo-image) card, punches, types along the upper edge and displays falling chad which accumulates at the bottom of the screen. When the pile of chad threatens to interfere with the cards, a yellow system card says "Wait..." and the chadbox is emptied.

Card text is kept in RAM and can be saved to disk; one can scan through the accumulated deck and insert, delete or move cards. So it's a working line editor if you're happy with limited charset and deprecated "modal" user interface.

To do: detect CPU internally so that speed of card feed and eject and of falling chad doesn't vary from tedious to instant between 486 and P4/current CPUs.

FWIW,

--

Mike Spencer                      Nova Scotia, Canada

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Thu, 27 Dec 2012 20:40:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk writes:

- > In article <icwqwcsbe8.fsf@home.home>, despen@verizon.net (Dan Espen)
- > wrote:
- >
- >> Why when Germany invaded Poland did the Allies declare war on Germany,
- >> but give Russia a free pass.
- >
- > Because the Polish government never declared war on the USSR. Probably
- > on the principle of one war at a time.

Not that it did them much good.

-- Patrick

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Patrick Scheible](#) on Thu, 27 Dec 2012 20:47:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> writes:

> On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 15:36:27 -0600, JimP. <pongbill127@cableone.net>  
> wrote:  
>  
>> On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 20:03:34 +0100, hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid>  
>> wrote:  
>>> On Wed, 26 Dec 2012 13:15:57 -0500, Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz  
>>> <spamtrap@library.lspace.org.invalid> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> In <240md8d7m6cu2pn99ggpi81cjcfnfod793e@4ax.com>, on 12/26/2012  
>>>> at 02:52 PM, hda <agent700@xs4all.nl.invalid> said:  
>>>>  
>>>> > "rein check" is a contamination in the language.  
>>>>  
>>>> Water is wet.  
>>>  
>>> Not what i meant. But rein has already check in its meaning.  
>>  
>> Then you mean reign. Sheesh.  
>>  
>  
> Nope. (Just have laugh at it ;-))  
> [http://homepage.smc.edu/quizzes/cheney\\_joyce/rainreignrein.h tml](http://homepage.smc.edu/quizzes/cheney_joyce/rainreignrein.html)

The reign in Speign stays meignly in the pleign!

-- Patrick

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Alfred Falk](#) on Fri, 28 Dec 2012 19:49:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Bernd Felsche <berfel@innovative.iinet.net.au> wrote in  
news:emocq9xk85.ln2@innovative.iinet.net.au:

> Andrew Swallow wrote:  
>> Charlie Gibbs wrote:  
>>> (Seymour J.) writes:  
>  
>>>> Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling  
  
> FWIW: Early Saxon "Kings" were elected. Democracy appears inate.

That was true for many (most?) European kingdoms. But who did the the  
electing? It wasn't the unwashed masses. And who do think the "front  
runners" in such elections were?

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Walter Banks](#) on Fri, 28 Dec 2012 23:41:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Alfred Falk wrote:

> Bernd Felsche <berfel@innovative.iinet.net.au> wrote in  
> news:emocq9xk85.In2@innovative.iinet.net.au:  
>  
>> Andrew Swallow wrote:  
>>> Charlie Gibbs wrote:  
>>>> (Seymour J.) writes:  
>>  
>>>> > Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling  
>  
>> FWIW: Early Saxon "Kings" were elected. Democracy appears innate.  
>  
> That was true for many (most?) European kingdoms. But who did the the  
> electing? It wasn't the unwashed masses. And who do think the "front  
> runners" in such elections were?

Remarkably similar to papal elections

w..

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Sat, 29 Dec 2012 00:17:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Walter Banks" <walter@bytectcraft.com> wrote in message  
news:50DE2E17.47C42292@bytectcraft.com...

>  
>  
> Alfred Falk wrote:  
>  
>> Bernd Felsche <berfel@innovative.iinet.net.au> wrote in  
>> news:emocq9xk85.In2@innovative.iinet.net.au:  
>>  
>>> Andrew Swallow wrote:  
>>>> Charlie Gibbs wrote:  
>>>> > (Seymour J.) writes:  
>>>  
>>>> >> Read "The True Believer" by Eric Hoffer; he made a compelling  
>>  
>>> FWIW: Early Saxon "Kings" were elected. Democracy appears innate.  
  
>> That was true for many (most?) European kingdoms.

That's not right. Most were determined by who their parents were.

>> But who did the the electing?

No one at all most of the time.

>> It wasn't the unwashed masses. And who do  
>> think the "front runners" in such elections were?

> Remarkably similar to papal elections

Nope, very few of those became pope due to who their father was.

