

---

Subject: How to satisfy the bottom-posting pedants (and thereby show that the whole argument is a storm in a teacup?)

Posted by [Anonymous](#) on Tue, 28 Sep 2021 08:22:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Originally posted by: gareth evans

Take the post to which you are replying, let one word only of the post to be quoted, then put the whole of your otherwise-top-posted reply in there, followed by the remainder of what you are quoting.

Everybody happy?

---

---

Subject: Re: How to satisfy the bottom-posting pedants (and thereby show that the whole argument is a storm in a teacup?)

Posted by [D.J.](#) on Tue, 28 Sep 2021 21:37:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Tue, 28 Sep 2021 09:22:45 +0100, gareth evans <headstone255@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Take the post to which you are replying, let one word  
> only of the post to be quoted, then put the whole  
> of your otherwise-top-posted reply in there, followed  
> by the remainder of what you are quoting.  
>  
> Everybody happy?

Nope, because top posting is unacceptable.

---

---

Subject: Re: How to satisfy the bottom-posting pedants (and thereby show that the whole argument is a storm in a teacup?)

Posted by [Anonymous](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2021 15:36:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Originally posted by: gareth evans

On 28/09/2021 22:37, D.J. wrote:

> N

Do you mean, "unacceptable"?

> ope, because top posting is unacceptable.  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: How to satisfy the bottom-posting pedants (and thereby show that the whole argument is a storm in a teacup?)

Posted by [Anonymous](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2021 17:00:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Originally posted by: maus

On 2021-09-28, D.J <chucktheouch@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Tue, 28 Sep 2021 09:22:45 +0100, gareth evans

> <headstone255@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> Take the post to which you are replying, let one word

>> only of the post to be quoted, then put the whole

>> of your otherwise-top-posted reply in there, followed

>> by the remainder of what you are quoting.

>>

>> Everybody happy?

>

> Nope, because top posting is unacceptable.

++

--

greymausg@mail.com

Down the wrong mousehole.

---

---

Subject: Re: How to satisfy the bottom-posting pedants (and thereby show that the whole argument is a storm in a teacup?)

Posted by [D.J.](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2021 18:29:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 29 Sep 2021 16:36:35 +0100, gareth evans

<headstone255@yahoo.com> wrote:

> On 28/09/2021 22:37, D.J. wrote:

>> N

>

> Do you mean, "unacceptable"?

>

>> ope, because top posting is unacceptable.

>>

Well, no I don't.

---