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style

Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Sun, 30 Dec 2012 19:12:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Anne & Lynn Wheeler <[lynn@garlic.com](mailto:lynn@garlic.com)> writes:

> 60mins did a story about consultants ... as the economy was crashing  
> some consultant advised wallstreet to tieup as many economic & business  
> experts as possible ... either directly with retainers or indirectly  
> with grants/contracts with their organizations/institutions

re:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#47> Search Google, 1960:s-style

starting with "Inside Job"

Economists and the Powerful: Convenient Theories, Distorted Facts, Ample Rewards

<http://www.amazon.com/Economists-Powerful-Convenient-Distorted-ebook/dp/B009K44OW2/log72-74>:

Only through having been caught so blatantly with their noses in the troughs (e.g. the 2011 Academy Award-winning documentary Inside Job) has the American Economic Association finally been forced to adopt an ethical code, and that code is weak and incomplete compared with other disciplines.

.... snip ...

Note the book starts out with cases of major universities firing and blackballing economists over the years, that happen to write papers that the rich&powerful found objectionable

post from today (how rich&powerful got peaceful demonstrations labeled

as terrorists)

Banks Deeply Involved in FBI-Coordinated Suppression of "Terrorist"  
Occupy Wall Street

<http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2012/12/banks-deeply-involved-in-fbi-coordinated-suppression-of-terrorist-occupy-wall-street.html>

"Inside Job" wiki page:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inside\\_Job\\_%28film%29](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inside_Job_%28film%29)

from above:

Roger Ebert described the film as "an angry, well-argued documentary about how the American financial industry set out deliberately to defraud the ordinary American investor."

.... snip ...

Inside Job. The documentary. Online. For free.

<http://www.theotherschoolofeconomics.org/?p=2499>

there has been quite a few recent articles on how still nobody has been put in jail and the fines are relatively minor compared to the total amounts involved ... come to be treated as just part of the cost of doing (illegal) business. Going back a couple years, the articles about too-big-to-fail are turning Mexico into Columbia with all their money laundering for the drug cartels ... aka too-big-to-jail.

recent article calling for death penalty for some of the illegal activity

UBS Libor Manipulation Deserves the Death Penalty

<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-12-23/ubs-libor-manipulation-deserves-the-death-penalty.html>

other recent posts mentioning too-big-to-jail:

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#16> Wonder if they know how Boydian they are?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#35> The Dallas Fed Is Calling For The Immediate Breakup Of Large Banks

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012e.html#37> The \$30 billion Social Security hack

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#88> Defense acquisitions are broken and no one cares

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#9> JPM LOSES \$2 BILLION USD!

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#20> Psychology Of Fraud: Why Good People Do Bad Things

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#14> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012j.html#25> This Is The Wall Street Scandal Of All Scandals

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#37> If all of the American earned dollars hidden in off shore accounts were uncovered and taxed do you think we would be able to close the deficit gap?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#30> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#0> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012n.html#55> U.S. Sues Wells Fargo, Accusing It of Lying About Mortgages  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012o.html#10> OT: Tax breaks to Oracle debated  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012o.html#73> These Two Charts Show How The Priorities Of US Companies Have Gotten Screwed Up  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#20> HSBC, SCB Agree to AML Penalties  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#24> OCC Confirms that Big Banks are Badly Managed, Lack Adequate Risk Management Controls  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#30> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#39> UBS Faces Potential LIBOR Fine Of \$1 Billion -- Twice What Barclays Paid  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#48> Search Google, 1960:s-style

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheeler](#) on Wed, 02 Jan 2013 15:17:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Anne & Lynn Wheeler <[lynn@garlic.com](mailto:lynn@garlic.com)> writes:  
> Banks Deeply Involved in FBI-Coordinated Suppression of "Terrorist"  
> Occupy Wall Street  
> <http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2012/12/banks-deeply-involved-in-fbi-coordinated-suppression-of-terrorist-occupy-wall-street.html>

re:  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#47> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#62> Search Google, 1960:s-style

also ...

FBI knew of assassination plot against Occupy but gave no warning  
<http://www.digitaljournal.com/article/340232>  
FBI investigated Occupy Wall Street as 'domestic terrorists'  
<http://digitaljournal.com/article/339723>  
FBI Documents Reveal Secret Nationwide Occupy Monitoring  
<http://www.justiceonline.org/commentary/fbi-files-ows.html>

.... and

Will The Next Bear Market Be A Planned Event Or A Failure Of Central Planning?  
<http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2013-01-01/guest-post-will-next-bear-market-be-planned-event-or-failure-central-planning>  
Will the Next Bear Market be a Planned Event or a Failure of Central Planning?

<http://charleshughsmith.blogspot.com/2013/01/will-next-bear-market-be-planned-event.html>

from above:

Consider the possibility that the banksters now effectively control the stock market in ways never before possible, using the NY Fed acting in concert with the dark pools, offshore shell companies and pass-through entities, PTFs, and high-frequency trading (HFT) via the for-profit exchanges. How much would it "cost" the primary dealers to manage the markets using leveraged derivatives, assuming a complicit counterparty or counterparties?

.... snip ...

and from "Economists and the Powerful" pg88/loc1765-71:

To this day, the 12 regional Federal Reserve Banks, which are in charge of regulating banks, are owned and governed by their member banks. Before the subprime crisis, this fact was never advertised and often concealed by the pretence that the Federal Reserve System was a public institution. It became a little more widely known when Stephen Friedman, board member and former head of Goldman Sachs, was forced to step down in 2009 as the chairman of the board of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. He had overstepped by making large deals with Goldman shares while presiding over the board of a Federal Reserve, which was intimately involved in rescuing the financial sector (including Goldman) with large amounts of public money. The Friedman case exposed the fact that there are no safeguards against bankers using their control over the Federal Reserve Banks to promote the interest of banks at the expense of the public.

.... snip ...

as well as pg56/1177-80

In July 2009, Sergey Aleynikov, a US and Russian national, was arrested on charges of theft just after he left his job as a programmer at Goldman Sachs. He had copied the trading program of the firm, on which he had been working, and transferred it to a server in Germany. What makes this case interesting is the warning that prosecutor Joseph Faccioponte issued based on information from Goldman Sachs. He said that because of the way this software interfaces with the various markets and exchanges it could be used to "manipulate markets in unfair ways."

.... snip ...

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Rod Speed](#) on Wed, 02 Jan 2013 16:54:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Anne & Lynn Wheeler <lynn@garlic.com> wrote  
> Anne & Lynn Wheeler <lynn@garlic.com> wrote

>> Banks Deeply Involved in FBI-Coordinated Suppression of "Terrorist"  
>> Occupy Wall Street  
>> <http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2012/12/banks-deeply-involved-in-fbi-coordinated-suppression-of-terrorist-occupy-wall-street.html>

> also ...

> FBI knew of assassination plot against Occupy but gave no warning  
> <http://www.digitaljournal.com/article/340232>

Because if they 'warn' about everything, their warnings will just get ignored when it's a real threat.

That started to happen with post 9/11 alleged threats.

> FBI investigated Occupy Wall Street as 'domestic terrorists'  
> <http://digitaljournal.com/article/339723>

It would be a fucking sight more surprising if they did not and one hell of an inditement of them.

> FBI Documents Reveal Secret Nationwide Occupy Monitoring  
> <http://www.justiceonline.org/commentary/fbi-files-ows.html>

It would be a fucking sight more surprising if they did not and one hell of an inditement of them.

> ... and

> Will The Next Bear Market Be A Planned Event

Just another utterly mindlessly conspiracy theory.

> Or A Failure Of Central Planning?

We don't have central planning. Even russia and china don't anymore.

> <http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2013-01-01/guest-post-will-next-bear-market-be-planned-event-or-failure-central-planning>  
> Will the Next Bear Market be a Planned Event or a Failure of Central  
> Planning?  
> <http://charleshughsmith.blogspot.com/2013/01/will-next-bear-market-be-planned-event.html>

- > from above:
- > Consider the possibility that the banksters now effectively control the
- > stock market

Just another utterly mindless conspiracy theory.

- > in ways never before possible,

That's always true.

- > using the NY Fed acting in concert with the dark pools,
- > offshore shell companies and pass-through entities, PTFs,
- > and high-frequency trading (HFT) via the for-profit exchanges.

Just tossing in a pile of fancy terms doesn't make the mindless conspiracy theory real.

- > How much would it "cost" the primary dealers to
- > manage the markets using leveraged derivatives,
- > assuming a complicit counterparty or counterparties?

Not even possible.

- > ... snip ...

- > and from "Economists and the Powerful" pg88/loc1765-71:

- > To this day, the 12 regional Federal Reserve Banks, which are in charge
- > of regulating banks, are owned and governed by their member
- > banks. Before the subprime crisis, this fact was never advertised and
- > often concealed by the pretence that the Federal Reserve System was a
- > public institution. It became a little more widely known when Stephen
- > Friedman, board member and former head of Goldman Sachs, was forced to
- > step down in 2009 as the chairman of the board of the Federal Reserve
- > Bank of New York. He had overstepped by making large deals with Goldman
- > shares while presiding over the board of a Federal Reserve, which was
- > intimately involved in rescuing the financial sector (including Goldman)
- > with large amounts of public money. The Friedman case exposed the fact
- > that there are no safeguards against bankers using their control over
- > the Federal Reserve Banks to promote the interest of banks at the
- > expense of the public.

- > ... snip ...

- > as well as pg56/1177-80

- > In July 2009, Sergey Aleynikov, a US and Russian national, was arrested
- > on charges of theft just after he left his job as a programmer at
- > Goldman Sachs. He had copied the trading program of the firm, on which
- > he had been working, and transferred it to a server in Germany. What
- > makes this case interesting is the warning that prosecutor Joseph
- > Faccioponte issued based on information from Goldman Sachs. He said that
- > because of the way this software interfaces with the various markets and
- > exchanges it could be used to "manipulate markets in unfair ways."

Nothing new about that.

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [sidd](#) on Wed, 02 Jan 2013 19:03:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

- > <http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2013-01-01/guest-post-will-next-bear-market-be-planned-event-or-failure-central-planning>
- > Will the Next Bear Market be a Planned Event or a Failure of Central Planning?
- > <http://charleshughsmith.blogspot.com/2013/01/will-next-bear-market-be-planned-event.html>
- >

I think this Jacobin article is a better analysis of the failures of centrally planned as well as laissez faire models

<http://jacobinmag.com/2012/12/the-red-and-the-black/>

sidd

---

---

Subject: Re: Search Google, 1960:s-style  
Posted by [Anne & Lynn Wheel](#) on Fri, 04 Jan 2013 23:56:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

re:  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#47> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#62> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2013.html#2> Search Google, 1960:s-style

White House Petition Pushes For Trillion-Dollar Platinum Coin  
[http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/01/04/trillion-dollar-coin-petition\\_n\\_2409704.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/01/04/trillion-dollar-coin-petition_n_2409704.html)  
Trillion dollar coin  
<http://www.businessinsider.com/the-trillion-dollar-coin-and-the-republican-debt-ceiling-fight-2013-1>  
Trillion Dollar Coin To Wipe Out U.S. Debt  
<http://seekingalpha.com/article/1095921-trillion-dollar-coin-to-wipe-out-u-s-debt>

Triumphant plutocracy; the story of American public life from 1870 to 1920  
<http://archive.org/details/triumphantpluto00pettrich>

loc754-62: In 1872, the ring of bankers in New York sent the following circular to every bank in the United States: "Dear Sir: It is advisable to do all in your power to sustain such prominent daily and weekly newspapers, especially the agricultural and religious press, as will oppose the issuing of greenback paper money, and that you also withhold patronage or favors from all applicants who are not willing to oppose the Government issue of money. Let the Government issue the coin and the banks issue the paper money of the country, for then we can better protect each other. To repeal the law creating National Bank notes, or to restore to circulation the Government issue of money, will be to provide the people with money, and will therefore seriously affect your individual profit as bankers and lenders. See your Congressman at once, and engage him to support our interests that we may control legislation."

leads up to to the deal with wallstreet creating the Federal Reserve.

overall the book reads like precursor to  
<http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/the-great-american-bubble-machine-20100405>

and the inequality part reads like precursor to  
<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/09/04/opinion/sunday/jobs-will-follow-a-strengthening-of-the-middle-class.html>

extended the graph  
<http://www.nytimes.com/imagepages/2011/09/04/opinion/04reich-graphic.html?ref=sunday>

to higher peaks through much of the 1800s

past posts mentioning "vampire squid" &/or inequality  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011d.html#21> The first personal computer (PC)  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2011p.html#130> vampires in financial infrastructure  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012f.html#77> Vampire Squid  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#7> Adult Supervision  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012g.html#80> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#3> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#18> How do you feel about the fact that India has more employees than US?  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012h.html#27> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#1> Monopoly/ Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012i.html#48> Thousands Of IBM Employees Got A Nasty Surprise  
Yesterday: Here's The Email They Saw  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012k.html#7> Is there a connection between your strategic and tactical assertions?

<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#13> Cultural attitudes towards failure  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#53> CALCULATORS  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012l.html#85> Singer Cartons of Punch Cards  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#39> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#42> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012m.html#65> General Mills computer  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012o.html#73> These Two Charts Show How The Priorities Of US Companies Have Gotten Screwed Up  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#44> Search Google, 1960:s-style  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2012p.html#64> IBM Is Changing The Terms Of Its Retirement Plan, Which Is Frustrating Some Employees  
<http://www.garlic.com/~lynn/2013.html#1> IBM Is Changing The Terms Of Its Retirement Plan, Which Is Frustrating Some Employees

--

virtualization experience starting Jan1968, online at home since Mar1970

---